

# 1 Cartier Divisors

## 1.1 Regular Sections

**Definition 1.1.1.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be a ringed space. We say a section  $f \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is *regular* if the morphism  $\mathcal{O}_X|_U \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{O}_X|_U$  via  $s \mapsto fs$  is injective.

**Lemma 1.1.2.** Let  $X$  be a locally ringed space and  $f \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$  a section. Then the following are equivalent,

- (a)  $f$  is a regular section
- (b) for any  $x \in U$  the image  $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is a non-zero divisor.

If  $X$  is a scheme there are also equivalent to,

- (a) for any affine open  $\text{Spec}(A) = V \subset U$  the image  $f \in A$  is a non-zero divisor

*Proof.*  $f$  is regular when for any open  $V \subset U$  and  $g \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_X)$  we have  $f|_V g = 0 \implies g = 0$  which is exactly the condition that  $f_x \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is a nonzero divisor for each  $x \in U$  since  $f_x \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is a zero divisor if there is some neighborhood  $x \in V$  and nonzero  $g \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_X)$  with  $f|_V g = 0$ .

The sheaf map  $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$  given by  $f \mapsto fs$  is injective iff on stalks  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is injective i.e.  $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is a non-zero divisor.

Now let  $X$  be a scheme. If on each affine open  $\text{Spec}(A) = V \subset U$  the image  $f \in A$  is a non zero divisor then, since affine opens form a base for the topology on  $X$ , then  $f_x \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is a non zero divisor since otherwise it would be a zero divisor on some open neighborhood containing an affine open. Conversely, if  $f|_U$  is a zero divisor on some affine open then for each  $x \in U$  the image  $f_x \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is a zero divisor.  $\square$

**Definition 1.1.3.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be a ringed space. Then define the sheaf of regular sections  $\mathcal{S}_X$  via,

$$\mathcal{S}_X(U) = \{f \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X) \mid \text{regular}\}$$

Then  $\mathcal{S}_X$  is a sheaf because a section is regular exactly if it is regular on a cover.

**Definition 1.1.4.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be a ringed space. The sheaf  $\mathcal{K}_X$  of *meromorphic functions* on  $X$  is the  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module associated to the presheaf,

$$U \mapsto \mathcal{S}_X(U)^{-1} \mathcal{O}_X(U)$$

**Lemma 1.1.5.** The natural map  $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_X$  is injective.

*Proof.* Consider the map  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{X,x}$  where  $\mathcal{K}_{X,x} = \mathcal{S}_{X,x}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ . Now  $\mathcal{S}_{X,x} \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is contained in the set of nonzerodivisors (although it may not be equal to the set of nonzerodivisors of  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ ). Therefore, the map  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{X,x}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is injective and further we have an inclusion  $\mathcal{K}_{X,x} \subset Q(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$  inside the total quotient ring of  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ .  $\square$

**Definition 1.1.6.** Let  $f : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  be a morphism of locally ringed spaces. We say that *pullbacks of meromorphic functions are defined* for  $f$  if for all opens  $U \subset X$  and  $V \subset Y$  such that  $f(U) \subset V$  the pullback  $f^\# : \mathcal{O}_Y(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(U)$  takes regular sections to regular sections i.e. for any  $s \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{S}_Y)$  the pullback  $f^\#(s) \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is an element of  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{S}_X)$ .

In this case, there is a morphism  $f^\# : \mathcal{K}_Y \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{K}_X$  and thus there is a morphism of ringed spaces,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(X, \mathcal{K}_X) & \longrightarrow & (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \\
\downarrow f & & \downarrow f \\
(Y, \mathcal{K}_Y) & \longrightarrow & (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)
\end{array}$$

**Proposition 1.1.7.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of schemes such that either,

- (a)  $X$  and  $Y$  are integral and  $f$  is dominant
- (b)  $f$  is flat

then pullbacks of meromorphic functions are defined for  $f$ .

*Proof.* □

**Lemma 1.1.8.** Let  $X$  be an integral scheme  $X$  with generic point  $\xi \in X$ . Then for any open  $U \subset X$ , the map  $\mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}$  is injective.

*Proof.* Choose an open cover  $U_i = \text{Spec}(A_i) \subset X$  where  $A_i$  is a domain then  $K(X) = \mathcal{O}_{X,\xi} = \text{Frac}(A_i)$  since  $\xi \in \text{Spec}(A_i)$  is the generic point. Thus,  $\mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}$  is an injection because, if  $f_\xi = 0$  then consider  $f|_{U \cap U_i} \in A_i$  but  $A_i$  is a domain so if  $f_\xi \in \text{Frac}(A_i)$  is zero then  $f|_{U \cap U_i} = 0$  for each  $U_i$  so  $f = 0$ . □

*Remark.* The above lemma allows us to view all functions on  $X$  as elements of  $K(X)$ . In fact, the meromorphic functions on  $X$  are exactly  $K(X)$ .

**Proposition 1.1.9.** Let  $X$  be an integral scheme. Then  $\mathcal{K}_X = \underline{K(X)}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\xi \in X$  be the generic point and  $U \subset X$  an open set. Consider the presheaf map  $\mathcal{S}_X(U)^{-1} \mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow K(X)$  sending  $f \mapsto f_\xi$  which is well-defined because regular sections have  $f_\xi \neq 0$  and  $K(X)$  is a field so regular sections are invertible in  $K(X)$ . Sheafifying, gives a map  $\mathcal{K}_X \rightarrow \underline{K(X)}$ . To show this map is an isomorphism it suffices to check on the stalks which can be computed from the above presheaves. By above, the map  $\mathcal{S}_X(U)^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{X,U} \rightarrow K(X)$  is always injective. Furthermore, for any  $x \in X$  choose an affine open neighborhood  $U = \text{Spec}(A)$  with  $A$  a domain. Then  $\mathcal{S}_X(U) = A \setminus \{0\}$  since  $A \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is injective and  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a domain for each prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  so every nonzero  $f \in A$  is regular. Thus,  $\mathcal{S}_X(U)^{-1} \mathcal{O}_X(U) = \text{Frac}(A)$  and the map  $\mathcal{S}_X(U)^{-1} \mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow K(X) = A_{(0)} = \text{Frac}(A)$  is an isomorphism. □

## 1.2 Meromorphic Sections

**Definition 1.2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module on a ringed space  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . Then the *sheaf of meromorphic sections* of  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\mathcal{K}_X(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{K}_X$ . A *meromorphic section* is a global section  $\eta \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{K}_X)$ .

*Remark.* The sheaf  $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{K}_X$  is the sheaf associated to the presheaf,

$$U \mapsto \mathcal{F}(U) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)} \mathcal{K}_X^{\text{ps}}(U) = \mathcal{F}(U) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)} \mathcal{S}_X(U)^{-1} \mathcal{O}_X(U) = \mathcal{S}_X(U)^{-1} \mathcal{F}(U)$$

explaining the notation.

**Proposition 1.2.2.** Let  $X$  be a Noetherian scheme and  $\mathcal{F}$  a quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. Then  $\mathcal{F}$  has a meromorphic section i.e.  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{K}_X) \neq 0$ .

**Proposition 1.2.3.** Let  $X$  be an integral scheme with generic point  $\xi$ . Then  $\mathcal{K}_X = \underline{\mathcal{F}_\xi}$ .

*Proof.* □

### 1.3 Cartier Divisors

**Definition 1.3.1.** Let  $X$  be a ringed space. The *sheaf of Cartier divisors* on  $X$  is  $\mathfrak{Div}_X = \mathcal{K}_X^\times / \mathcal{O}_X^\times$ . The group of Cartier divisors is  $\text{Ca}(X) = H^0(X, \mathfrak{Div}_X)$  and the Cartier class group is,

$$\text{CaCl}(X) = \text{coker}(H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_X^\times) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathfrak{Div}_X))$$

**Proposition 1.3.2.** There is a natural embedding  $\text{CaCl}(X) \hookrightarrow \text{Pic}(X)$  which is an isomorphism when  $H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_X^\times) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Consider the exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^\times \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_X^\times \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Div}_X \longrightarrow 0$$

Taking cohomology gives,

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\times) \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_X^\times) \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathfrak{Div}_X) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\times) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_X^\times)$$

But  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\times) = \text{Pic}(X)$  and by exactness, we get an exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{CaCl}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(X) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_X^\times)$$

□

*Remark.* The condition  $H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_X^\times) = 0$  occurs when  $X$  is an integral scheme. Then  $\mathcal{K}_X^\times = \underline{K(X)}^\times$  is a constant sheaf and  $X$  is irreducible so its higher cohomology vanishes.

### 1.4 Cousins Problems

Here we let  $X$  be a complex manifold and  $\mathcal{O}_X$  be its sheaf of holomorphic functions and  $\mathcal{K}_X$  be its sheaf of meromorphic functions. The Cousins problems are the following questions given a cover  $U_i$  and a meromorphic function  $f_i \in \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{K}_X)$  on  $U_i$ .

**Definition 1.4.1.** The Cousins problems ask the following.

- (a) (First or additive Cousin Problem) if  $(f_i - f_j)|_{U_i \cap U_j}$  is holomorphic for each pair  $i, j$  then does there exist a global meromorphic function  $f \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_X)$  such that  $f|_{U_i} - f_i$  is holomorphic?
- (b) (Second or multiplicative Cousin Problem) if  $(f_i/f_j)|_{U_i \cap U_j}$  is non-vanishing holomorphic for each pair  $i, j$  then does there exist a global meromorphic function  $f \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_X)$  such that  $f|_{U_i}/f_i$  is holomorphic and non-vanishing?

Notice that set of pairs  $\{(U_i, f_i)\}$  in the first Cousin problem defines a global section of the sheaf  $\mathcal{K}_X/\mathcal{O}_X$  exactly because  $(f_i - f_j)|_{U_i \cap U_j} \in \mathcal{O}_X(U_i \cap U_j)$  is holomorphic. Likewise, the set of pairs  $\{(U_i, f_i)\}$  in the second Cousin problem defined a global section of the sheaf  $\mathcal{K}_X^\times/\mathcal{O}_X^\times$  exactly because  $(f_i/f_j)|_{U_i \cap U_j} \in \mathcal{O}_X^\times(U_i \cap U_j)$  is holomorphic and nonvanishing. Therefore, we can restate the Cousins problems as follows.

**Definition 1.4.2.** The Cousins problems ask the following.

- (a) (First Cousin Problem) is the map  $H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_X) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_X/\mathcal{O}_X)$  surjective?

(b) (Second Cousin Problem) is the map  $H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_X^\times) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_X^\times / \mathcal{O}_X^\times)$  surjective?

Now we can solve these problems using the following two exact sequences of sheaves,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_X / \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^\times \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_X^\times \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_X^\times / \mathcal{O}_X^\times \longrightarrow 0$$

and we can relate the sheaf cohomology needed in the two problems via the exponential exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{2\pi i} \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\exp} \mathcal{O}_X^\times \longrightarrow 0$$

**Theorem 1.4.3.** The first cousin problem is solvable when  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ .

*Proof.* The first exact sequence gives a cohomology exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_X) \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_X / \mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_X)$$

Clearly, if  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  then, by exactness,  $H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_X) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_X / \mathcal{O}_X)$  is surjective.  $\square$

*Remark.* By Cartan's theorem B, we know  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  for any Stein manifold. So the first Cousin problem is always solvable for Stein manifolds.

**Theorem 1.4.4.** The second cousin problem is solvable when  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\times) = 0$  or when  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  and  $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ .

*Proof.* The second exact sequence gives a cohomology exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\times) \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_X^\times) \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_X^\times / \mathcal{O}_X^\times) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\times) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_X^\times)$$

Clearly, if  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\times) = 0$  then, by exactness,  $H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_X^\times) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_X^\times / \mathcal{O}_X^\times)$  is surjective. Now consider the cohomology of the exponential sequence,

$$H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\times) \longrightarrow H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

Then if  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  and  $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  we get an isomorphism (the first Chern class)  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\times) = H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$  so if  $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  then  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\times) = 0$  giving the surjection.  $\square$

*Remark.* For Stein manifolds we always have  $H^p(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  for  $p > 0$  by Cartan's theorem B. Therefore, the second cousin problem is solvable for Stein manifolds when  $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ .

## 2 Effective Cartier Divisors

### 2.1 Closed Subschemes

**Definition 2.1.1.** A *closed subscheme*  $Z \subset X$  is an equivalence class of closed immersions  $Z \hookrightarrow X$  where we say two closed immersions  $\iota_1 : Z_1 \hookrightarrow X$  and  $\iota_2 : Z_2 \hookrightarrow X$  are equivalent if there exists an isomorphism  $f : Z_1 \rightarrow Z_2$  making the diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & Z_2 \\ & \searrow \iota_1 & \swarrow \iota_2 \\ & X & \end{array}$$

**Theorem 2.1.2.** There is a correspondence between closed subschemes  $Z$  of  $X$  and quasi-coherent sheaves of ideals  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$  i.e. injections of quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules up to isomorphism,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$$

Via the correspondence: given  $\iota : Z \rightarrow X$  the map of sheaves  $\iota^\# : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \iota_* \mathcal{O}_Z$  is surjective take  $\mathcal{I} = \ker(\iota^\# : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \iota_* \mathcal{O}_Z)$  which thus fits into an exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \iota_* \mathcal{O}_Z \longrightarrow 0$$

Conversely, given a sheaf of ideals  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$  then take  $Z = (\text{Supp}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}), \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I})$ .

*Proof.* Given a quasi-coherent sheaf of ideals  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$  then we must show that,

$$Z = (\text{Supp}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}), \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I})$$

is a closed subscheme. This is a local property so take an affine open  $U \subset X$  on which  $U = \text{Spec}(A)$  and  $\mathcal{I} = \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}$  for some ideal  $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$ . Then in the induced subspace topology  $U \cap Z = \text{Supp}_A(A/\mathfrak{a}) = V(\mathfrak{a})$  and the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_Z|_{U \cap Z} = \widetilde{A/\mathfrak{a}}$  so locally  $Z \cap U = \text{Spec}(A/\mathfrak{a})$  as schemes. Furthermore, the map  $\iota : Z \hookrightarrow X$  is given locally by the ring map  $A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{a}$  which gives a closed immersion. Finally, it is clear that the sheaf of ideals corresponding to this  $Z$  is exactly  $\mathcal{I}$  since it is the kernel of the map  $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}$ .

Given a closed subscheme  $\iota : Z \hookrightarrow X$  we need to check that the corresponding ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}$  generates  $Z$ . Since closed immersions are separated and quasi-compact then  $\iota_* \mathcal{O}_Z$  is a quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module which implies that  $\mathcal{I}$  is also quasi-coherent. In this case there is an isomorphism  $\iota_* \mathcal{O}_Z \cong \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}$ . Note that  $\iota(Z)$  is closed and thus if  $x \notin \iota(Z)$  then any open neighborhood of  $x$  contains some  $U \subset X \setminus \iota(Z)$  open neighborhood of  $x$  on which,

$$(\iota_* \mathcal{O}_Z)(U) = \mathcal{O}_Z(\iota^{-1}(U)) = \mathcal{O}_Z(\emptyset) = 0$$

Thus if  $x \notin \iota(Z)$  then  $(\iota_* \mathcal{O}_Z)_x = 0$ . Furthermore, if  $\iota(z) \in \iota(Z)$  then because  $\iota$  is a homeomorphism onto its image, every open neighborhood of  $z$  is of the form  $\iota^{-1}(U)$  for some open  $U \subset X$  and thus,

$$(\iota_* \mathcal{O}_Z)_{\iota(z)} = \varinjlim_{\iota(z) \in U} \mathcal{O}_Z(\iota^{-1}(U)) = \varinjlim_{z \in V} \mathcal{O}_Z(V) = \mathcal{O}_{Z,z}$$

In particular, if  $\iota(z) \in \iota(Z)$  then  $(\iota_* \mathcal{O}_Z)_{\iota(z)} = \mathcal{O}_{Z,z} \neq 0$ . Therefore we have shown that,

$$x \in \iota(Z) \iff (\iota_* \mathcal{O}_Z)_x \neq 0 \iff x \in \text{Supp}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I})$$

Thus let  $Z' = (\text{Supp}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}), \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I})$  then there is an isomorphism  $\iota : Z \rightarrow Z'$  which has  $\iota^\# : \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \iota_* \mathcal{O}_Z$  which makes the diagram commute,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Z & \hookrightarrow & X \\
\downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \text{id}_X \\
Z' & \hookrightarrow & X
\end{array}$$

□

## 2.2 Sheaves Defining Closed Subschemes

**Definition 2.2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$  be a subsheaf of a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. Then  $Z(\mathcal{G})$  is the closed subscheme associated to the sheaf of ideals,  $\mathcal{I} = \text{Im}(\mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F}^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X)$ .

DO!!

What about defining  $I = \text{Ann}_A(M/N)$ . Which is correct? When do these give the same results??

## 2.3 Effective Cartier Divisors as Closed Subschemes

**Definition 2.3.1.** Let  $X$  be a scheme then a *locally principal closed subscheme* of  $X$  is a closed subscheme  $Z \subset X$  such that the sheaf of ideals  $\mathcal{I}_Z$  is locally generated by a single element.

**Definition 2.3.2.** An *effective Cartier divisor* on  $X$  is a closed subscheme  $D \subset X$  whose ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}_D \subset \mathcal{O}_X$  is an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module.

**Definition 2.3.3.** Let  $X$  be a scheme and  $D \subset X$  a closed subscheme then the following are equivalent,

- (a)  $D$  is an effective Cartier divisor on  $X$
- (b) for each  $x \in D$  there exists an affine open neighborhood  $x \in U \subset X$  with  $U = \text{Spec}(A)$  such that  $U \cap D = \text{Spec}(A/(f))$  for  $f \in A$  a nonzerodivisor.

*Proof.* Assume that  $D$  is an effective Cartier divisor then for each  $x \in X$  there exists an affine open  $x \in U \subset X$  such that  $\mathcal{I}_D|_U \cong \mathcal{O}_X|_U$ . Since  $\mathcal{I}_D$  is quasi-coherent, we may further shrink  $U$  such that  $\mathcal{I}_D|_U = \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}$  for some ideal of  $A$  where  $U = \text{Spec}(A)$ . The isomorphism  $A \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$  is uniquely determined by the image of  $1 \in \mathfrak{a} \subset A$  say  $1 \mapsto f$  then  $\mathfrak{a} = (f)$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{I}_D|_U = \widetilde{(f)}$  meaning that locally  $D \cap U = \text{Supp}_A(A/(f)) = \text{Spec}(A/(f))$ . Furthermore, suppose that  $\exists g \in A$  such that  $fg = 0$ . Consider the preimage  $\tilde{g} \mapsto g$  under the isomorphism  $A \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}$  and thus  $\tilde{g} = 1\tilde{g} \mapsto fg = 0$  so  $\tilde{g}$  is in the kernel of the map so  $g = 0$  implying that  $f$  cannot be a zero divisor.

Conversely, we have  $U \cap D = \text{Spec}(A/(f))$  then locally the map  $D \rightarrow X$  is given by the ring map  $A \rightarrow A/(f)$  so  $\mathcal{I}_D|_U = \widetilde{(f)}$ . Since  $f$  is a non-zero divisor, the map  $f : A \rightarrow (f)$  is an isomorphism proving that  $\mathcal{I}_D$  is an invertible sheaf since  $\mathcal{O}_X|_U = \tilde{A}$ . □

**Definition 2.3.4.** Let  $X$  be a scheme. Given effective Cartier divisors  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  on  $X$  we set  $D = D_1 + D_2$  to be the closed subscheme of  $X$  corresponding to the quasi-coherent sheaf of ideals  $\mathcal{I}_{D_1} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{D_2} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ .

**Proposition 2.3.5.** The sum of effective Cartier divisors is an effective Cartier divisor.

*Proof.* The product of non-zero divisors is a non-zero divisor and thus the product of these ideals is locally invertible. □

**Definition 2.3.6.** Let  $X$  be a scheme and  $D \subset X$  an effective Cartier divisor with an ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}_D$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{I}_D$  is an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module so we may define,

- (a) The invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$  associated to  $D$  is defined by,

$$\mathcal{O}_X(D) = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{I}_D, \mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{I}_D^{\otimes -1}$$

- (b) The canonical section,  $1_D \in \mathcal{O}_X(D)$  is the inclusion morphism  $\mathcal{I}_D \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ .

- (c) We write  $\mathcal{O}_X(-D) = \mathcal{O}_X(D)^{\otimes -1} = \mathcal{I}_D$ .

- (d) Given a second effective Cartier divisor  $D' \subset X$  we define,

$$\mathcal{O}_X(D - D') = \mathcal{O}_X(D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(-D')$$

*Remark.* By definition, for any effective Cartier divisor  $D \subset X$  there is an exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_D \longrightarrow 0$$

**Lemma 2.3.7.** Let  $X$  be a scheme and  $D, C \subset X$  be effective Cartier divisors with  $C \subset D$  and let  $D' = D + C$ . Then there exists a short exact sequence of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-D)|_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D'} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_D \longrightarrow 0$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the ideal sheaf of  $D \rightarrow D'$ . Then there is a short exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D'} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_D \longrightarrow 0$$

Now I claim that  $\mathcal{O}_X(-D)|_C = \mathcal{I}_D|_C = \mathcal{I}$ . □

**Lemma 2.3.8.** Let  $X$  be a scheme and  $D_1, D_2 \subset X$  be effective Cartier divisors on  $X$ . Let  $D = D_1 + D_2$ . Then there is a unique isomorphism,

$$\mathcal{O}_X(D_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(D_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D)$$

which maps  $1_{D_1} \otimes 1_{D_2} \rightarrow 1_D$ .

*Proof.* By definition  $\mathcal{I}_D = \mathcal{I}_{D_1} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{D_2}$ . Consider the map,

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{I}_{D_1}, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{I}_{D_2}, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{I}_D, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

via  $f_1 \otimes f_2 \mapsto f_1 \cdot f_2$ . Clearly, this map sends  $1_{D_1} \otimes 1_{D_2}$  to  $1_D$ . Thus, it is sufficient to prove that this map is the unique isomorphism. Because these sheaves are invertible, on stalks, this map becomes the isomorphism,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}}((f_1), \mathcal{O}_{X,x}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}}((f_2), \mathcal{O}_{X,x}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}}((f_1 f_2), \mathcal{O}_{X,x})$$

This is unique because each side is abstractly isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  and the map abstractly the identity since it sends  $(f_1 \mapsto 1) \otimes (f_2 \mapsto 1) \mapsto (f_1 f_2 \mapsto 1)$ . □

**Corollary 2.3.9.** Let  $G$  be the group completion of the monoid of effective Cartier divisors. Then  $D \mapsto \mathcal{O}_X(D)$  induces a well-defined group homomorphism  $G \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ .

*Proof.* Sending  $D - D' \mapsto \mathcal{O}_X(D - D') = \mathcal{O}_X(D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(-D')$  as before gives a well-defined map because  $D + D' \mapsto \mathcal{O}_X(D + D') = \mathcal{O}_X(D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(D')$  so this is a homomorphism where  $\otimes$  is multiplication in  $\text{Pic}(X)$ .  $\square$

*Remark.* Recall that the conormal sheaf is the  $\mathcal{O}_D$ -module,  $\mathcal{C}_{D/X} = \mathcal{I}_D / \mathcal{I}_D^2 = \iota^* \mathcal{I}_D$ . Therefore, the normal bundle is,

$$\mathcal{N}_{D/X} = \iota^* \mathcal{I}_D^\vee = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(\iota^* \mathcal{I}_D, \mathcal{O}_Z) = \iota^* \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{I}_D, \mathcal{O}_X) = \iota^* \mathcal{I}_D^{\otimes -1} = \iota^* \mathcal{O}_X(D)$$

Furthermore, from the exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \iota_* \mathcal{O}_D \longrightarrow 0$$

tensoring with  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$  and using the projection formula  $\iota_* \mathcal{O}_D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(D) = \iota_* \iota^* \mathcal{O}_X(D) = \iota_*(\mathcal{N}_{D/X})$  we get an exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{1_D} \mathcal{O}_X(D) \longrightarrow \iota_*(\mathcal{N}_{D/X}) \longrightarrow 0$$

## 2.4 Checking Effective Cartier Divisors on Noetherian Schemes

**Lemma 2.4.1.** Let  $X$  be a locally Noetherian scheme. Let  $D \subset X$  be a closed subscheme corresponding to the quasi-coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ . Then,

- (a) if  $\mathcal{I}_x \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  for all  $x \in D$  can be generated by a single element then  $D$  is locally principal
- (b) if  $\mathcal{I}_x \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  for all  $x \in D$  can be generated by a single nonzerodivisor then  $D$  is an effective Cartier divisor.

*Proof.* Let  $U = \text{Spec}(A)$  be an affine open neighborhood of  $x \in D$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$  correspond to  $x$ . Then  $U \cap D = V(I)$  for some ideal  $I \subset A$ . Since  $A$  is Noetherian  $I = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$  is finitely generated. In the first case  $I_{\mathfrak{p}} = (f)$  for some  $f \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  thus  $f_i = g_i f$  for  $g_i \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . We may write  $g_i = a_i/h_i$  and  $f = f'/h$  for  $a_i, h_i, f', h \in A$  and  $h, h_i \notin \mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $I_{h_1 \dots h_r h} \subset A_{h_1 \dots h_r h}$  is generated by  $f'$  so  $\mathcal{I}_D|_{D(h_1 \dots h_r h)} = (f')$  is principal proving the first claim. If furthermore,  $f \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a nonzerodivisor then it must be a nonzerodivisor on some open  $\tilde{U} \subset U$  thus  $\mathcal{I}_D|_{\tilde{U} \cap D(h_1 \dots h_r h)} = (f')$  is generated by a single nonzerodivisor so  $D$  is an effective Cartier divisor.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.2.** Let  $X$  be a Noetherian scheme. Let  $D \subset X$  be an integral closed subscheme with,

- (a)  $\text{codim}(D, X) = 1$
- (b)  $\forall x \in D : \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is a UFD

then  $D$  is an effective Cartier divisor.

*Proof.* Let  $x \in D$  and let  $A = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  with  $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$  corresponding to the generic point  $\eta \in D$ . Then,

$$\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = \dim A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \dim \mathcal{O}_{X,\eta} = \text{codim}(D, X) = 1$$

Furthermore, since  $A$  is a UFD, every height one prime is principal so  $\mathfrak{p} = (f)$  for some nonzerodivisor<sup>1</sup>  $f \in A$ . Therefore, by the previous lemma  $D$  is an effective Cartier divisor since  $(\mathcal{I}_D)_x = \mathfrak{p} = (f)$ . To see the last equality, choose an affine open  $U = \text{Spec}(R)$  with  $x \in U$  corresponding to a prime  $\mathfrak{q}$ . Then  $U \cap D = V(\mathfrak{p})$  where  $\mathcal{I}_D = \tilde{\mathfrak{p}}$  which is prime since  $D$  is closed irreducible and  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{q}$  and  $A = R_{\mathfrak{q}}$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R_{\mathfrak{q}})$  thus  $(\mathcal{I}_D)_x = \mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}A$ .  $\square$

<sup>1</sup> $A$  is a domain



**Corollary 2.4.3.** Let  $X$  be a Noetherian locally factorial (e.g. regular) scheme. Then every integral codimension one closed subscheme is an effective Cartier divisor.

**Lemma 2.4.4.** Let  $X$  be a Noetherian scheme. Let  $D \subset X$  be an integral closed subscheme which is also an effective Cartier divisor. Let  $\eta \in D$  be its generic point then  $\mathcal{O}_{X,\eta}$  is a DVR.

*Proof.* We may choose an affine open neighborhood  $U = \text{Spec}(A)$  of  $x \in D$  such that  $D \cap U = \text{Spec}(A/(f))$  for a nonzerodivisor  $f \in A$ . Furthermore,  $D$  is irreducible so  $D \cap U = V(\mathfrak{p})$  for a prime  $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$  and thus  $\sqrt{(f)} = \mathfrak{p}$  but furthermore,  $D$  is reduced so  $(f)$  is radical i.e.  $(f) = \mathfrak{p}$  is prime. Then  $D \cap U = V(\mathfrak{p})$  has generic point  $\eta = \mathfrak{p} \in U$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{O}_{X,\eta} = A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a local Noetherian PID<sup>2</sup> and thus a DVR.  $\square$

## 2.5 Effective Cartier Divisors Defined by Global Sections

*Remark.* Recall the definition of a regular global section.

**Definition 2.5.1.** Let  $X$  be a locally ringed space and  $\mathcal{L}$  an invertible sheaf on  $X$ . A global section  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$  is called a regular section in the map  $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  via  $f \mapsto fs$  is injective.

*Remark.* Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module and  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$  is a global section. We may realize  $s$  as an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module map  $s : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ . Its dual then gives a map  $s : \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ .

**Definition 2.5.2.** Let  $X$  be a scheme and  $\mathcal{L}$  an invertible sheaf on  $X$ . Let  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$  be a global section. The *zero scheme* of  $s$  is the closed subscheme  $Z(s) \subset X$  defined by the quasi-coherent sheaf of ideals  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$  defined by  $s : \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ .

*Remark.* Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of locally ringed spaces and  $\mathcal{F}$  a sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules. A global section  $s \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{F})$  can be realized as a morphism  $s : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ . Applying the functor  $f^*$  gives a morphism  $f^*s : f^*\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f^*\mathcal{F}$  which is equivalent to a section  $f^*s : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow f^*\mathcal{F}$  since  $f^*\mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_X$ .

**Lemma 2.5.3.** Let  $X$  be a scheme and  $\mathcal{L}$  an invertible sheaf on  $X$  and  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$  a global section. Then,

- (a) Consider the closed immersions  $\iota : Z \hookrightarrow X$  such that  $\iota^*s \in \Gamma(Z, \iota^*\mathcal{L})$  is zero, ordered by inclusion. The zero scheme  $Z(s)$  is the maximal element of this poset.
- (b) The zero scheme  $Z(s)$  is a locally principal closed subscheme.
- (c) a morphism of schemes  $f : X' \rightarrow X$  factors through  $Z(s) \hookrightarrow X$  iff  $f^*s = 0$ .
- (d)  $Z(s)$  is an effective Cartier divisor iff  $s$  is a regular section of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

---

<sup>2</sup>First  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a principal ideal ring since its unique maximal ideal is principal. Furthermore,  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a domain because if  $g \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a zero divisor then  $\text{Ann}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}((g)) \subset (f)$  (else  $g = 0$  in  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ) then let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a maximal annihilator and thus a prime above  $\text{Ann}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}((g))$  but  $\mathfrak{q} \subset (f)$  because  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is local so  $\mathfrak{q} = (a)$  since  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is a principal ideal ring. Thus  $a = a'f$  is a zero divisor so  $a'$  is a zero divisor since  $f$  is not but  $(a'f)$  is prime so either  $a \in (af)$  or  $f \in (a'f)$  but  $f \notin (a'f)$  since  $f$  is not a zero divisor and thus  $a' \in (a'f)$ . We can write  $a' = ra'f$  and thus  $a'(rf - 1) = 0$  but  $rf - 1 \notin (f)$  and thus  $rf - 1$  is a unit so  $a' = 0$  and thus  $g = 0$  showing that  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a domain.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\iota : Z \hookrightarrow X$  is a closed subscheme such that  $\iota^*s \in \Gamma(Z, \iota^*\mathcal{L})$  is zero. It suffices to show that  $\mathcal{I}_{Z(s)} \subset \mathcal{I}_Z$ . However,  $s : \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \iota_*\mathcal{O}_Z$  is zero because  $\iota^*s = 0$  and thus  $\mathcal{I}_{Z(s)} = \text{Im}(s) \subset \ker(\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \iota_*\mathcal{O}_Z) = \mathcal{I}_Z$ .

Since  $\mathcal{L}$  is invertible, there is an affine open cover such that  $\mathcal{L}|_U \cong \mathcal{O}_X|_U$  on each open  $\text{Spec}(A) = U \subset X$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{L}|_U = \widetilde{M}$  for some  $A$ -module  $M$  such that  $M \cong A$  as  $A$ -modules i.e.  $M$  is free of rank 1. Then consider the map  $s : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  which restricts to the map  $s|_U : A \rightarrow M$  given by  $a \mapsto as|_U$  whose dual is  $s|_U : \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$  takes  $(f : M \rightarrow A) \mapsto f(s|_U)$ . Since  $M$  is free of rank 1 we may write  $s|_U = s_A m$  for  $s_A \in A$  and  $m \in M$  the basis element. Then every  $A$ -module map  $f : M \rightarrow A$  is determined by the image of  $m \mapsto f(m)$  so  $f(s|_U) = s_A f(m)$ . In particular, there exists an isomorphism  $M \rightarrow A$  which has  $f(m) = 1$  so  $\text{Hom}_A(M, A) \cong A$  via  $f \mapsto f(m)$  so  $\text{Im}(s|_U) = \{s_A f(m) \mid f \in \text{Hom}_A(M, A)\} = (s_A) \subset A$ . Thus the sheaf of ideals of  $Z(s)$  is locally generated by a single element.

Furthermore,  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$  is a regular section iff  $s|_U$  is regular for each affine open  $U$  i.e. the map  $a \mapsto as_A$  is injective meaning  $A \cong (s_A)$ . Thus, since locally the sheaf of ideals of  $Z(s)$  is  $(s_A)$ , the section  $s$  is regular iff  $Z(s)$  is an effective Cartier divisor.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.4.** Let  $X$  be a scheme.

- (a) If  $D \subset X$  is an effective Cartier divisor then the canonical section  $1_D$  of  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$  is regular.
- (b) Conversely, if  $s$  is a regular section of the invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  then there exists a unique effective Cartier divisor  $D = Z(s) \subset X$  and a unique isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_X(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  sending  $1_D \mapsto s$ .

The construction  $D \mapsto (\mathcal{O}_X(D), 1_D)$  and  $(\mathcal{L}, s) \mapsto Z(s)$  are inverse giving a bijective correspondence between effective Cartier divisors on  $X$  and isomorphism classes of pairs  $(\mathcal{L}, s)$  where  $\mathcal{L}$  is an invertible sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules and  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$  is a regular global section.

*Proof.* Let  $D \subset X$  be an effective Cartier divisor and consider the canonical section  $1_D$  of  $\mathcal{O}_X(D) = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{I}_D, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . Consider the map  $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D)$  given by  $f \mapsto f \cdot 1_D$ . On stalks, we know that the ideal  $(\mathcal{I}_D)_x \cong \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  so  $(\mathcal{I}_D)_x = (f)$  where  $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is the preimage of 1. Given any section  $g \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  if  $g_x(1_D)_x = 0$  then  $g \cdot f = 0$  meaning that either  $g_x = 0$  or  $f$  is a zero divisor. However, since  $\mathcal{I}_D$  is invertible,  $f$  is a nonzerodivisor thus  $g_x = 0$ . Therefore this map  $1_D : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D)$  is injective at the stalks and therefore injective.

Now suppose that  $\mathcal{L}$  is an invertible sheaf and  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$  a regular section. Consider  $D = Z(s) \subset X$ . Since  $s$  is regular, we have shown that  $Z(s)$  is an effective Cartier divisor. Furthermore,  $\mathcal{I}_D = \text{Im}(s : \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -1}$  where  $s$  is regular so this is injective. Thus,  $\mathcal{O}_X(D) = \mathcal{I}_D^{\otimes -1} = \mathcal{L}$ . Finally, given an effective Cartier divisor we know that  $(\mathcal{O}_X(D), 1_D)$  is an invertible sheaf with a regular section. Consider  $Z(s)$  which is the closed subscheme uniquely defined by the sheaf of ideals given by the image of  $1_D : \mathcal{O}_X(D)^{\otimes -1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$  which is exactly the inclusion map  $\mathcal{I}_D \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$  since  $\mathcal{O}_X(D) = \mathcal{I}_D^{\otimes -1}$ . Therefore, we find that  $Z(s) \cong Z$ .  $\square$

*Remark.* Let  $(\mathcal{L}, s)$  be an invertible module and a global regular section. Then there are exact sequences,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -1} \xrightarrow{s^\vee} \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \iota_*\mathcal{O}_D \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow \iota_*(\mathcal{L}|_D) \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $\iota : D \hookrightarrow X$  is the inclusion of the effective Cartier divisor  $D = Z(s)$ .

## 2.6 Relationship to the Previous Definition

**Theorem 2.6.1.** There is a natural bijection  $G \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{CaX}$  between the group completion of effective Cartier divisors and the group of Cartier divisors.

*Proof.* Given  $D$  we can find a open affine cover  $U_i = \text{Spec}(A_i)$  such that  $\mathcal{I}_D|_{U_i} = \widetilde{(f_i)}$  so we send  $D \mapsto \{(U_i, f_i)\}$  the Cartier divisor. Since  $\mathcal{I}_D$  is a sheaf, we must have  $(f_i)|_{U_i \cap U_j} = (f_j)|_{U_i \cap U_j}$  on the overlaps and thus  $f_i/f_j$  is a unit on the overlap so  $\{(U_i, f_i)\}$  defines a Cartier divisor. We say that  $\{(U_i, f_i)\}$  is effective because each  $f_i \in \mathcal{O}_X(U_i)$  has no poles. Furthermore, any such divisor  $\{(U_i, f_i)\}$  defines an invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  (OKAY WE NEED EVERY BUNDLE IS THE DIFFERENCE OF BUNDLES!! Tag 0B3Q)  $\square$

## 3 Weil Divisors

We only consider Weil divisors for sufficiently nice schemes. (DEFINE)

### 3.1 Reflexive Sheaves

### 3.2 The Sheaf Associated to a Weil Divisor

### 3.3 The Relationship between Weil Divisors and Cartier Divisors

## 4 Linear Systems of Divisors

## 5 The Chow Ring

## 6 Pushforward and Pullback of Divisors

## 7 Divisors on Curves