

Review export of

# Monthly Report 1 - Thesis Design (Full-Time Students)

Reviews written by

Kasper Lindhorst


Aukje Terpstra

Downloaded at 03 Mar 2022,  
21:44

Review for Ben Platten

## Research Problem

Rubric criterion



### Received ratings

Good

Kasper Lindhorst, Aukje Terpstra

### 3 comments

Kasper Lindhorst  
24-02-2022 19:17:19

Interesting research problem. Curious how this will add to the academic field. Don't lose that sight. Can imagine it's nice for your company and the industry tho.

Aukje Terpstra  
03-03-2022 14:48:04


Research problem was introduced well along with the research gap. The (societal) relevance of the proposed thesis remains a bit unclear.

Aukje Terpstra  
02-03-2022 10:44:32 **Suggestion**[@page 2](#)

This paragraph is a bit random, it only consists of one sentence and it doesn't directly match with the following paragraph. Perhaps you can try to integrate this sentence in another paragraph?

# Research Question

Rubric criterion



## Received ratings

Excellent - The research question fits perfectly to the topic chosen and is open, concise and sufficiently scoped. It has a clear connection to the posed problem statement.  
Kasper Lindhorst

Good  
Aukje Terpstra

## 3 comments



Kasper Lindhorst  
24-02-2022 19:16:34 Compliment

It's clear what the outcome might be and what is expected to answer the question.



Aukje Terpstra  
03-03-2022 14:41:21 Suggestion

@page 2

Q-learning is not yet introduced here so I do not know what it is




Aukje Terpstra  
03-03-2022 14:49:34 Suggestion

Research question + sub questions are clear; but I wonder about sub question 4 (how long does it take to train), is that really a necessary sub question? If yes, maybe you should put it first?

# Methodology

Rubric criterion



## Received ratings

Good  
Kasper Lindhorst

Acceptable  
Aukje Terpstra

## 3 comments



Kasper Lindhorst  
24-02-2022 19:15:41 Compliment

Well thought and in detail. Should work.



Aukje Terpstra  
03-03-2022 14:54:27 Suggestion

Furthermore, risks are presented but no plan B's. How do you plan to solve these issues if they arise?




Aukje Terpstra  
03-03-2022 14:51:12

Methodology is ok but could have been more elaborate. I am missing something about how you will evaluate your work. Will you have training & test sets? What kind of performance metrics will you be using?

# Writing style

Rubric criterion



## Received ratings

Good  
Kasper Lindhorst, Aukje Terpstra

## 4 comments



Kasper Lindhorst  
24-02-2022 19:15:23 Compliment

Really well written. Honestly, no real remarks. Keep it up!



Aukje Terpstra  
03-03-2022 14:30:42 Suggestion

[@page 2](#)

I would suggest putting this sentence after the one where you first introduce hard and soft violations to make it more clear



Aukje Terpstra  
03-03-2022 14:39:31 Suggestion

[@page 2](#)

Is this supposed to be here?



Aukje Terpstra  
03-03-2022 14:52:12

Writing style is good and academic, there seems to be something wrong with the way you make paragraphs in overleaf though because sentences are often cut off, perhaps you can take a look at that!