

Self/Peer Evaluation Justifications

Ryan Gift (customer) : Ryan was helpful in guiding us through the project from the perspective of a customer. He was generally fairly communicative and provided specific things that he liked and would change during our progress. I would assign him an overall grade of 4 as he was fairly non-communicative during several stages of the project and I would have liked to have had more direction.

Benjamin Fondell (Self) : Im confident in my work in this class and in my contribution to my group. I was prompt and present for all activities and genuinely enjoyed working with my group members. The justification for a score of 4 in participation in all activities was due to my occasional absence from a google hangouts chat as I have a job and Im also enrolled in 4 classes. I made personal contributions to the team for my assigned parts and helped others when needed. I communicated effectively with the group and discussed things in an open, honest and supportive atmosphere.

Adeline Harcourt: Adeline was great to work with. She participated in all activities and was very productive in our assigned portions. She took the lead on certain portions of our project that I believe have turned out great. She was very helpful in developing ideas in discussion for this project and also a good part of the community in the CS program. She always had her materials ready prior to meetings and coordinated well with everyone in the group. The reason for a 4 in attitude was that we decided to forego a scheduled reoccurring meeting because she was convinced it would be a scheduling conflict. Otherwise very impressed.

Lane Bryer: Lane was an excellent communicator. He was very helpful in our discussions. We often needed to layout a plan for specific projects and Lane was well prepared on how we might work for that session. He contributed significantly to the project by thinking about it and discussing it with others in the group. He participated fully with the group and I was totally confident with his quality writing and attention to detail. I assigned Lane a 4 in "ability to deal with difficulties.." because there was occasionally some disagreement regarding implementation of a task.

Dylan Markovic: Dylan was a fantastic member for this group. He had a great attitude and was often glad to have his help or encouragement on other things I've been studying. I genuinely enjoyed working with Dylan, he brought a positive energy to the group that made us all work really well together. He was especially helpful in creating our backend for our application.

Vincenzo Piscotello: Ian had a really excellent attention to detail. He was a quality writer that helped us to develop our ideas and present them thoughtfully in our written portions. He noticed things missing in the application that we may have overlooked and was very particular about our vision. He always encouraged discussion and respected other's ideas. He was very active in the group never missing meetings and sometimes going to great lengths to ensure we finished the task on time. Vincenzo, like the rest of our group members, produced what I believe to be a quality project. He was especially helpful with our database implementation.