

Table 1: Performance Comparison: No Normalization

Metric	NMF	OPNMF
Accuracy	0.9798	0.9899
F1 Score (macro)	0.9798	0.9899
AUC (OVO)	0.2548	0.9971
Reconstruction Error	0.3627	0.3885
Mean Squared Error	0.0827	0.0949
	33 0 0	33 0 0
Confusion Matrix	0 33 0	0 33 0
	2 0 31	1 0 32
Component-to-Mask Correlations	0.74, 0.67, 0.69	0.71, 0.62, 0.63
Dice Scores	0.83, 0.68, 0.63	0.46, 0.44, 0.44

Table 2: Performance Comparison: Global Normalization

Metric	NMF	OPNMF
Accuracy	0.9596	0.9798
F1 Score (macro)	0.9597	0.9796
AUC (OVO)	0.5111	0.4976
Reconstruction Error	0.4476	0.4531
Mean Squared Error	0.0550	0.0564
	31 2 0	33 0 0
Confusion Matrix	0 33 0	0 33 0
	1 1 31	1 1 31
Component-to-Mask Correlations	0.75, 0.68, 0.78	0.74, 0.67, 0.77
Dice Scores	0.84, 0.72, 0.86	0.49, 0.45, 0.44

Table 3: Performance Comparison: Per-Entry Normalization

Metric	NMF	OPNMF
Accuracy	0.9596	0.9798
F1 Score (macro)	0.9597	0.9796
AUC (OVO)	0.5111	0.4976
Reconstruction Error	0.4476	0.4531
Mean Squared Error	0.0550	0.0564
	31 2 0	33 0 0
Confusion Matrix	0 33 0	0 33 0
	1 1 31	1 1 31
Component-to-Mask Correlations	0.75, 0.68, 0.78	0.74, 0.67, 0.77
Dice Scores	0.84, 0.72, 0.86	0.49, 0.45, 0.44

Table 4: Performance Comparison: PLS Normalization

Metric	NMF	OPNMF
Accuracy	0.9596	0.9798
F1 Score (macro)	0.9595	0.9796
AUC (OVO)	0.5116	0.4991
Reconstruction Error	0.4487	0.4543
Mean Squared Error	0.0556	0.0569
Confusion Matrix	32 1 0	33 0 0
	0 33 0	0 33 0
	1 2 30	1 1 31
Component-to-Mask Correlations	0.75, 0.68, 0.78	0.74, 0.67, 0.77
Dice Scores	0.83, 0.72, 0.86	0.49, 0.45, 0.44

Table 5: Shared Experimental Settings for All Runs

Parameter	Value
Max Iterations	50000
Tolerance	1×10^{-9}
Batch ID	5d46b9a7a50d9ed7
Torus Variables	Thickness + Second Bump ("both")