

SINGULARITIES IN THE GENERALIZED CONSTANTIN–LAX–MAJDA  
EQUATION

ON A SEARCH FOR FINITE-TIME SINGULARITIES IN THE GENERALIZED  
CONSTANTIN-LAX-MAJDA EQUATION

By NOAH BENSLER, B.Sc.

A Project Submitted to Math 745

Computational Science & Engineering

McMaster University

©Copyright by Noah Bensler, 17 December 2025.

## Project Proposal

For my term project I will compute and analyse solutions of the Generalized Constantin-Lax-Majda equation. The Constantin-Lax-Majda (CLM) equation was proposed as a one-dimensional model for the three-dimensional vorticity equation and has the form

$$\omega_t - u_x \omega = 0, \quad u_x = H\omega$$

where  $\omega$  is the vorticity of the fluid and  $u$  is the fluid velocity. For this analysis the equations will be examined on the periodic domain  $x \in [-\pi, \pi]$ . The Hilbert transform of the vorticity is defined as

$$H\omega(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \omega(y, t) \cot\left(\frac{x-y}{t}\right) dy,$$

which has a singularity at  $x = y$  and so must be evaluated using the Cauchy Principle Value. The CLM equation was later expanded on by De Gregorio to include the convection term  $u\omega_x$ . The De Gregorio equation has the form

$$\omega_t + u\omega_x - u_x \omega = 0, \quad u_x = H\omega.$$

The De Gregorio equation was later generalized by Okamoto et al. to include the real parameter  $a$ . The result is the Generalized Constantin-Lax-Majda equation (GCLM)

$$\omega_t + au\omega_x - u_x \omega = 0, \quad u_x = H\omega.$$

The parameter  $a$  determines the relative strength of the convection term. When  $a = 0$  the GCLM is equal to the CLM, and when  $a = 1$  it is equal to the De Gregorio equation. The CLM equation was proposed in 1985 and has been shown to exhibit finite time blow-up. While the De Gregorio equation was proposed shortly after in 1989, questions still remain as to whether or not the equation permits finite time blow-up. The goal of this analysis will be to examine the affect the parameter  $a$  has on the finite time blow-up of the GCLM equation. I will explore solutions of the GCLM for values of  $a \in [-1, 1]$ .

## Abstract

The Constantine-Lax-Majda (CLM) equation was proposed as a one-dimensional model for the three-dimensional vorticity equation. The CLM equation was later expanded on by De Gregorio to include the convection term  $u\omega_x$ . The De Gregorio equation was generalized by Okamoto et al. to include the real parameter  $a$  which determines the relative strength of the convection term. The result is the Generalized Constantin-Lax-Majda equation (GCLM). In this analysis we examine the affect the parameter  $a \in [-1, 1]$  has on the finite time blow-up of the GCLM equation on the periodic domain  $x \in [-\pi, \pi]$ .

The analysis found RESULTS RESULTS RESULTS. CONCLUSION CONCLUSION CONCLUSION.

Nearly all values of  $a$  resulted in blow-up or blow-up like behaviour. The results for most  $a$  values are inconclusive as the resolution was insufficient to properly capture the singular behaviour. However, the results indicate that all values of  $a < 0.8$  would result in a finite-time singularity. The primary effect  $a$  had was on how quickly the singularity formed.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	The CLM Equation	2
1.2	The De Gregorio Equation	2
1.3	The Generalized CLM Equation	3
1.4	Blow-up Criteria	3
1.5	Overview	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Numerical Methods</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Domain and Grid	3
2.2	Time Stepping	4
2.3	Complete Numerical Method	5
2.4	Initial Conditions	5
<b>3</b>	<b>Results</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Appendix</b>	<b>6</b>
5.1	Hilbert Transform	6
5.2	Hilbert Transform Implementation	8
5.3	Velocity Field	9
5.4	Velocity Implementation	10

# 1 Introduction

The three-dimensional Euler equations are a simplified form of the Navier-Stokes equations which describe the flow of inviscid, incompressible fluids. The Euler equations are

$$u_t + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = 0, \quad (1.1a)$$

$$\nabla \cdot u = 0, \quad (1.1b)$$

where  $u$  is the velocity field and  $p$  is the scalar pressure. By substituting in the vorticity ( $\omega = \nabla \times u$ ) these equations can be re-expressed in the three-dimensional vorticity equation

$$\omega_t + (u \nabla) \omega = (\omega \nabla) u, \quad (1.2)$$

where the velocity can be computed from the vorticity field with the equation DOUBLE  
CHECK THIS

$$u = -\nabla \times (\nabla^{-1} \omega). \quad (1.3)$$

A central question in fluid dynamics is whether or not finite-time singularities can form in fluid flows with smooth initial conditions [2]. As first demonstrated by Beale, Kato, and Majda, singularities can form if and only if the maximum vorticity of the flow becomes infinite [1]. The vorticity equation allows for the direct modelling of the vorticity and so is a very useful tool for studying singularity formation in the Euler equations. WHY USE 1D MODELS.

## 1.1 The CLM Equation

The Constantin-Lax-Majda (CLM) equation was the first one-dimensional model of the three-dimensional vorticity equation for an incompressible fluid [2]. The CLM equation is

$$\omega_t = H(\omega)\omega \quad (1.4)$$

where  $H$  is the Hilbert transform DOUBLE CHECK THIS

$$H\omega(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \omega(y, t) \cot\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) dy. \quad (1.5)$$

The CLM equation was defined on the unbounded domain  $R^1$  DOUBLE CHECK THIS. A significant advantage of this model was that its simplicity. This allowed Constantin et al. to prove the following Theorem [2].

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose  $\omega_0(x)$  is a smooth function decaying sufficiently rapidly as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  ( $\omega_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$  suffices). Then the solution to the model vorticity equation in (1.4) is given by*

$$\omega(x, t) = \frac{4\omega_0(x)}{(2 - tH\omega_0(x))^2 + t^2\omega_0^2(x)}. \quad (1.6)$$

The CLM model is a very simple model. The biggest advantage it has is that it has an explicit solution which allows for ...[2].

However, De Gregorio noted three shortcomings of the model [3].

1. asdf

## 1.2 The De Gregorio Equation

The De Gregorio equation was proposed as a one-dimensional model that improves on the CLM equation by ... [3]

### 1.3 The Generalized CLM Equation

The De Gregorio equation was later generalized to the GCLM [5].

$$H\omega(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \omega(y, t) \cot\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) dy. \quad (1.7)$$

### 1.4 Blow-up Criteria

Okamoto et al. (2008) prove Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  be given. For all  $\omega_0 \in H^1(S^1)/\mathbb{R}$ , there exists a  $T > 0$  depending only on  $a$  and  $\|\omega_{0,x}\|$  such that there exists a unique solution  $\omega \in C^0([0, T]; H^1(S^1)/\mathbb{R}) \cap C^1([0, T]; L^2(S^1)/\mathbb{R})$  of (GCLM ref) with  $\omega(0, x) = w_0$ .*

### 1.5 Overview

In this paper we will conduct a search for singularity formation in the GCLM by

## 2 Numerical Methods

We use the same numerical methods as [5] which are described below. We use the notation  $\hat{\omega}_k$  for the Fourier coefficients of the vorticity. There derivatives The numerical integration techniques below describe the procedure to calculate the value of  $\omega$  at step  $n+1$  from the value at step number  $n$ . To distinguish the notation from the Fourier coefficient we use  $\omega^{(n)}$ ,  $u^{(n)}$ , and  $t^{(n)}$  to denote the vorticity, velocity, and time, respectively, at step  $n$ .

### 2.1 Domain and Grid

The vorticity  $\omega$  is represented in physical space on a grid of  $N = 2^{14}$  equidistant points on the domain  $x \in [\pi, pi]$ . Because the domain is periodic we must avoid double counting the grid

point on the boundary. Therefore we set  $x_0 = -\pi$  and  $x_N = \pi - h$ , where  $h$  is the uniform distance between points  $x_i$  and  $x_{i+1}$ . The vorticity is represented in Fourier space with the truncated Fourier series

$$\omega(t, x) = \sum_{k=-N/2}^{N/2-1} \hat{\omega}_k e^{ikx}. \quad (2.1)$$

Transformation from physical to Fourier space, and vice versa, are performed using the standard Matlab fft and ifft functions.

We compute the value of  $u^{(n)}$  in Fourier Space using the formula

$$u^{(n)}(t, x) = 4 \ln(2) \hat{\omega}_0^{(n)} + \sum_{k=-N/2, k \neq 0}^{N/2-1} \frac{\hat{\omega}_k^{(n)} \exp(ikx)}{k} dv. \quad (2.2)$$

Compute  $u_x$  in Fourier space. Compute  $\omega_x$  in Fourier space.

The full derivation of each formula is shown in the Appendix.

## 2.2 Time Stepping

When modelling time dependent PDEs it is best practice to use explicit time stepping methods for non-linear terms in order to reduce computation cost, and use implicit methods for linear term to improve stability [6]. As described in prior sections, both the convection term and stretching term of the GCLM are non-linear. Therefore, as is used in [5] and [4], we perform time stepping using the explicit fourth-order Runge-Kutta (RK4) method. The RK4 time stepping is performed with the formula

$$\omega^{(n+1)} = \omega^{(n)} + \frac{1}{6}(k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4) \quad (2.3)$$

where the  $k_i$  terms are calculated using

$$k_1 = f(\omega^{(n)}, t^{(n)}) \quad (2.4a)$$

$$k_2 = f(\omega^{(n)} + dt \cdot k_1/2, t^{(n)} + dt/2) \quad (2.4b)$$

$$k_3 = f(\omega^{(n)} + dt \cdot k_2/2, t^{(n)} + dt/2) \quad (2.4c)$$

$$k_4 = f(\omega^{(n)} + dt \cdot k_3, t^{(n)} + dt) \quad (2.4d)$$

where  $dt = 1E - 4$  is the time step size. The function  $f$  in the above equations is given by

$$f(\omega^{(n)}, t^{(n)}) = u_x \omega - au \omega_x \quad (2.5)$$

The derivatives  $u_x$  and  $\omega_x$  are computed in Fourier space. The

### 2.3 Complete Numerical Method

Combining the methods described above, we use the following procedure

1. Convert  $\omega^{(n)}$  from Physical space to Fourier space using FFT.
2. Calculate  $u_x$

### 2.4 Initial Conditions

Okamoto et al. (2008) showed that any solution that satisfies (GCLM ref) must also satisfy

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \omega(t, x) dx = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (-au\omega_x + u_x\omega) dx = (a+1) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} u_x\omega dx = (a+1)(H\omega, \omega), \quad (2.6)$$

where  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes the  $L^2$  inner product (Okamoto et al., 2008). Therefore, we may assume without loss of generality that the initial condition satisfies

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \omega(0, x) dx = 0.$$

### 3 Results

these are the results

### 4 Conclusion

this is the conclusion

### 5 Appendix

#### 5.1 Hilbert Transform

The Hilbert transform is defined as

$$H\omega(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \omega(y, t) \cot\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) dy.$$

The solution  $\omega(t, y)$  is represented as the truncated Fourier series

$$\omega(t, y) = \sum_{k=-N/2}^{N/2-1} \omega_k(t) \exp(iky).$$

Substituting this into the Hilbert transform

$$H\omega(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{k=-N/2}^{N/2-1} \omega_k(t) \exp(iky) \cot\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) dy.$$

We can swap the integral and sum to obtain

$$H\omega(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=-N/2}^{N/2-1} \omega_k(t) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \exp(iky) \cot\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) dy.$$

We now apply a substitution  $u = y - x$ . Therefore,

$$H\omega(x, t) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=-N/2}^{N/2-1} \omega_k(t) \int_{-\pi-x}^{\pi-x} \exp(ik(u+x)) \cot\left(\frac{u}{2}\right) du.$$

$$H\omega(x, t) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=-N/2}^{N/2-1} \omega_k(t) \exp(ikx) \int_{-\pi-x}^{\pi-x} \exp(iku) \cot\left(\frac{u}{2}\right) du.$$

Because the integrand is periodic we can shift the domain by  $x$

$$H\omega(x, t) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=-N/2}^{N/2-1} \omega_k(t) \exp(ikx) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \exp(iku) \cot\left(\frac{u}{2}\right) du.$$

Converting the exponential to its trigonometric form

$$H\omega(x, t) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=-N/2}^{N/2-1} \omega_k(t) \exp(ikx) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [\cos(ku) + i \sin(ku)] \cot\left(\frac{u}{2}\right) du,$$

$$H\omega(x, t) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=-N/2}^{N/2-1} \omega_k(t) \exp(ikx) \left[ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos(ku) \cot\left(\frac{u}{2}\right) du + i \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin(ku) \cot\left(\frac{u}{2}\right) du \right].$$

The first integral is odd and so is equal to zero. The second integral is even and so can be

simplified to a half integral. Therefore,

$$H\omega(x, t) = -\frac{2i}{2\pi} \sum_{k=-N/2}^{N/2-1} \omega_k(t) \exp(ikx) \left[ \int_0^\pi \sin(ku) \cot\left(\frac{u}{2}\right) du \right].$$

The remaining integral is a known identity [CITATION]

$$\int_0^\pi \sin(ku) \cot\left(\frac{u}{2}\right) du = \pi \operatorname{sgn}(k),$$

where  $\operatorname{sgn}(k)$  is the signum function. Substituting in to the Hilbert transform

$$H\omega(x, t) = -i \sum_{k=-N/2}^{N/2-1} \omega_k(t) \exp(ikx) \operatorname{sgn}(k).$$

## 5.2 Hilbert Transform Implementation

The Hilbert Transform is implemented in Matlab using the code shown below. The Fast Fourier Transform in Matlab formats the Fourier coefficients in order 0,...,N/2 -1 then -N/2,...,-1.

```

1 % Hilbert Transform Physical
2 function h = ht(w_t, N)
3     % convert w_t to Fourier space
4     w_c = fft(w_t,N);
5     % wave numbers in fft format
6     k = [0:N/2-1, -N/2:-1];
7     % signum of wavenumbers
8     sgn_k = sign(k);
9     % multiply Fourier coefficients by -i sgn_k
10    w_c = (-1i*sgn_k).*w_c;

```

```

11 % convert w_c back to physical space
12 h = ifft(w_c,N);
13 end

```

### 5.3 Velocity Field

The velocity field is defined as

$$u(t, x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \omega(t, y) \log \left| \sin \left( \frac{x-y}{2} \right) \right| dy.$$

The solution  $\omega(t, y)$  is represented as the truncated Fourier series

$$\omega(t, y) = \sum_{k=-N/2}^{N/2-1} \omega_k(t) \exp(iky).$$

Substituting this into the velocity field

$$u(t, x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{k=-N/2}^{N/2-1} \omega_k(t) \exp(iky) \log \left| \sin \left( \frac{x-y}{2} \right) \right| dy.$$

We can swap the integral and sum to obtain

$$u(t, x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=-N/2}^{N/2-1} \omega_k(t) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \exp(iky) \log \left| \sin \left( \frac{x-y}{2} \right) \right| dy.$$

We now apply a substitution  $v = y - x$ . Therefore,

$$u(t, x) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=-N/2}^{N/2-1} \omega_k(t) \int_{-\pi-x}^{\pi-x} \exp(ik(v+x)) \log \left| \sin \left( \frac{v}{2} \right) \right| dv.$$

Because the integrand is periodic we can shift the domain by  $x$

$$u(t, x) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=-N/2}^{N/2-1} \omega_k(t) \exp(ikx) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \exp(ikv) \log \left| \sin \left( \frac{v}{2} \right) \right| dv.$$

Converting the exponential to its trigonometric form

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, x) &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=-N/2}^{N/2-1} \omega_k(t) \exp(ikx) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (\cos(kv) + i \sin(kv)) \log \left| \sin \left( \frac{v}{2} \right) \right| dv. \\ u(t, x) &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=-N/2}^{N/2-1} \omega_k(t) \exp(ikx) \left[ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos(kv) \log \left| \sin \left( \frac{v}{2} \right) \right| dv + i \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin(kv) \log \left| \sin \left( \frac{v}{2} \right) \right| dv \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The second integral is odd and so is equal to zero. The first integral is even and so can be simplified to a half integral. Therefore,

$$u(t, x) = -\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=-N/2}^{N/2-1} \omega_k(t) \exp(ikx) \int_0^{\pi} \cos(kv) \log \left| \sin \left( \frac{v}{2} \right) \right| dv.$$

The remaining integral is a known identity [CITATION]

$$\int_0^{\pi} \cos(kv) \log \left| \sin \left( \frac{v}{2} \right) \right| dv = -2\pi \ln(2), \quad k = 0,$$

$$\int_0^{\pi} \cos(kv) \log \left| \sin \left( \frac{v}{2} \right) \right| dv = -\frac{\pi}{2|k|}, \quad k \neq 0.$$

Substituting in to the velocity field

$$u(t, x) = 4 \ln(2) w_0(t) + \sum_{k=-N/2, k \neq 0}^{N/2-1} \frac{\omega_k(t) \exp(ikx)}{k} dv.$$

## 5.4 Velocity Implementation

```
1 % Calculate velocity from vorticity
2 function u = calc_u(w_t,N)
3     % convert w_t to Fourier space
4     w_c = fft(w_t,N);
5     % wave numbers in fft format
6     k = [0:N/2-1, -N/2:-1];
7     % exclude k=0
8     points = (k ~= 0);
9     % calc k=0 val
10    w_c(~points) = 4*log(2)*w_c(~points);
11    % divide Fourier coefficients by k~=0
12    w_c(points) = -w_c(points)./abs(k(points));
13    % convert w_c back to physical space
14    u = real(ifft(w_c, N));
15 end
```

## References

- [1] J. T. Beale, T. Kato, and A. Majda. Remarks on the breakdown of smooth solutions for the 3-D Euler equations. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 94(1):61–66, March 1984.
- [2] P. Constantin, P. D. Lax, and A. Majda. A simple one-dimensional model for the three-dimensional vorticity equation. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 38(6):715–724, 1985. \_eprint: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/cpa.3160380605>.
- [3] Salvatore De Gregorio. On a one-dimensional model for the three-dimensional vorticity equation. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 59(5):1251–1263, June 1990.
- [4] Sato Hideki and Sakajo Takashi. Numerical study of De Gregorio’s model for the 3-D vorticity equation(Theory,Scientific Computation and Numerical Analysis), 2006.
- [5] Hisashi Okamoto, Takashi Sakajo, and Marcus Wunsch. On a generalization of the Constantin–Lax–Majda equation. *Nonlinearity*, 21(10):2447, September 2008.
- [6] Bartosz Protas. Spectral Methods (III) - Nonlinear Evolution PDEs, 2025.