

# 1 TODO!!

- (a) Finish symplectic geometry course
  - (a) figure out if symplectic toric is the same as projective toric variety (projectivity needed to come from a polytope and also to be Kahler)
  - (b) review coisotropic reduced and write some notes
  - (c) hyperkahler reduction examples
  - (d) are there examples of noncompact hyperkahlers?
  - (e) work out the kinks in notes on hamiltonian actions
- (b) review killing homotopy groups columbia lectures and write some notes
- (c) figure out those damn jet bundles and connections on principal bundles
  - (a) RMK:  $\pi^*E$  is NOT trivial for a vector bundle let alone a fiber bundle. it does get equipped with a canonical section but for a vector bundle this is just the trivial section, only for a principal bundle does giving a section trivialize it.
  - (b) role of atiyah sequence vs jet bundle sequence
  - (c)
- (d) spectral sequences for tor and ext in derived category
  - (a) application to universal coefficient theorem
  - (b) Kunneth spectral sequence
  - (c) Kunneth formula for smash product?
  - (d) why are derived functors triangulated
  - (e) derived functors in terms of Kan extensions (NOTES)
- (e) write notes on universal morphisms
- (f)  $G$ -action of  $X/Y$  induces map Descent data  $X/Y$  to  $G$ -equivariant sheaves
  - (a) isomorphism when  $X/Y$  is a  $G$ -cover i.e.  $X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $G$ -torsor
  - (b) write down explicit  $G$ -equivariant structure on  $\Omega_X$
  - (c) Galois descent derive explicit form
- (g) Weil restriction
  - (a) write down trivialization after going back up
  - (b) Galois descent in explicit form
- (h) notes on Galois actions on schemes
- (i) notes on Frobenii
- (j) notes on universal constructions in math with examples

## 2 Some Connection Musings

**Definition 2.0.1.** Let  $f : E \rightarrow X$  be a smooth surjection (in the smooth category, what should it be in the algebraic category?) then an *Erhesmann connection* is a splitting of the sequence of vector bundles,

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker df \longrightarrow TE \longrightarrow \pi^*TX \longrightarrow 0$$

where we usually call  $V = \ker df$  the vertical bundle. In algebraic language,  $V$  is the dual of the relative differentials so the connection corresponds to a splitting of,

$$0 \longrightarrow f^*\Omega_X \longrightarrow \Omega_E \longrightarrow \Omega_{E/X} \longrightarrow 0$$

*Remark.* Such splittings are supposed to correspond to smooth sections of the map  $J^1(E) \rightarrow E$ . We now explain how this works. Unfortunately, I don't know a good unified language to describe the jet bundles so I will give the algebraic and smooth definitions.

**Definition 2.0.2.** Given a smooth surjection  $f : E \rightarrow X$ , consider the  $n$ -th thickened diagonal,  $X \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow X \times_S X$ . Then we consider the functor sending  $T \rightarrow S$  to pairs of maps  $T \rightarrow X$  and  $T \times_X X_n \rightarrow E$  such that the diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \pi_1 \\ T \times_X X_n & \longrightarrow & X_n \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \pi_2 \\ T & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

commutes. Then the jet scheme  $J_n(E/X)$  with maps  $J_n(E/X) \rightarrow X$  and  $J^n(E/X) \times_X X_n \rightarrow E$  represents this functor.

## 3 Counterexamples In Geometry

**Example 3.0.1.** The Hopf surface is the compact complex surface  $H = \mathcal{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}/\mathbb{Z}$  where  $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright \mathcal{C}^2$  via  $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto (\lambda z_1, \lambda z_2)$  for  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . This surface has  $h^{1,0} = 1$  but  $h^{0,1} = 0$ . Furthermore,  $H$  is diffeomorphic to  $S^3 \times S^1$ . This provides:

- (a) a compact complex manifold that is not Kähler
- (b) a compact complex manifold without Hodge symmetry
- (c) a compact complex manifold that is not symplectic ( $H^2(H, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ )

*Remark.* From the exponential exact sequences,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\exp} \mathcal{O}_X^\times \longrightarrow 0$$

we have that,

## 4 Two Capacitors

Let  $q_1(0) = Q$  and  $q_2(0) = 0$  and  $I = \dot{q}_1 = \dot{q}_2$  so  $q = q_1 - q_2$  is constant so  $q_1 = Q + q_2$ . Now,

$$R\dot{q}_2 + \frac{q_1}{C_1} + \frac{q_2}{C_2} = 0$$

Therefore,

$$\dot{q}_2 = \frac{Q}{RC_1} + q_2 \left( \frac{1}{RC_1} + \frac{1}{RC_2} \right)$$