1/19/2021-30130 Proposed Layup Orientation I would like to use carbon fiber rather than fiberglass because carbon fiber is more
lightneight. I ammterested in the application of turbe is fiberglass because it is cheaper. I would like to mustigate carbon fiber to see if there is a fiber director That imminizes cost and maximites performance. I believe this will be pardon orientation mat bocause it will have isotropic strength of However DOIT POMS Says that radom coventation has a low packing efficiency so it cannot meet the high fibre volume fraction necessary for large loads. The solution DoIT POMS suggests is Stacking Together plies with different flore ninentation Using a 65% fiber Cas recommend by Idea? on floors), I calculated the elastic congrands for 4 places w/ 5theting segmences of 0, 0/96, and 0/45/90/45 in DoITPoms, For of the lammate was still estalong he fiber derection, and most ducible I to the fibers. For 0/90/0/90, the fiber was the west stoff a loading angles of 00 3900, and least stoff a 450, For 0/45/40/45 The fiber was strongest a 45°, and got stightly redelled in both directions. This was the most isotropic stacking squence. The stiffness of fiberglass for this squence was 36, while the stiffness for carbon fiber 1:30 William William

1/19/2021 - 3017(Proposed Layup Orientation The large difference in SHAMESS of carbon fiber (141) to fiber glass (76) justifies

the use of carbon fiber for wind to both bloodes.

As plades got longer they need to be more staff, which carbon fiber can help with for the 'Ugo'past which carbon fiber can help with for the 'Ugo'past will be my stack, I cin 'either use two sheets of undrectional or Isheet of woller motor will is diagnos, which makes it great to the Uso part of my stack.

I cam going to use a combination of unid rectional and trill because I am reading a lot on the that says unid rectional is stronger and states they were.