

1 TODO!!

- (a) Finish symplectic geometry course
 - (a) figure out if symplectic toric is the same as projective toric variety (projectivity needed to come from a polytope and also to be Kahler)
 - (b) review coisotropic reduced and write some notes
 - (c) hyperkahler reduction examples
 - (d) are there examples of noncompact hyperkahlers?
 - (e) work out the kinks in notes on hamiltonian actions
- (b) review killing homotopy groups columbia lectures and write some notes
- (c) figure out those damn jet bundles and connections on principal bundles
 - (a) RMK: π^*E is NOT trivial for a vector bundle let alone a fiber bundle. it does get equipped with a canonical section but for a vector bundle this is just the trivial section, only for a principal bundle does giving a section trivialize it.
 - (b) role of atiyah sequence vs jet bundle sequence
 - (c)
- (d) spectral sequences for tor and ext in derived category (FIND MY NOTES ON THIS!)
 - (a) application to universal coefficient theorem
 - (b) Kunneth spectral sequence
 - (c) Kunneth formula for smash product?
 - (d) why are derived functors triangulated
 - (e) derived functors in terms of Kan extensions (NOTES)
- (e) write notes on universal morphisms
- (f) G -action of X/Y induces map Descent data X/Y to G -equivariant sheaves
 - (a) isomorphism when X/Y is a G -cover i.e. $X \rightarrow Y$ is a G -torsor
 - (b) write down explicit G -equivariant structure on Ω_X
 - (c) Galois descent derive explicit form
- (g) Weil restriction
 - (a) write down trivialization after going back up
 - (b) Galois descent in explicit form
- (h) notes on Galois actions on schemes
- (i) notes on Frobenii
- (j) notes on universal constructions in math with examples

- (k) fix notes on Tor in category of sheaves and Tor symmetry (do I need symmetry of flat objects a priori?).
- (l) Finish stable homotopy theory course.
- (m) Finish vector bundles and connections notes (in AG folder)
 - (a) Kahler iff $\nabla I = 0$ where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection
 - (b) Ricci tensor and the trace bullshit
 - (c) Riemann-Hilbert and existence of flat frames for integrable connections

2 What I Want to Think About

- (a) Flat cohomology equal etale cohomology for smooth (affine groups) apply this to that counting rational points things
- (b) work out the details for the group fixing \mathbb{C} inside endomorphism group. What does an integrable structure of this kind look like, how close to a complex manifold can we get? In dimension two this should be exactly a conformal (not necessarily orientable) structure.
- (c) FINISH CONFORMAL NOTES!
- (d) Hilbert Class Field of curves (ASK BRIAN FOR REFERENCE)
- (e) Read about Fredholm index and Riemann-Roch
- (f) Cohomology and inclusion-exclusion: cohomology for vectorspaces?

3 Some Questions I Have

- (a) Reduction of structure group for a scheme.
 - (a) what about the algebraic group $SL^\pm = \det^{-1}(\mu)$ what does reduction of structure group give. For a manifold this is supposed to be a pseudo-volume form but obviously that's not right.
 - (b) what about $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{G}_m) \hookrightarrow GL_2$ from the action $\mathbb{G}_m \curvearrowright \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ restricted giving an action $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{G}_m) \curvearrowright \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$. I feel like this should give an almost complex structure. What properties does it have? What about for other fields?
 - (c) What is an almost complex structure on a scheme look like?
- (b) Is my calculation of an “almost almost complex structure” as reduction of structure group to $\langle \sigma \rangle \rtimes GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \subset GL(2n, \mathbb{R})$. For the case $n = 1$ this should be the conformal group justifying that I think this should correspond to the non-oriented case of a complex manifold since Riemann surfaces are exactly oriented conformal manifolds.

4 Project

5 Foliations

5.1 Riemannian Foliations

Remark. Given a transverse metric $g_{\mathcal{F}}$ to a foliation $\mathcal{F} \subset TM$ we can always choose a metric g on M such that g is bundle-like. Indeed, just choose a splitting $TM \cong \mathcal{F} \oplus Q$ and choose any metric on \mathcal{F} then take the sum.

5.2 Holonomy

5.3 Linearization

HOW IS THIS RELATED TO THE NORMAL BUNDLE?

6 Finding A Leaf

Recall that A is the cokernel of $\text{Alb}_F \rightarrow \text{Alb}_X$.

- (a) $\dim F \geq \dim \text{Alb}_F$ by [Kawamata, Thm. 1]
- (b) $\dim A \geq \dim \text{Alb}_X - \dim \text{Alb}_F$ by definition
- (c) hence, $\dim A \geq \dim \text{Alb}_X - \dim F$
- (d) $\dim A + \dim W \leq \dim \text{Alb}_X$ by Popa-Schnell
- (e) hence we conclude $\dim W \leq \dim \text{Alb}_X - \dim A \leq \dim F$.

However, $\dim W = \dim F$ by assumption. Therefore, every one of the above inequalities is an equality.

Therefore, there is an exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^1) \longrightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_X^1) \longrightarrow H^0(F, \Omega_F^1) \longrightarrow 0$$

The first map is injective by generic smoothness of $X' \rightarrow Y$ and the fact that hodge numbers are birational invariants. The second map is surjection by (in)equality (b) and then the sequence is exact by (in)equality (c).

Therefore, the image $W|_F \subset H^0(F, \Omega_F)$ is everything. But recall that $F \rightarrow \text{Alb}_F$ is dominant by Kawamata. Therefore generically the global forms on F span the cotangent space meaning the forms $W|_F$ are a generic coframe of F . This implies,

$$X \rightarrow \text{Alb}_X \rightarrow \text{Alb}_X^\vee \rightarrow \text{Alb}_F^\vee$$

is surjective because $\text{Alb}_F \rightarrow \text{Alb}_F^\vee$ is finite and any section of $H^0(X, \Omega_X^1) \twoheadrightarrow H^0(F, \Omega_F^1)$ must generically span a $\dim F$ subspace of the cotangent space since these forms span F at its generic point.

7 Contracting Fibers

Proposition 7.0.1. Let X, Y, A be varieties over \mathbb{C} . Suppose there is a diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{g} & A \\ \downarrow f & & \\ Y & & \end{array}$$

where A is an abelian variety and f, g are proper, f is \mathcal{O} -connected, and the general fiber of f is an abelian variety which maps finitely onto its image under g . Then g is a smooth isotrivial abelian fibration. (WHAT ABOUT IF Y IS SINGULAR)

Proof. Let $y \in Y$ be a point with a singular fiber. Then choose a map $\text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow Y$ from a DVR whose closed point hits y . Let $K = \text{Frac}(R)$ then X_K is a torsor over an abelian K -scheme so after some extension of DVRs $R' \supset R$ is becomes an abelian variety with semistable reduction. Let \mathcal{A} be the Neron model of $X_{K'}$ and consider the diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{A}^\circ & \dashrightarrow & X_{R'} & \longrightarrow & X_R & \longrightarrow & X \longrightarrow A \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \text{Spec}(R') & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec}(R) & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

□