EXHIBIT O

```
1
                        UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                       NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                            SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 4
 5
             JOHN BAUER, an individual )
             and as Successor in
             Interest of Jacob Bauer,
 7
             deceased; ROSE BAUER, an
             individual and as
                                        )Case No.
             Successor in Interest of )3:19-cv-04593-LB
8
             Jacob Bauer, deceased,
9
                       Plaintiffs,
10
                   vs.
11
             CITY OF PLEASANTON,
12
             BRADLEE MIDDLETON;
             JONATHAN CHIN; RICHARD
13
             TROVAO; STEVEN BENNETT;
             ALEX KOUMISS; JASON
             KNIGHT; MARTY BILLDT;
14
             DAVID SPILLER; and DOES 1
15
             to 50, inclusive;
                       Defendants.
16
17
18
                               REMOTE DEPOSITION
19
                                      OF
20
                          DR. MICHAEL JOSEPH FERENC
21
                          Tuesday, February 9, 2021
                             Modesto, California
22
23
24
25
          Reported by: B. Suzanne Hull, CSR No. 13495
                                                   Page 1
```

| 1 | | APPEARANCES |
|-----|-----------------|----------------------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| | For Plaintiffs: | Gwilliam Ivary Chiosso Cavalli & |
| 5 | | Brewer |
| | | By MR. GARY J. GWILLIAM |
| 6 | | MS. JAYME L. WALKER |
| | | Attorney at Law |
| 7 | | 1999 Harrison Street |
| , | | Suite 1600 |
| 8 | | Oakland, California 94612 |
| U | | (510) 832-5411 |
| 9 | | |
| 9 | | ggwilliam@giccb.com |
| 1.0 | | jwalker@giccb.com |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | For Defendants: | McNamara, Ney, Dodge, Beatty, |
| 1.0 | | Slattery & Ambacher |
| 13 | | By MR. NOAH G. BLECHMAN |
| | | Attorney at Law |
| 14 | | 3480 Buskirk Avenue |
| | | Suite 250 |
| 15 | | Pleasant Hill, California 94523 |
| | | (925) 939-5330 |
| 16 | | noah.blechman@mcnamaralaw.com |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | | |
| | | Page 2 |

Case 3:19-cv-04593-LB Document 79-39 Filed 03/26/21 Page 4 of 17

| 1 | | INDEX | |
|----|----------------|-----------------------------------|--------|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | EXAMINATION BY | | PAGE |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | MR. BLECHMAN | | 4 |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | MR. GWILLIAM | | 97 |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | | EXHIBITS | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | EXHIBIT | DESCRIPTION | PAGE |
| 14 | Exhibit 42 - | Memorandum, To: Case File | 64 |
| 15 | | 2018-02388, From: Michael Joseph | |
| 16 | | Ferenc, M.D., dated August 2, | |
| 17 | | 2018, Re: Autopsy protocol, Bates | |
| 18 | | Stamp Numbers PPD000196 through | |
| 19 | | PPD000231, thirty-six pages | |
| 20 | Exhibit 43 - | Diagrams and handwritten notes, | 65 |
| 21 | | four pages | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| | | | Page 3 |

| 1 | Modesto, California |
|----|--|
| 2 | Tuesday, February 9, 2021; 1:05 p.m. |
| 3 | 921 Oakdale Road |
| 4 | |
| 5 | THE REPORTER: Raise your right hand, please. |
| 6 | |
| 7 | DR. MICHAEL JOSEPH FERENC, |
| 8 | called as a witness by counsel for Defendants, being |
| 9 | first duly sworn, testified as follows: |
| 10 | |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: I do. |
| 12 | |
| 13 | EXAMINATION |
| 14 | BY MR. BLECHMAN: |
| 15 | Q Good afternoon, Dr. Ferenc. |
| 16 | My name is Noah Blechman, I am counsel for the |
| 17 | defendants in this case. I'm going to be we |
| 18 | subpoenaed you here for your deposition. |
| 19 | Could you please state and spell your full name |
| 20 | for the record. |
| 21 | A My name is Michael Joseph Ferenc. My last name |
| 22 | is spelled F, as in Frank, e-r-e-n-c. |
| 23 | Q And I'm assuming, Dr. Ferenc, you have had your |
| 24 | deposition taken before? |
| 25 | A Yes, sir. |
| | Page 4 |
| | J = - |

cause is, in fact, vulnerable. It is sort of implicit in -- and they are not -- most doctors would not list this on things, but, for example, somebody could list a cofactor on somebody that falls off a roof, and he breaks a rib, and they say he has heart disease or something like that. By putting that and other significant conditions, they say, yeah. Those rib fractures were significant and, yeah. A person could die from it, but this guy probably died from it right now because he was so weak as a victim because of his bad heart disease.

Do you see what I'm saying?

Think of the -- think of the analogy of the -- and I don't want to waste your time; so I'll make this as brief as I can. The twenty-year-old Marine out of boot camp and his ninety-year-old grandfather both fall off a roof, and they both break the same ribs. The Marine recovers from it, and the ninety-year-old grandpa dies of his heart disease and his bad lungs and everything else. Those are the cofactors that made him a particular victim. They both received the same injury, which may or may not kill in and of itself, but nobody is surprised that Grandpa died. It is horrible, but nobody is surprised.

So -- and then so what did you mean by the term

Page 20

1 probable in that section of your report? Probable probably shouldn't even be there, to 2 A be quite honest. I should have just said mechanical 3 asphyxia. It is just that it interplays with all of these other factors. His cardiac hypertrophy, for 5 example, wasn't that bad. 6 7 (Reporter interruption.) THE WITNESS: His cardiac hypertrophy really 8 9 wasn't that bad. It is there, but it is not that bad. 10 His morbid obesity works in very well with the restraint system and is a fact that similar-acting 11 factors as making it likely that it was probable --12 13 probable mechanical asphyxia. I assume everybody has 14 seen the pictures and everything. Literally, he is 15 morbidly obese, and it affects his ability to breathe in 16 that position. 17 Okay. And -- and so his morbid obesity -- was 0 18 his morbid obesity a significant condition, in and of 19 itself, irrespective of the way he was restrained? 2.0 Yes, sir. Α 21 It is something that makes him a sicker victim 22 in general for almost anything, including if no 23 restraint was involved and nothing else is happening 24 there. Morbid obesity and that methamphetamine level could be on another case with a different fact pattern 25 Page 21

```
1
               MR. BLECHMAN: Did you get that last answer,
 2
     Madam Court Reporter?
 3
               THE REPORTER: Yes, I did.
 4
               (Requested portion of record read.)
 5
     BY MR. BLECHMAN:
 6
               Okay. Now -- and then when you use the term
 7
     mechanical asphyxia in your report, what does that mean
     to you?
 8
 9
         Α
               It means -- I am trying to use it to mean how
10
     the body is positioned and how the positioning of the
11
     body with those other factors we have just been talking
     about interact to prevent him from being able to breathe
12
13
     enough.
14
         0
              And when you included probable mechanical
15
     asphyxia while being placed in the restraint by police,
16
     I'm assuming you are referring to that wrap device in
     terms of the lower body sort of attaches and puts
17
18
     somebody in sort of an L position device?
19
         Α
              Yes, sir.
20
              The wrap, which is a very impressive device as
21
     far as I am concerned, very good. But in somebody that
22
     is morbidly obese, as you can see, when he is jackknifed
     or turned into the 90 degree angle, his belly is being
23
24
     pushed into his chest cavity, impairing his breathing.
              So that is how you would explain how placing
25
                                                       Page 26
```

1 Mr. Bauer in that device caused probable mechanical asphyxia in your opinion as another significant 2 3 condition? A Yes. That is the most important component. The 5 other one would be the spit mask on his face, although 6 you usually can breathe through that, but it doesn't 7 8 help. It is not making it easier. 9 You didn't mention the spit mask in your 10 report, did you? I think I described it in the history that 11 there was a spit mask on his face, but by the time he 12 13 got to us I don't think he still had it. I would have 14 to go look at my pictures again. 15 Right. I don't believe he did. 0 16 But you didn't include the spit mask in here in terms of any other significant condition, other than in 17 18 a description you might have done in terms of seeing 19 video and that type of thing, did you? 2.0 Α No. 21 But I consider it part of the mechanical 22 asphyxia. It is like the wrap is -- for example, 23 officers are pushing against his back while he was in 24 the thing. I didn't mention the officers pushing on the back either, but it is just the overall combination of 25 Page 27

```
1
      opinion.
 2
         Q
               Okay.
               By the way, is the audio better?
         Α
              Yes. It is much better.
 4
         Q
         Α
               1990 technology comes to the rescue.
 6
         0
               I love it.
 7
              Did you, yourself, review any of the paramedic
     reports or the fire department personnel reports as part
 8
 9
      of your death investigation?
10
               The only one that I can comment on is the fact
11
      that I did look at the paramedic plus report because
      I listed its number in my report. If the fire
12
13
     department had separate reports, I did not see them or
     at least I don't remember them.
14
              Okay. So I understand you have a note as part
15
     of page two about the sedative issue; is that correct?
16
17
              Yeah. Just a minor note, yes, sir.
         A
              And what was the purpose of including that note
18
     in the report?
19
              It is sort of like the same concept as the spit
20
21
     mask, which I didn't mention. I just mention some
22
     things sometimes to say, okay. Two years from now, when
23
     you are doing the dep, or three years, whatever it is,
24
     can you remember this issue?
25
              And it is just if you are going through the
                                                       Page 46
```

```
1
     tapes trying to time when -- when he becomes
 2
     unresponsive and when his cardiovascular system is
 3
     probably collapsing, it is just interesting that the
     midazolam doesn't get into his blood circulation after
 4
 5
     the intramuscular injection, which would suggest that by
     the time they are giving it to him, his cardiovascular
 6
     system has probably collapsed.
7
 8
              So whatever that is, when somebody really
9
     analyzes closely the -- the tapes as to time, that is
10
     probably when his system is collapsing. Because it
11
     should have been detected. It is not hard and fast, but
12
     I just would have expected it because intramuscular
13
     injection of drugs -- I mean, even I have done it
14
     decades ago -- can act very fast. (It can get into the
15
     bloodstream very fast.
16
              Were you, yourself, able to determine from your
17
     review of the video evidence when it was that
18
     Mr. Bauer's circulatory -- circulatory system either
     collapsed or was collapsing?
19
20
              It is just later in the process. I think
         A
21
     I make a comment about -- let's see if I do make
22
     a comment before I state what I don't know.
23
              Well, it has the eight minutes plus because it
24
     is approximately eight minutes before Mr. Bauer was on
25
     the gurney and paramedics were given full access to him;
                                                      Page 47
```

so more than that number of minutes, he was probably 2 either already in cardiovascular collapse or in the process of going into shock with collapse. 3 4 How would somebody like Mr. Bauer -- how would Q 5 somebody who is around Mr. Bauer -- like the police officers, for example, here -- determine that 6 7 Mr. Bauer's circulatory system is collapsing? Well, in general, you would check for a pulse. 8 Α 9 You would check for blood pressure. You check to see if 10 he responds to you at all, and purposely not simply saying are you all right or whatever kind of stuff, 11 seeing if he responds. Seeing if his pupils are 12 13 responding. These are really medical procedures that 14 should be done by the paramedics, but just basic things 15 to see if somebody is alive. 16 Could somebody -- would Mr. Bauer be talking and yelling and those type of things if his circulatory 17 18 system was collapsing? He could be in initial phases, but he wouldn't 19 20 be by the time he is in imminent collapse or heavy 21 collapse. Of course he would be unconscious or in the 22 process of dying. Do you think the -- so is it your thought that 23 24 because no midazolam was found in his system that that did not factor into his cause of death? 25 Page 48

| 1 | A Yes. | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | I'm sorry. Thanks for correcting me because | |
| 3 | those rib fractures, to me, again, are another | |
| 4 | incidental finding from the resuscitation; so I keep | |
| 5 | forgetting them. I apologize. | |
| 6 | Q That's okay. | |
| 7 | But other than that, no other fractures; right? | |
| 8 | A No, sir. | |
| 9 | Q And when you and and you actually looked | |
| 10 | at his head and his scalp and inside of his skull to | |
| 11 | determine whether there is any significant findings. | |
| 12 | And you did not find any; is that correct? | |
| 13 | A That is correct, sir. | |
| 14 | Q So there was no indication of any head injury | |
| 15 | that would have caused his death; right? | |
| 16 | A No, sir. | |
| 17 | Q Or contributed to his death. | |
| 18 | Is that also correct? | |
| 19 | A That is correct. | |
| 20 | Q Just so it is clear for the record, I'm going | |
| 21 | to mark your report, which we produced in this case as | |
| 22 | PPD196 through 231, that will be the exhibit next in | |
| 23 | order. I believe we are at forty-two. | |
| 24 | (Deposition Exhibit Number 42) | |
| 25 | was marked for identification.) | |
| | Page 64 | |

```
1
         Α
               Yes, sir. Presumably, yes.
 2
              MR. GWILLIAM: Where is this? Tell me where
     that is.
 3
     BY MR. BLECHMAN:
 4
               It is the third -- this one down that says
     42:12.
 6
 7
               Okay. There is another one that is
     thirty-eight minutes, fifty-eight seconds, and you list
 8
 9
     that number, to some extent, in the middle of that.
10
              Do you see that?
11
         Α
              Yes.
12
              There is nothing after it. And I just remember
     in general some of these didn't have any information
13
     that helped or it was completely redundant; so I didn't
14
     make any notes there.
15
              Okay.
16
              You know, I probably used that one, yeah.
17
         A
              And -- all right. So a lot of these are maybe
18
     shorthand notes. And I know you were typing without
19
20
     really looking because you are trying to just get
21
     information down.
               But these are the notes that you are making
22
     watching the video that you are relying upon for your
23
24
     opinions about the cause of death and the contributing
     factors; right?
25
                                                       Page 71
```

```
1
         Α
               Yes.
               And to summarize information in my report where
 2
 3
      I list circumstances of death report.
               Like --
 4
         Q
         Α
               The first few.
 6
         O
               Okay. Yeah.
 7
               If you would look under the 38:10 section,
     which is the second full paragraph to that section.
 8
 9
               You do talk about -- you say:
                   "Moving air, 20-30/MIN," period.
10
11
               What did that mean?
               At -- at that point in the video he still
12
         Α
13
      seemed to be moving air at a slightly increased rate,
14
     not the normal about sixteen to twenty a minute but
15
     twenty to thirty a minute.
16
               And is that respirations?
         Q
17
         Α
              Yes, sir. I'm sorry. It is respirations.
18
         Q
               Okay.
19
         Α
              Moving air.
              Okay. And -- okay. In the next section,
20
         0
21
     42:12, you say something about:
22
                   "Mask, face purple, moving air, screaming,
              quiet since 17:30."
23
24
              Is that what that means?
              It means basically that I observed that
25
                                                        Page 72
```

1 although the mask is on his face, I can see his face is turning purple. Initially he is moving air and 2 screaming, which is obvious if he is screaming. But 3 then he got quiet. 5 Did you have any concerns about your evaluation of the spit mask causing any distortion of the color of 6 7 Mr. Bauer's face in any of your evaluation? I think it might have had an effect on the 8 Α 9 lower part of his face, but I was referring to the parts 10 of his face you can see without the mask on. What do you mean by that? 11 Well, the mask -- if the mask in some way 12 Α 13 affected the lower part of his face where it is covering 14 the edge of his nose and his mouth, I can't see that to 15 comment on it. I'm talking about the parts I can see 16 that aren't affected by the mask. 17 Q Right. The reason I ask is I believe some of the fire 18 19 department personnel testified that because of the sun 20 shining and the sheen of the mask, it was not always 21 easy to tell if, you know, they are seeing a coloring 22 based upon that reflection or based upon Mr. Bauer's 23 face; so I wanted to kind of ask you the same question. 24 Did you think -- well, how confident are you 25 about seeing his face turning purple at some point? Page 73

| 1 | STATE OF CALIFORNIA) |
|------------|--|
| |) ss. |
| 2 | COUNTY OF KERN) |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | I, B. Suzanne Hull, a Certified Shorthand |
| 6 | Reporter in the State of California, holding |
| 7 | Certificate Number 13495, do hereby certify that |
| 8 | DR. MICHAEL JOSEPH FERENC, the witness named in the |
| 9 | foregoing deposition, was by me duly sworn; that said |
| L 0 | deposition, was taken Tuesday, February 9, 2021, at the |
| 11 | time and place set forth on the first page hereof. |
| 12 | That upon the taking of the deposition, the |
| 13 | words of the witness were written down by me in |
| L 4 | stenotypy and thereafter transcribed by computer under |
| 15 | my supervision; that the foregoing is a true and correct |
| 16 | transcript of the testimony given by the witness. |
| 17 | Pursuant to Federal Rule 30(e), transcript |
| 18 | review was requested. |
| 19 | I further certify that I am neither counsel for |
| 20 | nor in any way related to any party to said action, nor |
| 21 | in any way interested in the result or outcome thereof. |
| 22 | Dated this 11th day of February, 2021, at |
| 23 | Bakersfield, California. |
| 24 | Dannesfell |
| 25 | Con guiros from |
| | B. Suzanne Hull, CSR No. 13495 |
| | |

Page 109