## **EXHIBIT D**

Chad R. Fuller, Bar No. 190830 1 chad.fuller@troutmansanders.com TROUTMAN PEPPER HAMILTON 2 SANDERS LLP 3 11682 El Camino Real, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92130 Telephone: 858.509.6056 4 Facsimile: 858.509.6040 5 Attorney for Defendant John C. Heath Attorney at Law, PC 6 d/b/a Lexington Law Firm 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 (WESTERN DIVISION – LOS ANGELES) 10 11 Lucine Trim, et al., Case No. 2:20-cy-02161-SVW-MRW 12 Plaintiffs, **DEFENDANT JOHN C. HEATH** TORNEY AT LAW, PC D/B/A 13 XINGTON LAW FÍRM'S V. RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS' FIRST 14 John C. Heath Attorney at Law, PC SET OF REQUESTS FOR THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS d/b/a Lexington Law Firm, 15 AND FOR THE INSPECTION OF Defendant. TANGIBLE THINGS 16 17 18 19 20 21 Defendant John C. Heath Attorney at Law, PC d/b/a Lexington Law Firm 22 ("Lexington"), by counsel, submits the following responses to Plaintiffs' First Set 23 of Requests for the Production of Documents and for the Inspection of Tangible 24 Things. 25 26 27 28

1 **Document Request No. 6:** All Documents sufficient to Identify the Dialing 2 Equipment used to make the calls to Plaintiffs, including all software and hardware 3 components. 4 5 **Response:** Lexington objects to the extent the phrases "the calls" and "all 6 software and hardware components" are vague and ambiguous. 7 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Lexington responds 8 as follows: see LEXLAW\_TRIM000003-LEXLAW\_TRIM001042. 9 10 Document Request No. 7: All Documents supporting Your assertion, if 11 any, that Plaintiffs are inadequate to serve as Class Representatives. 12 13 **Response:** Lexington objects to this Document Request to the extent that it 14 seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-15 product doctrine, or any other applicable rule of privilege, immunity or 16 confidentiality provided by law. Lexington objects to this Interrogatory to the 17 extent that it is premature because discovery is ongoing. Lexington expressly 18 reserves its right to supplement its response to this Interrogatory. 19 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Lexington responds 20 as follows: none. 21 22 **Document Request No. 8:** All Documents supporting Your assertion, if 23 any, that Plaintiff's attorneys are inadequate to serve as Class Counsel. 24 25 **Response:** Lexington objects to this Document Request to the extent that it 26 seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-27 product doctrine, or any other applicable rule of privilege, immunity or **DEFENDANT'S RESPONSES TO** 

confidentiality provided by law. Lexington objects to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is premature because discovery is ongoing. Lexington expressly reserves its right to supplement its response to this Interrogatory.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Lexington responds as follows: none.

<u>Document Request No. 9:</u> Copies of any subpoenas You cause to be issued or served in the case together with proofs of service, if any, and any responsive materials received.

**Response:** None.

**Document Request No. 10:** The sworn or unsworn statements of any witnesses.

**Response:** Lexington objects to the extent this Document Request is generally vague and ambiguous.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Lexington responds as follows: none.

<u>Document Request No. 11:</u> All Documents sufficient to Identify any policies, practices, procedures, or any other steps You have caused to be taken to ensure compliance with the TCPA or any telemarketing rules or regulations.

**Response:** Lexington objects to this Document Request to the extent that the phrase "any telemarketing rules or regulations" is vague and ambiguous. Lexington further objects to the extent this Document Request is overbroad, not proportional to the needs of this case, and seeks documents not relevant to any party's claims or