

Dear Benson,

Here is a template for a Latex file to keep as a log of our project. On the second page I have added a comments section, where we can put comments, rough work, calculations, questions, etc. These should be added as a list, with the authors name (BG or PH resp.) and the date of the comment.

–PeH, February 16, 2015.–

Comments.

PH – 2015/02/01 The sections “Cross Sections” and onwards are rough work, and will eventually (probably) be scrapped.

BG – 2015/02/07 We started the investigation of the viability of a greedy algorithm. The algorithm works as follows:

1. Assume the longer side of a rectangle R has a length $\frac{a}{b}$, and shorter side with a length $\frac{a'}{b'}$
2. Fill R with a piece with a side length $\frac{1}{n_0} \times \frac{1}{n_0+1}$ where $\frac{1}{n_0}$ satisfies $\frac{1}{n_0} \leq \frac{a'}{b'} < \frac{1}{n_0-1}$
3. Cut the remaining area into 2 rectangles:

$$R_0 : \frac{1}{n+1} \times \left(\frac{a'}{b'} - \frac{1}{n_0}\right)$$

$$R_1 : \left(\frac{a}{b} - \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \times \frac{a'}{b'}$$
4. Apply Step 1 to rectangle R_0 .
5. Stop when $\frac{a'}{b'} - \sum \frac{1}{n_i} = 0$
6. Apply Step 1 to next left-most rectangle.

Studying $R_0 : \frac{1}{n_0+1} \times \left(\frac{a'}{b'} - \frac{1}{n_0}\right)$, we ask ourselves, is $\frac{a'}{b'} - \frac{1}{n_0} > \frac{1}{n_0+1}$? By hypothesis, $\frac{1}{n_0} \leq \frac{a'}{b'} < \frac{1}{n_0-1} \Rightarrow 0 \leq \frac{a'}{b'} - \frac{1}{n_0} < \frac{1}{n_0-1} - \frac{1}{n_0}$

$$\frac{1}{n_0-1} - \frac{1}{n_0} = \frac{n_0 - (n_0-1)}{n_0(n_0-1)} = \frac{1}{n_0(n_0-1)} \text{ Is } \frac{1}{n_0(n_0-1)} < \frac{1}{n_0+1}?$$

$$n_0 + 1 < n_0^2 - n_0 \Rightarrow n_0^2 - 2n_0 - 1 > 0$$

Hence, $\frac{a'}{b'} - \frac{1}{n_i} < \frac{1}{n_i(n_i-1)} < \frac{1}{n_i+1}$ for $n_i \geq 2$. This implicates that the shorter side will be on the vertical edges, which will approach 0 as $\frac{1}{n_i}$ decreases. When it reaches 0, the left side will be completely covered with smaller rectangles.

BG – 2015/02/16 I coded a rough simulation of the greedy algorithm to answer the question of whether or not some rectangle will be required to be used twice. Very quickly I found a case in which the same rectangle is called upon consecutively. Take the case when you have a rectangle with dimensions $\frac{1}{n} \times \frac{1}{n+x}$. The algorithm will tile it with $\frac{1}{n+x} \times \frac{1}{n+x+1}$ and the remaining area will be, $\frac{1}{n} \times \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+x+1}\right)$. In this case $\frac{1}{n+x} \times \frac{1}{n+x+1}$ will be used again, provided $\frac{1}{n+x+1}$ is still the shorter side. Specifically, one case I came across was $\frac{1}{11} \times \frac{1}{140}$ which would be tiled with $\frac{1}{140} \times \frac{1}{141}$ leaving a rectangle of $\frac{130}{1551} \times \frac{1}{140}$. I will play around with the algorithm to see how we can go around this.

On Tiling the Unit Square

Benson Guo and Peter Hazard

February 16, 2015

Abstract

We consider the problem, originally due to L. Moser, of tiling the unit square with rectangles of side lengths $\frac{1}{n} \times \frac{1}{n+1}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. This is the result of a University of Toronto Mentoring Program 2015.

1 Introduction

We consider the following:

Problem: Can the unit square $[0, 1]^2$ be tiled with rectangles of sides $\frac{1}{n} \times \frac{1}{n+1}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

A more general formulation is the following:

Problem: Find the smallest square $[0, 1 + \epsilon]^2$, $\epsilon \geq 0$, into which the rectangles of sides $\frac{1}{n} \times \frac{1}{n+1}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, can be packed.

According to [3, Section D11] these problems are originally due to L. Moser. The best result for the second problem, to the authors knowledge, is due to Balint [1, 2]. See also Paulhus [5].

2 Preliminaries

Let $T_0 = [0, 1]^2$ denote the unit square. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let T_n denote the tile of side lengths $\frac{1}{n} \times \frac{1}{n+1}$. In our considerations we shall make the following hypotheses about any tiling of T_0 :

Hypothesis 1: The tiles are added parallel to the sides of T_0 .

Hypothesis 2: For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the free space $T_0 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n T_i$ is connected and simply connected.

Assume a tiling is given. Let

$$V_0 = T_0, \quad V_n = V_0 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n T_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.1)$$

Then, by the above hypotheses, for each positive integer n the region V_n is a polygon with sides aligned with the horizontal and vertical directions. Let V_n have vertices $v_n^1, \dots, v_n^{r_n}$. Consider the set of all horizontal and vertical lines through the v_n^j . Take their complement in V_n . This complement consists of finitely many rectangles $F_n^1, \dots, F_n^{k_n}$ with sides parallel to the sides of T_0 . Furthermore, $V_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k_n} F_n^i$.

We make the following assumptions:

Assumption 1: For each positive integer n , the tile T_n is positioned in V_{n-1} so that at least one vertex of T_n lies at one of the vertices of V_{n-1} .

Assumption 2: For each positive integer n , if the tile T_n fits into one of the free spaces F_{n-1}^j , then it must be positioned in one of them.

Now we ask the following questions:

1. Does a tiling exist satisfying Assumptions 1 and 2?
2. Does such a tiling have the property that for each positive integer n , each F_n^i except one, is eventually tiled by finitely many tiles?

Since each F_n^i has side-lengths of the form $\sum \frac{1}{n_i} - \sum \frac{1}{m_j}$ we therefore ask, firstly, if the sides can be ‘tiled’ by a finite number of intervals of lengths $\frac{1}{l_1}, \frac{1}{l_2}, \dots, \frac{1}{l_k}$, where l_1, l_2, \dots, l_k are distinct positive integers and $\min_r l_r > \max(n_i, m_j)$. In the case when we do not have the second constraint we know the following, originally due to Fibonacci and rediscovered by Sylvester.

Theorem 2.1. *Any positive rational number $\frac{a}{b}$ can be expressed as a finite sum of unit fractions, i.e. there exist distinct positive integers n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k such that*

$$\frac{a}{b} = \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n_k} \quad (2.2)$$

2.1 Cross Sections

Assume a tiling exists. Take a line parallel to the horizontal or vertical sides of T_0 and intersect it with T_0 . Assume that the tiles T_{i_1}, T_{i_2}, \dots intersect this line horizontally and the tiles T_{j_1}, T_{j_2} intersect it vertically. Then

$$\sum \frac{1}{i_k} + \sum \frac{1}{j_k - 1} = 1 \quad (2.3)$$

The problem of finding positive integers i_k, j_k satisfying this equation is classical. (See Klee and Wagon [4, Chapter 2.15].)

Assume there does exist a tiling of the unit square. Note that if we take a horizontal section, i.e. intersect with $\{y = y_0\}$ we find the length decomposes as

$$1 = |l(S(y_0))| = \sum |l(S_{n_i(y_0)}(y_0))| = \sum \frac{1}{n_i(y_0)} \quad (2.4)$$

3 Perimeter Representation

Observe that if a tiling exists then the perimeter of the free space must tend to zero, i.e. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |P(V_n)| = 0$.

3.1 Winding Numbers: How to tell adding a tile is admissible.

References

- [1] Vojtech Bálint, *A packing problem and geometrical series*, Fourth Czechoslovakian Symposium on Combinatorics, Graphs and Complexity (Prachatice, 1990), Ann. Discrete Math., vol. 51, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1992, pp. 17–21. MR 1206238 (94b:52031)
- [2] ———, *Two packing problems*, Discrete Math. **178** (1998), no. 1-3, 233–236. MR 1483753 (98f:05041)
- [3] Hallard T. Croft, Kenneth J. Falconer, and Richard K. Guy, *Unsolved problems in geometry*, Problem Books in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994, Corrected reprint of the 1991 original [MR1107516 (92c:52001)], Unsolved Problems in Intuitive Mathematics, II. MR 1316393 (95k:52001)
- [4] Victor Klee and Stan Wagon, *Old and new unsolved problems in plane geometry and number theory*, The Dolciani Mathematical Expositions, vol. 11, Mathematical Association of America, Washington, DC, 1991. MR 1133201 (92k:00014)
- [5] Marc M. Paulhus, *An algorithm for packing squares*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **82** (1998), no. 2, 147–157. MR 1620857 (99e:52028)