

# 27 th internet seminar: harmonic analysis techniques for elliptic operators

## Solutions for exercise sheet 7

University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics

**Exercise 1** (Characterization of m-accretivity). Provide a proof of Lemma 7.11.

*Proof.* ( $\implies$ ) For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) > 0$  it follows by assumption that the operator  $(\lambda + L)^{-1}$  is bounded. Thus we have

$$\|(\lambda + L)u\|^2 \geq \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)^2 \|u\|^2, \quad (1)$$

for all  $u \in \operatorname{dom}(L)$ .

For the first statement pick  $\lambda > 0$  and  $u \in \operatorname{dom}(L)$ . Using equation (1) and the identity

$$\|(\lambda + L)u\|^2 = \langle (\lambda + L)u, (\lambda + L)u \rangle = \|Lu\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}(\lambda \langle Lu, u \rangle) + |\lambda|^2 \|u\|^2$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|Lu\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}(\lambda \langle Lu, u \rangle) + |\lambda|^2 \|u\|^2 &\geq \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)^2 \|u\|^2 \\ \|Lu\|^2 + 2\lambda \operatorname{Re}(\langle Lu, u \rangle) + \lambda^2 \|u\|^2 &\geq \lambda^2 \|u\|^2 \\ \|Lu\|^2 + 2\lambda \operatorname{Re}(\langle Lu, u \rangle) &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lambda$  was arbitrary, it follows that  $\operatorname{Re}(\langle Lu, u \rangle) \geq 0$  for all  $u \in \operatorname{dom}(L)$ .

For the second statement pick any  $\lambda > 0$ . Since  $L$  is m-accretive,  $-\lambda$  will be in the resolvent set of  $L$  and thus the operator  $(\lambda + L)^{-1}$  will be bounded, which means that

$$H = \operatorname{dom}((\lambda + L)^{-1}) = \operatorname{ran}(\lambda + L).$$

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\lambda + L)u\| \|u\| &\geq |\langle (\lambda + L)u, u \rangle| \\ &\geq \operatorname{Re}(\langle (\lambda + L)u, u \rangle) \\ &= \operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \|u\|^2 + \operatorname{Re}(\langle Lu, u \rangle) \\ &\geq \operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \|u\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

for every  $u \in \operatorname{dom}(L)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Here we used the fact that  $\operatorname{Re}(\langle Lu, u \rangle) \geq 0$ . By assumption there exists some  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that  $\operatorname{ran}(\lambda_0 + L)$  is dense in  $H$ . Because of equation (2) the operator  $(\lambda_0 + L)^{-1}$  is continuous on  $\operatorname{ran}(\lambda_0 + L)$  and thus its domain is closed. This implies that  $(\lambda_0 + L)^{-1}$  is a bounded operator and that  $-\lambda_0 \in \rho(L)$ . From (2) it also follows that for any  $-\nu \in \rho(L)$  we have

$$\|R(-\nu, L)\| = \|(\nu + L)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(\nu)}. \quad (3)$$

From Proposition 1.15 and  $\operatorname{Re}(-\lambda_0) \leq \|R(-\nu, L)\|^{-1}$  it follows that for every  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$  if  $|\lambda_0 - \mu| < \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_0)$  then  $-\mu \in \rho(L)$ . In particular, since  $\lambda_0 > 0$  and

$$\left| \lambda_0 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_0 \right| = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_0 < \lambda_0 = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_0)$$

it follows  $-\frac{3}{2}\lambda_0 \in \rho(L)$ . By equation (3) applied with  $\nu = \frac{3}{2}\lambda_0$  we see that all complex numbers whose distance from  $-\frac{3}{2}\lambda_0$  is at most  $\frac{3}{2}\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_0)$  are in the resolvent set, in particular  $-\frac{9}{4}\lambda_0$ . We continue this reasoning inductively and find that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the complex numbers whose distance from  $-\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^n \lambda_0$  is at most  $\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^n \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_0)$  are in the resolvent set. In particular this shows that all negative real numbers are in the resolvent set.

Now let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  be a complex number such that  $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) > 0$ . We have shown that  $-\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \in \rho(L)$ . From equation (3) it follows that the set

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}(z) = -\operatorname{Re}(\lambda), |\operatorname{Im}(z)| < \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)\}$$

is contained in  $\rho(L)$ . Using the same reasoning as above we obtain, via Proposition 1.15, that the set

$$\left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}(z) = -\operatorname{Re}(\lambda), |\operatorname{Im}(z)| < \frac{3}{2}\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \right\}$$

is contained in  $\rho(L)$ . Again we continue inductively and for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  find that the set

$$\left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}(z) = -\operatorname{Re}(\lambda), |\operatorname{Im}(z)| < \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^n \operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \right\}$$

is contained in  $\rho(L)$ . In particular any complex number  $z$  with  $\operatorname{Re}(z) = -\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)$  is in the resolvent set. Applying the equation (3) completes the proof.

In the case where  $L$  is bounded, the spectrum of  $L$  will be compact. Thus for large enough  $\lambda > 0$  the number  $-\lambda$  will be in the resolvent set of  $L$  which implies

$$\operatorname{ran}(L + \lambda) = H.$$

□

**Exercise 2** (m-accretive fractional powers). Let  $L$  be an m-accretive operator in  $H$  and let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Prove  $L^\alpha$  is m-accretive-

*Proof.* We use Lemma 7.11 to prove the statement. By Proposition 6.18 we have the representation

$$L^\alpha u = \frac{\sin(\alpha\pi)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha-1} L(t+L)^{-1} u \, dt$$

and thus

$$\operatorname{Re}(\langle L^\alpha u, u \rangle) = \operatorname{Re} \left( \left\langle \frac{\sin(\alpha\pi)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha-1} L(t+L)^{-1} u \, dt, u \right\rangle \right).$$

Using Proposition A.13 we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left( \left\langle \frac{\sin(\alpha\pi)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha-1} L(t+L)^{-1} u \, dt, u \right\rangle \right) \\ &= \frac{\sin(\alpha\pi)}{\pi} \operatorname{Re} \left( \left\langle \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha-1} L(t+L)^{-1} u \, dt, u \right\rangle \right) \\ &= \frac{\sin(\alpha\pi)}{\pi} \operatorname{Re} \left( \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha-1} \langle L(t+L)^{-1} u, u \rangle \, dt \right) \\ &= \frac{\sin(\alpha\pi)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha-1} \operatorname{Re} \left( \langle L(t+L)^{-1} u, u \rangle \right) \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(t + L)^{-1}$  is a bijection, we can write  $u = (t + L)v$ . Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\sin(\alpha\pi)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha-1} \operatorname{Re} \left( \langle L(t + L)^{-1}u, u \rangle \right) dt \\
&= \frac{\sin(\alpha\pi)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha-1} \operatorname{Re} (\langle Lv, (t + L)v \rangle) dt \\
&= \frac{\sin(\alpha\pi)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha-1} \operatorname{Re} \left( t \langle Lv, v \rangle + \|Lv\|^2 \right) dt \\
&= \frac{\sin(\alpha\pi)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha-1} \left( t \operatorname{Re} (\langle Lv, v \rangle) + \|Lv\|^2 \right) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $L$  is  $m$ -accretive the last line is positive, which implies that  $\operatorname{Re}(\langle L^\alpha u, u \rangle) \geq 0$ .

It remains to show that there exists some  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $\operatorname{ran}(\lambda + L^\alpha)$  is dense in  $H$ . But this follows from exercise 6.5 which states that  $L^\alpha$  is sectorial of angle less than  $\pi$ . This implies that  $-1 \in \rho(L^\alpha)$  and thus  $L^\alpha + 1$  is invertible and so  $\operatorname{ran}(L^\alpha + 1) = H$ . So we may pick  $\lambda = 1$  to complete the proof.  $\square$

**Exercise 3.** Fill in the details left out in the discussion of the  $H^\infty$ -calculus for multiplication operators in example 7.7.

**Exercise 4** (An automatic bound for  $H^\infty$ -calculus.). Let  $L$  be an injective sectorial operator in  $H$ . Let  $\phi \in (\phi_L, \pi)$  and suppose that we have  $f(L) \in \mathcal{L}(H)$  for every  $f \in H^\infty(S_\phi)$ . Prove that there is a constant  $C \geq 0$  such that

$$\|f(L)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \leq C \|f\|_{\infty, \phi}$$

holds for every such  $f$ .

*Proof.*

$\square$

**Exercise 5.** (The injective part) Dolgo navodilo.