

1 The Kobayashi Pseudodistance

Definition 1.0.1. A *directed pair* (X, V) is a pair of a complex manifold X and a holomorphic subbundle $V \subset T_X$.

Here let Δ be the unit disk in \mathbb{C} and ρ the Poincare metric on Δ .

Definition 1.0.2. Let X be a complex manifold. The *Kobayashi pseudodistance* is the pseduometric defined,

$$d_X(p, q) = \inf_{\alpha} \ell(\alpha)$$

where α is a chain of holomorphic disk $f_i : \Delta \rightarrow X$ and points $p = p_0, p_1, \dots, p_k = q$ of X and pairs $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_k, b_k \in \Delta$ such that,

$$f_i(a_i) = p_{i-1} \quad f_i(b_i) = p_i$$

and the length $\ell(\alpha)$ of the chain is defined as,

$$\ell(\alpha) := \rho(a_1, b_1) + \dots + \rho(a_k, b_k)$$

where ρ is the Poincare metric on Δ .

Example 1.0.3. Let $X = \mathbb{C}$ then $d_X = 0$. Indeed, by choosing larger and larger discs containing p, q their pullback to the unit disk is then closer and closer to the origin and hence have vanishing Poincare distance.

Remark. Recall the Schwartz-Pick lemma says that any holomorphic map $f : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ is a contraction for the Poincare metric. Therefore, $d_{\Delta} = \rho$.

Lemma 1.0.4. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be holomorphic. Then $d_Y(f(x), f(y)) \leq d_X(x, y)$

Proof. Indeed, choosing any chain of disks $g_i : \Delta \rightarrow X$ computing $d_X(x, y)$ we see that $f \circ g_i$ is a chain of disks connecting $f(x)$ and $f(y)$ of the same length. Therefore,

$$d_Y(f(x), f(y)) = \inf_{\alpha} \ell(\alpha) \leq d_X(x, y)$$

□

Corollary 1.0.5. If $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ is an entire curve then for $x, y \in f(\mathbb{C})$ we have $d_X(x, y) = 0$ meaning if f is nonconstant then d_X is degenerate along the image of f .

Proof. Indeed, let $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ map to x, y respectively. Then,

$$d_X(x, y) \leq d_{\mathbb{C}}(z_1, z_2) = 0$$

□

Brody's theorem is a converse to this result. We start by considering an infinitesimal analogue of the Kobayashi pseudodistance. Let $v \in T_{X, x_0}$ be a holomorphic tangent vector at $x_0 \in X$ and define,

$$\mathbf{k}_X(v) = \inf\{\lambda > 0 \mid \exists f : \Delta \rightarrow X \text{ such that } f(0) = x_0 \text{ and } \lambda f'(0) = v\}$$

where $f : \Delta \rightarrow X$ is holomorphic. It is easy to check that holomorphic maps contract this pseduometric and for $X = \Delta$ it agrees with the Poincare metric.

Theorem 1.0.6. Let X be a complex manifold. Then,

$$d_X(p, q) = \inf_{\gamma} \int_{\gamma} \mathbf{k}_X(\gamma'(t)) dt$$

where the infimum is taken over all piecewise smooth curves joining p and q .

Definition 1.0.7. A *Brody curve* $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ is an entire curve which has bounded derivative (wrt to some/any hermitian metric).

Theorem 1.0.8 (Brody). Let X be a compact complex manifold. If d_X is degenerate then there exists a Brody curve in X .

Remark. Of course, in the case that X is compact any entire curve is automatically Brody.

2 Definitions

Definition 2.0.1. We say a directed pair (X, V) is,

- (a) *Brody hyperbolic* if there does not exist a nonconstant entire map $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ tangent to V
- (b) *Kobayashi hyperbolic* if the Kobayashi pseudodistance is nondegenerate (i.e. it is a metric).

Theorem 2.0.2 (Brody). Let X be a compact complex manifold. Then X is Kobayashi hyperbolic if and only if it is Brody hyperbolic.

Remark. Therefore, we will call manifolds with this property just “hyperbolic” or “analytically hyperbolic” for emphasis.

Definition 2.0.3. If X is a complex projective algebraic variety we say (X, V) is

- (a) *algebraically hyperbolic* if there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for every complete integral curve $C \subset X$ we have,

$$2g(C) - 2 \geq \epsilon \deg_H C$$

where $g(C)$ is the geometric genus of C

- (b) *algebraically quasi-hyperbolic* if X contains finitely many genus 0 and genus 1 curves.

Theorem 2.0.4 (Demailly). Let X be a smooth projective variety. Then the following hold,

$$X \text{ is hyperbolic} \implies X \text{ is algebraically hyperbolic}$$

Theorem 2.0.5. If X is algebraically hyperbolic then X admits no nonconstant morphisms from an abelian variety.

Some references:

- (a) [Xi Chen](#)
- (b) [Javanpeykar](#)

2.1 The Green-Griffiths Locus and Jets

Theorem 2.1.1 ([Demilly's Notes](#) Theorem 7.9). Let (X, V) be a direct projective manifold and A an ample line bundle. Then for any entire curve $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ tangent to V and any $P \in H^0(X, E_{k,m}^{GG}(V^*) \otimes A^{-1})$ we have $P(f', f'', \dots, f^{(k)}) = 0$ identically.

Therefore, if we fix an ample line bundle we can consider the locus cut out by all these differential equations.

Definition 2.1.2. The *Green-Griffiths locus* $GG_A(X, V)$ is the set $x \in X$ such that for all $k > 0$ there exists a k -jet $\varphi_k : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (X, x)$ tangent to V so that for all $m > 0$ every global jet differential $P \in H^0(X, E_{k,m}^{GG}(V^*) \otimes A^{-1})$ satisfies $P(\varphi_k) = 0$.

Remark. The locus $GG_A(X, V)$ is independent of the choice of ample line bundle (see [Diverio and Rousseau](#) Lemma 2.2. This paper also gives many examples showing that $\text{Exc}(X)$ can be strictly smaller than $GG(X)$. However, it is conjectured that if X is general type then $GG(X) \subsetneq X$.

LOOK AT THE HILBERT MODULAR SURFACES FOR WHICH THE GG LOCUS IS EVERYTHING

3 Conjectures

Conjecture 3.0.1 (Kobayashi). For $n \geq 2$ and $D \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ a very general hypersurface of degree $\deg D \geq 2n + 1$ then,

- (a) D is hyperbolic
- (b) $\mathbb{P}^n \setminus D$ is hyperbolic.

Conjecture 3.0.2 (Green-Griffiths-Lang). Let X be a projective variety of general type. Then there exists a proper algebraic subvariety containing all non-constant entire curves $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$.

Conjecture 3.0.3 (Demailly). If X is algebraically hyperbolic then X is hyperbolic.

Proposition 3.0.4. The Green-Griffiths-Lang conjecture implies the Demailly conjecture.

WHY?

Proof. Suppose X is algebraically hyperbolic. If X is not of general type then X has a fibration over its canonical model by varieties of Kodaira dimension 0. (I NEED THAT IF NOT GENERAL TYPE THEN NOT ALGEBRAICALLY HYPERBOLIC DOES THIS FOLLOW FROM MMP) \square

4 Theorems

Theorem 4.0.1 (Bogomolov). Let X is a smooth projective surface with $s_2(X) = c_1(X)^2 - c_2(X) > 0$ then X has finitely many genus 0 or genus 1 curves (i.e. it is algebraically quasi-hyperbolic).

Theorem 4.0.2 (McQuillan). Let X is a smooth projective surface with $s_2(X) = c_1(X)^2 - c_2(X) > 0$ and X has *no* genus 0 or genus 1 curves then X is hyperbolic.

5 Bogomolov's Theorem

The notion of stability of a point on a space of linear representations of a reductive group, due to Mumford [10], leads to a notion of stability for fiber bundles over a curve, whose properties were studied in [13] and [19].

Definition 5.0.1. Over a smooth proper integral curve, a vector bundle E of rank $r(E)$ and degree $d(E)$ is *stable* (resp. *semistable*) if for every nonzero proper subbundle $F \subsetneq E$ we have,

$$\frac{d(F)}{r(F)} < \frac{d(E)}{r(E)} \quad \left(\text{resp. } \frac{d(F)}{r(F)} \leq \frac{d(E)}{r(E)} \right)$$

A vector bundle is *unstable* if it is not semistable.

Now let X be a smooth proper surface over a field k , and E a vector bundle over rank 2 over X . Then a linear representation $\rho : \mathrm{GL}_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ produces an associated bundle $E^{(\rho)} := E \times_{\mathrm{GL}_2} V$ of rank $\dim V$.

Definition 5.0.2. We say a rank 2 vector bundle is *instable* if there exists a representation $\rho : \mathrm{GL}_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ with $\det \rho = 1$ such that $E^{(\rho)}$ admits a nonzero section which vanishes at some point.

If the characteristic of k is zero, which we will assume for the remainder, then Bogomolov's instability criterion is simply expressed in terms of devissage of bundles of rank 2 (WHAT?). It is interesting to note that we can here short-circuit the theory and prove directly using these simpler methods.

There are many applications. We quote from memory a proof, elegant and algebraic, of the vanishing theorem of Kodaira-Ramanujan. In the remaining section we prove the following:

Theorem 5.0.3 (0.3). Let X be a proper smooth surface of general type. Then Ω_X is not unstable.

As a consequence, we obtain the inequality $c_1^2 \leq 4c_2$ where c_1, c_2 are the Chern classes of the sheaf Ω_X^1 – improved by Miyaoka [9] which is the best form possible $c_1^2 \leq 3c_2$ – and a geometric result that we will develop here.

The problem is the following: can we show 'bound' the family of curves of bounded geometric genus on a smooth proper surface X ? We construct easily examples where the answer is negative. Bogomolov provides a partial solution in the case that X is a surface of general type. We summarize briefly the method.

Let $\pi : P = \mathbb{P}(\Omega_X^1) \rightarrow X$ be the canonical projection from the projectivization of the canonical bundle. We construct on P a good linear system of divisors allowing it to be mapped to the projective space \mathbb{P}^N . If C is a smooth proper curve and $f : C \rightarrow X$ is a nonconstant morphism there is a lift $t_f : C \rightarrow P$ via the differential defined over points $\alpha \in P$ where f is unramified as $t_f(\alpha) = (f(\alpha), f(v_\alpha))$ where v_α is a nonzero tangent vector to C at α . We apply this to the normalizations of curves embedded in X and study their images in \mathbb{P}^N .

We prove the following result:

Theorem 5.0.4. Let X be a smooth proper surface minimal of general type.

- (a) If $c_1^2 > c_2$ then the curves of bounded geometric genus on X form a bounded family.

- (b) If $c_1^2 \leq c_2$ and $\text{rank NS}(X) \geq 2$ then there exists a nonempty open cone $C \subset \text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ containing the cone $\{z \mid z \in \text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}, z^2 \leq 0\}$ such that for any closed cone C' contained in C the family of curves of bounded geometric genus on X have image in $\text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ contained in C' forms a bounded family. Moreover, any translate of C parallel to K_X has the same property.

As a corollary, we obtain finiteness of curves with negative self-intersection and bounded geometric genus on surfaces of general type. In particular a solution to Mordell's problem.

Let's point out finally that Bogomolov uses a powerful result of Deidenberg on differential equations [18] but a recent paper of Jouanolou [5] allows us to avoid the use of this sledgehammer.

5.1 Criteria for instability of vector bundles of rank 2 on surfaces

Considering the form of representations of PGL_2 we give a definition equivalent to above.

Definition 5.1.1. A vector bundle E of rank 2 on a surface is *unstable* if and only if there exists $n > 0$ such that $S^{2n}E \otimes (\det E)^{-n}$ has a nonzero section vanishing at some point of X .

5.1.1 Remark: devissage of vector bundles of rank 2

Let E be a vector bundle of rank 2 and L an invertible sheaf and $s : L \rightarrow E$ a nonzero map. The bidual M of E/L is reflexive and hence invertible (since X is a smooth surface), and the kernel L_1 of the homomorphism $E \rightarrow M$ is a larger invertible subsheaf of E containing L . We say that it is a saturated line bundle of E . The cokernel E/L_1 is torsion-free in rank 1, and hence of the form $I_Z \otimes M$ for M an invertible sheaf and I_Z a sheaf of ideals defining a closed subscheme Z of dimension 0 outside of which L' is a subbundle of E . We have a diagram of exact sequences,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & E/L & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L_1 & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & I_Z \otimes M & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

We will say that the second line is a devissage of E . We can deduce the Chern classes of E ,

$$c_1(E) = c_1(L_1) + c_1(M) \quad c_2(E) = c_1(L_1) \cdot c_1(M) + \deg Z$$

Theorem 5.1.2 (Bogomolov-Mumford). A vector bundle E of rank 2 over a surface X is unstable if and only if there exists a devissage,

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow E \rightarrow I_Z \otimes M \rightarrow 0$$

such that if $L' = L \otimes M^{-1} = L^2 \otimes (\det E)^{-1}$ then either,

- (a) L' is in the cone $C_+ \subset \text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ generated by positive divisors (IS THIS NEF?)
- (b) or $L' = \mathcal{O}_X$ and Z is nonempty

Moreover the devissage is unique.

We will prove this using only Mumford's theory of instability.

Let $P = \mathbb{P}(E)$ and $p : P \rightarrow X$ the projection and $\mathcal{O}_P(1)$ the canonical relatively ample bundle on P . A nonzero section $s \in H^0(X, S^{2n}E \otimes (\det E)^{-n})$ corresponds to a nonzero section $t \in H^0(P, \mathcal{O}_P(2n) \otimes p^*(\det E)^{-n})$. Let $\xi \in X$ be the generic point and $K = \kappa(\xi)$. If we chose a basis of E_K then $s(\xi)$ corresponds to a homogeneous polynomial F of degree $2n$ in two variables. Since s is zero at some point of X , we know $s(\xi)$ is unstable for the action of PGL_2 on $S^{2n}E_K \otimes (\det E_K)^{-n}$ (WHY?). We deduce from the stability criterion using 1-parameter subgroups [11] that F has a root of order greater than n in the algebraic closure of K , so also in K (WHAT? WHY?), that's to say there exists an integer $r \geq 1$ and two polynomials G, H homogeneous of degrees 1 and $n - r$ respectively such that $F = G^{n+r}H$. Let D be the divisor of t and Δ the closure of the divisor defined over a generic point by G . We can write $D = (n+r)\Delta + \Delta'$ where Δ has degree 1 and Δ' has degree $n - r$ on P . Therefore, there exist invertible modules L, L' on X such that,

$$\mathcal{O}_P(\Delta) = \mathcal{O}_P(1) \otimes p^*L \quad \mathcal{O}_P(\Delta') = \mathcal{O}_P(n-r) \otimes p^*L'$$

and hence,

$$(\det E)^{-n} = L^{n+r} \otimes L'$$

The divisor Δ corresponds to a section of $E \otimes L$ and thus an injection $L^{-1} \hookrightarrow E$ which by construction is saturated in E . We verify that it provides the desired devissage.

5.2 Operations on unstable bundles

Instability is preserved by passage to the dual and tensor product with a line bundle.

- (a) Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a surjective morphism of surfaces, E a vector bundle of rank 2 over X . Then E is unstable if and only if f^*E is.
- (b) Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a finite faithfully flat morphism of surfaces, F a fiber bundle of rank 2 on Y . Then if F is unstable so is f_*F .

5.3 Proof of Theorem 0.3

Suppose that Ω_X^1 is unstable. Then there exists a devissage:

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow I_Z \otimes M \rightarrow 0$$

and an integer $n > 0$ such that there is an injection $\mathcal{O}_X \hookrightarrow (L \otimes M^{-1})^{\otimes n}$. Note that $L \otimes M^{-1} = L^2 \otimes (\det \Omega_X^1)^{-1} = L^2 \otimes (\Omega_X^2)^{\otimes -1}$. Also, for $m \gg 0$,

$$h^0(L^{2m}) = h^0((L \otimes M^{-1})^{\otimes m} \otimes (\Omega_X^2)^{\otimes m}) \geq h^0((\Omega_X^2)^{\otimes m}) \in O(m^2)$$

Therefore, the theorem is a consequence of the following.

Theorem 5.3.1 (Bogomolov). Let X be a smooth proper surface and $L \hookrightarrow \Omega_X^1$ an invertible subsheaf. Then $h^0(L^n) \in O(n)$.

First recall the pretty result of Castelnuovo and of Franchis which we will need for the proof.

Lemma 5.3.2 (4, 12). Let ω_1, ω_2 be two holomorphic 1-forms on X which are linearly independent over k such that $\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 = 0$. Then there exists a curve C which is proper and smooth over k of genus $g \geq 2$ and two holomorphic 1-forms θ_1, θ_2 on C and a morphism $u : X \rightarrow C$ such that $\omega_i = u^*\theta_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.

There exists a meromorphic function $f : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\omega_2 = f\omega_1$. This defines a morphism $f : X' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ where X' is a blowup of X . Let $u : X' \rightarrow C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the Stein factorization. We have an exact sequence of modules of differentials,

$$0 \rightarrow u^*\Omega_C^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{X'}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{X'/C}^1 \rightarrow 0$$

We know $\omega_2 = f\omega_1$ and $0 = d\omega_2 = df \wedge \omega_1$ (since ω_i are global holomorphic forms they are closed by Hodge theory).

WHY DOES IT WORK ON AN OPEN

But df is pulled back from an open of U so ω_1 is also as it is parallel to df hence also $\omega_2 = f\omega_1$. So above an open $U \subset C$ the forms ω_1, ω_2 are in the image of,

$$H^0(u^{-1}(U), u^*\Omega_C^1) = H^0(U, \Omega_C^1) \rightarrow H^0(u^{-1}(U), \Omega_{X'}^1)$$

so we choose θ_1, θ_2 holomorphic forms on U which pull back to ω_1, ω_2 . However, $u_*\mathcal{O}_{X'} = \mathcal{O}_C$ so θ_1, θ_2 extend to global sections of Ω_C because ω_1, ω_2 are global sections of $\Omega_{X'}$. Indeed, (WHY DOES IT EXTEND??) THIS SEEMS WRONG

Since ω_1, ω_2 are k -independent so are θ_1, θ_2 . Hence $g(C) \geq 2$ and therefore the map $u : X' \rightarrow C$ contracts all rational curves and hence factors through $X' \rightarrow X$ giving the required map.

5.3.1 Interlude: regularizing meromorphic 1-forms via covers

WHAT IS THE CORRECT DEFINITION OF TAME?

Lemma 5.3.3. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of locally noetherian schemes. If $Z \subset Y$ is an irreducible subset of codimension $\leq r$ then either f does not dominate Z or there is some closed $Z' \subset X$ of codimension $\leq r$.

Proof. Using that $\text{codim}(Z, Y) = \dim \mathcal{O}_{Y, \xi}$ where $\xi \in Z$ is the generic point we immediately reduce to the affine case. Either $\xi \notin f(X)$ and we are done or we can choose $f : U \rightarrow V$ a map of affine schemes sending $\xi' \in U$ to $\xi \in V$. Let $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ be a map of noetherian rings and $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ a prime of height $\leq r$ in the image of $\text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$. Passing to $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ we need to find a prime \mathfrak{q} of $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of height $\leq r$ with $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})$ maximal. Then \mathfrak{p} is the unique minimal prime over an ideal of definition $(x_1, \dots, x_r) \subset A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ generated by at most r elements by [Tag 00KQ](#). Since $B_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is nonzero (the fiber is nonempty) the ideal $(x_1, \dots, x_r)B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is proper hence, by the Krull height theorem, there exists a prime \mathfrak{q} containing it of height $\leq r$. Then each $x_i \in \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})$ so $\mathfrak{p} \subset \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})$ and we conclude. \square

Example 5.3.4. Noetherianity is essential in the above. Indeed, we could take a domain D with every nonzero prime of infinite height (as constructed in “Anti-archimedean rings and power series rings” by D.D. Anderson). Then for any nonzero nonunit $t \in D$ the map $k[t] \rightarrow D$ certainly falsifies the claim that the divisor $V(t)$ is in the image of a divisor (since there are none) although it is in the image of some prime.

Proposition 5.3.5. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper dominant morphism of locally noetherian integral S -schemes that are smooth over S at the generic points of all divisors. If f is tame and $\omega \in (\Omega_{Y/S})_{\eta}$ is a meromorphic differential such that $f^*\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega_{X/S}^{\vee\vee})$ is a global reflexive differential then $\omega \in H^0(Y, \Omega_{Y/S}^{\vee\vee})$ is a global reflexive differential.

Proof. Since Y is regular in codimension 1 it suffices to show that for each $\xi \in Y$ of height 1 that $\omega_\xi \in (\Omega_Y)_\xi$. Since f is proper and dominant it is surjective so we may choose $\xi' \in X$ mapping to ξ . The fiber over a divisor must contain a divisor of X so we can choose ξ' in the smooth locus. locus hence $f^*\omega$ is a well-defined differential form over $\mathcal{O}_{X,\xi'}$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{X,\xi'}$ is a noetherian local domain by [Hartshorne, Ex.4.11] there exists a DVR $R \subset \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_{X,\xi'})$ dominating $\mathcal{O}_{X,\xi'}$.

FINISH

□

Remark. For example, this holds for any tame dominant map of normal proper varieties over a perfect field.

COUNTEREXAMPLES

5.3.2 Completion of the Theorem

Either, for all $n > 0$ we have $h^0(X, L^{\otimes n}) \leq 1$ or there exists $n > 0$ such that $h^0(X, L^{\otimes n}) \geq 2$. In the second case, there is a standard method of extracting an n^{th} -root (WHAT THE HELL DOES THIS MEAN) to get $h^0(X, L) \geq 2$. In this case, there are two forms $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^1)$ such that $\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 = 0$ since they arise from the same subsheaf of rank 1. Therefore, by the lemma, there exists a curve C and a morphism $u : X \rightarrow C$ and an invertible sheaf L_0 on C such that,

$$L \subset u^*(L_0)$$

AGAIN WHY? so we can conclude that,

$$h^0(X, L^n) \leq h^0(C, L_0^n) \in O(n)$$

Corollary 5.3.6. If c_1 and c_2 are the Chern classes of Ω_X^1 then $c_1^2 \leq 4c_2$.

5.3.3 Curves of bounded genus on a minimal surface of general type

We provide a few examples showing that X being general type plays an essential role, and that in the contrary case, there can be unbounded families of curves of fixed geometric genus.

Example 5.3.7. Let $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ then $\text{NS}(X) = \mathbb{Z}$. There exist in the projective plane curves of bounded geometric genus but arbitrarily large degree.

Example 5.3.8. Let E be an elliptic curve without complex multiplication and let $X = E \times E$. Then $\text{NS}(X) = \mathbb{Z}f_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}f_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\Delta$ where f_i are the fiber classes and Δ is the diagonal. For every pair of integers (m, n) the image in X of the morphism $f_{m,n} : E \rightarrow X$ given by $f_{m,n}(\alpha) = (m\alpha, n\alpha)$ is a curve of class $m^2f_1 + n^2f_2 + (m - n)^2\Delta$ and genus 1.

Example 5.3.9. Let B be a smooth proper curve and $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ a nonisotrivial (that is to say it does not become trivial after some finite base change $B' \rightarrow B$) minimal elliptic fibration admitting a section $\sigma : B \rightarrow X$ of infinite order. Let ω be the conormal bundle of σ . Then there exist global sections $g_2 \in H^0(X, \omega^4)$ and $g_3 \in H^0(X, \omega^6)$ such that X is the minimal resolution of the surface $Y \subset \mathbb{P}_B(\omega^2 \oplus \omega^3 \oplus \mathcal{O}_B)$ defined by the Weierstrass equation,

$$y^2z = x^3 - g_2xz^2 - g_3z^3$$

Moreover, ω is independent of the section σ as has degree $-\sigma(B)^2$. If the degree is zero, then g_2 and g_3 are constant and the fibration π is isotrivial. There exist infinitely many sections of negative self-intersection and the classes are algebraically distinct.

Notation: we write K_X for a canonical divisor of X and T_X the tangent bundle and $\pi : \mathbb{P}(\Omega_X) \rightarrow X$ the canonical projection and $L = \mathcal{O}_P(1)$ the relatively ample bundle for π .

Let F be an invertible bundle on X . We note that F is a divisor of some linear system (DOES HE MEAN F IS THE ZERO LOCUS OF SOME SECTION). Moreover, for any rational number $\ell \in \mathbb{Q}$, we allow ourselves to form the sheaf ℓF , extending that we consider the tensor powers $(\ell F)^{\otimes m}$ for which m is such that $m\ell$ is an integer.

5.4 COnstruction of a good linear system of divisors on P

Proposition 5.4.1. Let F be an invertible sheaf on X and ℓ a rational positive number such that,

- (a) $K \cdot F \geq 0$
- (b) $(K + 2\ell F)^2 > 0$
- (c) $c_1^2(\Omega_X \otimes \ell F) - c_2(\Omega_X \otimes \ell F) > 0$

Then for $m \gg 0$ the linear system $(L \otimes \pi^*(\ell F))^m$ defines a rational map $u_F : \mathbb{P}(\Omega_X^1) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ birational onto its image.

IT SEEMS WRONG THAT ℓF IS INSIDE THE S^m THIS GIVES $(\ell F)^{2m}$ NOT $(\ell F)^m$ AS SHOULD BE FROM PROJECTION FORMULA

Proof. By the theorem of Iitaka [20], it suffices to show that for $m \gg 0$,

$$h^0(P, (L \otimes \pi^*(\ell F))^m) = h^0(X, S^m(\Omega_X \otimes \ell F)) \geq O(m^3)$$

The Riemann-Roch formula for E shows that,

$$\chi(S^m E) = \frac{m(m+1)(m+2)}{24}(c_1^2(E) - 4c_2(E)) + \frac{m+1}{2} \left[\frac{m^2}{4} c_1^2(E) - \frac{m}{2} K_X \cdot c_1(E) \right] + (m+1)\chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$$

and hence for $m \gg 0$,

$$h^0(S^m(\Omega_X^1 \otimes \ell F)) + h^2(S^m(\Omega_X^1 \otimes \ell F)) \sim h^1(S^m(\Omega_X^1 \otimes \ell F)) + \frac{m^3}{6} [c_1^2(\Omega_X \otimes \ell F) - c_2(\Omega_X^1 \otimes \ell F)] \geq O(m^3)$$

By Serre duality, HOW DO I FIX THE DUAL AND S^m IN POSITIVE CHAR

$$h^2(S^m(\Omega_X \otimes \ell F)) = h^0(K \otimes S^m(T_X \otimes -\ell F))$$

Chosing some divisors D and D' ample and smooth such that,

$$\mathcal{O}_X(-D') \subset K \subset \mathcal{O}_X(D)$$

we find that,

$$\left| h^0(K \otimes S^m(T_X \otimes -\ell F)) - h^0(S^m(T_X \otimes -\ell F)) \right| \in O(m^2)$$

Therefore, we conclude by appealing to the following lemma. □

Lemma 5.4.2. For any $m > 0$ we have $H^0(S^m(T_X \otimes -\ell F)) = 0$.

THE m VS $2m$ DOESNT MAKE SENSE

Proof. We showed that $T_X \otimes -\ell F$ is not unstable. Hence, the only sections of $H^0(S^{2m}(T_X \otimes -\ell F) \otimes (\det(T_X \otimes -\ell F))^{-m})$ are nowhere vanishing. If we show for $m \gg 0$ that $H^0(\det(T_X \otimes -\ell F)^{-m})$ has a nonzero section with a zero at some point $x \in X$ then its product with a section $H^0(S^{2m}(T_X \otimes -\ell F))$ will give a contradiction. Thus, the result will follow from the definition,

$$\det(T_X \otimes -\ell F)^{-m} = m(K + 2\ell F)$$

and Riemann-Roch,

$$\chi(m(K + 2\ell F)) \sim \frac{m^2}{2}(K + 2\ell F)^2 \in O(m^2)$$

and therefore,

$$h^0(m(K + 2\ell F)) + h^2(m(K + 2\ell F)) \geq O(m^2)$$

by Serre duality,

$$h^0(m(K + 2\ell F)) = h^0(K - m(K + 2\ell F))$$

Since $K \cdot (K - m(K + 2\ell F)) = K^2 - mK \cdot (K + 2\ell F) < 0$ and K is nef (we assumed that X is minimal) $h^0(K - m(K + 2\ell F)) = 0$ for $m \gg 0$ giving the result. \square

Our any bundle F verifying the conditions of the proposition, we fix, once and for all, m and ℓ and let Z_F be the closed subset of $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_X)$ outside of which u_F is defined.

Definition 5.4.3. Let C be a curve embedded in C and $f : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ its normalization. If $t_f(\tilde{C})$ is not contained in (resp. is contained in) Z_F , we say that C is F -regular (resp. F -irregular).

5.5 Proof of Theorem 0.4

We suppose that $\mathcal{L} \hookrightarrow \Omega_X^1$ is an invertible subsheaf. If $h^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}) \leq 1$ for all n then we are done. Otherwise, there is some $n > 0$ such that $h^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}) \geq 2$. In this case, by passing to a cyclic cover we may assume that $h^0(X, \mathcal{L}) \geq 2$. Therefore, there are two independent 1-forms $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L}) \subset H^0(X, \Omega_X^1)$ such that $\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 = 0$ because they lie in the same 1-dimensional subspace at the generic point $\mathcal{L}_\eta \subset \Omega_{X,\eta}^1$. Therefore, we may apply Castelnuovo's lemma to produce a morphism $f : X \rightarrow C$ to some curve of genus $g \geq 2$ with ω_1, ω_2 pulled back along f . By the proof of this lemma, we see that any local section of \mathcal{L} is pulled back along f hence $\mathcal{L} \hookrightarrow f^*\Omega_C$.

5.5.1 Ramified Cyclic Covers

Let X be a scheme and $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X)$ a line bundle and $s \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n})$ a nonzero section of some tensor power. Then we may form a finitely-presented sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -algebras,

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_X \oplus t\mathcal{L}^{\otimes -1} \oplus \dots \oplus t^{n-1}\mathcal{L}^{\otimes -(n-1)}$$

where multiplication is defined in the obvious manner,

$$(t^a f_1)(t^b f_2) = \begin{cases} t^{a+b} f_1 f_2 & a + b < n \\ t^{a+b-nk} [(s^\vee)^{\otimes k} \otimes \text{id}](f_1 f_2) & nk \leq a + b < (n+1)k \end{cases}$$

where $[(s^\vee)^{\otimes k} \otimes \text{id}] : \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -(a+b)} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -(a+b-nk)}$. Then we define $X_{\mathcal{L},s} := \mathbf{Spec}_X(\mathcal{A})$. Over the locus where s is nonvanishing it is clear that $X_{\mathcal{L},s} \rightarrow X$ is a degree n cyclic cover which is étale for n nonzero in the base scheme.

Note that \mathcal{A} can also be described as follows. Consider the symmetric algebra,

$$\mathrm{Sym}_\bullet(\mathcal{L}^\vee) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -n} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

which is the quotient as a sheaf of algebras by the ideal generated by $(t^n f - s^\vee(f))$ for local sections f of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes -n}$. Therefore, $X_{\mathcal{L},s} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{V}_X(\mathcal{L})$ is a closed subscheme of the total space of the line bundle \mathcal{L} which can be described as the locus of points (x, v) such that $v^n = s(x)$.

Note that under $\pi : \mathbb{V}_X(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow X$ we get a canonical section $t \in H^0(\mathbb{V}_X(\mathcal{L}), \pi^* \mathcal{L})$ and hence for $f : X_{\mathcal{L},s} \rightarrow X$ there is a canonical section $t \in H^0(X_{\mathcal{L},s}, f^* \mathcal{L})$ such that $t^n = f^* s$.

Now suppose that $s_1, s_2 \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n})$ are two independent sections. Then by passing to the iterating cyclic cover, $X' = (X_{\mathcal{L},s_1})_{f^* \mathcal{L}, f^* s_2} \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{L},s_1} \rightarrow X$ we get $\mathcal{L}' = f^* \mathcal{L}$ and two canonical sections $t_1, t_2 \in H^0(X', \mathcal{L}')$ such that $t_i^n = f^* s_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Furthermore, suppose that n is invertible on the base and there is an injection $\mathcal{L} \hookrightarrow \Omega_X^1$. Then passing to the cyclic cover (which is generically étale) we get $f^* \mathcal{L} \hookrightarrow f^* \Omega_X^1 \hookrightarrow \Omega_{X'}^1$, which is injective because it is at the generic point. Hence we reduce to the situation that $h^0(X, \mathcal{L}) \geq 2$.

6 Sample Jets

Definition 6.0.1. A *directed variety* (X, \mathcal{E}) is a pair of a variety X with a subbundle $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{T}_X$. A morphism of directed varieties $f : (X, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{E}')$ is a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that under $f_* \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_Y$ we have $f_* \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'$.

Remark. Demailly's philosophy is that it is usefull to study this “relative notion” even for the absolute case $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{T}_X$ since it has better functoriality properties.

Remark. Here our convention is that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) := \mathbf{Proj}_X(\mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{E}^\vee))$ so that $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ is the universal subbundle. Hence $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}_X)$ is what I usually call $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_X)$.

Definition 6.0.2. To a directed pair (X, \mathcal{E}) we introduce the *projectivization* to produce a new pair $\mathbb{P}(X, \mathcal{E}) := (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}})$ where $\tilde{X} := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is defined via the diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{X}/X} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathcal{E}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-1) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ & & \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{X}/X} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{X}} & \longrightarrow & \pi^* \mathcal{T}_X \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

\downarrow
 $\pi^* \mathcal{E}$
 \downarrow

Then we have,

$$\dim \tilde{X} = \dim X + \mathrm{rank} \mathcal{E} - 1 \quad \mathrm{rank} \tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \mathrm{rank} \mathcal{E}$$

Remark. Note that the Euler exact sequence takes the form,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \pi^* \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}(1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{X}/X} \longrightarrow 0$$

Proposition 6.0.3. Given a morphism of directed varieties $f : (X, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{F})$ we get a rational map $\tilde{f} : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \dashrightarrow (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}})$ such that the diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}) & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{f}} & (\widetilde{Y}, \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}) \\
\downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\
(X, \mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{f} & (Y, \mathcal{F})
\end{array}$$

commutes in the category of directed manifolds (with rational maps). Moreover, if f is “immersive along \mathcal{E} ”, meaning $f_{\#} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow f^* \mathcal{F}$ is injective, then \widetilde{f} is a morphism.

Definition 6.0.4. Let (X, V) be a directed manifold. The *projectivized Semple k -jet bundle* $P_k V = X_k$ is defined iteratively via,

$$(X_0, V_0) := (X, V) \quad (X_{k+1}, V_{k+1}) := (\widetilde{X}_k, \widetilde{V}_k)$$

and we have,

$$\dim P_k V = \dim X + k(\text{rank } V - 1) \quad \text{rank } V_k = \text{rank } V$$

Remark. We can alternatively think of the Semple construction in the dual sense,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \pi^* \Omega_X & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\widetilde{X}} & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\widetilde{X}/X} \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
& & \pi^* \mathcal{E}^\vee & & & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(1) & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^\vee & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\widetilde{X}/X} \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

This will be our standard perspective although we retain the dual notation to remain in agreement with the complex geometry literature. Now the Euler sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\widetilde{X}/X} \longrightarrow \pi^* \mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}} \longrightarrow 0$$

gives $\pi_* \text{Sym}^d(\Omega_{\widetilde{X}/X}) = 0$ and $R^1 \pi_* \Omega_{\widetilde{X}/X} = \mathcal{O}_X$. Furthermore, applying Sym to the bottom row gives,

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Sym}^{d-1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(1) \longrightarrow \text{Sym}^d(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^\vee) \longrightarrow \text{Sym}^d(\Omega_{\widetilde{X}/X}) \longrightarrow 0$$

so applying π_* gives,

$$\pi_* \text{Sym}^d(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^\vee) = \pi_* [\text{Sym}^{d-1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(1)]$$

Example 6.0.5. For the directed manifold (X, \mathcal{T}_X) we set $P_k = X_k$ and set $\mathcal{P}^{k,d} = \pi_{k*} \mathcal{O}_{P_k}(d)$. Notice that there are exact sequence,

DO THIS

The semple tower is defined so that the following holds. Suppose that $f : C \rightarrow X$ is an immersed curve such that $df : \mathcal{T}_C \rightarrow f^* \mathcal{T}_X$ factors through $f^* \mathcal{E} \subset f^* \mathcal{T}_X$. Since df is a subbundle this gives a subbundle $\mathcal{T}_X \hookrightarrow \pi^* \mathcal{E}$ and hence a lift $f' : C \rightarrow \widetilde{X}$ such $df : \mathcal{T}_C \rightarrow f^* \mathcal{E} \rightarrow f^* \mathcal{T}_X$ is $f'^*[\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-1) \rightarrow \pi^* \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \pi^* \mathcal{T}_X]$. Therefore, consider $df' : \mathcal{T}_C \rightarrow f'^* \mathcal{T}_{\widetilde{X}}$. Since this map lifts df we see that $df' : \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow f'^* \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$.

Hence, if we start with an immersed curve $f : C \rightarrow X$ then there are lifts $f_k : C \rightarrow P_k$ for all k .

6.1 Arc Spaces and Hasse-Schmidt Derivations

Definition 6.1.1. Let X be an S -scheme. Then ℓ^{th} -order *arc* of X is a S -morphism $\Delta_S^\ell \rightarrow X$ where

$$\Delta_S^\ell = \mathbf{Spec}_S \left(\mathcal{O}_S[t]/(t^{\ell+1}) \right) = S \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{Spec} \left(\mathbb{Z}[t]/(t^{\ell+1}) \right)$$

If it exists, the ℓ^{th} -order arc space is $J_\ell(X) = \text{Hom}_S(\Delta_S^\ell, X)$ which represents the functor,

$$T \mapsto \text{Hom}_T(\Delta_\ell \times_k T, X_T)$$

When X is a k -scheme we let $S = \text{Spec}(k)$ and let $\Delta^\ell = \text{Spec}(k[t]/(t^{\ell+1}))$ without adornment.

Definition 6.1.2. Let R be a ring and A, B be R -algebras. Then the group of m^{th} -order *Hasse-Schmidt derivations* $\text{Der}_R^m(A, B)$ is the group of sequences (D_0, D_1, \dots, D_m) of R -linear maps $D_i : A \rightarrow B$ such that,

$$D_k(xy) = \sum_{p+q=k} D_p(x)D_q(y)$$

for all $k \leq m$ and $x, y \in A$.

Proposition 6.1.3. For any R -algebra A we have,

$$\text{Hom}_R(\Delta_R^m, A) = \text{Hom}_R(A, R[t]/(t^{m+1})) = \text{Der}_R^m(A, R)$$

Proof. The correspondence sends $\varphi : A \rightarrow R[t]/(t^{m+1})$ written as,

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m \varphi_i(x)t^i$$

to the HS derivation $(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m)$. □

Proposition 6.1.4. Let A be an R -algebra. Then there exists an A -algebra $\text{HS}_{A/R}^m$ equipped with a universal HS-derivation $D : A \rightarrow \text{HS}_{A/R}^m$ representing $\text{Der}_R^m(A, -)$ meaning,

$$\text{Hom}_A(\text{HS}_{A/R}^m, B) = \text{Der}_R^m(A, B)$$

functorially in R -algebras B . Furthermore, this has an explicit presentation,

$$\text{HS}_{A/R}^m = A[d_i x]_{x \in A, 0 \leq i \leq m} / \left\langle d_i(x+y) = d_i x + d_i y, d_i r = 0, d_i(xy) = \sum_{p+q=i} d_p(x)d_q(y) \right\rangle_{r \in R}$$

Clearly, $\text{HS}_{A/R}^m$ is graded by A -modules $\text{HS}_{A/R}^{m,d}$ where we put $d_i x$ in degree i and the degree k part consists of sums of monomials of total degree k .

Remark. The map $D_0 : A \rightarrow \text{HS}_{A/R}^m$ makes $\text{HS}_{A/R}^m$ into an A -algebra. Furthermore, if B is an A -algebra then $\text{Hom}_A(\text{HS}_{A/R}^m, B) \subset \text{Hom}_R(\text{HS}_{A/R}^m, B)$ is identified with the sub $\text{Der}_R^m(A, B)_0 \subset \text{Der}_R^m(A, B)$ of HS-derivations φ with $\varphi_0 : A \rightarrow B$ equal to the structure map. It is clear that representing $\text{Der}_R^{m,B}(A, -)_0$ on the category of A -algebras uniquely determines $\text{HS}_{A/R}^m$ with its A -algebra structure and universal HS-derivation whose zeroth term agrees with the structure map.

Proposition 6.1.5. Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be an S -scheme. Then these glue together to give a sheaf $\mathrm{HS}_{X/S}^m$ representing,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathrm{HS}_{X/S}^m, \mathcal{A}) = \mathrm{Der}_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}^m(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{A})$$

where \mathcal{A} is any sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -algebras.

Lemma 6.1.6. If $A \rightarrow B$ is a map of R -algebras then there is an exact sequence,

$$\mathrm{HS}_{A/R}^m \otimes_A B \longrightarrow \mathrm{HS}_{B/R}^m \longrightarrow \mathrm{HS}_{B/A}^m \longrightarrow 0$$

Proof. Surjectivity is immediate from the presentation. Thus we need to show that the kernel is generated by $\mathrm{HS}_{A/R}^m$. To show this, it suffices to show that,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_B(\mathrm{HS}_{B/A}^m, C) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_B(\mathrm{HS}_{B/R}^m, C) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_B(\mathrm{HS}_{A/R}^m \otimes_A B, C)$$

is exact for any C . But this is exactly,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Der}_A^m(B, C)_0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Der}_R^m(B, C)_0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Der}_R^m(A, C)_0$$

and the kernel is exactly those HS-derivations which vanish on the image of A and hence correspond exactly to A -linear derivations by definition. \square

Lemma 6.1.7. If $A \rightarrow B$ is an étale map of R -algebras then $\mathrm{HS}_{A/R} \otimes_A B \rightarrow \mathrm{HS}_{B/R}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. By localizing we can assume that $A \rightarrow B$ is standard étale meaning $B = A[x]_g/(f(x))$ where $f'(x)$ is a unit. From the exact sequence, it suffices to show injectivity and $\mathrm{HS}_{B/A}^m = 0$. Indeed, $f(x) = 0$ so $d_i(f(x)) = 0$ but $d_1(f(x)) = f'(x)dx$ so $d_1x = 0$ since $f'(x)$ is a unit. Now assume that $d_i(x) = 0$ for $i < k$ we will show that $d_k(x) = 0$. First compute,

$$d_k(x^n) = nx^{n-1}d_k(x)$$

because $d_k(x^m) = d_k(x^{m-1})x + x^{m-1}d_k(x)$ since the intermediate terms are zero so the claim is true by induction. Therefore, we see that $d_k(f(x)) = f'(x)d_k(x)$ but $f'(x)$ is a unit and thus $d_kx = 0$ so we win. Now to show injectivity we need to show that if C is a B -algebra then the map

$$\mathrm{Der}_R^m(B, C)_0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Der}_R^m(A, C)_0$$

is surjective. Given $\varphi : A \rightarrow C$ it suffices to specify $\varphi'(x)$ such that it becomes a HS-derivation. Because $f'(x)d_k(x) = p$ for p a polynomial $d_i(x)$ for $i < k$ and $d_i(a)$ for $a \in A$ we can specify $\varphi'_k(x) = -\varphi'_{<k}(p) \cdot \varphi_0(f'(x))^{-1}$ where $\varphi_0 : B \rightarrow C$ is the structure map and $f'(x)$ is a unit so this makes sense. Then it is elementary to check this defines a HS-derivation. \square

Proposition 6.1.8. If X/S is locally of finite type then $\mathrm{HS}_{X/S}^m$ is graded by coherent \mathcal{O}_X -algebra. It is graded by vector bundles if X/S is smooth.

Proof. This immediately reduces to the corresponding property for $\mathrm{HS}_{A/R}$. If $R[x_1, \dots, x_n] \twoheadrightarrow A$ then we claim that the natural map $\mathrm{HS}_{R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/R} \rightarrow \mathrm{HS}_{A/R}$ is surjective then the finite generation is obvious from examining the structure of the Hasse-Schmidt algebra of a polynomial ring. For smoothness we use the étale-local structure to reduce to the polynomial ring. Furthermore,

$$\mathrm{HS}_{R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/R}^{m,d} = \bigoplus R d_{i_1}(x_{j_1}) \cdots d_{i_r}(x_{j_r})$$

where we sum over all monomials $d_{i_1}(x_{j_1}) \cdots d_{i_r}(x_{j_r})$ such that $i_1 + \cdots + i_r = k$ and $i_\ell \leq m$. \square

Example 6.1.9. $\mathrm{HS}_{A/R}^0 = A$ and $\mathrm{HS}_{A/R}^1 = \mathrm{Sym}_R(A)$.

DO I NEED SMOOTHNESS FOR THE FILTRATION??

Proposition 6.1.10. There are exact sequences,

6.2 Jets a la Jason Starr

Theorem 6.2.1 (FGA IV.3 p.267). Let $p : Y \rightarrow X$ be flat and projective and $q : Z \rightarrow Y$ finitely-presented quasi-projective morphism then the functor,

$$T \rightarrow \{(f : T \rightarrow X, g : T \times_X Y \rightarrow Z) \mid q \circ g = \text{pr}_2\}$$

(i.e. to each X -scheme T a Y -morphism $T \times_X Y \rightarrow Z$) is representable by a universal pair,

$$(r : \Pi_{Z/Y/X} \rightarrow X, s : \Pi_{Z/Y/X} \times_X Y \rightarrow Z)$$

Remark. In the case $Z = W \times_X Y$ we just get the Hom scheme $\text{Hom}_X(Y, W)$. Furthermore, if $q : Z \rightarrow Y$ is a bundle then this represents the functor of sections of q because the functor can be identified with, an X -scheme $T \rightarrow X$ and a morphism $g : T \times_X Y \rightarrow T \times_X Z$ such that,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & T \times_X Z \\ & \nearrow g & \downarrow \text{id} \times q \\ T \times_X Y & & \\ & \searrow & \\ & & T \times_X Y \end{array}$$

Let S be a scheme and $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a smooth separated morphism and let $\Delta_{X/S} : X \rightarrow X \times_S X$ be the relative diagonal which is a closed embedding defined by an ideal sheaf \mathcal{I} . Let $\Delta_e : X_e \hookrightarrow X \times_S X$ be the closed embedding corresponding to \mathcal{I}^{e+1} . The associated projections $\text{pr}_i : X_e \rightarrow X$ are finite flat (hence proper).

Definition 6.2.2. Let $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$ be finitely presented and quasi-projective then so is the base change,

$$B \times_{X, \text{pr}_1} (X \times_X X) \rightarrow X \times_S X$$

thus the pullback $\pi_e : B_e \rightarrow X_e$ over Δ_e is also finitely presented and quasi-projective. Then the “relative jets” parameter space is the universal pair,

$$(r : \Pi_{B_e/X_e/X} \rightarrow X, s : \Pi_{B_e/X_e/X} \times_{X, \text{pr}_2} X_e \rightarrow B_e)$$

representing the functor, defined via $\text{pr}_2 : X_e \rightarrow X$,

$$f : T \rightarrow S, g : T \times_X X_e \rightarrow B_e \quad \text{such that} \quad \pi_e \circ g = \text{pr}_2$$

Remark. We think of $\pi : B \rightarrow X$ as a bundle and $J^e(\pi) := \Pi_{B_e/X_e/X}$ is then the bundle of jets of sections of π . Note, a map $T \times_{X, \text{pr}_2} X_e \rightarrow B_e$ over X_e is the same as a map $T \times_{X, \text{pr}_2} X_e \rightarrow B$ over X (where we view the X -structure of $T \times_{X, \text{pr}_2} X_e$ through pr_1 on X_e) since $B_e = B \times_{X, \text{pr}_1} X_e$. Consider the case, $B = Z \times_S X$ where Z is an S -scheme. This case $\Pi_{B_e/X_e/X}$ is the space of jets of morphisms $f : X \rightarrow Z$. Indeed, in this case, $B_e = Z \times_S X_e$ and hence a X_e -morphism $g : T \times_X X_e \rightarrow B_e$ is just as S -morphism $T \times_X X_e \rightarrow Z$.

Remark. Associated to the space of jets $\Pi = J^e(\pi)$ and a point $x : S \rightarrow X$ we get the space of jets at the point is $\Pi_x := \Pi \times_X S$.

Example 6.2.3. For $X = \mathbb{A}_S^1$ then $X_e = X \times \Delta^e$ where,

$$\Delta^e = \text{Spec} \left(\mathbb{Z}[t]/(t^{e+1}) \right)$$

and we take $B = \mathbb{A}_S^1 \times_S Z$ then we get,

$$\Pi := \Pi_{B_e/X_e/X} = \mathbb{A}_S^1 \times J_e(Z)$$

since it represents, as an \mathbb{A}_S^1 -scheme, morphisms $T \times \Delta^e \rightarrow Z$ over S . Therefore, $\Pi_0 = J_e(Z)$ is the arc scheme in the usual sense.

Example 6.2.4. Conversely, suppose that $Z = \mathbb{A}_S^1$ so we consider jets of maps $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^1$. Then,

$$\{T \rightarrow \Pi\} = \{(T \rightarrow X, T \times_X X_e \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^1)\} = \{(f : T \rightarrow X, s \in \Gamma(T \times_X X_e))\}$$

However, $\pi_2 : X_e \rightarrow X$ is affine corresponding to the algebra $\text{pr}_{2*}(\mathcal{O}_{X \times_S X} / \mathcal{I}^{e+1}) = J^e(X)$ and hence $\Gamma(T \times_X X_e) = \Gamma(T, f^* J^e(X))$ since cohomology along an affine map commutes with base change. Therefore,

$$\Gamma(T \times_X X_e) = \Gamma(T, f^* J^e(X)) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{T\text{-alg}}} (f^* \text{Sym}_\bullet(J^e(X)^\vee), \mathcal{O}_T) = \text{Hom}_X(T, \mathbb{V}_X(J^e(X)))$$

Remark. To any section $s : X \rightarrow B$ of $\pi : B \rightarrow X$ we get a corresponding X -point of $J^e(\pi)$ (i.e. a section of the bundle of jets corresponding to the e^{th} -jet of s). Indeed, consider $X_e \rightarrow B_e = B \times_{X, \text{pr}_1} X_e$ defined by $(s \circ \text{pr}_1, \text{id})$ which is an X_e -morphism. However, to a T -point $s : T \rightarrow B$ of B (which we can think of a section of $B \times_X T \rightarrow T$) we cannot associate a T -point of $J^e(\pi)$ meaning a morphism $T \times_{X, \text{pr}_2} X_e \rightarrow B_e$ over X_e because to write $s \times \text{id}$ we need that the projections to X commute with $\text{id} : X \rightarrow X$ which they do not since these are $\pi_1, \pi_2 : X_e \rightarrow X$. This shows that the map $\{\text{sections of } \pi : B \rightarrow X\} \rightarrow \{\text{sections of } \pi : \Pi \rightarrow X\}$ is nonlinear. For the case $T = X$ the fact we use is that $X \times_{X, \text{pr}_1} X_e \cong X \times_{X, \text{pr}_2} X_e$ over X_e . In general, an isomorphism $T \times_{X, \text{pr}_1} X_e \cong T \times_{X, \pi_2} X_e$ over X_e is a sort of higher-order connection on T over X .

Remark. Notice that in the definition of B_e we use π_1 while in the definition of the functor we form $T \times_X X_e$ through π_2 . This is essential to get the jets of nontrivial bundles correct. It is analogous to how in the definition: $J^e(\mathcal{E}) := \text{pr}_{2*} \text{pr}_1^* \mathcal{E}$ for the projections $\text{pr}_i : X_e \rightarrow X$ it is essential we use the two different projections. This means that the diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_e & \xrightarrow{\pi_e} & X_e \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{pr}_1 \\ B & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \end{array}$$

commutes for pr_1 but *not* for pr_2 while we use pr_2 for the construction of $T \times_X X_e$.

Example 6.2.5. Let $\pi : B \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle $\mathbb{V}_X(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow X$. A morphism $T \times_{X, \text{pr}_2} X_e \rightarrow B$ over X (through $\text{pr}_1 : X_e \rightarrow X$) given $f : T \rightarrow X$ corresponds to a morphism of algebras,

$$\text{pr}_1^* \text{Sym}_\bullet(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{T \times_{X, \text{pr}_2} X_e}$$

and hence a section,

$$s \in \Gamma(T \times_{X, \text{pr}_2} X_e, \text{pr}_1^* \mathcal{E}) = \Gamma(T, f^* \text{pr}_{2*} \text{pr}_1^* \mathcal{E}) = \text{Hom}_X(T, \mathbb{V}_X(J^e(\mathcal{E})))$$

where we used that $\text{pr}_2 : X_e \rightarrow X$ is affine so pushforward commutes with any base change.

HOW TO MAKE THE ARCS TANGENT TO SOMETHING?

THE DERIVATIVE OPERATOR ON GG-JETS

REPARAMETRIZATION OF ARCS

6.3 Semple Jets are Invariant Hasse-Schmidt Jets

Construction: given a vector bundle \mathcal{E} on X note that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})}$ is canonically identified with the graded ring

$$\mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}^\vee) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(n)$$

via the \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant rational map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}) & \dashrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & X & \end{array}$$

whose indeterminacy locus is in codimension $\mathrm{rank} \mathcal{E}$ and therefore functions extend over all of $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})$ by Hartshorne's theorem (note that the case $\mathrm{rank} \mathcal{E} = 1$ is trivial for other reasons). Suppose we have a pair (X, \mathcal{E}) where \mathcal{E} is a vector bundle equipped with a map $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$ (not assumed to be injective) and we construct the Semple tower (X_k, \mathcal{E}_k) . We can interpret this construction in terms of “physical” vector bundles as well. On $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})$ there is a map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})}(-1) \rightarrow \pi^* \mathcal{E}$ of \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant coherent sheaves on $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})$ (or equivalently of graded $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}} := \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}^\vee)$ -modules where the (-1) corresponds to the grading) given by the canonical cocontraction map

$$\mathrm{Sym}^{n-1}(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^n(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{E}$$

$$s_1 \cdots s_{n-1} \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^r s_1 \cdots s_{n-1} e_i \otimes e^i$$

where e_i is a local basis of \mathcal{E}^\vee and e^i is the dual basis. Therefore, setting $\widetilde{X}^{\mathrm{aff}} = \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})$ we can create a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T}_{\widetilde{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}/X} & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathrm{aff}} & \xrightarrow{\quad \perp \quad} & \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}}(-1) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi^* \mathcal{E} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T}_{\widetilde{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}/X} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T}_{\widetilde{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}} & \longrightarrow & \pi^* \mathcal{T}_X \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

the only difference to the projective case being that the downward maps are now not injective over the zero section. We now iterate this construction to produce a tower of directed affine bundles along with \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant maps to the ordinary Semple tower,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \vdots & & \vdots \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (X_2^{\mathrm{aff}}, \mathcal{E}_2^{\mathrm{aff}}) & \dashrightarrow^{\varphi_2} & (X_2, \mathcal{E}_2) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (X_1^{\mathrm{aff}}, \mathcal{E}_1^{\mathrm{aff}}) & \dashrightarrow^{\varphi_1} & (X_1, \mathcal{E}_1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (X, \mathcal{E}) & \xlongequal{\quad} & (X, \mathcal{E}) \end{array}$$

Now the claim is that the \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant maps induce canonical injections of \mathcal{O}_X -algebras

$$\mathcal{P}^{k,\bullet} = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \pi_{k*} \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(d) \hookrightarrow \pi_{k*} \mathcal{O}_{X_k^{\text{aff}}}$$

Indeed, consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \varphi_k^* \mathcal{T}_{X_k/X_{k-1}} & \longrightarrow & \varphi_k^* \mathcal{E}_k & \longrightarrow & \varphi_k^* \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(-1) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \nearrow & \parallel & \nearrow & \downarrow & \parallel & \downarrow & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T}_{X_k^{\text{aff}}/X_{k-1}^{\text{aff}}}|_{U_k} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}_k^{\text{aff}}|_{U_k} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{X_k^{\text{aff}}}(-1)|_{U_k} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & \parallel & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \varphi_k^* \mathcal{T}_{X_k/X_{k-1}} & \longrightarrow & \varphi_k^* \mathcal{T}_{X_k} & \longrightarrow & \varphi_k^* \pi^* \mathcal{T}_{X_{k-1}} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \nearrow & \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow & \nearrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T}_{X_k^{\text{aff}}/X_{k-1}^{\text{aff}}}|_{U_k} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T}_{X_k^{\text{aff}}}|_{U_k} & \longrightarrow & \pi^* \mathcal{T}_{X_{k-1}^{\text{aff}}}|_{U_k} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Thus, given the map $\varphi_k : (X_k^{\text{aff}}, \mathcal{E}_k^{\text{aff}}) \dashrightarrow (X_k, \mathcal{E}_k)$ we can build $\varphi_{k+1} : (X_{k+1}^{\text{aff}}, \mathcal{E}_{k+1}^{\text{aff}}) \dashrightarrow (X_{k+1}, \mathcal{E}_{k+1})$.

Indeed, given a \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant rational map $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$ and \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant vector bundles \mathcal{E}_X and \mathcal{E}_Y and a \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant morphism of vector bundles $\varphi : \mathcal{E}_X|_U \hookrightarrow f^* \mathcal{E}_Y$ then we produce a \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant rational map $f' : \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}_X) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_Y)$ which is defined on $U' = \pi^{-1}(U) \setminus V(\varphi)$ where $V(\varphi)$ is the locus

$$V(\varphi) = \{x \in U \mid v \in \ker \varphi_x\}$$

The map $f' : U' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_Y)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}_X)}(-1)|_{U'} \rightarrow \pi^* \mathcal{E}_X|_{U'} \xrightarrow{\pi^* \varphi} f^* \mathcal{E}_Y$$

which is a subbundle over U' because over U' the composite is fiberwise injective.

In the case of the Semple tower, $\mathcal{E}_0^{\text{aff}} = \mathcal{E}_0$ with rank r and then $\text{rank } \mathcal{E}_k = 1 + \text{rank } \mathcal{T}_{X_k/X_{k-1}} = \text{rank } \mathcal{E}_{k-1}$ and $\text{rank } \mathcal{E}_k^{\text{aff}} = 1 + \text{rank } \mathcal{T}_{X_k^{\text{aff}}/X_{k-1}^{\text{aff}}}|_{U_k} = 1 + \text{rank } \mathcal{E}_{k-1}^{\text{aff}}$ so $\text{rank } \mathcal{E}_k = k + r$. Now $U_1 = X_1 \setminus V(0)$ has codimension r . Furthermore, $\varphi_k \mathcal{E}_k^{\text{aff}}|_{U_k} \twoheadrightarrow \varphi_k^* \mathcal{E}_k$ is surjective with kernel of rank k inside $\mathcal{E}_k^{\text{aff}}$ which has rank $r + k$ so $V(\varphi_k)$ has codimension r . Therefore, we can build the morphisms in the Semple tower and each φ_k is naturally defined away from codimension r . Since $r \geq 2$ sections extend and therefore there is an injective pullback map,

$$\mathcal{P}^{k,\bullet} = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \pi_{k*} \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(d) \hookrightarrow \pi_{k*} \mathcal{O}_{X_k^{\text{aff}}}$$

Definition 6.3.1. Consider the projectivized Semple tower (X_m, \mathcal{E}_m) where $\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{T}_X$ then the *projectivized Semple m -jet space* is defined as $P_k \mathcal{E} = X$ and the *projectivized Semple m -jet bundle* is defined as $\mathcal{P}_X^{m,d} = \pi_{m*} \mathcal{O}_{X_m}(d)$. Likewise, consider the affine Semple tower $(X_m^{\text{aff}}, \mathcal{E}_m^{\text{aff}})$ where $\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{T}_X$. Then the *affine Semple m -jet space* is defined $J_m X = X_m^{\text{aff}}$ and the *affine Semple m -jet bundle* is $\mathcal{E}^{m,d} = [\pi_{m*} \mathcal{O}_{X_m^{\text{aff}}}]_d$ where we take the degree d part induced by the \mathbb{G}_m -action.

Proposition 6.3.2. Let $\mathcal{P}_X^{m,d} = \pi_{m*} \mathcal{O}_{X_m}(d)$ where (X_m, \mathcal{E}_m) is the projectivized Semple m -jet bundle $P_k \mathcal{E} = X_k$ with $\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{T}_X$. Then there is a canonical doubly graded injection,

$$\mathcal{P}^{m,d} \hookrightarrow \text{HS}_X^{m,d}$$

of \mathcal{O}_X -algebras.

Proof. To illustrate, for $m = 0$ we set,

$$\mathcal{P}^{0,d} = \mathrm{HS}_X^{0,d} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_X & d = 0 \\ 0 & d > 0 \end{cases}$$

Now for $m = 1$ there are canonical isomorphisms,

$$\mathcal{P}^{1,d} = \mathrm{Sym}^d(\Omega_X) = \mathrm{HS}_X^{1,d}$$

To prove the claim, it suffices for each quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -algebra \mathcal{A} to produce a functorial degree-preserving surjection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathrm{HS}_{X/S}^m, \mathcal{A}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{P}^{m,\bullet}, \mathcal{A})$$

Note that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathrm{HS}_{X/S}^m, \mathcal{A}) = \mathrm{Hom}_S(\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}^m, X)_0$$

where $\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}^m = \mathbf{Spec}_X(\mathcal{A}[t]/(t^{m+1}))$ and the zero denotes that we are only considering maps compatible with the structure map $\mathbf{Spec}_X(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow X$. Given $f : \Delta_{\mathcal{A}}^m \rightarrow X$ there is a differential

$$df : f^*\Omega_X \rightarrow \Omega_{\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}^m/\mathcal{A}} = [\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}^m}dt]/((m+1)t^m dt) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}^{m-1}}$$

where the last map takes $dt \mapsto 1$ which is well-defined since $t^m dt \mapsto t^m = 0$. This produces a morphism $f' : \Delta_{\mathcal{A}}^{m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{T}_X) = \widetilde{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}$ lifting f . Note that if df factors through $f^*\Omega_X \rightarrow f^*\mathcal{E}^\vee$ then the induced map f' satisfies

$$df' : f'^*\Omega_{\widetilde{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}^{m-1}/\mathcal{A}}$$

factors through $f'^*\Omega_{\widetilde{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^\vee$ because, by definition, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^*\Omega_X & \longrightarrow & f'^*\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}}(1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ f'^*\Omega_{\widetilde{X}^{\mathrm{aff}}} & \xrightarrow{df'} & \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathrm{aff}} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}^{m-1}} \end{array}$$

Iterating this process produces a map $\mathbf{Spec}_X(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow X_m^{\mathrm{aff}}$ lifting $\mathbf{Spec}_X(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow X$. The pullback map of sections then gives the required map of algebras

$$\mathcal{P}^{m,\bullet} \hookrightarrow \pi_{k*}\mathcal{O}_{X_m^{\mathrm{aff}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

It suffices to prove that the obtained map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathrm{HS}_{X/S}^m, \mathcal{A}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{P}^{m,\bullet}, \mathcal{A})$$

is surjective and graded. It is graded because everything so constructed is \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant for the obvious \mathbb{G}_m -action on $\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}^m$ which corresponds to the grading on $\mathrm{HS}_{X/S}^m$. To check surjectivity, since X is smooth, using the étale-local structure, we reduce to checking this property for \mathbb{A}_S^n . In this case we can directly compute. There is a presentation

$$\mathrm{HS}_{X/S}^m = \mathcal{O}_S[d_i(x_j)]_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq m \\ 0 \leq j \leq n}}$$

We now consider the map $\varphi_{ij} : \mathrm{HS}_{X/S}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$ sending $d_i(x_j) \mapsto 1$ and all other to zero. This corresponds to the Hasse-Schmidt differential (D_0, \dots, D_m) where $D_j(x_i) = 1$ and $D_{j'}(x_i) = 0$ for all other $i' \neq i$ and $j' \neq j$. Now we consider the lift of the map

$$\varphi_{ij} : \Delta_S^m \rightarrow X$$

to the Sempole tower. We construct

$$X_1 = \mathbf{Spec}_S(\mathcal{O}_S[x_1, \dots, x_n][dx_1, \dots, dx_n])$$

and then $\pi^*\Omega_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{T}_X)}(1)$ is given by $dx_1 \mapsto$

$$X_2 = \mathbf{Spec}_S(\mathcal{O}_S[x_1, \dots, x_n][dx_1, \dots, dx_n][d_2x_1, \dots, d_2x_n][s])$$

□