

Physics GR8040 General Relativity

Assignment # 1

Benjamin Church

September 4, 2019

1.

(a)

Let a_j^i and b_j^i be $(1,1)$ -tensors. Define the coefficients $c_j^i = a_j^i + b_j^i$ in all bases. We need to show that such an object has the tensor property. Under a transformation,

$$c_{j'}^{i'} = a_{j'}^{i'} + b_{j'}^{i'} = \Lambda_{i'}^i \Lambda_j^{j'} a_j^i + \Lambda_{i'}^i \Lambda_j^{j'} b_j^i = \Lambda_{i'}^i \Lambda_j^{j'} (a_j^i + b_j^i) = \Lambda_{i'}^i \Lambda_j^{j'} c_j^i$$

Therefore c transforms as a $(1,1)$ -tensor.

(b)

Let a_{ij} and b^k be tensors and define the coefficients $c_{ij}^k = a_{ij} b^k$ in all bases. We need to show that c_{ij}^k has the tensor property. Under a change of basis,

$$c_{i'j'}^{k'} = a_{i'j'} b^{k'} = \Lambda_{i'}^i \Lambda_{j'}^j a_{ij} \Lambda_k^{k'} b^k = \Lambda_{i'}^i \Lambda_{j'}^j \Lambda_k^{k'} c_{ij}^k$$

so c transforms as a $(1,2)$ -tensor.

(c)

Let a_{jk}^i be a $(1,2)$ -tensor and define the coefficients $c_k = a_{ik}^i$ in all bases. We need to show that c_k has the tensor property. Under a change of basis,

$$c_{k'} = a_{i'k'}^{i'} = \Lambda_{i'}^i \Lambda_{i'}^j \Lambda_{k'}^k a_{jk}^i = \delta_i^j \Lambda_{k'}^k a_{jk}^i = \Lambda_{k'}^k a_{ik}^i = \Lambda_{k'}^k c_k$$

so c transforms as a $(0,1)$ -tensor.

2.

Let $\phi : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bilinear function on a real vectorspace \mathbb{R} . Let V have a basis \mathbf{e}_i . The map ϕ defines components $\phi_{ij} = \phi(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j)$. Consider these components under a change of basis $\mathbf{e}_{i'} = \Lambda_{i'}^i \mathbf{e}_i$. Then, using bilinearity, we have,

$$\phi_{i'j'} = \phi(\mathbf{e}_{i'}, \mathbf{e}_{j'}) = \phi(\Lambda_{i'}^i \mathbf{e}_i, \Lambda_{j'}^j \mathbf{e}_j) = \Lambda_{i'}^i \Lambda_{j'}^j \phi(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j) = \Lambda_{i'}^i \Lambda_{j'}^j \phi_{ij}$$

Thus, ϕ_{ij} transform as a $(0,2)$ -tensor.

3.

Suppose that a $(0, m)$ -tensor a_{i_1, \dots, i_m} is symmetric in some basis. Consider this object transformed to another basis which we can express in terms of the original basis as,

$$a_{i'_1, \dots, i'_m} = \Lambda_{i'_1}^{i_1} \cdots \Lambda_{i'_m}^{i_m} a_{i_1, \dots, i_m}$$

Therefore, swapping index i_a and i_b with $a < b$ we find,

$$a_{i'_1, \dots, i'_b, \dots, i'_a, \dots, i'_m} = \Lambda_{i'_1}^{i_1} \cdots \Lambda_{i'_b}^{i_a} \Lambda_{i'_a}^{i_b} \cdots \Lambda_{i'_m}^{i_m} a_{i_1, \dots, i_a, \dots, i_b, \dots, i_m} = \Lambda_{i'_1}^{i_1} \cdots \Lambda_{i'_b}^{i_a} \cdots \Lambda_{i'_a}^{i_b} \cdots \Lambda_{i'_m}^{i_m} a_{i_1, \dots, i_b, \dots, i_a, \dots, i_m}$$

where I used the symmetry of a to swap i_a and i_b . Now renaming $i_a \mapsto i_b$ and $i_b \mapsto i_a$ we find,

$$a_{i'_1, \dots, i'_b, \dots, i'_a, \dots, i'_m} = \Lambda_{i'_1}^{i_1} \cdots \Lambda_{i'_b}^{i_a} \Lambda_{i'_a}^{i_b} \cdots \Lambda_{i'_m}^{i_m} a_{i_1, \dots, i_a, \dots, i_b, \dots, i_m} = \Lambda_{i'_1}^{i_1} \cdots \Lambda_{i'_b}^{i_b} \cdots \Lambda_{i'_a}^{i_a} \cdots \Lambda_{i'_m}^{i_m} a_{i_1, \dots, i_a, \dots, i_b, \dots, i_m} = a_{i'_1, \dots, i'_a, \dots, i'_b, \dots, i'_m}$$

and thus the symmetry is preserved.

4.

Let a_{ij} be a $(0, 2)$ -tensor. Define $b_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(a_{ij} + a_{ji})$ and $c_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(a_{ij} - a_{ji})$. By the first problem b_{ij} and c_{ij} are tensors. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} b_{ji} &= \frac{1}{2}(a_{ji} + a_{ij}) = \frac{1}{2}(a_{ij} + a_{ji}) = b_{ij} \\ c_{ji} &= \frac{1}{2}(a_{ji} - a_{ij}) = -\frac{1}{2}(a_{ij} - a_{ji}) = -c_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

so b_{ij} is symmetric and c_{ij} is antisymmetry. Finally, it is clear that $a_{ij} = b_{ij} + c_{ij}$.

5.

(a)

The object δ_{ij} satisfies the tensor property with respect to a transformation Λ exactly when,

$$\delta_{i'j'} = \Lambda_{i'}^i \Lambda_{j'}^j \delta_{ij} = \Lambda_{i'}^i \Lambda_{j'}^i$$

which is neatly summarized by the equivalent condition on Λ as a matrix that $\Lambda^\top \Lambda = I$. Therefore δ_{ij} is a tensor with respect to exactly the orthogonal transformations. In particular, reflections are orthogonal because they preserve dot products so reflections also preserve δ_{ij} .

(b)

The easiest way to see the failure of ϵ_{ijk} to be a tensor is to consider the cross product of $(1, 0)$ -tensors a and b i.e. $c_i = \epsilon_{ijk} a^j b^k$. Under a full parity inversion, (which, in 3D, is a rotation plus a single reflection), we find $c_{i'} = \epsilon_{ijk} a^{j'} b^{k'} = \epsilon_{ijk} (-a^j) (-b^k) = \epsilon_{ijk} a^j b^k = c_i$. However, if c_i were a type $(0, 1)$ -tensor then it would transform as $c_i \mapsto -c_i$ under a parity inversion. Since it does not, we know that ϵ_{ijk} cannot satisfy the tensor property for such transformations otherwise its contraction with other tensors must also be tensorial.

6.

A linear map $\mathbf{B} : V \rightarrow V$ can be expressed in a basis \mathbf{e}_i via the rule $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{e}_j) = B_j^i \mathbf{e}_i$. Therefore, $B_j^i = \mathbf{e}^i(\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{e}_j))$. Consider these coefficients under a transformation,

$$B_{j'}^{i'} = \mathbf{e}^{i'}(\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{e}_{j'})) = \Lambda_i^{i'} \mathbf{e}^i(\mathbf{B}(\Lambda_{j'}^j \mathbf{e}_j)) = \Lambda_i^{i'} \Lambda_{j'}^j \mathbf{e}^i(\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{e}_j)) \Lambda_i^{i'} \Lambda_{j'}^j B_j^i$$

using linearity. Therefore, B_j^i transforms as a $(1, 1)$ -tensor.

7.

Consider the metric $(0, 2)$ - tensor g_{ij} . We define its inverse by the equation $g_{ij}g^{jk} = \delta_i^k$. Under a transformation, the transformed version of g^{jk} must still satisfy the transformed equation,

$$g_{i'j'}g^{j'k'} = \delta_{i'}^{k'} \implies \Lambda_{i'}^i \Lambda_{j'}^j g_{ij}g^{j'k'} = \delta_{i'}^{k'}$$

This equation is most easily manipulated in matrix form,

$$\Lambda^\top g \Lambda g'^{-1} = I$$

which gives,

$$g'^{-1} = \Lambda^{-1} g^{-1} (\Lambda^{-1})^\top$$

Rewriting this in components,

$$g^{i'j'} = \Lambda_i^{i'} \Lambda_j^{j'} g^{ij}$$

which shows that g^{ij} transforms as a $(2, 0)$ -tensor.

8.

Consider the tensor $\epsilon^{ijk} \epsilon_{jkm}$. When $i \neq m$ then there do not exist values for j and k such that both ijk and jkm are permutations of 123 since all four of $ijkm$ must be different but take on at most three values. Thus, for $i \neq m$ we have $\epsilon^{ikj} \epsilon_{jkm} = 1$. Furthermore, when $i = m$, then the only nonzero terms come from i, j, k all different. Given a fixed i there are two such terms. Then, $\epsilon^{ijk} = \epsilon_{jki} = \epsilon_{jkm}$ so these two terms are both $+1$. Therefore,

$$\epsilon^{ijk} \epsilon_{jkm} = 2$$

In summary,

$$\epsilon^{ijk} \epsilon_{jkm} = 2\delta_m^i$$

9.

Assume the metric signature $\eta = \text{diag}(-, +, +, +)$. We have the tensors in a given basis,

$$X_\nu^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \implies X_\mu^\nu = \eta_{\mu\alpha} \eta^{\nu\beta} X_\beta^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 3 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Furthermore,

$$X_{[\mu,\nu]} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & -1 & 0 & -3 \\ -1 & 0 & 4 & 3 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ -3 & 3 & 1 & -4 \end{pmatrix} \quad X^{(\mu,\nu)} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & -2 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & -2 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and likewise,

$$X^\lambda_\lambda = -4$$

Next consider the vector,

$$V^\mu = (-1, 2, 0, -2)$$

which gives,

$$V^\mu V_\mu = 7 \quad V_\mu X^{\mu\nu} = (4, -2, 5, 7)$$

10.

(a)

Suppose that a particle moves with constant acceleration $a = \frac{dv}{dt}$ with respect to an inertial frame (t, x, y, z) . Then the proper time satisfies,

$$\frac{d\tau}{dt} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{a^2 t^2}{c^2}}$$

Integrating this equation gives,

$$\tau = \frac{c}{2a} \left(\frac{at}{c} \sqrt{1 - \frac{a^2 t^2}{c^2}} + \sin^{-1} \left(\frac{at}{c} \right) \right)$$

(b)

Now suppose that the particle experiences constant *proper* acceleration i.e. $\frac{du^\alpha}{d\tau}$ is constant in the rest frame of the particle. In this frame,

$$\frac{du^\alpha}{d\tau} = (0, a, 0, 0)$$

where a is the coordinate acceleration in the rest frame (normalized by factors of c in the following) and thus also the proper acceleration. A Lorentz transformation to the frame (t, x, y, z) then gives,

$$\frac{du^\alpha}{d\tau} = (\gamma\beta a, \gamma a, 0, 0)$$

However, in terms of coordinate variables,

$$u^\alpha = (\gamma, \gamma\beta, 0, 0)$$

and thus,

$$\frac{du^\alpha}{d\tau} = \gamma(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}\beta + \gamma\dot{\beta}, 0, 0) = (\gamma\dot{\gamma}, \gamma\dot{\gamma}\beta + \gamma^2\dot{\beta}, 0, 0)$$

where,

$$\dot{\gamma} = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\beta^2}} = \frac{\beta\dot{\beta}}{(1-\beta^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} = \gamma^3\beta\dot{\beta}$$

Comparing the two expressions for the four-acceleration we find that,

$$\dot{\beta} = \gamma^{-3}a$$

Thus,

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = \left(1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} a$$

which implies that,

$$v(t) = \frac{at}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{at}{c}\right)^2}}$$

Finally, the proper time is given by,

$$\frac{d\tau}{dt} = \sqrt{1-\beta^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{at}{c}\right)^2}}$$

which implies that,

$$\tau = \sinh^{-1}\left(\frac{at}{c}\right)$$

(c)

Finally, suppose that a^α is constant in the fixed frame (t, x, y, z) . Then we have,

$$\frac{du^\alpha}{d\tau} = (0, a, 0, 0)$$

in this frame at all times. However, as before,

$$\frac{du^\alpha}{d\tau} = (\gamma^4\beta\dot{\beta}, \gamma^4\dot{\beta}, 0, 0)$$

This is inconsistent unless the time-component of a^α is allowed to vary. Making this assumption, we find,

$$u^x(\tau) = a\tau$$

Therefore,

$$\gamma\beta = a\tau \implies \gamma^2 - 1 = (a\tau)^2$$

which implies that

$$\gamma = \sqrt{1 + (a\tau)^2}$$

and thus,

$$\frac{dt}{d\tau} = \gamma = \sqrt{1 + (a\tau)^2}$$

Integrating this we find,

$$t = \int_0^t d\tau \sqrt{1 + (a\tau)^2} = \frac{\tau}{2} \sqrt{1 + (a\tau)^2} + \frac{1}{2a} \sinh^{-1}(a\tau)$$

This cannot be inverted explicitly.

11.

Consider a source emitting uniformly at a constant definite frequency ν with luminosity L in its rest frame.

(a)

In the rest frame of the particle S , the derivative of energy momentum vector has the form,

$$\frac{dp^\alpha}{d\tau} = (-L, 0, 0, 0)$$

since proper time coincides with coordinate time and the radiation carries away zero total momentum. Therefore, boosting to the laboratory frame S' we find,

$$\frac{dp'^\alpha}{d\tau} = (-\gamma L, -\gamma\beta L, 0, 0)$$

Thus, in this frame,

$$\frac{dE'}{d\tau} = -\gamma L$$

implying that,

$$L' = -\frac{dE'}{d\tau} = L$$

recovering the well-known fact that radiated power is a Lorentz invariant.

(b)

Consider a photon emitted in the frame S with angular variables (θ, ϕ) with the pole $\theta = 0$ oriented along the direction of motion. This photon will have a wavevector (ignoring factors of c for now),

$$k^\alpha = (\nu, \nu \cos \theta, \nu \sin \theta \cos \phi, \nu \sin \theta \sin \phi)$$

Under a Lorentz transformation, this wavevector becomes,

$$k^\alpha = (\gamma\nu(1 + \beta \cos \theta), \gamma\nu(\cos \theta + \beta), \nu \sin \theta \cos \phi, \nu \sin \theta \sin \phi)$$

which means that in S' the photon has frequency $\nu' = \gamma(1 + \beta \cos \theta)\nu$ and angular coordinates (θ', ϕ') with,

$$\cos \theta' = \frac{\cos \theta + \beta}{\beta \cos \theta + 1} \quad \sin \theta' = \frac{\sin \theta}{\gamma(\beta \cos \theta + 1)}$$

and $\phi' = \phi$. The number of photons is conserved so the number of photons $N(\theta)$ in a solid angle from polar angle 0 to θ must satisfy $N'(\theta') = N(\theta)$. Thus, the angular distribution of photons in S' is computed as,

$$\frac{dN'}{d\Omega'} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{dN'(\theta')}{d \cos(\theta')} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{d \cos \theta}{d \cos \theta'} \frac{dN(\theta)}{d \cos \theta} = \frac{d \cos \theta}{d \cos \theta'} \frac{Lt}{4\pi h\nu}$$

because the angular distribution of photons emitted in a time t is,

$$\frac{dN}{d\Omega} = \frac{Lt}{4\pi h\nu}$$

is uniform in the rest frame S . An identical argument running the opposite direction will show that,

$$\cos \theta = \frac{\cos \theta' - \beta}{1 - \beta \cos \theta'}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{d \cos \theta}{d \cos \theta'} = \frac{1}{1 - \beta \cos \theta'} + \frac{\beta(\cos \theta' - \beta)}{(1 - \beta \cos \theta')^2} = \frac{1}{\gamma^2(1 - \beta \cos \theta')^2}$$

Therefore, the angular distribution of photons in the lab frame S' is given by,

$$\frac{dN'}{d\Omega'} = \frac{Lt}{4\pi h\nu} \frac{1}{\gamma^2(1 - \beta \cos \theta')^2}$$

We can likewise compute the angular distribution of power by computing the energy flow in photons through a given solid angle. This is simply,

$$\frac{dL'}{d\Omega'} = \frac{dN'}{d\Omega' dt'} h\nu'(\theta') = \frac{L}{4\pi} \frac{dt}{dt'} \frac{\nu'}{\nu} \frac{1}{\gamma^2(1 - \beta \cos \theta')^2}$$

Now,

$$\frac{\nu'}{\nu} = \gamma(1 + \beta \cos \theta) = \gamma \left(1 + \beta \frac{\cos \theta' - \beta}{1 - \beta \cos \theta'} \right) = \frac{\gamma(1 - \beta^2)}{1 - \beta \cos \theta'} = \frac{1}{\gamma(1 - \beta \cos \theta')}$$

Furthermore, for the time interval t in the rest frame during which the photons are emitted, we have $t' = \gamma t$ in the frame S' . Thus,

$$\frac{dL'}{d\Omega'} = \frac{L}{4\pi} \frac{1}{\gamma^4(1 - \beta \cos \theta')^3}$$

A good check of our work,

$$L' = \int \frac{dL'}{d\Omega'} d\Omega' = \frac{L}{4\pi\gamma^4} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{2\pi d(\cos \theta)}{(1 - \beta \cos \theta')^3} = \frac{L}{4\pi\gamma^4} (4\pi\gamma^4) = L$$

which shows that the total radiated power L' in the frame S' satisfied $L' = L$ so the total radiated power is indeed Lorentz invariant.

(c)

We have computed the frequency ν' of photons in the frame S' at a given angular position (θ', ϕ') to be,

$$\frac{\nu'}{\nu} = \frac{1}{\gamma(1 - \beta \cos \theta')}$$

Therefore, averaging over the angular distribution, we find,

$$\langle \nu' \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \int \frac{dN'}{d\Omega'} \nu' d\Omega = \frac{\nu}{4\pi} \int \frac{1}{\gamma^3(1 - \beta \cos \theta')^3} = \gamma\nu$$

so the average frequency is enhanced over the frequency of the emitted radiation in the source frame. Thus, the mean photon energy in S' is $\langle h\nu' \rangle = \gamma h\nu$. This is clear from the invariance of the radiated power L . The number of photons emitted during some interval is fixed and the energy emitted is larger by γ in the frame S' since it is a constant power over a time interval longer by a factor of γ . Therefore, the energy of each photon must also be larger by a factor of γ .