A) The hidden variable mediction

Townsend develops a Bell in equality by considering the statement Eq 5.50 about the relative populations of yossible out comes

N3 + N4 = (N2 + N4) + (N3 + N7)

al Unless this is obvious to you (It was not to me) do a little algebra to convince yourself it's true, I did this by subtracting N3 4 Ny from both sides.

Next Townsend turns this statement into a statement about probabilities. This will help us compare this hidden variable prediction with QM.

Q3 Do the same for Eq 5.52 and 5.53

5.52 Nz + Ny = P(+a, +c) E: Ni

5.53 N3+N7 = P(+c,+b) E:Ni

QY Now to test your self (it's irrelevant here) write the probability P(+a, -b) as a ratio of populations

You can now re-write 5.50 as

(1) P(+a; +b) < P(+a;+c) +P(+c;+b)

This is a prediction of our hidden variable model, and within that model, I don't find it very profound. What is profound is that QM makes a different prediction. Thus we can experimentally distinguish the I models.

B) The QM prediction.

Our 2 particles are emitted in the 10,0)

State. So P(+a,+b) = |(+a,+b) 0,0)|2

At this point our states in this inner product are not even written with the same no tation.

Q5. What do the characters ta, tb, 0,0

above mean? In other words, who's eigenvalues are they?

Lets write 10,00 in terms of the eigenstates of Sia and Sia (component of spin along the a axis, and work out the probability from there.

Q6. Fill in the blanks

We know I+n>= cos = 1+27 + ei sin = 1-27

If we imagine aligning + & with + a and we let the general direction + n be + b,

Then you can finish finding P(+a, +b).

Q7 Do it! (That is, find P(ta, +b)) Note that when you do this, the angle O above can ke understood as the angle between the +a and +b directions in the plane perpendicular to the beam, so call it Oab

(2) P(+a;+b) =_

To finish out our QM prediction for the terms in the Bell inequality (1), we need

(3) P(+a; +c) =

(4) P(+c, +b) =

Q8 Fill in the blanks above. It's easy since the direction we called the in the derivation of (2) could easily be named tC, so there results can be found by a simple renaming from (2),

Plug (2) (3), (4) in to the Bell in equality (1).

Becall that the inequality sign is a prediction
of Hidden Variable theory. Show that for at
least some value of the angles but ween the
measurement directions ta, the tc, the QM
prediction is at odds with HV theory. Try,
for example Oab = 100° Oac = Ocb = 50°

9

A meta-cognition question:
Did you understand this derivation when you read it from Townsend? Did working through these notes help? Could you imagine making a set of notes like this for your self?

Try developing a few semtence explanation of what Bell's inequalities are that you could give to an AAN student in an elevator. No math!

If you're done with all of this, you could either A) Find another Bell in equality in the 3 axis experiment or B) Get a jump on Friday by working through example 5.2 together