Physics GR8049 Quantum Field Theory III Assignment # 1

Benjamin Church

February 12, 2019

1 Unitarity Bound

1.1

Consider the conformal algebra with generators D, K_{μ} , P_{μ} , and $M_{\mu\nu}$ satisfying the standard (Euclidean) commutation relations of which I only write down the relevant ones,

$$\begin{split} [D, P_{\mu}] &= P_{\mu} \\ [D, K_{\mu}] &= -K_{\mu} \\ [K_{\mu}, P_{\nu}] &= 2 \left(\delta_{\mu\nu} D - M_{\mu\nu} \right) \\ [M_{\mu\nu}, P_{\rho}] &= \delta_{\nu\rho} P_{\mu} - \delta_{\mu\rho} P_{\nu} \\ [M_{\mu\nu}, K_{\rho}] &= \delta_{\nu\rho} K_{\mu} - \delta_{\mu\rho} K_{\nu} \end{split}$$

Let $|\Delta\rangle = \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(0) |\Omega\rangle$ be a spinless primary state corresponding to the spinless primary operator $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(x)$ with scaling dimension Δ i.e. $M_{\mu\nu} |\Delta\rangle = 0$ and $K_{\mu} |\Delta\rangle = 0$. This state has scaling dimension Δ i.e. $D |\Delta\rangle = \Delta |\Delta\rangle$. Then consider the combination,

$$\begin{split} \langle \Delta | \, K_{\mu} K_{\nu} P_{\rho} P_{\sigma} \, | \Delta \rangle &= \langle \Delta | \, K_{\mu} [K_{\nu}, P_{\rho}] P_{\sigma} \, | \Delta \rangle + \langle \Delta | \, K_{\mu} P_{\rho} K_{\nu} P_{\sigma} \, | \Delta \rangle \\ &= \langle \Delta | \, K_{\mu} 2 (\delta_{\nu \rho} D - M_{\nu \rho}) P_{\sigma} \, | \Delta \rangle + \langle \Delta | \, K_{\mu} P_{\rho} [K_{\nu}, P_{\sigma}] \, | \Delta \rangle + \langle \Delta | \, K_{\mu} P_{\rho} P_{\sigma} K_{\nu} \, | \Delta \rangle \end{split}$$

Where I have used the fact that $K_{\nu} |\Delta\rangle = 0$ since $|\Delta\rangle$ is primary. Then we have,

$$\begin{split} \langle \Delta | \, K_{\mu} K_{\nu} P_{\rho} P_{\sigma} \, | \Delta \rangle &= \langle \Delta | \, K_{\mu} 2 (\delta_{\nu\rho} D - M_{\nu\rho}) P_{\sigma} \, | \Delta \rangle + \langle \Delta | \, K_{\mu} P_{\rho} 2 (\delta_{\mu\sigma} D - M_{\mu\sigma}) \, | \Delta \rangle \\ &= \langle \Delta | \, K_{\mu} 2 [\delta_{\nu\rho} D - M_{\nu\rho}, P_{\sigma}] \, | \Delta \rangle + \langle \Delta | \, K_{\mu} P_{\sigma} 2 (\delta_{\nu\rho} D - M_{\nu\rho}) \, | \Delta \rangle + \langle \Delta | \, K_{\mu} P_{\rho} 2 \delta_{\mu\sigma} \Delta \, | \Delta \rangle \\ &= 2 \, \langle \Delta | \, K_{\mu} (\delta_{\nu\rho} P_{\sigma} - (\delta_{\rho\sigma} P_{\nu} - \delta_{\nu\sigma} P_{\rho})) \, | \Delta \rangle + 2 (\delta_{\mu\sigma} + \delta_{\nu\sigma}) \Delta \, \langle \Delta | \, K_{\mu} P_{\rho} \, | \Delta \rangle \end{split}$$

Therefore, taking traces,

$$\langle \Delta | K_{\mu}K^{\mu}P_{\rho}P^{\rho} | \Delta \rangle = 2 \langle \Delta | K_{\mu}(\delta^{\mu\rho}P_{\rho} - (d\delta^{\mu\nu}P_{\nu} - \delta^{\mu\rho}P_{\rho})) | \Delta \rangle + 4\delta^{\mu\rho}\Delta \langle \Delta | K_{\mu}P_{\rho} | \Delta \rangle$$
$$= 2(2 - d + 2\Delta) \langle \Delta | K^{\mu}P_{\mu} | \Delta \rangle$$

However, in radial quantization we have the relation $P^{\dagger}_{\mu}=K_{\mu}$ and thus,

$$|P_{\mu}P^{\mu}|\Delta\rangle|^{2} = \langle\Delta|P_{\mu}^{\dagger}(P^{\mu})^{\dagger}P_{\rho}P^{\rho}\ker\Delta = \langle\Delta|K_{\mu}K^{\mu}P_{\rho}P^{\rho}\ker\Delta \geq 0$$

Furthermore,

$$\langle \Delta | K^{\mu} P_{\mu} | \Delta \rangle = \delta^{\mu\rho} \langle \Delta | P_{\rho}^{\dagger} P_{\mu} | \Delta \rangle = \sum_{\mu} |P_{\mu} | \Delta \rangle |^{2} \ge 0$$

Since both sides of the derived expression must be positive and $\langle \Delta | K_{\mu} P_{\rho} | \Delta \rangle \geq 0$ we find that,

$$2-d+2\Delta \ge 0 \implies \Delta \ge \frac{d-2}{2}$$

giving the unitarity bound in arbitrary dimensions.

1.2

Using the scalar equations of motion, we find that, for a scalar of mass m, the primary scaling dimension must satisfy,

$$\Delta(\Delta - d) = m^2$$

which has solutions,

$$\Delta_{\pm} = \frac{d}{2} \pm \sqrt{m^2 + \left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^2}$$

Since the modes have asymptotic behavior $r^{-\Delta}$ basic conditions on normalizability imply that $\Delta > 0$. The range in which normalization issue do not arise for either choice of Δ occurs when both Δ_+ and Δ_- are positive. Therefore, we must have,

$$\frac{d}{2} > \sqrt{m^2 + \left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^2} \implies m^2 < 0$$

However, the scaling dimension Δ cannot become complex since this will violate the Hermiticity of D whose eigenvalues are Δ . Therefore, we are limited by the minimum value of the quadratic $\Delta(\Delta - d)$ over the reals which occurs at $\Delta = \frac{d}{2}$ and gives,

$$m^2 \ge -\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^2$$

Therefore, the consistent range of masses for two choices of boundary conditions is,

$$-\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^2 \le m^2 \le 0$$

1.3

Suppose we choose to quantize both modes with "standard" and "alternate" boundary conditions simultaneously. Then we have a mode expansion containing both,

$$\phi_{+}(t,x) = \frac{e^{-i\Delta_{+}t}}{(1+r^{2})^{\Delta_{+}/2}}$$
 and $\phi_{-}(t,x) = \frac{e^{-i\Delta_{-}t}}{(1+r^{2})^{\Delta_{-}/2}}$

However, we quantize with respect to the Klein-Gordon inner product,

$$(\phi_1, \phi_2) = -i \int \sqrt{-g} g^{tt} d^3x \left(\phi_1^* \partial_t \phi_2 - \phi_2 \partial_t \phi_1^* \right)$$

However, applying this inner product to the plus and minus modes we find,

$$(\phi_{+}, \phi_{-}) = -i \int \sqrt{-g} g^{tt} d^{3}x \left(\phi_{+}^{*} \partial_{t} \phi_{-} - \phi_{-} \partial_{t} \phi_{+}^{*} \right)$$

$$= -\int \sqrt{-g} g^{tt} d^{3}x \left(1 + r^{2} \right)^{-\frac{\Delta_{+} + \Delta_{-}}{2}} \left(e^{i(\Delta_{+} - \Delta_{-})t} \Delta_{-} + e^{i(\Delta_{+} - \Delta_{-})t} \Delta_{+} \right)$$

$$= -(\Delta_{-} + \Delta_{+}) e^{i(\Delta_{+} - \Delta_{-})t} \int \sqrt{-g} g^{tt} d^{3}x \left(1 + r^{2} \right)^{-\frac{\Delta_{+} + \Delta_{-}}{2}}$$

which is both nonzero and time-dependent. Since ϕ_+ and ϕ_- are supposed to be energy modes with different energy eigenvalues, namely Δ_+ and Δ_- respectively, if \hat{H} is Hermitian then they must be orthogonal. However, since they are not in fact orthogonal, this quantization prescription violates the Hermiticity of \hat{H} and thus the unitarity of the time evolution operator $e^{-i\hat{H}t}$. More directly, unitary time evolution implies that inner products are invariant under time translation and therefore cannot be time-dependent as we observed the inner product (ϕ_+, ϕ_-) to be.

2 Boundary Correlators of EAdS and Conformal Symmetry

2.1

The boundary two-point function has the expression,

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(\vec{u})\mathcal{O}(\vec{u}')\rangle = \frac{c_{\Delta}}{|\vec{u} - \vec{u}'|^{2\Delta}}$$

where the constant c_{Δ} is determined by matching the propagator in Minkowski space in the short distance limit to be,

$$c_{\Delta} = \frac{\Gamma(\Delta)}{2\pi^{d/2}\Gamma(\Delta - 1 - \frac{d}{2})}$$

We have show that there is a unitarity bound,

$$\Delta \ge \frac{d-2}{2}$$

When Δ crosses this threshold, the argument of the denominator Gamma function becomes negative. Furthermore, $\Gamma(x)$ as x crosses zero diverges to $+\infty$ and then comes back from $-\infty$ as x becomes negative. Thus $\Gamma(x)$ crosses from being positive to negative as its argument does. This is more clearly summarized by Taylor expanding,

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(x)} = x + O(x^2)$$

Thus, we have, about $\epsilon = 0$ where $\epsilon = \Delta - \frac{d-2}{2}$ we have,

$$c_{\Delta} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)}{2\pi^{d/2}}\epsilon + O(\epsilon^2)$$

Thus as we lower Δ past the unitarity bound $\frac{d-2}{2}$ (i.e. take ϵ negative) the coefficient c_{Δ} changes sign (passing though zero) from positive to negative and, since all other terms remain well-defined in this transition, the two-point function must also become negative. Intriguingly, exactly at the unitarity bound $\Delta = \frac{d-2}{2}$ the constant c_{Δ} vanishes and thus,

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(\vec{u})\mathcal{O}(\vec{u}')\rangle = 0$$

First, consider EAdS in Euclidean global coordinates,

$$X^{0} = \sqrt{1 + r^{2}} \cosh t$$
 $X^{d} = \sqrt{1 + r^{2}} \sinh t$ $X^{i} = x^{i}$

in which the metric becomes,

$$ds^{2} = (1+r^{2})dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{1+r^{2}} + r^{2}d\Omega^{2}$$

In Euclidean EAdS the length inner product becomes,

$$P = X^{I}Y_{I} = -X^{0}Y^{0} + X^{I}Y^{I} = -\sqrt{(1+r^{2})(1+r'^{2})}\cosh(t-t') + rr'\cos\eta$$

where η is the angle between x^i and y^i on the boundary sphere. We have shown that the two-point function in the large-distance limit becomes,

$$G_{\Delta} \to c_{\Delta}(-2p)^{-\Delta}$$

Therefore, setting r = r' and taking the limit $r \to \infty$ gives,

$$P = r^2 \left(-\cosh\left(t - t'\right) + \cos\eta\right)$$

Thus, define the boundary operator via,

$$\mathcal{O}(t,\Omega) = \lim_{r \to \infty} r^{\Delta} \phi(r,t,\Omega)$$

such that the boundary two-point function becomes,

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(t,\Omega)\mathcal{O}(t',\Omega')\rangle = \lim_{r \to \infty} r^{2\Delta} \langle \phi(r,t,\Omega)\phi(r,t',\Omega')\rangle = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{r^{2\Delta}c_{\Delta}}{2^{\Delta}r^{2\Delta}(\cosh(t-t')-\cos\eta)^{\Delta}}$$
$$= \frac{c_{\Delta}}{2^{\Delta}(\cosh(t-t')-\cos\eta)^{\Delta}}$$

Second, consider EAdS in Euclidean hyperbolic ball coordinates,

$$X^{I} = \frac{2y^{I}}{1 - |y|^{2}}$$
 $X^{0} = \frac{1 + |y|^{2}}{1 - |y|^{2}}$

where I restrict,

$$|y|^2 = \sum_{I=1}^{d+1} (y^I)^2 < 1$$

In these coordinates, the ambient coordinate dot product becomes,

$$P = X^{I}X_{I} = -\frac{1+|y|^{2}}{1-|y|^{2}} \frac{1+|y'|^{2}}{1-|y'|^{2}} + \frac{4y^{I}y'_{I}}{(1-|y|^{2})(1-|y'|^{2})}$$

In the case that |y| = |y'| (preparing to take the limits of both to the boundary). Then we have,

$$P = -\left(\frac{1+|y|^2}{1-|y|^2}\right)^2 + \frac{4y^Iy_I'}{(1-|y'|^2)} = -\frac{1+2|y|^2-4y^Iy_I'+|y|^4}{(1-|y|^2)^2} = -1 + \frac{4(y^Iy_I'-|y|^2)}{(1-|y|^2)^2}$$

Thus, in the limit $|y|^2 \to 1$ we find,

$$P = \frac{4(y^I y_I' - |y|^2)}{(1 - |y|^2)^2}$$

Thus, define the boundary operator via,

$$\mathcal{O}(y^I) = \lim_{|y| \to 1} (1 - |y|^2)^{-\Delta} \phi(y^I)$$

such that the boundary two-point function becomes,

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(y^I) \mathcal{O}(y'^I) \rangle = \lim_{|y| \to 1} (1 - |y|^2)^{-2\Delta} \langle \phi(y^I) \phi(y'^I) \rangle = \lim_{|y| \to 1} (1 - |y|^2)^{-2\Delta} \frac{(1 - |y|^2)^{2\Delta} c_{\Delta}}{8^{\Delta} (1 - y^I y'^I)^{\Delta}}$$

$$= \frac{c_{\Delta}}{8^{\Delta} (1 - y^I y'_I)^{\Delta}}$$

2.3

In Euclidean Poincare coordinates, consider a change of coordinates $z = \lambda \tilde{z}$ and $\vec{u} = \lambda \tilde{u}$ with $\lambda > 0$ some constant. Then the bulk metric becomes,

$$ds^2 = \frac{d\vec{u}^2 + dz^2}{z^2} = \frac{d\vec{u}^2 + d\tilde{z}^2}{\tilde{z}^2}$$

and thus this scaling is an isometry. In these new coordinates, the boundary metric and operators are defined via,

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d}\tilde{\sigma}^2 &= \lim_{\tilde{z} \to 0} \tilde{z}^2 \mathrm{d}s^2 \mid_{\tilde{z}} = \mathrm{d}\vec{\tilde{u}}^2 \\ \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\vec{\tilde{u}}^2) &= \lim_{\tilde{z} \to 0} \tilde{z}^{-\Delta} \tilde{\phi}(\tilde{z}, \vec{\tilde{u}}) = \lim_{\tilde{z} \to 0} (z/\lambda)^{-\Delta} \phi(z, u) = \lambda^{\Delta} \mathcal{O}(\vec{u}) = \lambda^{\Delta} \mathcal{O}(\lambda \tilde{\tilde{u}}) \end{split}$$

Now consider the two-point function expressed in terms of these new boundary operators in the new coordinates.

$$\left\langle \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\vec{u})\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\vec{u}')\right\rangle = \left\langle \lambda^{\Delta}\mathcal{O}(\lambda\vec{u})\lambda^{\Delta}\mathcal{O}(\lambda\vec{u}')\right\rangle = \lambda^{2\Delta}\left\langle \mathcal{O}(\lambda\vec{u})\mathcal{O}(\lambda\vec{u}')\right\rangle = \frac{\lambda^{2\Delta}c_{\Delta}}{|\lambda^{2}\vec{v}-\lambda^{2}\vec{v}'|^{2}} = \frac{c_{\Delta}}{|\vec{u}-\vec{u}'|^{2}}$$

Therefore, the two-point function of the new boundary operators in the new boundary coordinates takes exactly the same form as the old operators in the old coordinates.

2.4

In Poincare coordinates, consider a coordinate transformation $z = Z(\tilde{z}, \tilde{u})$ and $u^i = U^i(\tilde{z}, \tilde{u})$ such that we preserve the metric,

$$ds^2 = \frac{(du^2 + dz^2)}{z^2} = \frac{(d\tilde{u}^2 + d\tilde{z}^2)}{\tilde{z}^2}$$

We care about the metric near the boundary so we Taylor expand about $\tilde{z}0$. Since such isometries must preserve the boundary, they map z=0 to $\tilde{z}=0$. Therefore we may expand,

$$z = (\partial_{\tilde{z}} Z(\tilde{z}, \tilde{u})|_{\tilde{z}=0}) \, \tilde{z} + O(\tilde{z}^2)$$

Let $\gamma(\tilde{u}) = \partial_{\tilde{z}} Z(\tilde{z}, \tilde{u})|_{\tilde{z}=0}$. Then plugging in with $d\tilde{z} = 0$ we find,

$$ds^{2} = \frac{du^{2} + d\gamma(\tilde{u})^{2}\tilde{z}^{2} + O(\tilde{z})}{\gamma(\tilde{u})^{2}\tilde{z}^{2} + O(\tilde{z}^{3})} = \frac{du^{2} + d\gamma(\tilde{u})^{2}\tilde{z}^{2}}{\gamma(\tilde{u})^{2}\tilde{z}^{2}} + O(\tilde{z}^{-1}) = \frac{du^{2}}{\gamma(\tilde{u})^{2}\tilde{z}^{2}} + O(1)$$

Furthermore, using the fact that this transformation preserves the metric we find (recalling that we set $d\tilde{z} = 0$),

$$ds^2 = \frac{d\tilde{u}^2}{\tilde{z}^2} = \frac{du^2}{\gamma(\tilde{u})^2 \tilde{z}^2} + O(1)$$

and thus.

$$\gamma(\tilde{u})^2 \mathrm{d}\tilde{u}^2 = \mathrm{d}u^2 + O(\tilde{z}^2)$$

and therefore, in the limit $z \to 0$ we find,

$$\gamma(\tilde{u})^2 \mathrm{d}\tilde{u}^2 = \mathrm{d}u^2$$

or equivalently,

$$d\tilde{\sigma}^2 = \lim_{\tilde{z} \to 0} \tilde{z}^2 ds^2 = \lim_{\tilde{z} \to 0} d\tilde{u}^2 = \lim_{\tilde{z} \to 0} \left[\frac{du^2}{\gamma(\tilde{u})^2} + O(\tilde{z}^2) \right] = \frac{du^2}{\gamma(\tilde{u})^2} = \frac{d\sigma^2}{\gamma(\tilde{u})^2}$$

Therefore the conformal factor is

$$\rho(\tilde{u}) = \gamma(\tilde{u}) = (\partial_{\tilde{z}} Z(\tilde{z}, \tilde{u})|_{\tilde{z}=0})$$

Furthermore, the boundary operators can be written as.

$$\mathcal{O}(u) = \lim_{z \to 0} z^{-\Delta} \phi(z, u) = \lim_{z \to 0} (z/\tilde{z})^{-\Delta} \tilde{z}^{-\Delta} \tilde{\phi}(\tilde{z}, \tilde{u}) = \lim_{z \to 0} (Z(\tilde{z}, \tilde{u})/\tilde{z})^{-\Delta} \lim_{z \to 0} \tilde{z}^{-\Delta} \tilde{\phi}(\tilde{z}, \tilde{u})$$
$$= (\partial_{\tilde{z}} Z(\tilde{z}, \tilde{u})|_{\tilde{z}=0})^{-\Delta} \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\tilde{u}) = \gamma(\tilde{u})^{-\Delta} \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\tilde{u})$$

Therefore,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\tilde{u}) = \gamma(\tilde{u})^{\Delta} \mathcal{O}(U(\tilde{z}, \tilde{u}))$$

2.5

Consider the coordinate transformation from Euclidean Poincare coordinates to Euclidean global coordinates given by,

$$t = \log \sqrt{\vec{u}^2 + z^2} \xrightarrow{z \to 0} \log |u|$$
 $x^i = \frac{u^i}{z}$

Now consider the transformation between boundary operators,

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{cyl}}(t,\Omega) = \lim_{r \to \infty} r^{\Delta} \phi(r,t,\Omega) = \lim_{z \to 0} \frac{|u|^{\Delta}}{z^{\Delta}} \phi(z,u) = |u|^{\Delta} \lim_{z \to 0} z^{-\Delta} \phi(z,u) = |u|^{\Delta} \mathcal{O}_{\text{pl}}(u)$$

Using the inverse transformation,

$$z = \frac{e^t}{\sqrt{1+r^2}}$$
 $\vec{u} = \frac{\vec{x}e^t}{\sqrt{1+r^2}} \xrightarrow{r \to \infty} \vec{\Omega}e^t$

we can also go the opposite direction to find,

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{pl}}(u) = \lim_{z \to 0} z^{-\Delta} \phi(z, u) = \lim_{r \to \infty} e^{-t\Delta} (1 + r^2)^{\Delta/2} \phi(r, t, \Omega) = e^{-t\Delta} \lim_{r \to \infty} r^{\Delta} \phi(r, t, \Omega) = e^{-t\Delta} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{cyl}}(t, \Omega)$$

Furthermore, these are compatible because $e^t = |u|$. Now consider the conformal two-point function,

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{\text{cyl}}(t,\Omega)\mathcal{O}_{\text{cyl}}(t',\Omega')\rangle = (|u||u'|)^{\Delta} \langle \mathcal{O}_{\text{pl}}(u)\mathcal{O}_{\text{pl}}(u')\rangle = \frac{c_{\Delta}(|u||u'|)^{\Delta}}{|\vec{u} - \vec{u}'|^{2\Delta}}$$

$$= \frac{c_{\Delta}e^{(t+t')\Delta}}{|\vec{\Omega}e^{t} - \vec{\Omega}'e^{t'}|^{2\Delta}} = \frac{c_{\Delta}}{\left|\vec{\Omega}e^{\frac{1}{2}(t-t')\Delta} - \vec{\Omega}'e^{\frac{1}{2}(t'-t)\Delta}\right|^{2\Delta}}$$

However,

$$\left| \vec{\Omega} e^{\frac{1}{2}(t-t')\Delta} - \vec{\Omega}' e^{\frac{1}{2}(t'-t)\Delta} \right|^2 = |\vec{\Omega}|^2 e^{(t-t')\Delta} + |\vec{\Omega}'|^2 e^{(t'-t)\Delta} - 2\vec{\Omega} \cdot \vec{\Omega}'$$

$$= (e^{(t-t')\Delta} + e^{(t'-t)}) - 2\cos\eta = 2\left(\cosh(t-t') - \cos\eta\right)$$

Therefore,

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{\text{cyl}}(t,\Omega)\mathcal{O}_{\text{cyl}}(t',\Omega')\rangle = \frac{c_{\Delta}}{2^{\Delta}\left(\cosh\left(t-t'\right)-\cos\eta\right)^{\Delta}}$$

which is exactly the two-point function we computed earlier in Euclidean global coordinates. Likewise, we may check this result using the forward transformation,

$$\begin{split} \langle \mathcal{O}_{\rm pl}(u) \mathcal{O}_{\rm pl}(u') \rangle &= (|u||u'|)^{-\Delta} \, \langle \mathcal{O}_{\rm cyl}(t,\Omega) \mathcal{O}_{\rm cyl}(t',\Omega') \rangle = \frac{c_{\Delta}(|u||u'|)^{-\Delta}}{2^{\Delta} \, (\cosh{(t-t')} - \cos{\eta})^{\Delta}} \\ &= \frac{c_{\Delta}(|u||u'|)^{-\Delta}}{2^{\Delta} \, (\cosh{(\log{|u|} - \log{|u'|})} - (|u||u'|)^{-1}\vec{u} \cdot \vec{u}')^{\Delta}} \\ &= \frac{c_{\Delta}(|u||u'|)^{-\Delta}}{\left(\frac{|u|}{|u'|} + \frac{|u'|}{|u|} - 2(|u||u'|)^{-1}\vec{u} \cdot \vec{u}'\right)^{\Delta}} = \frac{c_{\Delta}}{(|u|^{2} + |u'|^{2} - 2\vec{u} \cdot \vec{u}')^{\Delta}} \\ &= \frac{c_{\Delta}}{|\vec{u} - \vec{u}'|^{2\Delta}} \end{split}$$

which is exactly the conformal two-point function in Poincare coordinates.

2.6

Consider the Euclidean generators M_{ij} , P_i , K_i and D which are given in Poincare coordinates as,

$$M_{ij} = -i(u^{i}\partial_{u^{j}} - u^{j}\partial_{u^{i}})$$

$$D = -i(u^{i}\partial_{u^{i}} + z\partial_{z})$$

$$P_{i} = -i\partial_{u^{i}}$$

$$K_{i} = -i(u^{2} + z^{2})\partial_{u^{i}} + 2iu^{i}(u^{j}\partial_{u^{j}} + z\partial_{z})$$

Such transformations act on the conformal boundary operators under a transformation $\delta \phi = i \epsilon G \phi$ via,

$$\delta \mathcal{O} = \lim_{z \to 0} z^{-\Delta} \delta \phi = i\epsilon \lim_{z \to 0} z^{-\Delta} G \phi = i\epsilon \left(G \lim z^{-\Delta} \phi - \lim_{z \to 0} [G, z^{-\Delta}] \phi \right) = \mathcal{G} \mathcal{O}$$

Therefore, first we need to compute the commutators,

$$[M_{ij}, z^{-\Delta}] = 0$$

$$[D, z^{-\Delta}] = i\Delta z^{-\Delta}$$

$$[P_i, z^{-\Delta}] = 0$$

$$[K_i, z^{-\Delta}] = -2iu^i\Delta z^{-\Delta}$$

Therefore, we can write,

$$[G, z^{-\Delta}] = He^{-\Delta}$$

for some function H simplifying,

$$\delta\mathcal{O} = i\epsilon \left(G \lim_{z \to 0} z^{-\Delta} \phi - \lim_{z \to 0} H z^{-\Delta} \phi \right) = i\epsilon \left(G \mathcal{O} - H \mathcal{O} \right)$$

Therefore, plugging in for the given generators,

$$M_{ij} \implies \delta \mathcal{O} = \epsilon \left(u^i \partial_{u^j} - u^j \partial_{u^i} \right) \mathcal{O}(u)$$

$$D \implies \delta \mathcal{O} = \epsilon \left(u^i \partial_{u^i} + \Delta \right) \mathcal{O}$$

$$P_i \implies \delta \mathcal{O} = \epsilon \partial_{u^i} \mathcal{O}$$

$$K_i \implies \delta \mathcal{O} = \epsilon \left(u^2 \partial_{u^i} - 2u^i u^j \partial_{u^j} - 2u^i \Delta \right) \mathcal{O}$$