# FMshop: Domain Specific Language and Code Generation for Finite Element and Finite Volume in Julia

# Abstract

We introduce FMshop, a Julia-based domain specific language(DSL) for solving partial differential equations using finite element and finite volume methods. A key focus of the framework is code generation for either internal numerical solvers or external targets. Internal options use a modular set of solvers written in Julia to provide a direct, real-time solution from within the DSL itself. In contrast, the external code generation produces a set of code files that can be compiled or run in an external context such as Matlab, for smaller problems or prototypes, or the C++ framework Dendro for large-scale problems needing scalability. By generating code for external targets, we are able to take advantage of their capabilities without needlessly duplicating them, and provide a range of solutions tailored to the specific problem or the needs of the domain scientist. The modular design of FMshop allows the ongoing development of these target modules resulting an a more extensible framework and a broader set of suitable applications. The inclusion of both finite element and finite volume methods also contribultes to this goal. Another focus is on complex systems containing many PDEs that could be challenging to code by hand, but are relatively simple to specify using the DSL. In this paper we present the key features of FMshop that set it apart from many other DSL options, outline the current capabilities as well as several that are under development, and present some examples of it in action.

#### 1 Introduction

Solving partial differential equations (PDEs) numerically on a large scale involves a compromise between highly optimized code that exploits details of the problem and hardware to build the most efficient solution, and extensible code that can be adapted to variations in the problem and the computing environment. Rapidly evolving technology and a shift to heterogeneous systems places a higher value on the latter, prompting a move away from hand-written code made by experts in high performance computing, to generated code produced through a domain specific language (DSL). Another factor in this change is the realm of medium-scale problems where the cost of developing optimal code may not be justified. At this scale it is up to domain scien-

tists to develop their own software or piece it together from more general-purpose libraries.

In response to these issues, several DSLs for solving PDEs have been developed. On one end of the spectrum are general-purpose, high-level options such as Matlab Toolboxes, Comsol, and other commercial software. These tools are accessible to domain scientists without a high level of programming proficiency, but may lack customizability and performance tuning. At the opposite end are lower-level libraries such as Nektar++[15] and deal.II[16] that provide customizable components that are optimized for their specific purpose, but require much more programming input and skill from the user and therefore suffer from some of the limitations of hand-written code. There is also a middleground where almost all of a specified problem is handled within the scope of a moderately highlevel DSL, providing an accessible and extensible option, while also offering some low-level customization. Some examples of these include the elegant Python interface of Fenics[17] and Firedrake[18] for finite element methods, OpenFOAM[19] for finite volume methods, Devito[20] for finite difference methods, and many other options focused on a specific type of problem or technique. Of relevance to this work are tools recently developed for the Julia programming language including DifferentialEquations. il[21] which has branched into a broad environment of ordinary differential equation solvers tied together in a Julia DSL.

This work introduces FMshop, a DSL for solving PDEs using the finite element(FE) or finite volume(FV) method. The goal is to provide a tool that a domain scientist can use to easily create efficient code for medium scale problems on simple hardware, and just as easily produce scalable code for larger problems on modern supercomputers. Two of the key ideas to achieving this goal are a modular software design and generation for external software frameworks. Rather than depending on a single, general-purpose code that may lack the flexibility to adapt to specific problem requirements or hardware resources, a range of more specific modules can be used, and the development of additional modules will allow the code to remain extensible. Code generation

modules for external software targets allow it to leverage the capabilities of various existing software frameworks that are well suited to a particular type of problem. For example, the Dendro library[1] provides an adaptive octree framework that is suitable for very large scale problems. FMshop provides a much simpler, more intuitive interface to this powerful resource.

FMshop is written completely in Julia, which offers a flexible, easy-to-use environment along with speed comparable to low-level languages such as C[22]. This allows a simplified interface without resorting to external C/C++/Fortran libraries as is common with Python-based DSLs. The metaprogramming features and wide selection of useful libraries also make Julia a good choice for FMshop.

#### 2 Related Work

Code generation in combination with a DSL has become a common technique for producing high performance FE codes. Some techniques exploit tensor product construction for high order FE[2][3][4]. Others use the independent nature of Discontinuous Galerkin methods to utilize GPUs[5] or vectorization[6]. There are also options for FV[7] and FD[8], though perhaps fewer than for FE.

Another DSL that bears a close resemblance to FMshop is FEniCS. They share some DSL design concepts and since FMshop was originally developed for FE, the interface design was likely influenced by FEniCS. However, below the surface FMshop's focus is on code generation, and the tools provided differ significantly.

# 3 Domain Specific Language

The range of problems that can be solved with FMshop and the techniques used are increasing steadily with ongoing development. Here is an outline of the types of problems that are currently supported.

# FE problems:

- 1, 2, or 3 spatial dimensions
- Linear to high-order CG or DG projections
- Scalar, vector, tensor, or indexed variables
- Unstructured meshes of simplex or quad/hex elements

#### FV problems:

- 1, 2, or 3 spatial dimensions
- Conservation type equations
- Various low to high-order flux options

- Custom flux specification
- Variable and mesh options similar to FE above

Some of the available options are not supported by all generation targets, and currently the internal Julia target is the most complete in terms of breadth of capabilities.

**3.1** Interface Typically a script will be written, but it is also possible to work interactively. A set of functions or macros are used to a)Set up the configuration. b)Specify the problem and mesh. c)Process data for output.

As an example, the following commands could be used to configure a 2D unstructured grid using a fourth-order polynomial function space based on Lobatto-Gauss nodes, and generate code for a target specified in the external\_target\_module.jl file.

```
generateFor("external_target_module.jl")
domain(2, grid=UNSTRUCTURED)
functionSpace(space=LEGENDRE, order=4)
nodeType(LOBATTO)
```

In contrast to this, a user who is content with the defaults could provide as little as domain(2).

Problem specification should start with a mesh. There are some simple mesh generation options built in. For example, to construct a uniform 20x4x4 grid of hexahedral elements in a long rectangular prism domain with a separate boundary ID for each face, the following command could be used.

```
mesh(HEXMESH, elsperdim=[20,4,4],
bids=6, interval=[0,1,0,0.2,0,0.2])
```

For more practical problems, external mesh generating software can be used to create a mesh file that is then imported into FMshop. Currently the GMSH formats(.msh) are supported.

Once the mesh is in place, entities such as variables, test functions and coefficient functions are created along with their boundary and initial conditions. In the following example note that functions are input as string expressions of coordinates x, y, z, t, and other entities. We consider a coefficient as a known quantity depending only on coordinates.

```
u = variable("u", VECTOR)
testSymbol("v", VECTOR)
coefficient("k", "sin(pi*x)*(t/1+t)")
boundary(u,1,NEUMANN, [0,0,"1-(u[2]-u[1])"])
initial(u, [0, 0, "x*y-sin(pi*z)"])
```

The next step is to specify the differential equations. When using FE, this is done by writing the weak form of the equation in residual form. We have tried to keep the syntax in a form that closely resembles the mathematics. Note that integration over the volume is implied, and surface integrals can be specified by placing those terms in surf(...).

Original PDE | 
$$\nabla \cdot (a\nabla u) - f = 0$$
  
Weak form |  $-(a\nabla u, \nabla v) - (f, v) = 0$   
FMshop input |  $-a*dot(grad(u), grad(v)) - f*v$ 

When using FV, it is assumed that the equations are in a conservation form. This restriction will be removed in a future release to allow more flexibility. The source and flux terms are given as input, and the time derivative of the variables is implied as shown in the following.

PDE | 
$$\int_{V} \frac{du}{dt} dx = \int_{V} g(u, x) dx - \int_{\partial V} \mathbf{f}(u, x) \cdot \mathbf{n} ds$$
  
Source |  $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x})$   
Flux |  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x})$ 

A useful feature for writing out these expressions is the ability to define custom operators. In addition to the standard operators such as dot and grad above, a user can define new operators that act on entities such as variables. One could build, for example, a set of differential geometry operators to use for general relativity, and import them into FMshop to more easily write out a weak form expression.

3.2 Symbolic Representation After entering the problem, it is transformed into an intermediate symbolic representation. The entity symbols are replaced with arrays of corresponding tensor components and the operators discussed previously are applied to create a more verbose set of symbolic expressions. These expressions go through processing stages to separate known and unknown terms, simplify them, identify time dependent terms, etc. The resulting symbolic terms are in the form of computational graphs, based on Julia Expr trees, containing symbolic entity objects. These graphs will then be passed to the code generation utilities.

A simple example using the weak form input from above in 2D will look like this.

```
-\texttt{a*dot}(\texttt{grad}(\texttt{u}), \texttt{grad}(\texttt{v})) - \texttt{f*v} \\ \downarrow \\ -[\_\texttt{a\_1}] * \texttt{dot\_op}(\texttt{grad\_op}([\_\texttt{u\_1}]), \\ \texttt{grad\_op}([\_\texttt{v\_1}])) - [\_\texttt{f\_1}] * [\_\texttt{v\_1}] \\ \downarrow \\ [-(\_\texttt{a\_1} * \texttt{D\_1} \_ \texttt{u\_1} * \texttt{D\_1} \_ \texttt{v\_1} + \\ -\texttt{a\_1} * \texttt{D\_2} \_ \texttt{u\_1} * \texttt{D\_2} \_ \texttt{v\_1})] + [-\_\texttt{f\_1} * \_ \texttt{v\_1}] \\ \downarrow \\ \texttt{bilinear:} \quad [-\_\texttt{a\_1} * \texttt{D\_1} \_ \texttt{u\_1} * \texttt{D\_1} \_ \texttt{v\_1} + \\ --\texttt{a\_1} * \texttt{D\_2} \_ \texttt{u\_1} * \texttt{D\_2} \_ \texttt{v\_1}] \\ \texttt{linear:} \quad [-\_\texttt{f\_1} * \_ \texttt{v\_1}] \\ \texttt{entities:} \quad \texttt{D\_1} \_ \texttt{u\_1} = \frac{d}{dx} u_1 \\ \texttt{D\_2} \_ \texttt{u\_1} = \frac{d}{dy} u_1 \\ \texttt{etc.} \\ \end{cases}
```

**3.3** Code Generation The code generation step is where the process diverges. One path is to generate Julia code to be used internally by FMshop's included solvers. The code is placed in functions that are generated and compiled on the fly, but there are also options to export and import the functions for inspection or modification.

The alternative is generating code for external software targets. In this case a target-specific module must be provided. The module must perform two main tasks. One is to turn the computational graphs from the symbolic representation into numerical code. The form that this code will take will look very different depending on the target, but mathematically it should be the same. The second task is to generate all of the needed code and make files to create a full program. This will draw from all of the configuration, mesh and problem details.

A number of these target modules are under current development. The ones that are available now, Matlab and Dendro FE targets, are continually being upgraded to add functionality and improve performance.

**3.4** Modifying Generated Code Advanced users may wish to inspect the generated code and make modifications by hand. For this purpose the code can be exported and imported before either running the calculation internally or generating the full code package for external targets. Use one of the following commands after entering the equations, but before solving.

```
exportCode("code_file_name")
importCode("code_file_name")
```

As an example, if one wished to use a specific parallelization strategy or integrate a library that is not available directly through FMshop, this would allow such modifications. TODO: more details, example

## 4 Performance Opportunities

Since one of the goals of FMshop is to take advantage of the capabilities of good libraries, there are numerous parallelization, adaptivity, and structuring opportunities to achive high performance. A great example of this is the Dendro target which offers distributed memory parallelism through MPI, adaptive mesh refinement, and other performance enhancing features. It is the task of the code generation target module to take advantage of these features, and the task of FMshop to provide an interface to the user for customizing them.

4.1 Parallelism Within FMshop The performance focus is not limited to external tools. There are features built into FMshop as well. The obvious place to look first is the elemental loop in which the linear system is assembled or matrix vector products are performed in the case of assembly-free methods. Julia provides very simple multithreading capability that can be applied to these elemental loops and provides basic shared memory parallelism. There is also a multiprocessing package as part of the Julia standard library to offer distributed memory parallel capability, though it requires a little more care to fully take advantage of and support for this is still being developed within FMshop.

GPU support has also recently become well supported within Julia[13], primarily through CUDA. We are exploring the possibility of adding GPU options for internal FMshop targets as well.

4.2 Cache Simulation There are other ways to improve performance within FMshop besides the major parallelism techniques. The organization of data structures and the elemental loop ordering can be arranged to optimize cache use. To aid with this development and provide a means for automated tuning based on architecture, FMshop employs a cache simulator. Pycachesim[14] was chosen because it is light-weight and although it was developed for use in Python, the backend is written in C. The C library behind pycachesim is utilized directly by FMshop.

It would not be practical to run the cache simulator in the background during a real computation, and when there are a large number of elements it may not be practical to simulate the full system. Instead the cache simulator is essentially another target for code generation. Rather than performing the mathematical computation, the approximate sequence of memory accesses is fed into the similator. At the end of the computation the cache statistics are recorded and analyzed. This presents FMshop with a tool for tuning and measuring the effectiveness of changes in data structures and loop arrangements.

To demonstrate this, a number of data organization options are available. Beginning with a mesh from the built-in mesh generation utility, which initially provides a two-layer lexicographic ordering, meaning nodes are ordered lexicographically within each element and elements are also ordered lexicographically. In order to improve spatial locality, the elements are rearranged either into a space-filling curve, such as a Hilbert or Morton curve, or into tiles. A random ordering was also included to demonstrate a very poor arrangement. A comparison of cache simulation results for these various configurations shows a clear difference in the number of cache misses. Although the differences are not very large, this represents an inexpensive way to get some improvement.

TODO: add plot

### 5 Demonstrations

Some example applications are outlined here. The focus is more toward the DSL aspect and the types of problems that this tool is designed for, rather than performance benchmarks. Since external code generation relys on the parallel capabilities of the target framework, we refer the reader to the demonstrated performance measurements of those. For example, the Dendro framework has shown competetive scalability for large scale simulations[1][9]. For FMshop's internal performance, parallelization strategies are currently under development, and competetive benchmarks have not yet been established.

**5.1 TODO: example problem** An example showing ...

5.2 Complex Systems of PDEs A key principle of this DSL is to simplify the translation from mathematical expressions to code. Complex systems of equations present a challenge in this respect due to the need to manage a large number of components and interactions. A good example of this is the phonon Boltzman transport equation. We refer the reader to [11] and [12] for the physical background and derivation of the equations. There are a number of different approaches to solving these equations. A deterministic approach that has lent itself well to parallelization is outlined in [10], and we will adopt that formulation here. The resulting conservation-type FV equation in terms of the phonon intensity  $I_{i,j}$  corresponding to directional vector  $\mathbf{s}_i$  and

frequency band  $\omega_j$  will be Eq.5.1.

(5.1) 
$$\frac{\partial \bar{I}_{i,j}}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\tau} (\bar{I}_{0,j} - \bar{I}_{i,j}) - \frac{|v_g|}{V} \int_S I_{i,j} \mathbf{s}_i \cdot \mathbf{n} dA$$

Where  $v_g$ ,  $\tau$ ,  $I_0$  and V are the group velocity, scattering time scale, equilibrium intensity, and cell volume. Integration is done over each element, or cell, and  $\bar{I}$  is the cell average. The different directions are coupled through the boundary conditions via reflection as in Eq.5.2 with  $\mathbf{s}_s$  being the direction of specular reflection and  $\alpha$  the degree of specularity.

$$(5.2)I(\mathbf{s}_i) = \alpha I(\mathbf{s}_s) + \frac{1 - \alpha}{\pi} \int_{\mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{n} < 0} I(\mathbf{s}_j) \mathbf{s}_j \cdot \mathbf{n} d\Omega_j$$

The coupling between frequency bands comes from integrating over all intensities to get the equilibrium values and is done once per time step. A useful discretization may include tens of frequency bands and hundreds of directions[10], which results in thousands of equations that are only coupled through their boundary conditions.

To reiterate, successful parallel implementations exist for this problem, but they represent a substantial programming effort resulting in a product that would be challenging to maintain. We argue that by using FMshop this problem will be more accessible and adaptable.

For representing the quantities  $I_{i,j}$  and  $\mathbf{s}_i$ , where i is the directional discretization and j is for the frequency band, an indexed type variable will be created. As the name suggests, this is an entity that uses a single symbol, but represents an arbitrary number of components that are linked to an indexing object. This serves two purposes. First, the input expressions can then be written in terms of I[direction, band] and S[direction], where direction and band refer to indexing objects that are involved in the assembly loops. This convenient syntax extends to other expressions such as the boundary conditions, which in this case couple all of the directions. Second, the elemental loops and loops over these indices can be transformed and different parallelization strategies can easily be applied.

The following commands illustrate how this problem could be written in the DSL. For brevity the commands defining constants and the directional vector array have been omitted. The last command defines the loop structure with bands being the outermost loop and elements being innermost. This arrangement naturally allows working on the nearly independent bands in parallel.

```
dir = index("dir", range=[1,400])
band = index("band", range=[1,40])
```

# 6 Conclusion and Ongoing Development

FMshop is a new and rapidly evolving toolkit that enables domain scientists to swiftly build numerical PDE solvers while taking advantage of sophisticated parallel software frameworks. It also provides an extensible resource that is designed to adapt to changes in technology, computing environment, and needs. It also adds a new set of capabilities to the Julia software ecosystem that will hopefully be adopted and expanded as new code generation targets and mathematical techniques are developed.

The list of goals and desired features is considerable, but some important ones that are either under current development or are planned for the near future include:

- Support for distributed parallelism and improved use of multithreading within FMshop.
- GPU utilization for suitable applications.
- Addition of new code generation targets to provide optimal solutions for a broader range of applications and user preferances.
- Direct use of certain external targets from within FMshop to streamline the process.
- Addition of profiling utilities to finely tune internal solution strategies.
- Interaction with more of the great Julia libraries available to take full advantage of this scintific computing ecosystem.
- Development of practical experiments in collaboration with domain scintists to test effectiveness and usability.

Of these items, the immediate effort will be focused on improving the internal parallel features and expanding the external generation options to make FMshop competetive in terms of performance.

The code for FMshop, along with a set of detailed examples and usage information, can be found at https://github.com/paralab/femshop

#### References

- Milinda Fernando, David Neilsen, Hari Sundar, "A scalable framework for Adaptive Computational General Relativity on Heterogeneous Clusters", ACM International Conference on Supercomputing, ICS'19, 2019
- [2] Carsten Uphoff, Michael Bader, "Yet Another Tensor Toolbox for Discontinuous Galerkin Methods and Other Applications", ACM Trans. Math. Softw., vol. 46, no. 4, art. 34, 2020
- [3] A. T. T. McRae, G.-T. Bercea, L. Mitchell, D. A. Ham, C. J. Cotter, "Automated Generation and Symbolic Manipulation of Tensor Product Finite Elements", SIAM J. Sci. Comput., vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 25–47, 2016
- [4] Miklós Homolya, Robert C. Kirby, David A. Ham, "Exposing and exploiting structure: optimal code generation for high-order finite element methods", (preprint) arXiv:1711.02473, 2017
- [5] Ravil Dorozhinskii, Michael Bader, "SeisSol on Distributed Multi-GPU Systems: CUDA Code Generation for the Modal Discontinuous Galerkin Method", HPC Asia 2021: The International Conference on High Performance Computing in Asia-Pacific Region, January 2021 pp. 69–82
- [6] Dominic Kempf, René Heß, Steffen Müthing, Peter Bastian, "Automatic Code Generation for Highperformance Discontinuous Galerkin Methods on Modern Architectures", ACM Trans. Math. Softw., vol. 47, no. 1, art. 6, 2020
- [7] Daniele A. Di Pietro, Jean-Marc Gratien, Florian Häberlein, Anthony Michel, Christophe Prud'homme, "Basic concepts to design a DSL for parallel finite volume applications: extended abstract", POOSC '09: Proceedings of the 8th workshop on Parallel/High-Performance Object-Oriented Scientific Computing, no.3, 2009
- [8] Sandra Macià, Pedro J. Martínez-Ferrer, Sergi Mateo, Vicenç Beltran, Eduard Ayguadé, "Assembling a High-Productivity DSL for Computational Fluid Dynamics", PASC '19: Proceedings of the Platform for Advanced Scientific Computing Conference, no. 11, 2019
- [9] Milinda Fernando, David Neilsen, Hyun Lim, Eric Hirschmann, Hari Sundar, "Massively Parallel Simulations of Binary Black Hole Intermediate-Mass-Ratio Inspirals" SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing, 2019

- [10] Syed Ashraf Ali, Gautham Kollu, Sandip Mazumder, P. Sadayappan, Arpit Mittal, "Large-scale parallel computation of the phonon Boltzmann Transport Equation", *International Journal of Thermal Sciences*, vol. 86, pp. 341–351, 2014
- [11] Chang-Lin Tien, Arunava Majumdar, Frank M. Gerner (Editors), Microscale Energy Transport, Taylor and Francis, 1998
- [12] Arunava Majumdar, "Microscale Heat Conduction in Dialectric Thin Films", Journal of Heat Transfer, vol. 115, no. 1, pp. 7–16, 1993
- [13] Tim Besard, Christophe Foket, Bjorn De Sutter. "Effective extensible programming: Unleashing Julia on GPUs." IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems, 2018
- [14] Julian Hammer, pycachesim: Python Cache Hierarchy Simulator, URL https://github.com/RRZE-HPC/pycachesim
- [15] Nektar++ URL https://www.nektar.info
- [16] W. Bangerth, T. Heister, L. Heltai, G. Kanschat, M. Kronbichler, M. Maier, B. Tur- cksin, and T. D. Young, "The deal. II library, version 8.2", Arch. Numer. Software, vol. 3, 2015
- [17] Martin S. Alnæs, Jan Blechta, Johan Hake, August Johansson, Benjamin Kehlet, Anders Logg, Chris Richardson, Johannes Ring, Marie E. Rognes, Garth N. Wells, "The FEniCS Project Version 1.5", Archive of Numerical Software, vol. 3, no. 100, pp. 9–23, 2015
- [18] Florian Rathgeber, David A. Ham, Lawrence Mitchell, Michael Lange, Fabio Luporini, Andrew T. T. Mcrae, Gheorghe-Teodor Bercea, Graham R. Markall, and Paul H. J. Kelly. "Firedrake: automating the finite element method by composing abstractions". ACM Trans. Math. Softw., 43(3):24:1–24:27, 2016
- [19] OpenFOAM URL https://www.openfoam.com
- [20] M. Louboutin, M. Lange, F. Luporini, N. Kukreja, P.A. Witte, F.J. Herrmann, P. Velesko, G.J. Gorman, "Devito (v3.1.0): an embedded domain-specific language for finite differences and geophysical exploration", Geoscientific Model Development, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 1165–1187, 2019
- [21] DifferentialEquations.jl URL https://diffeq.sciml.ai/dev/index.html
- [22] Julia benchmarks URL https://julialang.org/benchmarks