

Part 1: Race Conditions

1. Increment Race Condition (No Mutex):

- **What can go wrong:**
 - T1 calls the code and reads x as 12.
 - T1 is interrupted before incrementing x.
 - T2 calls the code and also reads x as 12.
 - T2 increments x.
 - T1 resumes and also increments x.
 - Both threads have now incremented x independently, leading to a final value less than 13.
- **Solution:**
 - Wrap the critical section in a mutex.

```
1| lock(mutex)
2| if x == 12:
3|     x++
4| unlock(mutex)
```

2. Increment Race Condition (With Mutex):

- **What can go wrong:**
 - T1 calls the code and acquires the mutex.
 - T1 checks x and finds it as 12.
 - T2 is scheduled and also acquires the mutex.
 - T2 checks x and finds it as 12.
 - T1 increments x.
 - T2 increments x.
 - Both threads have now incremented x independently, leading to a final value less than 13.
- **Solution:**
 - Move the mutex to include the entire critical section.
 - See Problem 1 Solution (above)

3. Hash Update Race Condition:

- **What can go wrong:**
 - T1 checks if y is not in the hash and finds it's true.
 - T2 is scheduled and also checks if y is not in the hash, finding it true.
 - T1 and T2 simultaneously try to update the hash, potentially leading to lost updates or incorrect increments due to lack of synchronization.
- **Solution:**
 - Wrap the hash manipulation in a mutex to ensure only one thread can update it at a time.

```
1| lock(mutex)
```

```

2| if y not in hash:
3|     hash[y] = 12
4| else:
5|     hash[y]++
6| unlock(mutex)

```

4. Compound Assignment Race Condition:

- **What can go wrong:**
 - T1 reads the value of x.
 - T2 reads the value of x.
 - T1 adds 12 to its local copy of x.
 - T2 adds 12 to its local copy of x.
 - Both threads write their modified values of x back, potentially overwriting each other's changes.
- **Solution:**
 - Wrap the compound assignment in a mutex to ensure atomicity.

```

1| lock(m1)
2| x += 12
3| unlock(m1)

```

5. Semaphore Race Condition:

- **What can go wrong:**
 - Multiple threads concurrently execute `semaphore_signal()` and `semaphore_wait()`.
 - Multiple threads could simultaneously read, modify, and update the semaphore x, leading to race conditions and violating the intended behavior.
- **Solution:**
 - Wrap semaphore operations in a mutex to avoid race conditions when modifying conditional value.

```

1| semaphore_init(value):
2|     lock(m1)
3|     x = value
4|     unlock(m1)
5|

```

```

6| semaphore_signal():
7|     lock(m1)
8|     x++
9|     unlock(m1)
10|
11| semaphore_wait():
12|     lock(m1)
13|     while x == 0:
14|         do nothing # spinlock
15|     x--
16|     unlock(m1)

```

Part 2: Deadlocks

1. Out of Order Deadlock:

- **How deadlock can occur:**
 - T1 calls function1() and acquires m1.
 - T2 calls function2() and acquires m2.
 - T1 attempts to acquire m2 but blocks since T2 holds it.
 - T2 attempts to acquire m1 but blocks since T1 holds it.
 - Both threads are now deadlocked.
- **Solution:**
 - Ensure that both functions acquire the locks in the same order to prevent a deadlock

```

function1():
    lock(m2)
    lock(m1)

    unlock(m1)
    unlock(m2)

function2():
    lock(m2)
    lock(m1)

```

```
unlock(m1)
```

```
unlock(m2)
```

2. Twisting Little Passages, All Different... Deadlock:

- **How deadlock can occur:**
 - T1 calls function1(m1, m2) and acquires m1.
 - T2 calls function1(m2, m1) and acquires m2.
 - T1 attempts to acquire m2 but blocks since T2 holds it.
 - T2 attempts to acquire m1 but blocks since T1 holds it.
 - Both threads are now deadlocked.
- **Solution:**
 - Implement a protocol to ensure exact ordering like using alphabetical order.

```
function1(m1, m2):
```

```
    if m1 < m2:
```

```
        lock(m1)
```

```
        lock(m2)
```

```
        unlock(m2)
```

```
        unlock(m1)
```

```
    else:
```

```
        lock(m2)
```

```
        lock(m1)
```

```
        unlock(m1)
```

```
        unlock(m2)
```