

Merge sort analysis

- $T(n) = T(n/2) + T(n/2) + O(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n)$
- Guess: will be $O(n \log n)$, so will be less than $dn \log n$ for some constant d
- Try it out:

$$T(n) \leq 2T(n/2) + O(n)$$

$$T(n) \leq 2(dn \log(n/2)/2 + O(n/2)) + O(n)$$

$$T(n) \leq dn \log(n/2) + cn + cn$$

$$T(n) \leq dn \log(n) - dn \log(2) + 2cn$$

$T(n)$ is less than $dn \log n$ if we choose d such that $d > 2c/\log(2)$, which we can do arbitrarily, so we have shown merge sort is $O(n \log n)$.

Counting sort

```
def countingSort(A):  
    # assume elements are in range 1..n  
    counts = [0] * n  
    for i in range(len(A)):  
        counts[A[i]] += 1  
    output = []  
    for i in range(len(counts)):  
        while counts[i] > 0:  
            counts[i] -= 1  
            output.append(i)  
    return output
```

- This takes $O(n)$ time - independent $O(n)$ loops
- Takes advantage of constraints on data and efficiency of modifying array elements to achieve $O(1)$ time for each element of A
- Not comparison-based: can be shown that all comparison-based sorts take $O(n \log n)$ time