Mathematics GU4053 Algebraic Topology Assignment # 12

Benjamin Church

April 26, 2018

Problem 1.

Let X and Y be connected n-dimensional CW complexes and $f: X \to Y$ a map which induces isomorphisms $\pi_i(X) \to \pi_i(Y)$ for $i \leq n$. Let $p_*: \tilde{X} \to X$ and $q_*: \tilde{Y} \to Y$ be covering maps of the universal covers of X and Y. The universal covers can be given an n-dimensional cell complex structure.

$$\tilde{X} \xrightarrow{-\tilde{f}} \tilde{Y} \\
\downarrow^{p} \qquad \downarrow^{q} \\
X \xrightarrow{f} Y$$

Since the map $f \circ p : \tilde{X} \to Y$ is a map from a simply-connected space (which is also locally pathconnected since its a CW complex) there is a lift to the covering space of $f \circ p$ to a map $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \to \tilde{Y}$. Since p_* and q_* and f_* all induce isomorphisms on π_i for $1 < i \le n$ we know that \tilde{f} also induces isomorphism on π_i for $i \le n$ since \tilde{f} trivially induces isomorphisms on π_0 and π_1 because \tilde{X} and \tilde{Y} are simply-connected. Now, consider the long exact homotopy sequence of the pair $(M_{\tilde{f}}, \tilde{X})$,

$$\pi_i(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_i(M_{\tilde{f}}) \longrightarrow \pi_i(M_{\tilde{f}}, \tilde{X}) \longrightarrow \pi_{i-1}(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_{i-1}(M_{\tilde{f}})$$

The maps $\tilde{f}_*: \pi_i(\tilde{X}) \to \pi_i(M_{\tilde{f}}) \cong \pi_i(Y)$ are isomorphisms for each $i \leq n$ so $\pi_i(M_{\tilde{f}}, X) = 0$ for each $i \leq n$. Therefore, the pair $(M_{\tilde{f}}, X)$ is n-connected so by Hurewicz's theorem we have isomorphisms, $h_i: \pi_i(M_{\tilde{f}}, X) \to H_i(M_{\tilde{f}}, X)$ for $i \leq n + 1$. In particular, $H_i(M_{\tilde{f}}, X) = 0$ for $i \leq n$. Furthermore, the Hurewicz map is natural so,

$$\pi_{n+1}(M_{\tilde{f}}, \tilde{X}) \longrightarrow \pi_n(\tilde{X}) \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} \pi_n(M_{\tilde{f}})$$

$$\downarrow^{h_{n+1}} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{h_n} \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$H_{n+1}(M_{\tilde{f}}, \tilde{X}) \longrightarrow H_n(\tilde{X}) \longrightarrow H_n(M_{\tilde{f}})$$

and thus the map $\pi_{n+1}(M_{\tilde{f}}, \tilde{X}) \to \pi_n(\tilde{X})$ is the zero map. Because the Hurewicz maps h_{n+1} and h_n are isomorphisms, the map $H_{n+1}(M_{\tilde{f}}, \tilde{X}) \to H_n(\tilde{X})$ is also the zero map. Now, applying the long exact sequence of homology to the pair $(M_{\tilde{f}}, \tilde{X})$,

$$H_{i+1}(M_{\tilde{f}}, \tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{zero} H_{i}(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{i}(M_{\tilde{f}}) \xrightarrow{zero} H_{i}(M_{\tilde{f}}, \tilde{X})$$

we see that the map $\tilde{f}_*: H_i(\tilde{X}) \to H_i(M_{\tilde{f}})$ is an isomorphism for $i \leq n$. However, \tilde{X} and \tilde{Y} are n-dimensional CW complexes so $H_i(\tilde{X}) = H_i(\tilde{Y}) = 0$ for i > n. Thus, $\tilde{f}_*: H_i(\tilde{X}) \to H_i(\tilde{Y})$ is an isomorphism for all i. However, \tilde{X} and \tilde{Y} are simply-connected CW complexes so my Whitehead's theorem for homology \tilde{f} is a homotopy equivalence. Consider the induced map $f_*: \pi_i(X) \to \pi_i(Y)$. Since $p_*: \pi_i(\tilde{X}) \to \pi_i(X)$ and $q_*: \pi_i(\tilde{Y}) \to \pi_i(Y)$ are isomorphisms for i > 1 and $\tilde{f}_*: \pi_i(\tilde{X}) \to \pi_i(\tilde{Y})$ is an isomorphism because \tilde{f} is a homotopy equivalence by the commutativity of the above diagram, $f_*: \pi_i(X) \to \pi_i(Y)$ is an isomorphism for i > 1. However, by assumption, $f_*: \pi_1(X) \to \pi_1(Y)$ is also an isomorphism and both X and Y are connected so $f_*: \pi_0(X) \to \pi_0(Y)$ is trivially an isomorphism. Therefore, the map f induces $f_*: \pi_i(X) \to \pi_i(X)$ isomorphisms for each i and thus by Whitehead's theorem, f is a homotopy equivalence.

Problem 2.

Let X be an (n-1)-connected CW complex with n > 1.

(a). Consider the long exact homotopy sequence associated with the pair (X, X^{n+1}) ,

$$\pi_{n+1}(X^{n+1}) \longrightarrow \pi_{n+1}(X) \longrightarrow \pi_{n+1}(X, X^{n+1}) \longrightarrow \pi_n(X^{n+1}) \longrightarrow \pi_n(X)$$

We know that the pair (X, X^{n+1}) is (n+1)-connected. Thus, $\pi_{n+1}(X, X^{n+1}) = 0$. Therefore, the map $\pi_{n+1}(X^{n+1}) \to \pi_{n+1}(X)$ is surjective since the sequence is exact.

Similarly, the long exact sequence of homology assoicated with the pair (X, X^{n+1}) gives,

$$H_{n+1}(X^{n+1}) \longrightarrow H_{n+1}(X) \longrightarrow H_{n+1}(X, X^{n+1}) \longrightarrow H_n(X^{n+1}) \longrightarrow H_n(X)$$

but the proof of cellular homology gives us that $H_k(X, X^{n+1}) = 0$ for $k \leq n+1$ and thus $H_{n+1}(X, X^{n+1}) = 0$. By exactness, the map $H_{n+1}(X^{n+1}) \to H_{n+1}(X)$ is surjective.

(b). Because the Hurewicz map is natural, we have a morphism of long exact sequences for the pair (X^{n+1}, X^n) ,

$$\pi_{n+1}(X^n) \longrightarrow \pi_{n+1}(X^{n+1}) \longrightarrow \pi_{n+1}(X^{n+1}, X^n) \longrightarrow \pi_n(X^n)$$

$$\downarrow^{h_{n+1}} \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{h'_{n+1}} \qquad \downarrow^{h_n}$$

$$H_{n+1}(X^n) \longrightarrow H_{n+1}(X^{n+1}) \longrightarrow H_{n+1}(X^{n+1}, X^n) \longrightarrow H_n(X^n)$$

Homology is zero for cell complexes with strictly lower dimensional cells so $H_{n+1}(X^n) = 0$. Thus, h_{n+1} is surjective. Since X is (n-1)-connected Hurewicz's theorem gives that h_n is an isomorphism. Furthermore, (X^{n+1}, X^n) is n-connected so Hurewicz's theorem gives that h'_{n+1} is an isomorphism. Therefore, by the 4-lemma, the map $\pi_{n+1}(X^{n+1}) \to H_{n+1}(X^{n+1})$ is a surjection.

(c). Consider the Hurewicz map between the long exact sequences for the pair (X, X^{n+1}) ,

$$\pi_{n+1}(X^{n+1}) \xrightarrow{\iota_*} \pi_{n+1}(X) \longrightarrow \pi_{n+1}(X, X^{n+1}) = 0$$

$$\downarrow h'_{n+1} \qquad \qquad \downarrow h_{n+1}$$

$$H_{n+1}(X^{n+1}) \xrightarrow{\iota_*} H_{n+1}(X) \longrightarrow H_{n+1}(X, X^{n+1}) = 0$$

We have shown that the maps $\iota_*: \pi_{n+1}(X^{n+1}) \to \pi_{n+1}(X)$ and $\iota_*: H_{n+1}(X^{n+1}) \to H_{n+1}(X)$ and the Hurewicz map $h'_{n+1}: \pi_{n+1}(X^{n+1}) \to H_{n+1}(X^{n+1})$ are surjective. However, the diagram commutes so,

$$h_{n+1} \circ \iota_* = \iota_* \circ h'_{n+1}$$

 h'_{n+1} and ι_* are surjective so $\iota_* \circ h'_{n+1}$ is surjective. Thus, $h_{n+1} \circ \iota_*$ is also surjective which implies that $h_{n+1} : \pi_{n+1}(X) \to H_{n+1}(X)$ must be surjective as well.

(d). We need to show that the Hurewicz map on a path-connected (0-connected) CW complex does not necessarily induce as surjection $h_2: \pi_2(X) \to H_2(X)$. Consider the torus, $T^2 = S^1 \times S^1$. We know that $\pi_2(T^2) \cong \pi_2(S^1) \times \pi_2(S^1) = 0$. However, we have calculated in class that $H_2(T^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, the map $h_2: \pi_2(T^2) \to H_2(T^2)$ cannot be surjective.

Problem 3.

Let C and D be chain complexes of abelian groups. Define the chain complex $C \otimes D$ with chains $(C \otimes D)_n = C_n \otimes D_n$ and a boundary map,

$$\partial_n(x \otimes y) = (\partial_C x) \otimes y + (-1)^n x \otimes (\partial_D y)$$

Consider the composition of boundary maps,

$$\partial_{n} \circ \partial_{n+1}(x \otimes y) = \partial_{n} \left((\partial_{C} x) \otimes y + (-1)^{n+1} x \otimes (\partial_{D} y) \right) = \partial_{n} ((\partial_{C} x) \otimes y) + (-1)^{n+1} \partial_{n} (x \otimes (\partial_{D} y))$$

$$= (\partial_{C}^{2} x) \otimes y + (-1)^{n} (\partial_{C} x) \otimes (\partial_{D} y) + (-1)^{n+1} (\partial_{C} x) \otimes (\partial_{D} y) + (-1)^{n+n+1} x \otimes (\partial_{D}^{2} y)$$

$$= (-1)^{n} \left[(\partial_{C} x) \otimes (\partial_{D} y) - (\partial_{C} x) \otimes (\partial_{D} y) \right]$$

$$= 0$$

where I used the fact that the boundard maps on C and D statisfy $\partial_C^2 x = \partial_D^2 y = 0$. Since the boundary map ∂_n is a homomorphism and it is zero on each $x \otimes y$ we have shown that $\partial_{n+1} \circ \partial_n = 0$ on $(C \otimes D)_{n-1}$.

Problem 4.

Let F be a field and X a space such that $H_i(X; F)$ has finite dimension for all i. Define the Poincare series,

$$p_X(t) = \sum_i (\dim_F H_i(X; F)) t^i$$

If X and Y are spaces with Poincare series p_X and p_Y . Consider the homology,

$$H_i(X \coprod Y; F) = H_i(X; F) \oplus H_i(Y; F)$$

Therefore,

$$\dim_F H_i(X \coprod Y; F) = \dim_F (H_i(X; F) \oplus H_i(Y; F)) = \dim_F H_i(X; F) + \dim_F H_i(Y; F)$$

Thus, the Poincare series become,

$$p_{X\coprod Y}(t) = \sum_{i} \left(\dim_{F} H_{i}(X \coprod Y; F) \right) t^{i}$$
$$= \sum_{i} \left(\dim_{F} H_{i}(X; F) \right) t^{i} + \sum_{i} \left(\dim_{F} H_{i}(Y; F) \right) t^{i} = p_{X}(t) + p_{Y}(t)$$

Similarly, reduced homology commutes with wedge product,

$$\tilde{H}_i(X \vee Y; F) = \tilde{H}_i(X; F) \oplus \tilde{H}_i(Y; F)$$

Therefore,

$$\dim_F \tilde{H}_i(X \vee Y; F) = \dim_F \left(\tilde{H}_i(X; F) \oplus H_i(Y; F) \right) = \dim_F \tilde{H}_i(X; F) + \dim_F \tilde{H}_i(Y; F)$$

However,

$$H_i(X;F) \cong \begin{cases} \tilde{H}_i(X;F) & i > 0\\ \tilde{H}_i(X;F) \oplus \mathbb{Z} & i = 0 \end{cases}$$

which implies that,

$$\dim_F H_i(X; F) \cong \begin{cases} \dim_F \tilde{H}_i(X; F) & i > 0 \\ \dim_F \tilde{H}_i(X; F) + 1 & i = 0 \end{cases}$$

Putting these facts together,

$$\dim_F H_i(X \vee Y; F) = \begin{cases} \dim_F \tilde{H}_i(X; F) + \dim_F \tilde{H}_i(Y; F) & i > 0 \\ \dim_F \tilde{H}_i(X; F) + \dim_F \tilde{H}_i(Y; F) + 1 & i = 0 \end{cases}$$
$$= \begin{cases} \dim_F \tilde{H}_i(X; F) + \dim_F \tilde{H}_i(Y; F) & i > 0 \\ \dim_F \tilde{H}_i(X; F) + \dim_F \tilde{H}_i(Y; F) & i > 0 \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$p_{X \vee Y}(t) = \sum_{i} (\dim_{F} H_{i}(X \vee Y; F)) t^{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i>0} (\dim_{F} H_{i}(X; F)) t^{i} + \sum_{i>0} (\dim_{F} H_{i}(Y; F)) t^{i} + (H_{0}(X; F) + H_{0}(Y; F) - 1) t^{0}$$

$$= \sum_{i} (\dim_{F} H_{i}(X; F)) t^{i} + \sum_{i} (\dim_{F} H_{i}(Y; F)) t^{i} - 1(t^{0})$$

$$= p_{X}(t) + p_{Y}(t) - 1$$

Now we need to consider the homology of the spaces S^n , \mathbb{RP}^n , \mathbb{RP}^n , \mathbb{CP}^n , \mathbb{CP}^n , and M_g , the orientable surface of genus g. From the universal coeficient theorem there is a short exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow H_n(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F \longrightarrow H_n(X; F) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}} (H_{n-1}(X), F) \longrightarrow 0$$

However, Tor above 0 of a field vanishes so we get an isomorphism,

$$H_n(X;F) \cong H_n(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F$$

However, we have calculated in class the homology with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} for each of these spaces,

$$H_i(S^n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & i = n, 0\\ 0 & i \neq n, 0 \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$H_i(S^n; F) = \begin{cases} F & i = n, 0\\ 0 & i \neq n, 0 \end{cases}$$

and thus,

$$p_{S^n}(t) = 1 + t^n$$

Furthermore,

$$H_i(\mathbb{RP}^n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & i = 0\\ \mathbb{Z} & i = n \text{ and } n \text{ is odd} \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & i \text{ odd } 0 < i < n\\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

However, $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F = 0$ so,

$$H_i(\mathbb{RP}^n; F) = \begin{cases} F & i = 0 \\ F & i = n \text{ and } n \text{ is odd} \\ 0 & i \text{else} \end{cases}$$

and thus,

$$p_{\mathbb{RP}^n} = \begin{cases} 1 + t^n & n \text{ is odd} \\ 1 \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, in the case of $n = \infty$ the homology is the same except with no upper bound,

$$H_i(\mathbb{RP}^{\infty}; F) = \begin{cases} F & i = 0\\ 0 & i > 0 \end{cases}$$

and thus $p_{\mathbb{RP}^{\infty}} = 1$. Next, in class we used cellular homology to calculate,

$$H_i(\mathbb{CP}^n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & i \text{ even } 0 \le i \le n \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Since $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F \cong F$ we get,

$$p_{\mathbb{CP}^n}(t) = 1 + t^2 + t^4 + \dots + t^{2n}$$

As before, the homology of the infinite-dimensional complex projective plane is the same except without an upper bound,

$$H_i(\mathbb{CP}^\infty; F) = \begin{cases} F & i \text{ even} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

and thus,

$$p_{\mathbb{CP}^{\infty}}(t) = 1 + t^2 + t^4 + \dots = \frac{1}{1 - t^2}$$

Finally, the orientable surface of genus g denoted by M_g has homology with coeficients in \mathbb{Z} given by,

$$H_i(M_g) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & i = 0, 2\\ \mathbb{Z}^{2g} & i = 1\\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Since $\mathbb{Z}^{2g} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F = F^{2g}$ we have,

$$p_{M_a}(t) = 1 + 2gt + t^2$$

Problem 5.

Let F be a field. Then, $\operatorname{Tor}_1^F=0$ so the Künneth formula gives a natural isomorphism,

$$H_n(X \times Y; F) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H_p(X; F) \otimes_F H_q(Y; F)$$

We know that the dimension of the tensor product of vectorspaces is the product of dimensions. Thus,

$$\dim_F (H_p(X;F) \otimes_F H_q(Y;F)) = \dim_F (H_p(X;F)) \cdot \dim_F (H_q(Y;F))$$

Using the definition of the Poincere sequence,

$$p_{X\times Y}(t) = \sum_{i} (\dim_{F} H_{i}(X\times Y; F)) t^{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i} \sum_{p+q=i} (\dim_{F} (H_{p}(X; F) \otimes_{F} H_{q}(Y; F))) t^{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i} \sum_{p+q=i} [\dim_{F} (H_{p}(X; F)) \cdot \dim_{F} (H_{q}(Y; F))] t^{i}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{p} \dim_{F} (H_{p}(X; F)) t^{p}\right) \left(\sum_{q} \dim_{F} (H_{q}(Y; F)) t^{q}\right)$$

$$= p_{X}(t) \cdot p_{Y}(t)$$