1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
11		
12	PROOFPOINT, INC.; CLOUDMARK LLC	CASE NO. 3:19-cv-04238-MMC (RMI)
13	Plaintiffs,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE
14	v.	MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL
15	VADE SECURE, INCORPORATED; VADE	
16	SECURE SASU; OLIVIER LEMARIÉ	
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22   23		
23   24		
2 <del>4</del>   25		
$\begin{bmatrix} 25 \\ 26 \end{bmatrix}$		
27		
28		
	I .	

Having considered Plaintiffs' Administration Motion to File Under Seal portions of Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to Amend the Complaint ("Plaintiffs' Motion") and portions of Exhibits 1–2 to the Declaration of Jodie W. Cheng that were filed in support thereof, the Court's rulings on the sealing requests are set forth below as to each document:

**Location of Confidential Material** 

Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only and Highly Confidential – Source Code information redacted at pp. 1, 5,

Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Jodie W. Cheng In Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to Amend the Complaint

Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only and Highly Confidential – Source Code information redacted ¶¶ 114, 116,

Exhibit 2 to the Declaration of Jodie W. Cheng In Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to Amend the Complaint (Redline

Comparison of the Proposed First Amended Complaint and

Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only and Highly

Confidential – Source Code information redacted ¶¶ 114, 116,

Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to Amend the Complaint

(Proposed First Amended Complaint)

5

1

7

11

117.

the Complaint)

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: \_\_\_\_

15

16

21

23

26

28

The Honorable Maxine M. Chesney

United States District Judge

Case No. 3:19-cv-04238-MMC

Ruling