## 1 Re-interpretation of Vector Spaces

Vector spaces over a field are a special case of the more general notion of modules over a ring. Previously, we defined a vector space as a set along with two operations which obey a long list of axioms:

**Definition 1a.** An (abstract) vector space  $(V, \mathbb{F}, +, \cdot)$  consists of

- I. A field  $\mathbb{F}$  of scalars
- II. A set V of objects called (abstract) vectors
- III. An rule  $(+): V \times V \to V$  for vector addition, satisfying

```
a. (associativity) u + (v + w) = (u + v) + w
```

- b. (commutativity) u + v = v + u
- c. (additive identity) exists  $0 \in V$  with v + 0 = v for all  $v \in V$
- d. (additive inverse) for all  $v \in V$ , exists  $(-v) \in V$  with v + (-v) = 0
- IV. A rule  $(\cdot): \mathbb{F} \times V \to V$  for scalar multiplication, satisfying
  - a. (scalar identity)  $1_F \cdot v = v$  for all  $v \in V$
  - b. (compatibility)  $(\alpha\beta)v = \alpha(\beta(v))$
  - c. (distributes over addition)  $\alpha(v+w) = \alpha v + \alpha w$
  - d. (distributes over field addition)  $(\alpha + \beta)v = \alpha v + \beta v$

However, this list of axioms We can state these properties more concisely by noticing that Property III is equivalent to the requirement that (V, +) forms a commutative group.

**Definition 1b.** An (abstract) vector space over the field  $\mathbb{F}$  is a commutative group (V, +) together with a rule  $(\cdot) : \mathbb{F} \times V \to V$  satisfying

- I. (scalar identity)  $1_F \cdot v = v$  for all  $v \in V$
- II. (compatibility)  $(\alpha \beta)v = \alpha(\beta(v))$
- III. (distributes over addition)  $\alpha(v+w) = \alpha v + \alpha w$
- IV. (distributes over field addition)  $(\alpha + \beta)v = \alpha v + \beta v$

Definitions 1a and 1b seem to present the set V as the primary object of interest, relegating the scalars  $\mathbb F$  to the sidelines. The key to understanding modules is to turn this presumption on its head by treating  $\mathbb F$  as the distinguished object instead.

By partial application of the scaling operator  $(\cdot): \mathbb{F} \times V \to V$ , each scalar  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$  corresponds to a linear map  $\varphi_a: v \mapsto \alpha v$  from V to itself. Linear self-maps on V constitute the endomorphism ring  $(\operatorname{End}(V), +, \circ)$ , with pointwise addition and function composition. The vector space axioms ensure that the map  $\varphi_{\square}: \mathbb{F} \to (V \to V)$  from field elements to linear self-maps is a ring homomorphism. We arrive at our third and final definition,

**Definition 1c.** An (abstract) vector space over the field  $\mathbb{F}$  is a commutative group (V, +) together with a ring homomorphism  $\varphi : \mathbb{F} \to \operatorname{End}(V)$ .

The ring homomorphism defines the additive and multiplicative group actions on V by scalars from the field  $\mathbb{F}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>0</sup>Prefequisites: vector space, group, ring, endomorphism ring

#### 2 Modules

When defining modules, we only require that the set acting on V be a ring, rather than a field.

**Definition 4.** A module over the ring R is a commutative group (M, +) together with a ring homomorphism  $\varphi : R \to \operatorname{End}(M)$  defining an action of R on M, where  $\operatorname{End}(M)$  is the set of group homomorphisms  $M \to M$ .

Modules over a ring R are called R-modules, for short. An R-module is called left if it arises from a left action, and right otherwise. As for vector spaces, we could unfold this definition into a list of axioms, but this would obfuscate the real purpose of modules: Many mathematical objects happen to be rings, and modules allow us to study rings by their action on a set (much like we can study groups via their representations).

**Definition 5.** Let M be an R-module. An R-submodule of M is a subgroup  $N \leq (M, +)$  closed under the ring action,  $rn \in N$  for  $r \in R$ ,  $n \in N$ .

Example 1. Some important examples of modules are listed below.

- If  $\mathbb{F}$  is a field, then  $\mathbb{F}$ -modules and  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector spaces are identical.
- Every ring R is an R-module over itself. In particular, every field  $\mathbb{F}$  is an  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space. Submodules of R as a field over itself are ideals.
- If S is a subring of R with  $1_S = 1_R$ , every R-module is an S-module.
- If G is a commutative group of finite order m, then  $m \cdot g = 0$  for all  $g \in G$ , and G is a  $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$ -module. In particular, if G has prime order p, then G is a vector space over the field  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ .
- The smooth real-valued functions  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$  on a smooth manifold form a ring. The smooth vector fields on  $\mathcal{M}$  form a  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ -module.
- For a ring R, every R-algebra has natural (left/right) R-module structure given by the (left/right) ring action of R on A.

**Example 2.** ( $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules) By definition, every  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module is a commutative group. Likewise, every commutative group (G, +) becomes a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module under the ring action defined for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $g \in G$  by

$$n \cdot g = \begin{cases} a + a + \dots + a & (n \text{ times}) & \text{if } n > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ -a - a - \dots - a & (-n \text{ times}) & \text{if } n < 0 \end{cases}$$

We conclude that  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules and commutative groups are one in the same.

## Modules over a Polynomial Ring $\mathbb{F}[x]$

The polynomial ring  $\mathbb{F}[x]$  is the space of formal linear combinations of powers of an indeterminate x, with coefficients drawn from an underlying field  $\mathbb{F}$ .

$$p(x) = p_0 + p_1 x + p_2 x^2 + \dots + p_d x^m \quad (m \in \mathbb{N})$$

Polynomials form a ring<sup>1</sup> under entrywise addition and discrete convolution of coefficient sequences. The sum and product of  $p, q \in \mathbb{F}[x]$  have coefficients

$$[p+q]_k = p_k + q_k$$
  $[p \cdot q]_k = \sum_{j=0}^{\max(n,m)} p_j q_{k-j}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{F}[x]$  actually has the additional property of being an algebra, since  $\mathbb{F}$  embeds into the center of  $\mathbb{F}[x]$  via the ring homomorphism  $(\alpha \in \mathbb{F}) \mapsto (\alpha \cdot 1 \in \mathbb{F}[x])$ .

Consider what it would mean for an  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space V to be an  $\mathbb{F}[x]$ -module. We need a ring homomorphism  $\varphi : \mathbb{F}[x] \to \operatorname{End}(V)$  describing the action of polynomials on vectors. Since  $\varphi$  preserves sums and products between  $\mathbb{F}[x]$  and  $(\operatorname{End}(V), +, \circ)$  as rings<sup>2</sup>, we find that the choice of a single linear map  $\varphi(x) \in \operatorname{End}(V)$  determines the value of  $\varphi$  on arbitrary polynomials  $p \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ ,

$$\varphi(p)v = \varphi\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} p_k x^k\right)v = \sum_{k=1}^{m} p_k \varphi(x)^k v$$

Similarly, any choice of  $\phi(x) \in \text{End}(V)$  yields a valid ring homomorphism, exposing a bijection between  $\mathbb{F}[x]$ -modules and pairs  $(V, T \in \text{End}(V))$ .

$$\left\{ \text{ } \mathbb{F}[x]\text{-modules }V\text{ }\right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbb{F}\text{-vector spaces }V\text{ with a}\\ \text{ linear map }T:V\to V \end{array}\right\}$$

In general, there are many different  $\mathbb{F}[x]$ -module structures a given  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space V, each corresponding to a choice of linear  $T:V\to V$ .

**Proposition 1.** The  $\mathbb{F}[x]$ -submodules of an  $\mathbb{F}[x]$ -module V are precisely the T-invariant subspaces of V, where  $T \in \text{End}(V)$  denotes the action of x.

*Proof.* Each  $\mathbb{F}[x]$ -submodule of V is closed under actions by ring elements, including T. Likewise, every T-invariant subspace is closed under ring actions, which are all polynomials in T.

## 3 Module Homomorphisms

**Definition 6.** An R-module homomorphism is a map  $\phi: M \to N$  between modules which respects the R-module structure, by preserving addition and commuting with the ring action on M,

$$\phi(x+y) = \phi(x) + \phi(y) \qquad \forall x, y \in M$$
  
$$\phi(r \cdot x) = r \cdot \phi(x) \qquad \forall x \in M, r \in R$$

The **kernel** of a module homomorphism is its kernel  $\ker \phi = \phi^{-1}\{0_S\}$  as an additive group homomorphism. A bijective R-module homomorphism is an **isomorphism**. For any ring R, the set  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,N)$  of homomorphisms between two R-modules forms a commutative group under pointwise addition,  $(\phi + \psi)(m) \equiv \phi(m) + \psi(m)$  for  $\phi, \psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(M,N)$ . Moreover,

**Proposition 2.** For a commutative ring R, the group  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,N)$  forms an R-module under the ring action  $R \to \operatorname{End}(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,N))$  given by

$$(r \cdot \phi)(m) \equiv r \cdot \phi(m)$$
  $\forall r \in R, m \in M, \phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, N)$ 

Sketch. Commutativity of R guarantees that  $(r \cdot \phi) \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N)$ , since

$$(r \cdot \phi)(s \cdot m) = r \cdot \phi(s \cdot m)$$
 (by definition)  
 $= rs \cdot \phi(m)$  ( $\phi$  is a homomorphism)  
 $= sr \cdot \phi(m)$  (commutativity)  
 $= s \cdot (r \cdot \phi(m))$  (by definition)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>We take some notational shortcuts. For instance,  $\phi(x)^k$  is  $\phi(x)$  composed with itself k times, and  $p_k$  refers to both the element of  $\mathbb{F}$  and to the map  $(v \mapsto p_k v) \in \operatorname{End}(V)$ .

#### Ring of Module Endomorphisms

**Proposition 3.** Endomorphisms  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,M)$  form a unital ring, where

```
 (\phi + \psi)(m) = \phi(m) + \psi(m) \qquad \qquad \text{(pointwise addition)}   (\phi\psi)(m) = (\phi \circ \psi)(m) \qquad \qquad \text{(composition)}   1_{\mathrm{Hom}_R(M,M)} = \mathrm{Id}_M \qquad \qquad \text{(multiplicative identity)}
```

We write  $\operatorname{End}_R(M) = \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, M)$  for the **endomorphism ring** of M.

**Proposition 4.** Let M be a module over a commutative ring R. The endomorphism ring  $\operatorname{End}_R(M)$  forms an R-algebra, under the same ring action  $r \stackrel{\varphi}{\mapsto} (\varphi_r : m \mapsto rm)$  which defines M as an R-module.

This property is normally stated without reference to ring homomorphisms, but in these notes we wish to emphasize that the study of modules is really the study of ring actions. There is at least one subtlety, though: When defining M as an R-module, we required that  $\varphi_{\square}: R \to \operatorname{End}(M,+)$  be a ring homomorphism from R to the additive group endomorphisms on (M,+). Now, we are asking whether each  $\varphi_r$  is also an R-module homomorphism.

*Proof.* First, the additive group homomorphism  $\varphi_r \in \text{End}(M, +)$  is also a module homomorphism, since for  $r, s \in R$  and  $m \in M$ ,

```
\varphi_r(s \cdot m) = r \cdot (s \cdot m)
 (by definition)

= (rs) \cdot m_1 (associativity of scalars)

= s \cdot (r \cdot m) (associativity of scalars)

= s \cdot \varphi_r(m) (by definition)
```

Futher,  $\varphi_{\square}: R \mapsto \operatorname{End}_R(M)$  sending  $r \mapsto \varphi_r$  is a ring homomorphism.

```
\varphi_{r_1+r_2}(m) = (r_1 + r_2) \cdot m  (by definition)

= r_1 \cdot m + r_2 \cdot m  (distributivity of scalars)

= \varphi_{r_1}(m) + \varphi_{r_2}(m)  (by definition)

\varphi_{r_1r_2}(m) = (r_1r_2) \cdot m  (by definition)

= r_2 \cdot (r_1 \cdot m)  (R commutative)

= (\varphi_{r_2} \circ \varphi_{r_1})(m)  (by definition)
```

Finally, each  $\varphi_r$  commutes with every element  $\phi \in \operatorname{End}_R(M)$ ,

$$(\varphi_r \circ \phi)(m) = \varphi_r(\phi(m))$$
 (composition)  
 $= r \cdot \phi(m)$  (by definition)  
 $= \phi(r \cdot m)$  (module homomorphism)  
 $= \phi(\varphi_r(m))$  (by definition)

Corollary 1. By definition, every field  $\mathbb{F}$  is a commutative ring. Therefore, the endomorphisms  $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$  of any  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space form an  $\mathbb{F}$ -algebra.

## 4 Quotient Modules

For groups and rings, recall that quotients are well-defined only for *normal* subgroups and *multiplication-absorbing* subrings (ideals), respectively. For modules M, it turns out that any submodule  $N \leq M$  has a quotient M/N, and the natural projection map  $\pi: M \to M/N$  is a ring homomorphism with kernel ker  $\pi = N$ . Similarly, each  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector subspace has a quotient  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space arising as the kernel of some linear map.

**Proposition 5.** Let R be a ring. Let  $N \leq M$  be a submodule of the R-module M. The (additive, commutative) quotient group M/N can be made into an R-module under the ring action  $R \to \operatorname{End}(M/N)$  given by

$$r \cdot (x+N) = (r \cdot x) + N$$
  $\forall r \in R, x+N \in M/N$ 

The natural projection  $\pi: M \to M/N$  mapping  $x \mapsto x + N$  is an R-module homomorphism with kernel ker  $\pi = N$ .

**Theorem 1.** (First Isomorphism Theorem) Let M, N be R-modules. The kernel of any module homomorphism  $\phi: M \to N$  is a submodule of M, and

$$M/\ker\phi\cong\phi(M)$$

#### 5 Free Modules

The vector space concepts of linear combinations, bases, and span all have analogues in R-module theory. We normally assume R is a ring with identity.

**Definition 7.** Let M be an R-module. The submodule of M generated by a subset  $A \subset M$  is the set of finite R-linear combinations

$$RA \equiv \{r_1a_1 + \dots + r_ma_m \mid r_k \in R, a_k \in A, m \in \mathbb{N}\} \preceq M$$

A submodule  $N = RA \preceq M$  is **finitely generated** if  $A \subset M$  is finite. A **cyclic submodule** N = Ra is generated by a single element  $a \in M$ .

**Definition 8.** An R-module F is **free** on the subset  $A \subset F$  if each nonzero  $x \in F$  expands uniquely as an R-linear combination of elements from A, in which case A is called a **basis** for F.

$$x = r_1 a_1 + \dots + r_m a_m$$
  $\exists ! r_k \in R, a_k \in A, \forall x \in F$ 

In general, more than one basis may exist. If R is commutative, every basis has the same cardinality, called the **module rank** of F. Unlike for vector spaces, not every module has a basis (not every module is free).

#### Universal Property of Free Modules

Recall that every linear map  $T \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(V, W)$  between  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector spaces is uniquely determined by its value on  $n = \dim V$  points. R-linear maps between free modules enjoy the same property, which is normally stated in the following way:

**Theorem 2.** (Universal Property) For any set A, there is a unique (up to isomorphism) free R-module Free(A) satisfying the following universal property: for any R-module M and any function  $\varphi: A \to M$ , there is a unique R-module homomorphism  $\Phi: \text{Free}(A) \to M$  such that  $\Phi(a) = \varphi(a)$ ,

$$A \xrightarrow{\iota} \operatorname{Free}(A)$$

$$\varphi \qquad \qquad \downarrow \exists ! \Phi$$

$$M$$

# References

 $[1]\;$  David Steven Dummit and Richard M Foote. Abstract Algebra, volume 3. Wiley Hoboken, 2004.