Automated Citation Indexing of Government Documents

Ben Fisher

Bruce Desmarais

October 22, 2015

Abstract

We extract citations from government documents.

Intended Journals

- Journal of Informetrics
- Scientometrics
- PLoS ONE altmetrics collection

1 Introduction

Assessing the impact of scientific research in applied contexts is challenging, but necessary in evaluating the returns to society from investing in basic science. The measures used to assess the scientific importance of basic research are largely derived from citation in the bibliographies of scientific papers. One of the major challenges in assessing impact outside of the scientific community is that applied users of basic science rarely produce systematic and publicly available bibliographies. One exception is the recent focus on patents (Huang, Huang & Chen 2014, Liaw, Chan, Fan & Chiang 2014, Huang, Yang & Chen 2015, Wong & Wang 2015). One area of real-world impact of science that is highly relevant to all members of society is the use of science in the creation of government policy (NRC 2012). Indeed, the technical basis of government regulations has grown so salient in recent decades that regulations are regularly challenged in court on the basis of the science underpinning major provisions (Ellig & Fike 2013, Morrall & Broughel 2014). As such, while developing policies, government officials regularly create publicly available and heavily vetted documents in which they present the research on which a regulation is based. If we can systematically extract and organize this information, we can directly assess the impact of scientific research on public policy.

Our goal is to assess the degree to which a publication in a peer-reviewed scientific journal has been directly used in benefit-cost analyses conducted by policymakers. These benefit-cost analyses, termed "Regulatory Impact Analyses" (RIAs) in the US federal government, directly inform the process of crafting government regulations. It is now standard procedure in dozens of national governments, and many sub-national governments such as the US states, for policymakers to conduct a benefit-cost analysis to inform the provisions

in government regulations (Hahn & Tetlock 2007). By indexing these analyses for citations to the scientific literature, we construct a measure of the degree to which an individual paper or journal, has directly informed the process of crafting government regulations. We build upon a dataset introduced by (Desmarais & Hird 2014) in which citations from 104 RIAs covering major regulations passed by the US federal government during the period 2008–2012. Though feasible for small collections of benefit-cost analyses, hand-indexing would be prohibitively expensive for larger corpora, which could easily number in the tens of thousands if they covered longer spans of time, other nations, or subnational governments. We use the dataset introduced by (Desmarais & Hird 2014) to train and evaluate procedures for automating citation indexing for RIAs. We then apply automated indexing to an expanded corpus of RIAs from the US federal government, and use these citations to construct a regulatory policy impact factor.

1.1 Tasks

• Write "outline of the paper" paragraph (BD)

2 Literature Review

The development of citation indexing has primarily focused on indexing scholarly citations in the confines of large-scale projects like Garfield (1964)'s Science Citation Index, which eventually morphed present Web of Science or Lawrence, Giles & Bollacker (1999)'s Citeseer database. The process by which proprietary services like Web of Science or Google Scholar actually extract citations is opaque. The Citeseer project employs

a heuristic, rule-based approach to identify citation strings within text. For example, the ParsCit parser developed by Councill, Giles & Kan (2008), which Citeseer currently uses, identifies the bibliography of a paper by searching for "bibliography" or synonyms like "references". If one of these is found past the first 40% of the paper, then the parser extracts all of the text past that line through the end of the paper or the appearance of another section heading, such as "appendix". The citation strings are then separated using regular expressions.

There has been limited publicly available work done on improving automated citation indexing beyond the Citeseer project. Papers on citation metadata extraction generally rely on citation datasets downloaded from Citeseer or manually compiled datasets, rather than developing new methods for parsing documents themselves (Anzaroot & McCallum 2013). Additionally, the automated citation indexing methods used by Citeseer and similar databases is tailored for extracting scholarly citations, making the application of existing algorithms problematic for extracting heterogeneous citations from government reports.

2.1 Tasks

- Review "altmetrics" lit (BD)
- read huang2014 and Liaw2014 to develop notes about framing and presenting the contribution (BF)
- Consider practical alternatives/extensions of Parscit (BD)

3 RIA Description

4 Research Design

We use 104 RIAs whose citations have already been extracted by hand as a test sample. The structure of these RIAs is very heterogeneous. They vary in length from a few dozen pages to hundreds of pages. References may appear in a reference section, in footnotes, or be scattered throughout the text. The citations of interest include both scholarly citations and non-scholarly citations, such as existing federal regulations, court cases, and treaties.

To assess how our own approach compares to existing citation indexing programs, we run the ParsCit program on the manually coded sample of RIAs. ParsCit was originally developed for indexing scholarly citations from journal articles for the Citeseer project (Councill, Giles & Kan 2008). In addition to extracting citations, the program also extracts citation metadata, such as author, article title, and journal. We do not expect it to handle metadata extraction for non-scholarly citations. However, it may be able to extract text containing non-scholarly citations, which could then be manually coded. This would greatly speed up the current coding process, which requires research assistants to read the entire document for citations. If ParsCit performs well enough at extracting all citation types, then there is no need to develop new indexing software for this project.

We use four different string distance metrics to measure similarity between the manually collected citations and the citations collected by ParsCit: Levenshtein, Jaccard, Sorenson, and partial string similarity. Levenshtein distance is measured by calculating the numper of insertions, deletions, or substitutions needed to turn one string into another string [CITE Levenshtein 1966]. To calculate the Jaccard distance, the number of characters shared by two strings is divided by the total number of characters and subtracted from 1 [CITE Jaccard 1901]. The Sorenson distance measure is very similar to the Jaccard measure. Instead of looking at the number of shared characters, the Sorenson measure calculates the number of shared bigrams. This number is multiplied by 2 and then divided by the total number of bigrams in each string and subtracted from 1 [CITE Sorenson 1948]. Except for Levenshtein distance, all measures fall on a scale of 0 to 1, with 0 indicating an exact match and 1 indicating two completely different strings. The Levenshtein measure is normalized to the same scale for the purposes of comparison. The final measure, partial string similarity, uses substring matching and measures the percentage of characters in string *a* that appear in string *b*.

4.1 Tasks

- 1. How did we OCR the RIAs in order to read them into ParsCit (BF)?
- 2. See which distance metrics perfectly predict a match at a given distance (BF)
- 3. Assess the cost of hand-coding our test data (BF)
- 4. Assessing the precision and recall of parscit (BF)
- 5. See how this changes over the RIA date (BF)

5 Results

5.1 Tasks

• Meet with Lee's student (Kyle?) to see how we can improve

6 Conclusion

6.1 Tasks

• Why the world needs this? (BD)

References

- Anzaroot, Sam & Andrew McCallum. 2013. "A New Dataset for Fine-Grained Citation Field Extraction." *Proceedings of the 30th International Conference on Machine Learning*.
- Councill, Isaac, C. Lee Giles & Min-Yen Kan. 2008. "ParsCit: An Open-Source CRF Reference String Parsing Package." *LREC*.
- Desmarais, Bruce A. & John A. Hird. 2014. "Public policy's bibliography: The use of research in US regulatory impact analyses." *Regulation & Governance* 8(4):497–510.
 - URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/rego.12041
- Ellig, Jerry & Rosemarie Fike. 2013. "Regulatory Process, Regulatory Reform, and the Quality of Regulatory Impact Analysis." *Mercatus Center at George Mason University Working Paper* (13-13).
- Garfield, Eugene. 1964. "Science Citation Index A New Dimension in Indexing." Science
- Hahn, Robert W & Paul C Tetlock. 2007. "Has economic analysis improved regulatory decisions?" *AEI-Brookings Joint Center Working Paper* (07-08).
- Huang, Mu-Hsuan, Hsiao-Wen Yang & Dar-Zen Chen. 2015. "Increasing science and technology linkage in fuel cells: A cross citation analysis of papers and patents." *Journal of Informetrics* 9(2):237 – 249. URL: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S175115771500019X
- Huang, Mu-Hsuan, Wei-Tzu Huang & Dar-Zen Chen. 2014. "Technological impact factor: An indicator to measure the impact of academic publications on practical innovation." *Journal of Informetrics* 8(1):241 251.
 URL: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1751157713001132
- Lafferty, John, Andrew McCallum & Fernando C.N. Pereira. 2001. "Conditional Random Fields: Probabilistic Models for Segmenting and Labeling Sequence Data." *Proceedings of the 18th Inernational Conference on Machine Learning*.
- Lawrence, Steve, C. Lee Giles & Kurt Bollacker. 1999. "Digital Libraries and Autonomous Citation Indexing." *Computer*.

- Liaw, Yi-Ching, Te-Yi Chan, Chin-Yuan Fan & Cheng-Hsin Chiang. 2014. "Can the technological impact of academic journals be evaluated? The practice of non-patent reference (NPR) analysis." *Scientometrics* 101(1):17–37. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11192-014-1337-0
- Morrall, John F & James Broughel. 2014. "The Role of Regulatory Impact Analysis in Federal Rulemaking." *Available at SSRN 2501096*.
- NRC. 2012. Using Science as Evidence in Public Policy. Technical report National Research Council: The National Academies Press Washington, DC: .
- Wong, Chan-Yuan & Lili Wang. 2015. "Trajectories of science and technology and their co-evolution in BRICS: Insights from publication and patent analysis." *Journal of Informetrics* 9(1):90 101.
 - URL: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1751157714001084