1	Colin H. Murray (SBN 159142) colin.murray@bakermckenzie.com		
2	BAKER & McKENZIE LLP		
3	Two Embarcadero Center, 11th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3802		
4	Telephone: +1 415 576 3000 Facsimile: +1 415 576 3099		
5	Danielle L. Benecke (SBN 314896)		
6	danielle.benecke@bakermckenzie.com BAKER & McKENZIE LLP		
7	600 Hansen Way Palo Alto, CA 94304		
8	Telephone: +1 650 856 2400 Facsimile: +1 650 856 9299		
9	Attorneys for Defendants,		
10	VADE SECURE, INCORPORATED;		
	VADE SECURE SASU; OLIVIER LEMARIÉ		
11	[Additional counsel listed on signature page]		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
14	PROOFPOINT, INC.; CLOUDMARK	Case No. 3:19-cv-04238-MMC-RMI	
15	LLC,	Date Action Filed: July 23, 2019	
16	Plaintiffs, v.	DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO THE	
17		COURT'S ORDER (ECF NO. 214) GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING	
18	VADE SECURE, INCORPORATED; VADE SECURE SASU; OLIVIER	IN PART DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO	
19	LEMARIÉ,	FILE UNDER SEAL	
20	Defendants.		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

The Court has reviewed Defendants Vade Secure, Incorporated and Vade Secure SASU's (collectively, "Vade Defendants") administrative motion and supplemental administrative motion to file portions of Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Bart Rankin in Support of Defendants' Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Answer under seal (ECF No. 201-2). The Court's rulings (ECF No. 214) on the sealing requests are set forth in the table below:

Location of Confidential Information	Ruling
Designating Parties: Plaintiffs Location of Confidential Information: Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Bart Rankin in Support of Vade Defendants' Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Answer, Affirmative Defenses, and Counterclaims (Proposed Second Amended Answer, Affirmative Defenses, and Counterclaims)	GRANTED IN PART, DENIED IN PART
• ¶ 13, l. 18;	
• ¶ 16, ll. 15-17, 19;	
• ¶ 17, ll. 20, 22, 24-26;	
• ¶¶ 18, 19, 20 (other than the last two lines), 21;	
• ¶ 22, 11. 26, 28, 4, 6, 7, 9;	
• ¶¶ 35-37, 42-44, 57, 60-64;	
• ¶ 65, l. 17;	
• ¶ 67	
Basis for sealing Plaintiffs' information: Plaintiffs have designated the information disclosed in these paragraphs as "Confidential," "Highly Confidential – Source Code," or "Highly Confidential – Attorney's Eyes Only." Further, Plaintiffs have argued that this information should be sealed because it constitutes their "confidential commercial information, including information relating to Plaintiffs' customers (to which Plaintiffs may owe a duty of confidentiality), contract terms, and negotiation process, which could cause serious commercial and competitive harm to Plaintiffs by competitors if	

Location of Confidential Information: Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Bart Rankin in Support of Vade Defendants' Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Answer, Affirmative Defenses, Counterclaims (Proposed Second Amended Answer, Affirmative

publicly disclosed." (Lordgooei Decl. at 3, ECF No. 205.)

Defenses, and Counterclaims)

Designating Parties: Vade Defendants

GRANTED IN PART, **DENIED IN PART**

¶ 16, ll. 17–19; 1 ¶ 17, 11. 20–22, 23; 2 3 ¶ 18; ¶ 19, ll. 17–18; 4 ¶ 20, II. 27–2, 10–11; 5 ¶ 21, II. 13–15, 17–23; 6 7 ¶ 22, ll. 2–3, 10–11; 8 ¶ 37; ¶ 42, II. 14–17, 25–26; 9 \P 43, 11. 28–1, 3–9; 10 ¶ 44, Il. 12, 17–18; 11 ¶ 61, ll. 3, 10; 12 ¶ 62, ll. 16–17; 13 14 ¶ 63, ll. 26–27; ¶ 64, ll. 5–6; 15 ¶ 67. 16 Basis for sealing Vade Defendants' information: The redacted 17 information disclosed in these paragraphs and line numbers constitute the Vade Defendants' confidential business discussions and 18 confidential contractual arrangements with its existing and potential customers that the Vade Defendants maintain as strictly confidential. 19 In particular, the information to be filed under seal discloses customer names, confidential discussions of products, results of confidential 20 competitive trials, confidential contractual negotiations, confidential contractual provisions. The designated information, if 21 disclosed publicly, would result in commercial harm to the Vade Defendants. Thus, these portions of Exhibit 1 are protectable under 22 Rule 26(c), this Court's Protective Order, and should be filed under

2324

25

26

seal in this matter.

Pursuant to the Court's instructions and rulings on the sealing requests set forth above (ECF No. 214), Vade Defendants hereby submit the attached Declaration of Bart Rankin and revised redacted versions of Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Bart Rankin in Support of Defendants' Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Answer (ECF No. 201-2).

27

28

1	Dated: September 4, 2020	BAKER & McKENZIE LLP
2		By: /s/ Bart Rankin
3		•
4		Colin H. Murray (SBN 159142) colin.murray@bakermckenzie.com
5		BAKER & McKENZIE LLP Two Embarcadero Center, 11th Floor
6		San Francisco, CA 94111-3802 Telephone: +1 415 576 3000
7		Facsimile: +1 415 576 3099
8		Danielle L. Benecke (SBN 314896) danielle.benecke@bakermckenzie.com
9		BAKER & McKENZIE LLP 600 Hansen Way
10		Palo Alto, CA 94304 Telephone: +1 650 856 2400
11		Facsimile: +1 650 856 9299
12		Jay F. Utley (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) jay.utley@bakermckenzie.com
13		Bart Rankin (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) <u>bart.rankin@bakermckenzie.com</u>
14		Mackenzie M. Martin (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) mackenzie.martin@bakermckenzie.com Lebe C. Fleire (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)
15		John G. Flaim (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) john.flaim@bakermckenzie.com Chaoxuan Liu (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)
16		<u>charles.liu@bakermckenzie.com</u> Mark Ratway (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)
17		mark.ratway@bakermckenzie.com BAKER & McKENZIE LLP
18		1900 North Pearl Street, Suite 1500 Dallas, Texas 75201
19		Telephone: +1 214 978 3000 Facsimile: +1 214 978 3099
20		
21		Alexander Brauer (appearance pro hac vice) TX SBN 24038780 abrauer@baileybrauer.com
22		BAILEY BRAUER PLLC 8350 N. Central Expressway
23		Suite 650 Dallas, Texas 75206
24		Telephone: (214) 360-7433
25		Facsimile: (214) 360-7435
26		Attorneys for Defendants, Vade Secure, Incorporated; Vade Secure SASU;
27		and Olivier Lemarié
28		
		3