

R package `glmm`

Christina Knudson

October 13, 2014

1 Bacteria example

The MASS package contains the command `glmmPQL` and the bacteria data-set. The manual describes the data set as follows: “Tests of the presence of the bacteria *H. influenzae* in children with otitis media in the Northern Territory of Australia.”

The data were fit using `glmmPQL`, `glmer` from the `lme4` package (method implemented was Laplace approximation), `glmm` with $m = 10^4$, `glmm` with $m = 10^5$, and `glmm` with $m = 10^6$. (The data did need to be reformatted in a process described at the end of the document). The parameter estimates are summarized in the following table. More model details can be seen in the output that follows the table.

	Intercept	trtdrug	trtdrug+	I(week> 2) TRUE	ν
<code>glmmPQL</code>	3.41	-1.25	-.75	-1.61	1.99
<code>glmer</code>	3.55	-1.37	-.78	-1.60	1.54
<code>glmm</code> $m = 10^4$	3.57	-1.38	-.80	-1.62	1.66
<code>glmm</code> $m = 10^5$	3.60	-1.39	-.79	-1.63	1.73
<code>glmm</code> $m = 10^6$	3.58	-1.37	-.79	-1.63	1.71

The `glmmPQL` results:

```
> bac.pql<-glmmPQL(y ~ trt + I(week > 2), random = ~ 1 | ID,
+                   family = binomial, data = bacteria)
> summary(bac.pql)
```

Linear mixed-effects model fit by maximum likelihood

Data: bacteria

AIC BIC logLik

NA NA NA

Random effects:

Formula: ~1 | ID

(Intercept) Residual

StdDev: 1.410637 0.7800511

Variance function:

Structure: fixed weights

Formula: ~invwt

Fixed effects: y ~ trt + I(week > 2)

	Value	Std.Error	DF	t-value	p-value
(Intercept)	3.412014	0.5185033	169	6.580506	0.0000
trtdrug	-1.247355	0.6440635	47	-1.936696	0.0588
trtdrug+	-0.754327	0.6453978	47	-1.168779	0.2484
I(week > 2)TRUE	-1.607257	0.3583379	169	-4.485311	0.0000

Correlation:

	(Intr)	trtdrg	trtdr+
trtdrug	-0.598		
trtdrug+	-0.571	0.460	
I(week > 2)TRUE	-0.537	0.047	-0.001

Standardized Within-Group Residuals:

Min	Q1	Med	Q3	Max
-5.1985361	0.1572336	0.3513075	0.4949482	1.7448845

Number of Observations: 220

Number of Groups: 50

proc.time()-ptm

user system elapsed

16.226 0.044 16.281

> summary(bac.glmm2)

Call:

```
glmm(fixed = y2 ~ trt + I(week > 2), random = list(~0 + ID),  
     varcomps.names = c("ID"), data = bacteria, family.glmm = bernoulli.glmm,  
     m = 10^4)
```

Fixed Effects:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept)	3.5745	0.6371	5.611	2.01e-08 ***
trtdrug	-1.3779	0.6701	-2.056	0.039768 *
trtdrug+	-0.8014	0.6878	-1.165	0.243950
I(week > 2)TRUE	-1.6224	0.4674	-3.471	0.000518 ***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Variance Components for Random Effects (P-values are one-tailed):

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)/2
ID	1.6635	0.7807	2.131	0.0165 *

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Results from glmm with $m = 10^5$.

```
> bac.glmm2<-glmm(y2~trt+I(week > 2),list(~0+ID), family=bernoulli.glmm, data=bacteria, m=10^5, varcomps=
> proc.time()-ptm
      user  system elapsed
175.729    0.150 176.211
> summary(bac.glmm2)
```

Call:

```
glmm(fixed = y2 ~ trt + I(week > 2), random = list(~0 + ID),
      varcomps.names = c("ID"), data = bacteria, family.glmm = bernoulli.glmm,
      m = 10^5)
```

Fixed Effects:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)	
(Intercept)	3.6008	0.7666	4.697	2.64e-06	***
trtdrug	-1.3868	0.7126	-1.946	0.051641	.
trtdrug+	-0.7940	0.6996	-1.135	0.256348	
I(week > 2)TRUE	-1.6321	0.4917	-3.319	0.000903	***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Variance Components for Random Effects (P-values are one-tailed):

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)/2	
ID	1.738	1.317	1.32	0.0935	.

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Results from glmm with $m = 10^6$.

```
proc.time()-ptm
      user  system elapsed
1491.511    1.299 1494.133
> summary(bac.glmm2)
```

Call:

```
glmm(fixed = y2 ~ trt + I(week > 2), random = list(~0 + ID),
      varcomps.names = c("ID"), data = bacteria, family.glmm = bernoulli.glmm,
      m = 10^6)
```

Fixed Effects:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept)	3.5824	0.6952	5.153	2.57e-07 ***
trtdrug	-1.3714	0.6935	-1.978	0.047980 *
trtdrug+	-0.7929	0.7034	-1.127	0.259644
I(week > 2)TRUE	-1.6278	0.4801	-3.391	0.000698 ***

Signif. codes: 0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1 1

Variance Components for Random Effects (P-values are one-tailed):

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)/2
ID	1.707	1.050	1.626	0.052 .

Signif. codes: 0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1 1

Results from lme4.

summary(bac.glmer)

Generalized linear mixed model fit by maximum likelihood (Laplace
Approximation) [glmerMod]

Family: binomial (logit)

Formula: y2 ~ trt + I(week > 2) + (1 | ID)

Data: bacteria

AIC	BIC	logLik	deviance	df.resid
202.3	219.2	-96.1	192.3	215

Scaled residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-4.5615	0.1359	0.3022	0.4217	1.1276

Random effects:

Groups	Name	Variance	Std.Dev.
--------	------	----------	----------

ID	(Intercept)	1.543	1.242
----	-------------	-------	-------

Number of obs: 220, groups: ID, 50

Fixed effects:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept)	3.5479	0.6958	5.099	3.41e-07 ***
trtdrug	-1.3667	0.6770	-2.019	0.043517 *
trtdrug+	-0.7826	0.6831	-1.146	0.251926
I(week > 2)TRUE	-1.5985	0.4759	-3.359	0.000783 ***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Correlation of Fixed Effects:

```

      (Intr) trtdrg trtdr+
trtdrug      -0.593
trtdrug+     -0.537  0.487
I(wk>2)TRUE -0.656  0.126  0.064
>

```

2 Herd CBPP Example

The cbpp dataset is located in the lme4 package. The lme4 package describes it thusly: “Contagious bovine pleuropneumonia (CBPP) is a major disease of cattle in Africa, caused by a mycoplasma. This dataset describes the serological incidence of CBPP in zebu cattle during a follow-up survey implemented in 15 commercial herds located in the Boji district of Ethiopia. The goal of the survey was to study the within-herd spread of CBPP in newly infected herds. Blood samples were quarterly collected from all animals of these herds to determine their CBPP status. These data were used to compute the serological incidence of CBPP (new cases occurring during a given time period). Some data are missing (lost to follow-up).”

First, I fit the data using glmer in lme4. The model summary says it was fit with Adaptive Hermite Quadrature with 9 quadrature nodes. Then I fit the data using glmm with $m = 10^4$. This took 16.75 minutes on my netbook. The point estimates and some of the standard errors are very similar between the two methods of model-fitting.

	Intercept	period2	period3	period4	ν
glmer (numerical integration)	-1.40	-.99	-1.13	-1.58	.41
glmm $m = 10^4$	-1.42	-.99	-1.13	-1.58	.44

Here are the full results from glmer:

```

> summary(gm1)
Generalized linear mixed model fit by maximum likelihood (Laplace
Approximation) [glmerMod]
Family: binomial ( logit )
Formula: cbind(incidence, size - incidence) ~ period + (1 | herd)
Data: cbpp

```

AIC	BIC	logLik	deviance	df.resid
194.1	204.2	-92.0	184.1	51

Scaled residuals:

```

      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
-2.3816 -0.7889 -0.2026  0.5142  2.8791

```

Random effects:

```

Groups Name      Variance Std.Dev.

```

```

herd (Intercept) 0.4123 0.6421
Number of obs: 56, groups: herd, 15

```

Fixed effects:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)	
(Intercept)	-1.3983	0.2312	-6.048	1.47e-09	***
period2	-0.9919	0.3032	-3.272	0.001068	**
period3	-1.1282	0.3228	-3.495	0.000474	***
period4	-1.5797	0.4220	-3.743	0.000182	***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Correlation of Fixed Effects:

	(Intr)	perid2	perid3
period2	-0.363		
period3	-0.340	0.280	
period4	-0.260	0.213	0.198

Next, the results using `glmmwith` $m = 10^4$:

```
> summary(herd.glmm1)
```

Call:

```

glmm(fixed = Y ~ period, random = list(~0 + herd), varcomps.names = c("herd"),
     data = herddat, family.glmm = bernoulli.glmm, m = 10^4)

```

Fixed Effects:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)	
(Intercept)	-1.4166	0.2410	-5.879	4.14e-09	***
period2	-0.9921	0.3078	-3.223	0.001271	**
period3	-1.1289	0.3277	-3.445	0.000571	***
period4	-1.5789	0.4293	-3.678	0.000235	***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Variance Components for Random Effects (P-values are one-tailed):

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)/2
herd	0.4354	0.2488	1.75	0.0401 *

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

3 Salamander

The salamander dataset represents success or failure in matings between two types of salamanders denoted by R and W. Four types of crosses are possible: RR, RW, WR, WW. These are the fixed effects. There is a random effect for each female salamander and for each male salamander. Thus, there are two variance components: one for the females and one for the males. The response is binary: whether or not the mating was successful.

I fit the salamander data set using my `glmm` package (with $m = 10^4$ twice and with $m = 10^6$ once) and compared those results to the MCEM results of Booth and Hobert. They do not look so great, and the $m = 10^6$ results took 41 hours to get.

	Intercept	RW	WR	WW	ν_F	ν_M
MCEM (B+H)	1.03	.32	-1.95	.99	1.4	1.25
<code>glmm</code> $m = 10^5$	0.964 -0.645 -2.767 -0.008					

4 Murder

Subjects were asked how many victims of homicide they personally knew. The data set is from Agresti's *Categorical Data Analysis* book. I found similar answers from my `glmm` package as from `glmmPQL`.

The results from my package:

```
set.seed(1234)
murder.glmm<- glmm(y~race ,random=list(~0+black,~0+white), varcomps.names=c("black","white"), data=murder)

> summary(murder.glmm)
```

Call:

```
glmm(fixed = y ~ race, random = list(~0 + black, ~0 + white),
     varcomps.names = c("black", "white"), data = murder, family.glmm = poisson.glmm,
     m = 10^4)
```

Fixed Effects:

```

              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept)  0.42003     0.06428   6.534 6.40e-11 ***
raceWhite   -0.33179     0.07021  -4.726 2.29e-06 ***
---
Signif. codes:  0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1 1
```

Variance Components for Random Effects (P-values are one-tailed):

```

              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)/2
black 6.468e-10   3.452e-09   0.187     0.426
white 2.667e-10   1.596e-09   0.167     0.434
```

When I make the sample size drastically smaller so that the analysis takes only about a minute, the

results are very similar still.

```
> summary(murder.glmm)
```

Call:

```
glmm(fixed = y ~ race, random = list(~0 + black, ~0 + white),  
     varcomps.names = c("black", "white"), data = murder, family.glmm = poisson.glmm,  
     m = 10^2)
```

Fixed Effects:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept)	0.42003	0.06428	6.534	6.39e-11 ***
raceWhite	-0.33179	0.07021	-4.726	2.29e-06 ***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Variance Components for Random Effects (P-values are one-tailed):

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)/2
black	1.190e-09	1.718e-09	0.693	0.244
white	1.070e-08	1.440e-08	0.743	0.229

The murder model resulting from glmmPQL:

```
> murder.pql<-glmmPQL(y~race,random=~1|race,data=murder,family=poisson)iteration 1  
> summary(murder.pql)
```

Linear mixed-effects model fit by maximum likelihood

Data: murder

AIC BIC logLik

NA NA NA

Random effects:

Formula: ~1 | race

(Intercept) Residual

StdDev: 9.157794e-06 0.4647694

Variance function:

Structure: fixed weights

Formula: ~invwt

Fixed effects: y ~ race

	Value	Std.Error	DF	t-value	p-value
(Intercept)	0.4200335	0.02989812	1306	14.04883	0
raceWhite	-0.3317900	0.03265396	0	-10.16079	NaN

Correlation:


```

      (Intr)
raceWhite -0.916

Standardized Within-Group Residuals:
      Min      Q1      Med      Q3      Max
-0.9104427 -0.1899269 -0.1899269 -0.1899269 12.1624898

Number of Observations: 1308
Number of Groups: 2
Warning message:
In pt(-abs(tTable[, "t-value"]), tTable[, "DF"]) : NaNs produced

```

5 Reformatting the Datasets

5.1 Bacteria Reformatting

The issue with the bacteria dataset is the response was y/n rather than 1/0. I created a new response that changed the y to 1 and the n to 0.

```
bacteria$y2<-as.integer(bacteria$y)-1
```

5.2 CBPP Reformatting

The cbpp dataset was created for binomial but my package was written for Bernoulli responses. In other words, my package needs a row for each success or failure. I did this in the following way:

```

cbpp$nonincidence<-cbpp$size-cbpp$incidence #number of "failures"
herddat<-matrix(data=NA,nrow=842,ncol=3)
colnames(herddat)<-c("Y","period","herd")
rowid<-1
for(i in 1:nrow(cbpp)){
  #make a row for each one of the incidences
  ntimes<-cbpp[i,2]
  if(ntimes>0){
    for(j in 1:ntimes){
      herddat[rowid,]<-c(1,cbpp[i,4],cbpp[i,1])
      rowid<-rowid+1
    }
  }

  #make a row for each of the nonincidences
  ntimes<-cbpp[i,5]
  if(ntimes>0){

```

```
for(j in 1:ntimes){  
  herddat[rowid,]<-c(0,cbpp[i,4],cbpp[i,1])  
  rowid<-rowid+1  
}  
}  
}  
herddat<-as.data.frame(herddat)  
herddat$herd<-as.factor(herddat$herd)  
herddat$period<-as.factor(herddat$period)
```