

# 1 The Formal Immersion Step (the new hotness on tiktak)

**Theorem 1.0.1.** Let  $N$  be a prime, either 11 or  $\geq 17$  (ensuring that  $X_0(N)$  has genus  $> 0$ ) then there are no elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with a torsion point of order  $N$ .

Kep points,

- (a) if  $E$  has good reduction at 3 then  $E[N](\mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow \overline{E}(\mathbb{F}_3)$  which has order at most 9 by Hasse so  $N < 9$ .
- (b) if  $E$  has multiplicative reduction we can get crazy polygons so no control on  $N$
- (c) if  $E$  has additive reduction: what can the special fiber of the minimal regular proper model be? From Kodaia classification, there are a bounded number of components and hence a bound on  $\#\overline{E}(\mathbb{F}_3) \leq 12$ .

Assume from now on that  $N = 11$  or  $N > 17$ .

**Proposition 1.0.2.** If  $(E, C)$  is a pair of an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and a cyclic subgroup scheme  $C \subset E$  of order  $N$ . Then  $E$  has potentially good reduction away from  $2N$ .

*Remark.* This implies you can't have multiplicative reduction because potentially good reduction means the semistable reduction is good but multiplicative reduction is also semistable.

*Remark.* Recall that,

$$\text{good reduction} \iff T_\ell E \text{ is unramified}$$

$$\text{mult. reduction} \iff I \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_\ell E) \text{ is (nontrivial) unipotent}$$

**Proposition 1.0.3.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the Neron model over  $\mathbb{Z}[1/2N]$  of the Eisenstein quotient  $A$  of  $J = \text{Jac}(X_0(N))$ . Define,

$$X_0(N)_\mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow J \longrightarrow A$$

$f : X_0(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  over  $\mathbb{Z}[1/2N]$  sends  $\infty \mapsto 0$ . Then if  $p \nmid 2N$  then  $\infty \in X_0(N)(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  is the only  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -point of  $X_0(N)$  mapping to  $0 \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  which reduces to  $\infty \in X_0(N)(\mathbb{F}_p)$ .

**Definition 1.0.4.** Let  $f : Y \rightarrow Z$  is lft and  $Y, Z$  are locally noetherian. If  $y \in U$  say  $f$  is a *formal immersion at  $y$*  if  $\mathcal{O}_{Z, f(y)}^\wedge \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y, y}^\wedge$  is surjective.

**Definition 1.0.5.**  $Y, Z$  are ft + sep over a locally noetherian base  $S$ . If  $f$  is an  $S$ -morphism and  $y \in Y(S)$  is a section then  $f$  is a *formal immersion along  $y$*  if,

- (a)  $f$  is a formal immersion along all points of  $y$
- (b)  $f_s$  is a formal immersion at  $y_s$  for all  $s \in S$ .

*Remark.* This is supposed to be equivalent to  $\widehat{Y}_y \hookrightarrow \widehat{Z}_{f(y)}$ .

**Lemma 1.0.6.** Let  $A, B$  be complete noeth. local rings and  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a local map such that  $f : A/\mathfrak{m}_A \rightarrow B/\mathfrak{m}_B$  and  $f : \mathfrak{m}_A/\mathfrak{m}_A^2 \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{m}_B/\mathfrak{m}_B^2$  is surjective.

*Proof.* Approximate. □

**Proposition 1.0.7.** Let  $Y$  be separated and  $f : Y \rightarrow Z$  be a formal immersion at  $y \in Y$ . Let  $T$  be an integral noetherian scheme with  $p_1, p_2 \in Y(T)$  are s.t.  $y = p_1(t) = p_2(t)$  at some  $t \in T$  and  $f \circ p_1 = f \circ p_2$  then  $p_1 = p_2$ .

**Lemma 1.0.8.** Let  $A, B$  be complete noetherian local rings flat over a dvr  $(R, \pi)$  with a map  $A \rightarrow B$  such that  $A/\mathfrak{m}_A \rightarrow B/\mathfrak{m}_A$  is an isomorphism. Then  $A \rightarrow B$  is surjective iff  $A/\pi \rightarrow B/\pi$  is surjective.

*Proof.* This follows from the fact that  $\mathfrak{m}_A/(\mathfrak{m}_A^2 + \pi A) \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{m}_B/(\mathfrak{m}_B^2 + \pi B)$  being surjective implies that it was surjective before modding by  $\pi$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.0.9.** We can check formal immersions at the special fiber of a DVR.

*Proof of Proposition.*  $A = \{x \in T \mid p_1(x) = p_2(x)\}$  then  $Y$  is separated implies  $A \subset T$  closed and  $T$  is integral so suffices to show  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{T,t}) \rightarrow T$  factors through  $A \hookrightarrow T$ . So assume  $T$  is local with closed point  $t$ . Can assume  $Y$  is local with closed point  $y$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{T,t} & \hookrightarrow & \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{T,t} \\ \uparrow \uparrow & & \uparrow \uparrow \\ \mathcal{O}_{Y,y} & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,y} \longleftarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Z,f(y)} \end{array}$$

thus the maps must agree on the local rings since they agree after composing with the surjection.  $\square$

Goal show that if  $T_{\mathbb{Q}} \twoheadrightarrow A$  is any surjection of abelian varieties with connected kernel (what we call an optimal quotient) then  $X_0(N) \rightarrow J \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  over  $\mathbb{Z}[1/2N]$  is a formal immersion.

Setup  $N$  is prime  $> 2$  and  $S = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[1/2N])$  and  $X = X_0(N)$  then  $J = J_0(N)$  and  $\mathbb{T} \hookrightarrow \text{End}(J)$  the Hecke algebra.

*Remark.* all optimal quotients of  $J$  are of the form  $J/IJ$  where  $I \subset \mathbb{T}$  is a *saturated* ideal ( $\mathbb{T}/I$  is torsion-free). Then  $J_{\mathbb{Q}} = J_0(N)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{new}}$  so everything in Daniel's talk applies. In particular,

$$J_{\mathbb{Q}} \sim \prod_{f \in C} A_f$$

with  $C$  Galois orbits of cusp forms. Also,

$$\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A_f) = K_f = \text{im } \mathbb{T}$$

with  $[K_f : \mathbb{Q}] = \dim A_f$ . Then any optimal quotient of  $J_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is  $\prod_{g \in C'} A_g$  with  $C' \subset C$ .

**Theorem 1.0.10.** The tangent space  $T_0(F)$  is a free  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2N]}$ -module of rank 1 generated by  $\frac{d}{dq}|_0$ .

*Remark.* This is saying,

$$S_2(N)_R \cong H^0(J_R, \Omega_{J_R/R}^1) = T_0^*(J_R)$$

for any ring  $R$ . This is because level  $N$  cusp 2-forms are exactly given by forms on  $X_0(N)$  and these are the same as forms on its Jacobian.

**Corollary 1.0.11.** If  $A$  is an optimal quotient of  $J$  then  $X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  sending  $\infty \mapsto 0$  is a formal immersion over  $S$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $T_{\infty}X \hookrightarrow T_0\mathcal{A}$  over each prime. Then in the a sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow J \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0$$

since  $J$  and  $A$  have good reduction so does  $B$  by Neron-Ogg-Shafarevich. Then Raynaud's theorem gives an exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow T_0(\mathcal{B}) \longrightarrow T_0(J) \longrightarrow T_0(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow 0$$

(HMMM) □

Reduction,  $M' = T_0(T)/(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2N]} \frac{d}{dq})$ . But  $T_0(J)$  is finite over  $\mathbb{Z}[1/2N]$  hence also  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2N]}$ . Suffices to show that  $M'/\mathfrak{m}M' = 0$  for all  $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2N]}$  i.e.  $\frac{d}{dq}$  generated  $T_0(T)/\mathfrak{m}T_0(J)$ .

**Lemma 1.0.12.**  $S_2(N)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{new}}$  is a free  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -module of rank 1 generated by  $\frac{d}{dq}|_0$ .

**Lemma 1.0.13.** For  $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2N]}$  and  $T_0(J)/\mathfrak{m}T_0(J) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Finiteness of  $T_0(J)$  and NAK and  $T_0(J) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \neq 0$ . □

**Lemma 1.0.14.** For  $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2N]}$  then  $\frac{d}{dq}$  has nonzero image in  $T_0(J)/\mathfrak{m}T_0(J)$ .

*Proof.* If  $f \in S_2(N)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell}$  has a  $q$ -expansion,

$$f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n q^n$$

then  $\frac{d}{dq}(f) = a_1$  and we win by showing that if  $f$  is an eigenform with  $a_1 = 0$  then  $f = 0$ . This is because  $\frac{d}{dq}(T_n f) = a_n$  so if  $T_n f = \lambda f$  for  $\lambda \neq 0$  then we also have all  $a_n = 0$ .

Let's do this in more detail. Let  $\ell$  be the characteristic of  $F = (\mathbb{T} \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/2N])/\mathfrak{m}$  and  $R = (\mathbb{T} \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/2N]) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$ . And let  $M = T_0(J) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$ . Then there is an exact sequence,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathfrak{m} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell & \longrightarrow & R & \longrightarrow & F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & & \parallel & & \\ & & & & \prod_{i \in I} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{m}R & \longrightarrow & R & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & & & \uparrow \\ & & & & & & F \end{array}$$

by tensoring the inclusion  $F \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$  we get  $T_0(J)/\mathfrak{m}T_0(J) \hookrightarrow M/\mathfrak{m}M$ . As  $R$ -modules,

$$(M/\mathfrak{m}M)^\vee \cong M^\vee[\mathfrak{m}] \cong H^0(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell}, \Omega_{X/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell}^1)[\mathfrak{m}]$$

□

**Theorem 1.0.15.** if  $f : X \rightarrow S$  is a smooth proper relative curve then  $R^i f_* \Omega_{X/S}$  commutes with all base change.

*Proof.* If  $S$  is reduced this comes from Grauert. Otherwise use cohomology and base change. □

In particular: if  $f \in S_2(N)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell}[\mathfrak{m}]$  is nonzero can lift to char 0 and then  $\frac{d}{dq}(T_n f) = a_n(f)$  follows from analysis.

**Lemma 1.0.16.** For every  $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2N]}$ . Then  $T_0(J)/\mathfrak{m}T_0(J)$  is free over  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/2N]}/\mathfrak{m}$  generated by  $\frac{d}{dq}$ .

*Proof.*  $\dim_F T_0(J)/\mathfrak{m}T_0(J) = \dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell} M^\vee[\mathfrak{m}]$  then let  $a_n$  be the image of  $T_n$  in  $R/\mathfrak{m} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$  then if  $f \in S_2(N)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell}[\mathfrak{m}]$  and  $T_n(f) = a_n(f)$  so  $f$  is a multiple of  $q + a_2 q^2 + \dots$ .  $\square$

## 2 Final Talk

### 2.1 Kodaira Classification of Special Fibers

**Definition 2.1.1.** An *elliptic surface* is a regular connected 2-dimensional scheme  $X$  equipped with a map proper map  $\pi : X \rightarrow C$  to a regular connected 1-dimensional scheme  $C$  (e.g. a Dedekind scheme) whose generic fiber is a smooth geometrically-connected curve of genus 1.

*Remark.* The map  $\pi : X \rightarrow C$  is dominant (since the generic fiber is nonempty) and  $X$  and  $C$  are integral so we get an injection  $K(C) \hookrightarrow K(X)$  hence  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  are torsion-free  $\mathcal{O}_{C,\pi(x)}$ -modules and hence flat since the base is a DVR. Thus  $\pi$  is flat. Since  $X$  is irreducible the fibers must be all pure dimension 1. Then  $\pi$  is also proper so since  $C$  is normal and the generic fiber is geometrically-connected  $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_C$  so  $\pi$  has connected fibers. Thus every fiber of  $\pi$  is a connected genus 1 curve (not necessarily reduced) and  $\pi$  is smooth iff these are smooth genus 1 curves.

**Definition 2.1.2.** We say that an elliptic surface  $X$  is *pointed* if it furthermore equipped with a section  $\sigma : C \rightarrow X$  of  $\pi$ . We say that  $\pi$  is *relatively minimal* if the fibers contain no  $(-1)$ -curves. In this case we say that  $X$  is a *minimal elliptic surface*.

*Remark.* A minimal elliptic surface  $\pi : X \rightarrow C$  is exactly the data of compatible minimal regular models of its generic fiber  $E$  over each DVR  $\mathcal{O}_{C,p}$ . Therefore, to classify the fibers of minimal elliptic surfaces, it suffices to classify the special fibers of minimal regular models of genus 1 curves and, in the equicharacteristic case, then exhibit these fibers in complete families with regular total spaces. (IT IS OBVIOUS THAT THIS CAN ALWAYS BE DONE??)

*Remark.* DO I NEED TO ASSUME  $S$  IS EXCELLENT FOR EVERYTHING I WANT TO BE TRUE.

### 2.2 General Properties of Regular Models

*Remark.* Liu's book covers this topic well.

Let  $X \rightarrow S = \text{Spec}(R)$  be a regular proper model with special fiber  $X_s$ . Let  $\Gamma_i$  be the irreducible components of  $X_s$  appearing with multiplicity  $d_i$ . These are (possibly singular) proper curves over  $\kappa = R/\mathfrak{m}$ .

We want to define an intersection pairing on  $X$ . For any nonzero horizontal divisor  $D$  we should have  $C \cdot X_s > 0$ . However,  $\mathcal{O}_X(X_s) = \mathcal{O}_X$  because it is cut out by a global function  $\pi \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . Thus we cannot have an intersection pairing invariant under linear equivalence. This is because  $S$  is not “complete” so we can deform  $X_s$  to the “boundary” where it vanishes. Arakalov theory solves this but instead we will just ask that  $i(-, D)$  is invariant under linear equivalence in its first

factor. However, there is still an issue if  $D$  contains a horizontal divisor then  $X_s \cdot D > 0$  because definition of the intersection pairing is symmetric. To fix this we restrict the second coordinate to only vertical divisors.

**Lemma 2.2.1.** There is a bilinear intersection pairing,

$$i_s : \text{Div}(X) \times \text{Div}_s(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

which satisfies the following properties,

(a) when  $D$  and  $E$  share no components by,

$$(D, E) \mapsto \sum_{x \in D \cap E} i_x(D, E)[\kappa(x) : \kappa]$$

(b) if  $D \sim D'$  then  $i_s(D, E) = i_s(D', S)$

(c) if FINDI

When  $E \subset X_s$  is an effective divisor in the special fiber this is equivalent to,

$$i_s(D, E) = \deg_\kappa \mathcal{O}_E(D)$$

This is only defined for the second divisor  $\text{Div}_s(X)$  supported in the special fiber because the base is “not complete”. The following example shows the pathologies of this intersection pairing and why we can’t define it for arbitrary divisors.

*Remark.*  $i_s(-, E)$  is invariant under linear equivalence. However  $i_s(D, -)$  is *not* invariant unless  $D \in \text{Div}_s(X)$ . For example,  $\mathcal{O}_X(X_s) = \mathcal{O}_X$  because it is cut out by a global function  $\pi \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . However, we will see that

$$K_{X/S} \cdot X_s = 2g(X_\eta) - 2$$

which is nonzero. However, when by  $D, E \in \text{Div}_s(X)$  then  $i_s$  is symmetric and hence invariant under linear equivalence in both components. This implies for example that  $X_s^2 = 0$ .

*Remark.* The above example shows why we cannot define an intersection theory at all for arbitrary divisors. Indeed, suppose we had,

$$i : \text{Div}(X) \times \text{Div}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

and we just wanted  $i(-, D)$  invariant under linear equivalence in the first coordinate. However, if  $D = H + V$  where  $H$  is a horizontal divisor and  $V$  is a vertical divisor we have seen that the usual intersection product means that,

$$i(X_s, H + V) = X_s \cdot H = H \cdot X_s > 0$$

but  $X_s \sim 0$ .

Since  $X$  is regular and flat over a regular base, the fibers are Gorenstein ([Tag 0BJJ](#)). Therefore, there exists a relative dualizing line bundle  $\omega_{X/S}$  ([Tag 0E6R](#))

**Lemma 2.2.2.** Let  $X$  be a regular surface flat and proper over  $\text{Spec}(R)$ .

(a)  $X$  is minimal iff  $K_{X/S}$  is numerically effective

(b)

**Lemma 2.2.3.** Let  $X$

(a)  $K_{X/S} \cdot X_s = 2g(X_\eta) - 2$

(b)

## 2.3 The Relation to Neron Models

**Proposition 2.3.1.** Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over  $K$  with  $K = \text{Frac}(R)$  and  $R$  a DVR. Then let  $X$  be the minimal regular model of  $E$  over  $R$ . By properness,  $X$  is a pointed elliptic surface with  $\sigma_K = 0 \in E(K) = X(K)$ . Let  $\mathcal{E} \subset X$  be the open subscheme obtained by removing the points of  $X$  which are singularities of the special fiber. Then  $\mathcal{E}$  is the Neron model of  $E$ .

*Proof.* We verify the Neron mapping property in two steps. First, if  $\text{Spec}(R') \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$  is a finite étale cover then by properness,

$$X(R') = E(K')$$

so it suffices to show that maps  $\text{Spec}(R') \rightarrow X$  land in  $\mathcal{E}$ . Indeed, let  $x \in X$  be the image of a closed point  $\mathfrak{m} \subset R'$  then we get a diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R'_{\mathfrak{m}} & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \\ \uparrow & \nearrow & \\ R & & \end{array}$$

and  $R \rightarrow R'_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is étale so the uniformizer lands in  $\mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$ . Thus by commutativity  $\pi \in \mathfrak{m}_x \setminus \mathfrak{m}_x^2$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is regular this implies that  $\mathcal{O}_{X_s,x} = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\pi$  is regular so  $x \in \mathcal{E}$ . Thus,

$$\mathcal{E}(R') \rightarrow X(R') \rightarrow E(K')$$

are all isomorphisms. This can be used to show that the group law on  $E$  extends uniquely to  $\mathcal{E}$ . Now, if  $T \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$  is a smooth  $R$ -scheme and  $f_K : T_K \rightarrow E$  is a map then by properness  $f_K$  extends to a rational map  $f : T \dashrightarrow X$  defined away from codimension 2. However,  $T \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$  has sections through any point after some étale extension  $\text{Spec}(R') \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ . Since we know maps  $\text{Spec}(R') \rightarrow X$  land in  $\mathcal{E}$  we conclude that  $f$  factors through  $\mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow X$ . Finally, since  $\mathcal{E}$  is a group by a translation argument  $f : T \dashrightarrow \mathcal{E}$  is everywhere defined. Then,

$$\text{Hom}_R(T, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_K(T_K, E)$$

is surjective and since  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$  is separated it is injective.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.2.** Suppose that  $E$  is an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with additive reduction at  $p$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  its Neron model over  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ . Then  $\#\pi_0(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}_p}) \leq 4$ .

*Proof.* Additive reduction means that the special fiber of  $\mathcal{E}^0$  is  $\mathbb{G}_a$  (since the residue field is perfect). Therefore, all the geometric components (IS IT POSSIBLE FOR  $\pi_0(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}_p})$  NONSPLIT??) of  $\mathcal{E}^0$  are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G}_a$ . From our construction of the Neron model this is equivalent to, in the special fiber of the minimal regular model, each genus 1 component having a cusp and each genus 0 component intersecting the other components in exactly one point. By inspection of the possible types (II, III, IV, HOW MANY OTHERS, there can be a maximum of 4 geometric components under these restrictions.  $\square$

## 2.4 Completing the Proof

We first need a lemma. (HAS THIS BEEN PROVEN PREVIOUSLY??)

**Proposition 2.4.1.** Let  $p \neq 2$  and  $f : H \rightarrow G$  be a morphism of finite flat group schemes over a DVR  $R$  with mixed characteristic 0 and  $p$ . Let  $K = \text{Frac}(R)$ . If  $f_K : H_K \rightarrow G_K$  is a closed immersion then  $f$  is a closed immersion.

*Proof.* DO THIS!! □

**Theorem 2.4.2.** Let  $(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}, C)$  be a pair of an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and a cyclic subgroup  $C$  of order  $N$  with  $N$  an odd prime. Then  $E$  has potentially good reduction at all odd primes  $p \neq N$ .

The proof of this theorem relies on the following result from last time.

**Proposition 2.4.3.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the Neron model over  $\mathbb{Z}[1/2N]$  of any nonzero optimal quotient  $A$  of  $J$ . Define  $X_0(N)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow J \rightarrow A$  by sending the cusp  $\infty$  to 0 and let  $f$  denote the morphism extending this over  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[1/2N])$ . Then  $\infty \in X_0(N)(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  is the only point reducing to  $\infty \in X_0(N)(\mathbb{F}_p)$  that also maps to 0 in  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  under  $f$ .

*Proof of Theorem.* Let  $A = \tilde{J}$  DO THIS PROFO □

*Remark.* Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the neron model of an elliptic curve  $E$  over a DVR  $R$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}[N]$  is finite flat over  $R$  because  $\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{N} \mathcal{E}$  is finite flat so its base change along the zero section is also finite flat. To show  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  is finite flat we use miracle flatness check quasi-finiteness explicitly then show properness because (HOW TO DO!!)

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem.

**Theorem 2.4.4** (Mazur). Let  $N$  be prime and  $N \geq 11$  and not 13. Then there are no elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with a torsion subgroup of order divisible by  $N$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $E(\mathbb{Q})[N]$  is nonempty. We proved that  $E$  has potentially good reduction at 3. We will now show that  $N \leq 7$ . First, suppose that  $E$  has good reduction at 3 so its Neron model  $\mathcal{E}$  over  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_{(3)})$  is proper. Then since  $\mathcal{E}[N]$  is finite over  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_{(3)})$  we have,

$$\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_3)$$

is injective. But  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}_3}$  is an elliptic curve so by the Hasse-Weil bound,

$$\#\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}_3} \leq \lfloor 4 + 2 \cdot \sqrt{3} \rfloor = 7$$

Otherwise,  $E$  has additive reduction at 3 and let  $\mathcal{E}$  be its Neron model over  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_{(3)})$ . Consider the exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}_3}^0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}_3} \longrightarrow \pi_0(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}_3}) \longrightarrow 0$$

Since we are in the case of additive reduction,  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}_3}^0 = \mathbb{G}_a$ . Consider the map of finite flat group schemes,

$$\underline{\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}[N]$$

over  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{(3)}$  arising from the inclusion  $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow E[N](\mathbb{Q})$  which spreads out by the Neron mapping property since  $\underline{\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}}$  is smooth over  $R$ . This map is, by construction, a closed immersion on the generic fiber so by Prop 3.3 this map is a closed immerison. In particular,

$$\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}[N]_{\mathbb{F}_3}$$

By the above lemma,  $\#\pi_0(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}_3}) \leq 4$  so if  $N > 4$  then  $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$  lands in  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}_3}^0$  but  $\#\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}_3}^0(\mathbb{F}_3) = \#\mathbb{G}_a(\mathbb{F}_3) = 3$  so this is impossible. Therefore we conclude that  $N \leq 4$ . □