

1 Introduction

The result is interesting for two reasons: first because we can do it for all rational and elliptic surfaces rather than those having $-K_X$ nef and secondly because X is covered by unirational surfaces. This is another indication of the strong distinction between rational and unirational surfaces in positive characteristic.

2 Clemens Conjecture

Lemma 2.0.1. Let $f : S \rightarrow X$ be a morphism from a smooth birationally ruled surface to a smooth 3-fold. Suppose $\varphi : \mathcal{L} \hookrightarrow \wedge^2 \Omega_X$ is a line bundle embedded in $\wedge^2 \Omega_X$ and \mathcal{L} has a nonzero section s . Let $\overline{S} = \text{im } f$ then one of the following must hold:

- (a) $\overline{S} \subset V(s)$
- (b) $f^*(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\overline{S}))$ intersects non-positively with the general fiber of $S \rightarrow C$
- (c) $\overline{S} \subset V(\varphi)$

Proof. Suppose (a) does not hold. Because $H^0(S, \omega_S) = 0$ since S is ruled and $f^*\mathcal{L}$ has a nonzero section because we are not in case (a), the composition is zero

$$f^*\mathcal{L} \rightarrow f^* \wedge^2 \Omega_X \rightarrow \omega_S$$

since ω_S has no sections and $f^*\mathcal{L}$ is big.

Now consider the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow f^*\Omega_X \rightarrow \Omega_S$$

Let \overline{S} be the image of S . Then we have a sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \Omega_X|_{\overline{S}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\overline{S}} \rightarrow 0$$

and the sequence is left exact because \overline{S} is a prime divisor and hence is Cartier and so \mathcal{C} is a line bundle. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow f^*\mathcal{C} \rightarrow f^*\Omega_X \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F} \subset \Omega_S$$

where Ω_S/\mathcal{F} has support over the exceptional locus of $S \rightarrow \overline{S}$. Then I claim there is a sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \wedge^2 f^*\Omega_X \rightarrow \omega_S$$

Indeed, consider the map $f^*\Omega_X \otimes \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \wedge^2 f^*\Omega_X$. I claim this surjects onto the kernel. Indeed, if $\alpha \wedge \beta \mapsto 0$ then $\alpha - \lambda\beta$ is in the kernel. Therefore, $\alpha \wedge \beta = (\alpha - \lambda\beta) \wedge \beta$ thus is in the image of the claimed map. Moreover, since $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ maps to zero we get a map $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \wedge^2 f^*\Omega_X$. This is injective because \mathcal{C} is a line bundle and \mathcal{F} is torsion-free and rank 1 so we can check injectivity at the generic point.

Therefore, since $f^*\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \wedge^2 f^*\Omega_X \rightarrow \omega_S$ is zero we get that the map factors through $f^*\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{C}$. Hence, if the map $f^*\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \wedge^2 f^*\Omega_X$ is nonzero then we get an embedding

$$f^*\mathcal{L} \hookrightarrow \Omega_S \otimes f^*\mathcal{C}$$

We need that $f^*(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{C}^\vee)$ is big since Ω_S cannot contain a big line bundle. Indeed, there is map $S \rightarrow C$ whose general fiber is \mathbb{P}^1 . Then we know $\Omega_S|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ but a big line bundle must restrict positively to the generic fiber. \square

If X is singular this might be an issue unless the singularities are not so bad that forms do not extend to the resolution

Note if $S \rightarrow X$ hits a singular point of X that needs to be resolved then the modification to the normal bundle is only over exceptional loci of S I think and therefore do not interact with the general fiber of S maybe?? Unless the map contracts something to the singularity which seems very possible.

3 Chang and Ran

let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ be a general quintic hypersurface. Let it be a general hyperplane section of $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ another fixed quintic. Let $S \rightarrow X$ be a smooth surface of negative kodaira dimension mapping birationally onto its image in X . There are two cases:

- (a) either S fills Y as we move H
- (b) S extends to a divisor of Y such that S is a section.

I THINK they show (b) does not occur and when $-K_S$ is nef (a) does not occur either.

3.1 (a)

Consider the sequences,

$$0 \rightarrow T_S \rightarrow f^*T_X \rightarrow N_f \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow N_f \rightarrow N_{\tilde{f}} \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$$

where \tilde{f} is the composite

$$S \xrightarrow{f} X \hookrightarrow Y$$

and $L = f^*\mathcal{O}(1)$.

Note that the second sequence splits in any neighborhood of a fiber of f . Let $\tau = (N_f)_{\text{tors}}$ which is supported purely in codimension 1 (because T_S has corank 1 in f^*T_X). Since S fills Y we see that $N_{\tilde{f}}$ is generated generically by global sections. Thus

$$c_1(N_{\tilde{f}}/\tau) = c_1(N_{\tilde{f}}) - c_1(\tau)$$

is nef **WHY?** maybe I don't know what generically globally generated means in this context?

3.2 (b)

4 Wang 2000

Let X be a non-singular complete intersection of type (m_1, \dots, m_k) in a Grassmanian $G(r, n+1)$ such that $\dim X \geq 3$ and $m = m_1 + \dots + m_k \geq n+1$, and supposet $\overline{D} \subset X$ is an irreducible and reduced divisor. Let $f : D \rightarrow \overline{D} \subset X$ be a desingularization, ℓ denote the dimension of D and $L = f^*\mathcal{O}_G(1)$. Obviously, L is big and nef. Let K_D be the canonical bundle of D . Let S and Q be the universal subbundle and universal quotient bundle on G .

Proposition 4.0.1. X does not contain any reduced irreducible divisor which admits a desingularization having

$$H^0(K_D \otimes f^*Q^\vee) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad H^1(K_D - L^{\otimes m_i}) = 0$$

for any all $i = 1, \dots, k$.

4.1 Reflexive Sheaves

Let $\mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee}$ be the double dual of \mathcal{F} . A coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} is reflexive if the natural map $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee}$ is an isomorphism. Define the singularity set of \mathcal{F} to be the locus where \mathcal{F} is not free over the local ring.

It is well-known that the singularity set of a torsion-free sheaf on D is in codimension ≥ 2 . Moreover, the singularity set of a reflexive sheaf on D is in codimension ≥ 3 . It is also well-known that, in general, any reflexive rank 1 sheaf on an integral locally factorial scheme is a line bundle.

4.2 The Proof

Assume such \overline{D} exists. Consider the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Q^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G^{n+1} \rightarrow S^\vee \rightarrow 0$$

Pull this back and tensor with f^*Q to get

$$0 \rightarrow f^*Q \otimes f^*Q^\vee \rightarrow (f^*Q)^{n+1} \rightarrow f^*T_G \rightarrow 0$$

The top cohomology

$$h^\ell(f^*Q) = h^0(K_D \otimes f^*Q^\vee) = 0$$

vanishes by assumption and hence $H^\ell(f^*T_G) = 0$. Now we pull back the normal bundle sequence of X

$$0 \rightarrow f^*T_X \rightarrow f^*T_G \rightarrow \bigoplus L^{\otimes m_i} \rightarrow 0$$

Note that we need the smoothness of X to get the above sequence. Then we have,

$$h^{\ell-1}(L^{\otimes m_i}) = h^1(K_D - L^{\otimes m_i}) = 0$$

also by assumption and hence using this and the above calculation

$$H^\ell(f^*T_X) = 0$$

Next, consider the defining sequence of the normal sheaf

$$0 \rightarrow T_D \rightarrow f^*T_X \rightarrow N_f \rightarrow 0$$

with the above three sequences we obtain

$$H^\ell(N_f) = 0$$

and

$$c_1(N_f) = K_D + (n + 1 - m)L$$

where

$$m = m_1 + \dots + m_k$$

Let $N_f^{\vee\vee}$ be the double dual of N_f which is a line bundle. The image of $N_f \rightarrow N_f^{\vee\vee}$ is torsion-free. The singularity set of the image is in codimension ≥ 2 so there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow N_f \rightarrow N_f^{\vee\vee} \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow 0$$

with $\dim \text{Supp}(\phi) \leq 0$. Devide these into sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow N_f \rightarrow \psi \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \psi \rightarrow N_f^{\vee\vee} \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow 0$$

Then $H^\ell(N_f) = 0$ implies that likewise

$$H^\ell(N_f^{\vee\vee}) = 0$$

because $H^\ell(\phi) = 0$ by dimension reasons. On the other hand, we have

$$c_1(N_f^{\vee\vee}) = K_D + (n + 1 - m)L - c_1(\tau)$$

Note that $c_1(\tau)$ is always effective. Therefore,

$$h^\ell(N_f^{\vee\vee}) = h^0(K_D - N_f^{\vee\vee}) = h^0((m - n - 1)L + c_1(\tau)) > 0$$

which is a contradiction.

4.3 Main Theorem

For $r = 1$ we identify $G(1, n + 1) = \mathbb{P}^n$.

Proposition 4.3.1. A nonsingular complete intersection X of type (m_1, \dots, m_k) in \mathbb{P}^n for $n \geq 4$ such that

$$m = m_1 + \dots + m_k \geq n + 1$$

does not contain a reduced irreducible divisor which admits a desingularization having $H^0(K_D - L) = 0$ and $H^1(K_D - m_i L) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$.

We get thiis immediately if we identify \mathbb{P}^n with $G(n, n + 1)$.

Theorem 4.3.2. A non-singular complete intersection X of type (m_1, \dots, m_k) in \mathbb{P}^n such that $\dim X \geq 3$ and $m = m_1 + \dots + m_k \geq n + 1$ does not contain a reduced irreducible divisor which admits a desingularization having nef anticanonical bundle.

Proof. If $-K_D$ is nef, $-K_D + L$ and $-K_D + m_i L$ are nef and big. Therefore by Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing we obtain

$$H^0(K_D - L) = 0 \quad H^1(K_D - m_i L) = 0$$

for all i . Note that $\dim D = \dim X - 1 \geq 2$ so we may apply the vanishing results. \square

5 Wang's Thesis Filling Result

6 Mori's Construction

Let S be a scheme, $t \in \mathcal{O}_S$. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_S[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ be homogeneous polynomials of degrees cd and d respectively such that $g^c - f$ is not identically zero in $\kappa(s)$ for any $s \in S$. The scheme

$$Z = V(y^c - f, ty - g) \subset \mathbb{P}_S(x_0, \dots, x_n, y) = \mathbb{P}_S(1, \dots, 1, d)$$

defines a family of weighted complete intersections over S . If $s \in S$ and $t(s) \neq 0$ then the fiber Z_s is isomorphic to the hypersurface

$$V(g(s)^c - t(s)^c f(s)) \subset \mathbb{P}_{\kappa(s)}(x_0, \dots, x_n)$$

If $t(s) = 0$ then the fiber Z_s is isomorphic to a μ_c -cover of the hypersurface $V(g(s))$ branched over $V(f(s))$.

6.1 μ_c -cyclic covers

For polynomials $f, g \in k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ as before we consider

$$Z = V(y^c - f, g) \subset \mathbb{P}_k(x_0, \dots, x_n, y) = \mathbb{P}_k(1, \dots, 1, d)$$

which is a μ_c -cover of the hypersurface $V(g)$ branched along $V(f)$.

The map $Z \rightarrow V(f) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ is given by the projection $\mathbb{P}(1, \dots, 1, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ away from $[0 : \dots : 0 : 1]$ and therefore $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)$ is unambiguous and is an ample line bundle (it is a line bundle since it is pulled back from $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$ and ample since it is pulled back from a closed embedding into $\mathbb{P}(1, \dots, 1, d)$).

We are going to be interested in the case $g = x_0$ and f has degree c . In this way we can just drop g and reduce the number of variables and work in an unweighted projective space since $\deg y = d = 1$.

Note that we can always increase the number of variables $k[x_0, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}]$ and extend to $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \in k[x_0, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}]$ that restrict to f, g when we set $x_{n+1} = 0$. Hence we get extensions of Z in a larger weighted projective space.

6.2 Non-filling case

Let f be a generic polynomial of degree c in $k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ and suppose that $D \subset Z$ is a uniruled divisor. Then if we extend Z^m for $m \geq n - 1$ to a generic higher degree cyclic cover then there is not for all m an extension of a divisor $D^m \subset Z^m$ such that $D \subset Z$ is a hyperplane slice.

Proof. We need D^m is uniruled. We can get this in two ways:

- (a) Mori-Miyaoka (works in all characteristics)
- (b) don't prove it in general just take the image of the Hilbert scheme component for slices of Z^m in Z^m this is either a divisor or everything, if its everything then we get sections of $N_{\tilde{f}}$ and everything.

Let $h : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow D$ be a rational curve through a general point of D and consider

$$h_m : \iota_m \circ h \quad \text{with } \iota : D \hookrightarrow D^m$$

Consider the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N_{h_{n+1}} \rightarrow N_{h_m} \rightarrow h^* \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(m-n)} \rightarrow 0$$

where the last term is the normal bundle of $D^{n+1} \hookrightarrow D^m$ since D^{n+1} is a linear slice.

Now $N_{h_{n+1}}$ is semipositive **HERE WE NEED TO USE THE ARGUMENT OF CLEMENS MAYBE D^{n+1} IS GENERIC** (every quotient has nonnegative degree) and hence nonspecial. This means a general deformation \hat{h}_m of h_m for $m \gg 0$ will be linearly normal (meaning that $H^0(\mathbb{P}^N, \mathcal{O}(1)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \hat{h}_m^* \mathcal{O}(1))$ is surjective) and hence projectively normal because $\hat{h}_m^* \mathcal{O}(1)$ is 0-regular with respect to itself. This is just because for degree less than N a generic rational curve in \mathbb{P}^N is projectively normal (and degenerate) **BUT IT IS NOT GENERIC SINCE IT IS IN D^m WHAT IS UP?**

Let $L = g_m^* \mathcal{O}(1)$ which is independent of m .

The previous sequence implies that

$$c_1(N_{h_{n+1}} \otimes L^\vee) = c_1(N_{h_m} \otimes L^\vee)$$

is also independent of m . Likewise,

$$c_1(\hat{h}_m^* N_{g_m}(-1)) = c_1(h_m^* N_{g_m}(-1)) = c_1(h_{n+1}^* N_{g_{n+1}}(-1))$$

where $g_m : D^m \hookrightarrow X^m$ is the inclusion, because $h_m^* N_{g_m} = h_{m+1}^* N_{g_{m+1}}$ and then

$$c_1(h_{n+1}^* N_{g_{n+1}}(-1)) = \deg h_{n+1}^* K_{D^{n+1}} \leq -2$$

WHERE DOES THE -2 COME FROM I think the point is that because D^{n+1} is uniruled there should be a nonzero map

$$h_{n+1}^* K_{D^{n+1}} \rightarrow K_{\mathbb{P}^1}$$

NEED SEPARABLY UNIRULED FOR THIS, IS IT TRUE? because

$$0 \rightarrow T_{D^{n+1}} \rightarrow T_{X^{n+1}}|_{D^{n+1}} \rightarrow N_{g_{n+1}} \rightarrow 0$$

and $\det T_{X^{n+1}} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ so $\det N_{g_{n+1}}(-1) = K_{D^{n+1}}$.

Now consider the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N_{\hat{h}_m} \rightarrow N_{g_m \circ \hat{h}_m} \rightarrow \hat{h}_m^* N_{g_r} \rightarrow 0$$

From above

$$H^1(\hat{h}_m^* N_{g_m}(-1)) \neq 0$$

and therefore

$$H^1(N_{g_m \circ h_m}(-1)) \neq 0$$

this gives a contradiction from the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2.1. Let $r : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a projectively normal rational curve on a smooth hypersurface. Then there exists an extension $X^m \supset X^n$ in \mathbb{P}^{m+1} such that the map $r_m : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X^m$ has $H^1(N_{r_m}(-1)) = 0$.

Proof. Consider a potential extension $X^m \supset X^n$ defined by $y^c - F$ and $j : X^m \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{m+1}$ the inclusion. Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N_{r_m}(-1) \rightarrow N_{j \circ r_m}(-1) \rightarrow r_m^* \mathcal{O}(c-1) \rightarrow 0$$

and the natural map

$$\delta : H^0(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}(-1)) \rightarrow H^0(N_{j \circ r_m}(-1)) \rightarrow H^0(r_m^* \mathcal{O}(c-1))$$

is given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \mapsto \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \mapsto 0$$

Since $N_{j \circ r_m}(-1)$ is semipositive (it is a quotient of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}(-1)$) it has vanishing H^1 and hence $H^1(N_{r_m}(-1)) = 0$ if and only if δ is surjective. **SHIT MEGA SHIT** \square

CAN WE DO IT WITH GENERIC CYCLIC COVERS RATHER THAN GENERIC HYPER-SURFACES? \square