## BLUEPRINT FOR GORDAN'S LEMMA

Abstract. A blueprint for Gordan's Lemma.

What we are going for is the following. Let  $\Lambda$  be a finite free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, and let  $\Lambda^* := \operatorname{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z})$  be its dual. If S is a subset of  $\Lambda^*$  (always finite, in practice, I think) then its dual  $\mathbb{Z}$ -cone  $S^{\vee}$  consists of the  $t \in \Lambda$  such that  $\langle s, t \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $s \in S$ . Our goal is:

**Theorem 1.** If  $S \subset \Lambda^*$  is finite, then  $S^{\vee} \subset \Lambda$  is a finitely-generated additive monoid.

## 1. Algebraic Proof

We follow the algebraic proof in Wikipedia.

**Theorem 2.** If M is an additive abelian monoid and R is a nonzero commutative ring, then M is finitely-generated as a monoid iff the monoid algebra R[M] is finitely-generated as an R-algebra.

*Proof.* Because R is nonzero, we can think of M as a subset of R[M].

First say M is finitely generated by  $S \subseteq M$ . The sub-R-algebra of R[M] generated by S contains all of M, and is an R-module so it's all of R[M].

Conversely, say R[M] is finitely-generated. If  $f \in R[M]$  then it has a support, which is a finite subset of M. Now f is in the sub-R-module generated by its support, and hence is in the sub-R-algebra generated by the support. So if we have finitely many f's which generate R[M] as an R-algebra then we can replace each f by its support and get a finite subset of M which generates a subalgebra of R[M] which contains all the f's and hence is all of R[M]. We deduce that a finite subset S of M generates R[M] as an R-algebra. We claim that S generates M as an additive monoid. This follows because the R-algebra generated by S is the R-module generated by the monoid generated by S, and the monoid generated by S is a subset of M.

We prove Gordan's Lemma by two layers of induction. First, proceed by induction on the rank of  $\Lambda$ .

The result is clear in the case in which the rank of  $\Lambda$  is 0: in this case,  $\Lambda = 0$  and the empty set generates the unique additive submodule of  $\Lambda = 0$ .

Assume that the rank of  $\Lambda$  is strictly positive and that, for every free, finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\Lambda'$  of rank strictly smaller than the rank of  $\Lambda$ , the dual set of every finite subset of  $(\Lambda')^*$  is a finitely generated additive monoid.

Proceed by induction on (the size of) S.

For S empty the result is clear: the dual of the empty set is the whole  $\Lambda$  and if  $\lambda \subset \Lambda$  generates  $\Lambda$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, then  $\lambda \cup \{-\ell : \ell \in \lambda\}$  generates  $\Lambda$  as an additive monoid.

1

For the inductive (in the size of #S) step, it suffices to check that if  $S^{\vee}$  is finitely-generated then so is  $(S \cup \varphi)^{\vee}$ . We use the equality

$$(S \cup \varphi)^{\vee} = S^{\vee} \cap \{ v \in \Lambda : \varphi(v) \ge 0 \},\$$

which follows from the definitions.

The result is clear if  $\varphi = 0$ : in this case  $\varphi$  imposes no extra condition on  $S^{\vee}$ , the equality

$$(S \cup \{0\})^{\vee} = S^{\vee}$$

holds, and we know the result for  $S^{\vee}$ .

Thus, assume that  $\varphi$  is non-zero. Set  $M=S^\vee$  and write  $A=\mathbb{C}[M]$ ; this is finitely-generated as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra by Theorem 2. Define

$$\deg_{\varphi} \colon M \to \mathbb{Z}$$

by  $\deg_{\varphi}(v) = \varphi(v)$ . Define  $A_n$  to be the  $\mathbb{C}$ -module generated by the  $v \in M$  with  $\deg_{\varphi}(v) = n$ ; this determines a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading on A. By Theorem 2, it suffices to prove that the subring  $A_{\geq 0} := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} A_n$  is finitely-generated as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra.

First note that  $\overline{A}_0 = \mathbb{C}[T]$  where  $T = \{v \in M : \deg(v) = 0\}$  is a subalgebra, so it suffices to prove that

- $A_0$  is a finitely-generated  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra, and that
- $A_{>0}$  is a finitely-generated  $A_0$ -algebra.

**Lemma 3.** The  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $A_0 = \mathbb{C}[T]$  is finitely generated.

*Proof.* We use the equivalence of Theorem 2: it suffices to show that T is finitely generated as a monoid. Recall that, by definition, T is the submonoid of  $\Lambda$  satisfying

$$T = \{v \in M : \deg(v) = \varphi(v) = 0\} \subset \ker \varphi.$$

Since we reduced to the case in which  $\varphi$  is non-zero, we know that  $\ker \varphi$  is a free, finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of rank equal to  $\operatorname{rk} \Lambda - 1$ .

To apply the induction hypothesis, we check that  $T \subset \ker \varphi$  is the dual of a finite subset of  $\ker \varphi$ . Observe that the dual of  $\ker \varphi$  is the quotient of  $\Lambda^*$  by the saturation of the additive subgroup generated by  $\varphi$ . By construction, T is therefore the dual set of the image of S under the projection

$$\Lambda^* \to (\Lambda^*/\langle \varphi \rangle)^{\text{sat}} \simeq (\ker \varphi)^*$$
.

By the induction step of the first induction (on the number of generators of  $\Lambda$ ), we know that T is finitely generated, as needed.

**Remark.** The saturation can really be avoided, by working more generally, not with the dual of  $\Lambda$ , but with a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of linear functionals on  $\Lambda$  that surjects onto the dual of  $\Lambda$ . Alternatively, it can also be avoided by replacing  $\varphi$  by  $\varphi' \in \Lambda'$ , where  $\varphi = a\varphi'$ , with  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  chosen as the largest it can be for such an identity to hold.

**Lemma 4.** The A be a Noetherian  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded ring. Denote by  $A_{\geq 0} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} A_n$  the sub-algebra of A consisting of the elements of A of non-negative degree. The ring  $A_{\geq 0}$  is finitely generated as an  $A_0$ -algebra.

*Proof.* Let I be the ideal of A that is generated by all the homogeneous elements of strictly positive degree. (Note that, since A might have elements of negative degree, the ideal I might contain elements of negative degree as well.)

Since A is Noetherian, the ideal A admits a finite generating set: choose one and denote its elements by  $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ . We further assume that the chosen generators are

- homogeneous (by replacing the non-homogeneous ones by their homogeneous components), and
- have strictly positive degree (since the ideal *I* is generated by homogeneous elements of strictly positive degrees).

Let  $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  be the maximum of the degrees of the generators  $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ :

$$N_0 = \max\{\deg f_1, \dots, \deg f_n\}.$$

Let  $G \subset A_{\geq 0}$  be the subset consisting of  $f_1, \ldots, f_n$  together with all the homogeneous elements of degree at most  $N_0$ . We show that G generates  $A_{\geq 0}$  as an  $A_0$ -algebra.

More precisely, we show that, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , every element  $f \in A_{\geq 0}$  of degree at most n in the  $A_0$ -algebra  $A_{\geq 0}$  is generated by G as an  $A_0$ -algebra. (If this is any help, this step is entirely analogous to the proof that the Weak Mordell-Weil Theorem implies the Mordell-Weil Theorem.)

Proceed by induction on n. For the base case there is nothing to prove, since the result is true if  $n \leq N_0$ , by definition of G.

Suppose that G generates every element of  $A_{\geq 0}$  of degree at most n, for some natural number n satisfying  $N_0 \leq n$ . Let f be an element of  $A_{\geq 0}$  of degree n+1. By homogeneity of the ideal, we can assume that f is homogeneous of degree n+1.

Since  $f_1, \ldots, f_n$  generate I, the homogeneous element f admits a decomposition

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i f_i$$

with  $g_1, \ldots, g_n$  homogeneous elements. Since the degree of f is n+1 and n satisfies  $N_0 \leq n$ , the degrees of  $g_1, \ldots, g_n$  satisfy

$$0 \le \deg g_1 < \deg f, \dots, 0 \le \deg g_1 < \deg f.$$

By the inductive hypothesis, each one of the elements  $g_1, \ldots, g_n$  is in the 0-algebra generated by G, as stated.

All that is left to show is that, for each natural number n, the homogeneous degree piece  $A_n$  is finitely generated as an  $A_0$ -module.

This is again a consequence of Noetherianity of A. Suppose that  $N_1 \subset N_2 \cdots \subset N_i \subset \cdots$  is an increasing chain of  $A_0$ -submodules of  $A_n$ , such that  $\cup_i N_i = A_n$ . The chain of ideals  $N_1A \subset N_2A \cdots \subset N_iA \subset \cdots$  stabilizes, since A is Noetherian: there is an index i such that, for all  $i \leq j$ , the equality  $N_iA = N_jA$  holds. Intersecting with  $A_n$ , we find that the sequence  $N_1A \cap A_n \subset N_2A \cap A_n \cdots \subset N_iA \cap A_n \subset \cdots$  also stabilizes. Finally, we observe that, for all indices i, the equality  $N_iA \cap A_n = N_i$  holds: since all the elements of  $N_i$  are homogeneous of degree n, the only n-multiples of the elements of n is an n-module, we are done.