

# 1 Quasi-Coherent Sheaves

Recall that for a DM-stack we defined the small étale site:

**Definition 1.0.1.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a DM-stack. Then the *small étale site*  $\mathcal{X}_{\text{ét}}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  is the category of schemes equipped with an étale map  $U \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ . A covering is  $\{U_i \rightarrow U\}$  over  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $\sqcup_i U_i \rightarrow U$  is surjective.

Then for a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathcal{X}_{\text{ét}}$  we defined its global sections,

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F}) := \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Sh}(\mathcal{X}_{\text{ét}})}(1, \mathcal{F})$$

where 1 is the terminal sheaf (the sheafification of  $U \mapsto *$ ).

*Remark.* This definition works nicely for  $\mathcal{X}$  DM and naturally generalizes the étale site  $X_{\text{ét}}$  of a scheme. However, there is a glaring flaw if we attempt to extend this definition to Artin stacks there is a catastrophic failure:  $\mathcal{X}_{\text{ét}}$  could be empty! For example,  $(B\mathbb{G}_m)_{\text{ét}}$  is empty. Indeed, DM-stacks are exactly those stacks with schemes as étale neighborhoods. To remedy this we could take the smooth site of  $\mathcal{X}$ . To stay in the world of étale cohomology we consider a hybrid site where the schemes are smooth over  $\mathcal{X}$  but the covers are all étale.

**Definition 1.0.2.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an algebraic stack. Then the *lisse-étale site*  $\mathcal{X}_{\ell\text{-ét}}$  is the category of schemes smooth over  $\mathcal{X}$  with *arbitrary* maps of schemes over  $\mathcal{X}$ . A covering  $\{U_i \rightarrow U\}$  is a collection of morphisms such that  $\sqcup_i U_i \rightarrow U$  is surjective or étale.

**Definition 1.0.3.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a sheaf on  $\mathcal{X}_{\ell\text{-ét}}$  then,

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Sh}(\mathcal{U}_{\ell\text{-ét}})}(1_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{U}_{\ell\text{-ét}}})$$

where  $1_{\mathcal{U}}$  is the *indicator sheaf* of the smooth  $\mathcal{X}$ -stack  $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  the sheafification of the constant sheaf  $*$ . This is the terminal object of  $\mathcal{U}_{\ell\text{-ét}}$ . This can be computed by choosing a smooth presentation,

$$R \rightrightarrows U \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$$

and setting,

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \text{eq}(\mathcal{F}(U) \rightrightarrows \mathcal{F}(R))$$

**Definition 1.0.4.** The structure sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$  is defined via,

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(U) = \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$$

is a ring object in the abelian category  $\mathbf{Ab}(\mathcal{X}_{\ell\text{-ét}})$ . We therefore define the abelian category  $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}$ . Given a morphism  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  of algebraic stacks there are morphisms of topoi,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Ab}(\mathcal{X}_{\ell\text{-ét}}) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \mathbf{Ab}(\mathcal{Y}_{\ell\text{-ét}}) \\ & \xleftarrow{f^*} & \\ \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} \\ & \xleftarrow{f^*} & \end{array}$$

Given two  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -modules  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  we define the tensor product  $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} \mathcal{G}$  as the sheafification of,

$$U \mapsto \mathcal{F}(U) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(U)} \mathcal{G}(U)$$

and the Hom sheaf  $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$  as the sheaf,

$$U \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_U}(\mathcal{F}|_U, \mathcal{G}|_U)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}|_U$  means the restriction to the site  $U_{\ell\text{-ét}}$  (note this is much more data than the restriction to  $U_{\text{Zar}}$ ).

## 1.1 Quasi-Coherent Sheaves

As above we denote by  $\mathcal{F}|_U$  the restriction of  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $U_{\ell\text{-}\acute{e}t}$  and  $\mathcal{F}|_{U_{\text{Zar}}}$  the restriction to  $U_{\text{Zar}}$ . Then we define,

**Definition 1.1.1.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an algebraic stack. A  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$  is *quasi-coherent* if:

- (a) for every smooth  $U \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  the restriction  $\mathcal{F}|_{U_{\text{Zar}}}$  is a quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_{U_{\text{Zar}}}$ -module
- (b) for every morphism  $f : V \rightarrow U$  of smooth  $\mathcal{X}$ -schemes, the induced morphism,

$$f^*(\mathcal{F}|_{U_{\text{Zar}}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_{V_{\text{Zar}}}$$

is an isomorphism.

*Remark.* The above definition can be made in any site which refines the Zariski topology on each of its opens. However, in this generality such an object is usually called a *cystal in quasi-coherent sheaves* and the term *quasi-coherent* in an arbitrary site is reserved for the notion developed below. However, in most sites the two notions agree.

**Definition 1.1.2.** In an arbitrary ringed site  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O})$  (or even an arbitrary ringed topos) a  $\mathcal{O}$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$  is *quasi-coherent* if for each object  $U \in \mathcal{C}$  there exists a cover  $\{U_i \rightarrow U\}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{C}/U_i}$  is a *presentable*  $\mathcal{O}$ -module meaning there exists a presentation,

$$\bigoplus_J \mathcal{O}|_{\mathcal{C}/U_i} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_I \mathcal{O}|_{\mathcal{C}/U_i} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{C}/U_i} \longrightarrow 0$$

We call the abelian subcategory of such sheaves  $\text{QCoh}(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}}$ .

**Definition 1.1.3.** Let  $S$  be a scheme and  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbf{Sch}_S$  a subcategory. Consider the presheaf of rings,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O} : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} &\rightarrow \text{Ring} \\ (T \rightarrow S) &\mapsto \Gamma(T, \mathcal{O}_T) \end{aligned}$$

This is a sheaf for the fpqc topology. Furthermore, for any sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $S_{\text{Zar}}$  there is a presheaf,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O} : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} &\rightarrow \text{Ab} \\ (f : T \rightarrow S) &\mapsto \Gamma(T, f^* \mathcal{F}) \end{aligned}$$

which is a  $\mathcal{O}$ -module. Furthermore, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is quasi-coherent then  $\mathcal{F}^a$  is a fpqc sheaf by descent.

**Theorem 1.1.4** ([Tag 03OJ](#)). Let  $S$  be a scheme. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a site such that,

- (a)  $\mathcal{C}$  is a full subcategory of  $\mathbf{Sch}_S$
- (b) any Zariski covering of  $T \in \mathcal{C}$  can be refined by a covering of  $\mathcal{C}$
- (c)  $\text{id} : S \rightarrow S$  is an object of  $\mathcal{C}$  (so in particular  $\mathcal{C}$  has a terminal object)
- (d) every covering of  $\mathcal{C}$  is an fpqc covering of schemes

Then the presheaf  $\mathcal{O}$  is a sheaf on  $\mathcal{C}$  and there is an equivalence of categories,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{QCoh}(S) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{QCoh}(\mathcal{C}) \\ \mathcal{F} &\mapsto \mathcal{F}^a \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* This is basically a rephrasing of fpqc descent.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.1.5.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{\ell\text{-}\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}}$ -module. Then the following are equivalent,

- (a)  $\mathcal{F}$  is quasi-coherent in the general sense
- (b)  $\mathcal{F}$  is quasi-coherent in the crystal sense.

*Proof.* C.f. [06WK](#). Let  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{X}_{\ell\text{-}\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies (a). Then the restriction of  $\mathcal{F}$  is quasi-coherent on  $\mathcal{C}/U$  and thus by the previous lemma  $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{C}} = (\mathcal{F}|_{U_{\text{Zar}}})^a$  and therefore satisfies (b). Given (b) take any  $U \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  smooth. Then we know  $\mathcal{F}|_{U_{\text{Zar}}}$  is quasi-coherent so there is an affine Zariski open cover  $\{U_i \rightarrow U\}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}|_{(U_i)_{\text{Zar}}}$  is presented. Then the claim is that  $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{C}/U_i}$  is also presented. Indeed, the comparison map induced by  $f : V \rightarrow U$  is an isomorphism the presentation pulls back to give a presentation of  $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{C}/U_i}$ .  $\square$

## 1.2 Descent Data

**Definition 1.2.1.** Let  $(U, R, s, t, c, e)$  be a groupoid scheme over  $S$  where  $s, t : R \rightrightarrows U$  are the source and target maps and  $c : R \times_{s, U, t} R \rightarrow R$  is the composition and  $e : U \rightarrow R$  is the identity. Then the category of *descent data* consists of the category of pairs  $(\mathcal{F}, \varphi)$  where  $\mathcal{F}$  is a sheaf on  $U$  and  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism,

$$\varphi : t^* \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} s^* \mathcal{F}$$

such that  $e^* \varphi = \text{id}$  and satisfying the cocycle condition,

$$c^* \varphi = \pi_2^* \varphi \circ \pi_1^* \varphi$$

as morphisms of sheaves on  $R \times_{s, U, t} R$ .

**Example 1.2.2.** For any cover  $U \rightarrow X$  we can form the ‘‘Cech groupoid’’  $U \times_X U \rightrightarrows U$  whose composition is given by projection,

$$(U \times_X U) \times_{\pi_1, U, \pi_2} (U \times_X U) = U \times_X U \times_X U \rightarrow U \times_X U \quad ((a, b), (c, a)) \mapsto (c, a, b) \mapsto (c, b)$$

For this we recover the ordinary notion of a descent datum.

**Example 1.2.3.** Let  $G \curvearrowright X$  be an action of an algebraic group on a scheme. Then there is a groupoid  $G \times X \rightrightarrows X$  whose composition  $G \times G \times X \rightarrow G \times X$  is given by multiplication in the group.

For this we will recover the notion of  $G$ -equivariance.

**Proposition 1.2.4.** Let  $R \rightrightarrows U$  be a smooth presentation of an algebraic stack  $\mathcal{X}$  by schemes. There is an equivalence of categories,

$$\text{QCoh}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{DD}_{\text{QCoh}}(U/R) \quad \mathcal{F} \mapsto (\mathcal{F}|_{U_{\text{Zar}}}, \varphi)$$

where  $\text{DD}_{\text{QCoh}}(U/R)$  is the category of descent data for quasi-coherent sheaves along the groupoid  $R \rightrightarrows U$ .

*Proof.* For any smooth map  $V \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  there is a further smooth refinement  $V' \rightarrow V$  such that  $V' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  factors through  $U \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ . Hence, applying descent to  $V' \rightarrow V$ , any quasi-coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathcal{X}_{\ell\text{-}\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}$  is determined by its descent data over  $R \rightrightarrows U$ .  $\square$

**Definition 1.2.5.** Let  $G \curvearrowright X$  be an action of a group scheme on a scheme (or algebraic space). The category of  $G$ -equivariant sheaves is defined as the category of descent data for the groupoid  $G \times X \rightrightarrows X$ .

*Remark.* Some standard diagram chasing shows that this is formally the same as the usual definition of a  $G$ -equivariant sheaf in [Stacks]. In the case that  $G$  is a finite constant group it is easy to check that this agrees with the naive notion in terms of compatible isomorphisms between the pullbacks along the action by elements  $g \in G$ .

**Proposition 1.2.6.** There is an equivalence of categories,

$$\mathrm{QCoh}([X/G]) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}_G(X)$$

*Proof.* This is a special case of the previous proposition. □

## OTHER EXERCISES

### 1.3 Picard Groups

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an algebraic stack. Then  $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{X})$  denotes the set of isomorphism classes of line bundles on  $\mathcal{X}$  which becomes an abelian group under  $\otimes$ .

**Example 1.3.1.** If  $G$  is an affine algebraic  $k$ -group then  $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathbf{B}G) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{gp}}(G, \mathbb{G}_m)$  is the group of characters. For example,

(a)  $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathbf{B}\mathbb{G}_m) = \mathbb{Z}$

(b)  $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathbf{B}\mathrm{GL}_n) = \mathbb{Z}$

(c)  $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathbf{B}\mathrm{PGL}_n) = \{0\}$ .

This is because line bundles on  $\mathbf{B}G$  are the same as line bundles on  $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$  along with descent data i.e. a  $G$ -action. This is the same as a 1-dimensional  $G$ -representation.

EXERCISE 6.1.12

EXERCISE 6.1.13

EXERCISE 6.1.14

### 1.4 Global Quotients and the Resolution Property

**Definition 1.4.1.** An algebraic stack  $\mathcal{X}$  is a *global quotient stack* if there is an isomorphism  $\mathcal{X} \cong [U/\mathrm{GL}_n]$  where  $U$  is an algebraic space. This is equivalent to asking for the existence of a  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ -bundle  $U \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  where  $U$  is an algebraic space. By definition this is the same as a representable morphism  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\mathrm{GL}_n$ .

**Proposition 1.4.2.** Let  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be a surjective, flat, and projective morphism of noetherian algebraic stacks. If  $\mathcal{X}$  is a quotient stack then  $\mathcal{Y}$  is a quotient stack.

*Proof.* DO THIS!! □

**Definition 1.4.3.** A noetherian algebraic stack has the *resolution property* if every coherent sheaf is a quotient of a vector bundle.

A smooth or quasi-projective scheme over a field has the resolution property. Any noetherian normal  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial scheme with affine diagonal also has the resolution property.

**Proposition 1.4.4.** Let  $G$  be an affine algebraic  $k$ -group with an action  $G \curvearrowright U$  on a quasi-projective  $k$ -scheme  $U$ . Assume that there is an ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  with a  $G$ -action. Then  $[\mathrm{Spec}(A)/G]$  has the resolution property.

*Remark.* While not every line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on a normal  $k$ -scheme admits a  $G$ -action, it turns out there is always some positive power such that  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$  has a  $G$ -action. (CITE THIS!!)

*Proof.* The  $G$ -line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  corresponds to a line bundle on  $[U/G]$  which is ample with respect to the morphism  $p : [U/G] \rightarrow \mathbf{BG}$  since relative ampleness can be checked after smooth covers (it can be reduced to a fiberwise condition). For a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $[U/G]$  the natural map,

$$\mathcal{L}^{-\otimes N} \otimes p^* p_*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes N} \otimes \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$$

is surjective for  $N \gg 0$  since relative ampleness implies global generation of  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes N} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ . The pushforward  $p_*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes N} \otimes \mathcal{F})$  is quasi-coherent on  $\mathbf{BG}$  hence a  $G$ -representation. We can hence write it as an increasing union of finite-dimensional  $G$ -representations  $V_i$  and obtain,

$$\mathrm{colim}_i (\mathcal{L}^{-\otimes N} \otimes p^* V_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$$

since  $\mathcal{F}$  is coherent, this stabilizes at some stage meaning,

$$\mathcal{L}^{-\otimes N} \otimes p^* V_i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$$

at some finite stage  $i$ . □

**Theorem 1.4.5** (Totaro-Gross). Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a quasi-separated normal algebraic stack of finite type over  $k$ . Assume that the stabilizer group at every closed point is smooth and affine. Then the following are equivalent:

- (a)  $\mathcal{X}$  has the resolution property
- (b)  $\mathcal{X} \cong [U/\mathrm{GL}_n]$  with  $U$  quasi-affine
- (c)  $\mathcal{X} \cong [\mathrm{Spec}(A)/G]$  with  $G$  an affine algebraic group.

In particular,  $\mathcal{X}$  has affine diagonal.

*Remark.* The normality hypothesis on  $\mathcal{X}$  and smoothness hypothesis on the stabilizers are unnecessary. However, the affineness hypothesis on the stabilizers is necessary. For example,  $\mathbf{BE}$  the classifying stack of an elliptic curve has the resolution property.

## 1.5 Sheaf Cohomology

**Lemma 1.5.1.** If  $\mathcal{X}$  is an algebraic stack, the categories  $\mathbf{Ab}(\mathcal{X}_{\ell\text{-}\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}})$  and  $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{X}}$  have enough injectives. If  $\mathcal{X}$  is quasi-separated then  $\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathcal{X})$  has enough injectives.

**Definition 1.5.2.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an algebraic stack and  $\mathcal{F}$  a sheaf on  $\mathcal{X}_{\ell\text{-}\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}$ . The *cohomology groups*  $H^i(\mathcal{X}_{\ell\text{-}\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}, \mathcal{F})$  are the derived functors of,

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{X}, -) : \mathbf{Ab}(\mathcal{X}_{\ell\text{-}\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$$

applied to  $\mathcal{F}$ ,

$$H^i(\mathcal{X}_{\ell\text{-}\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}, \mathcal{F}) = R^i \Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F})$$

**Definition 1.5.3.** Given a smooth covering  $\mathfrak{U} = \{\mathcal{U}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{X}\}_{i \in I}$  of algebraic stacks and an abelian presheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathcal{X}_{\ell\text{-}\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}$  the *Cech complex*  $\check{C}^\bullet(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F})$  of  $\mathfrak{U}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{U}$  is,

$$\check{C}^n(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \prod_{(i_0, \dots, i_n) \in I^{n+1}} \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U}_{i_0} \times_{\mathcal{X}} \cdots \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{U}_{i_n})$$

with differential,

$$d^n : \check{C}^n(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{C}^{n+1}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F}) \quad (s_{i_0, \dots, i_n}) \mapsto \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (-1)^k p_k^* s_{i_0, \dots, \hat{i}_k, \dots, i_n} \right)_{i_0, \dots, i_{n+1}}$$

where the projection  $p_k$  forgets the  $k^{\text{th}}$  coordinate. The *Čech cohomology* of  $\mathcal{F}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{U}$  is,

$$\check{H}^i(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F}) := H^i(\check{C}^\bullet(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F}))$$

**Theorem 1.5.4.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an algebraic stack and  $\mathcal{F}$  a quasi-coherent sheaf on  $\mathcal{X}_{\ell\text{-}\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}$ . Then for any cover  $\mathfrak{U} = \{\mathcal{U}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{X}\}_{i \in I}$  there exists a spectral sequence,

$$E_2^{p,q} = \check{H}^p(\mathfrak{U}, H^q(-, \mathcal{F})) \implies H^{p+q}(\mathcal{X}_{\ell\text{-}\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}, \mathcal{F})$$

where  $H^q(-, \mathcal{F})$  is the presheaf  $U \mapsto H^q(U_{\ell\text{-}\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}, \mathcal{F})$ .

*Proof.* Consider the commutative diagram of functors,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Sh}(\mathcal{X}_{\ell\text{-}\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}) & \xhookrightarrow{a} & \text{PSh}(\mathcal{X}_{\ell\text{-}\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}) \\ & \searrow \Gamma & \downarrow \check{H}^0 \\ & & \mathbf{Ab} \end{array}$$

Notice that  $\check{C}^\bullet(\mathfrak{U}, -)$  is exact in the category of presheaves which shows that  $\check{H}^\bullet(\mathfrak{U}, -)$  forms a  $\delta$ -functor. In fact, since  $\check{H}^i(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$  for  $i > 0$  and any injective sheaf (this is a very general fact, see [Tag 03OR](#)) it is a universal  $\delta$ -functor. Now the inclusion  $a$  takes injectives to injectives because sheaves form a reflexive subcategory (maps to a sheaf factors through the sheafification). Therefore, we apply the Grothendieck spectral sequence so it suffices to compute  $R^q a(\mathcal{F})$  of a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$ . Since the functor  $(-) \mapsto \Gamma(U, -)$  is exact on presheaves we see that,

$$R^q a(\mathcal{F})(U) = R^q \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}) = H^q(U, \mathcal{F})$$

so we conclude. □

**Theorem 1.5.5.** If  $X$  is an affine scheme and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\ell\text{-}\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}}$ -module then,

$$H^i(X_{\ell\text{-}\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}, \mathcal{F}) = \begin{cases} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) & i = 0 \\ 0 & i > 0 \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* We refine to affine coverings  $\{\text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)\}$  then  $\mathcal{F}$  is quasi-coherent (in all equivalent notions) and hence arises from some  $A$ -module  $M$ . To show that  $\check{H}^{>0} = 0$  for this covering we show that the Amistur complex,

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M \otimes_A B \longrightarrow M \otimes_A B \otimes_A B \longrightarrow \cdots$$

is exact. Indeed, after applying  $B \otimes_A -$  which is faithfully flat this complex obtains a nullhomotopy. Now to conclude, we can either apply Cartan's criterion ([Tag 03F9](#)) or use hypercoverings and the fact that hypercover Cech cohomology computes derived functor cohomology.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.5.6.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an algebraic stack with affine diagonal and  $\mathcal{F}$  be a quasi-coherent sheaf. If  $\mathfrak{U} = \{U_i \rightarrow \mathcal{X}\}$  is an étale covering with each  $U_i$  affine, then  $H^i(\mathcal{X}_{\ell\text{-}\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}, \mathcal{F}) = \check{H}^i(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F})$ .

*Proof.* Follows immediately from the Cech-to-derived spectral sequence and the above.  $\square$

*Remark.* To remove the “affine diagonal” condition we need to use hypercovers. Indeed, if  $U_\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is a simplicial hypercover in  $\mathcal{X}_{\ell\text{-}\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}$  where each  $U_\bullet$  is an affine scheme and  $\mathcal{F}$  is quasi-coherent then,

$$H^i(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F}) = \check{H}^i(U_\bullet, \mathcal{F})$$

**Proposition 1.5.7.** Let  $X$  be a scheme (or a DM-stack with a sheaf on  $\mathcal{X}_{\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}$ ) with affine diagonal<sup>1</sup> and  $\mathcal{F}$  a quasi-coherent sheaf. Then,

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = H^i(X_{\ell\text{-}\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}, \mathcal{F}_{\ell\text{-}\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}})$$

for all  $i$  where  $\mathcal{F}_{\ell\text{-}\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}$  is the  $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\ell\text{-}\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}}$ -module defined by,

$$\mathcal{F}_{\ell\text{-}\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}(U) = \Gamma(U, f^* \mathcal{F})$$

for a smooth map  $f : U \rightarrow X$ . (In the stack case it is pullback under  $f : \mathcal{X}_{\ell\text{-}\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}$ ).

*Proof.* Choose an affine Zariski cover  $U$  of  $X$  (affine étale cover  $U$  of  $\mathcal{X}$ ) by the assumption on the diagonal we see that,

$$H^i(X_{\ell\text{-}\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}, \mathcal{F}) = \check{H}^i(U, \mathcal{F}) = H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$$

(and similarly for  $\mathcal{X}$ ). The affine diagonal condition is to ensure that projects in the Cech complex are affine and hence have vanishing  $H^{>0}$ . However, this condition is not necessary. We can always choose a Zariski hypercover  $U_\bullet \rightarrow X$  by affines and similar arguments show that,

$$H^i(X_{\ell\text{-}\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}, \mathcal{F}) = \check{H}^i(U_\bullet, \mathcal{F}) = H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$$

$\square$

**Proposition 1.5.8.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an algebraic stack.

- (a)  $\mathcal{F}$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -module then  $H^i(\mathcal{X}_{\ell\text{-}\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}, \mathcal{F})$  agrees with  $R^i\Gamma : \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$  computed in the category of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -modules.
- (b) If  $\mathcal{X}$  has affine diagonal and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a quasi-coherent sheaf on  $\mathcal{X}$ , then the cohomology  $H^i(\mathcal{X}_{\ell\text{-}\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}, \mathcal{F})$  agrees with  $R^i\Gamma : \mathbf{QCoh}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$  computed in the category of quasi-coherent modules.

For a morphism  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  of algebraic stacks (resp. quasi-compact morphism of algebraic stacks with affine diagonals) then (a) (resp. (b)) holds also for  $R^if_*\mathcal{F}$  of an  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -module (resp. quasi-coherent sheaf).

*Remark.* If  $\mathcal{X}$  does not have affine diagonal, then the sheaf cohomology  $H^i(\mathcal{X}_{\ell\text{-}\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}, \mathcal{F})$  of a quasi-coherent sheaf may differ from the derived functor  $R^i\Gamma(\mathcal{X}, -) : \mathbf{QCoh}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ .

**Proposition 1.5.9.** If  $\mathcal{X}$  is an algebraic stack and  $\mathcal{F}_i$  is a directed system of abelian sheaves in  $\mathcal{X}_{\ell\text{-}\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}$  then  $\text{colim}_i H^i(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F}_i) \rightarrow H^i(\mathcal{X}, \text{colim}_i \mathcal{F}_i)$  is an isomorphism.

---

<sup>1</sup>If we use hypercovers (see the discussion in the proof then we can remove this condition.