

1 The Analytic Setting

Definition 1.0.1. An F -valued *local system* \mathcal{L} on a topological space X is a locally-constant sheaf of finite dimensional F -vector spaces.

Proposition 1.0.2. Suppose that X is connected and admits a universal cover. Then the map,

$$\{F\text{-valued local systems on } X\} \rightarrow \{\pi_1(X, x)\text{-representations}\}$$

Given by sending a local system to its monodromy representation,

$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{F}} : \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_F(\mathcal{F}_x) \cong \text{GL}_n F$$

is an equivalence of categories.

<https://people.maths.ox.ac.uk/liu/seminars/s20-category-o/cailan-notes2-part1.pdf>. □

1.1 Local Monodromy

Remark. For the rest of the section, let X be a compact Riemann surface and $S \subset X$ a finite set of points. Let $U = X \setminus S$ and $j : U \hookrightarrow X$ the open immersion. For each $s \in X$ let $D(s) \subset X$ be a small disk about s and $D^*(s) = D(s) \cap U$. Let $I(s) = \pi_1(D^*(s))$ and choose a generator γ_s such that $I(s) = \mathbb{Z}\gamma_s$.

Definition 1.1.1. Let \mathcal{F} be a local system on U . The *local monodromy representation* at $s \in S$ is,

$$I(s) := \pi_1(D^*(s)) \rightarrow \pi_1(U) \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathcal{F}}} \text{GL}_n F$$

considered up to isomorphism. Explicitly, this is a conjugacy class $\gamma_s \mapsto A_s \in \text{GL}_n F$.

Definition 1.1.2. We say that a local system \mathcal{F} is *physically rigid* if for every local system \mathcal{G} on U such that for each $s \in S$ the local monodromy data of \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} at s are equal. Explicitly, for each $s \in S$ there is an isomorphism of local systems $\mathcal{F}|_{D^*(s)} \cong \mathcal{G}|_{D^*(s)}$ or equivalently an isomorphism of representations $\rho_{\mathcal{F}}|_{I(s)} \cong \rho_{\mathcal{G}}|_{I(s)}$.

Remark. For $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ this is extremely explicit. For $\#S = r$ the fundamental group is $\pi_1(U) \cong F_{r-1}$ generated by C_1, \dots, C_r sending $C_i \mapsto \gamma_i$ with one relation $C_1 \cdots C_r = 1$. A local system is a choice of matrices $A_1, \dots, A_r \in \text{GL}_n F$ subject to $A_1 \cdots A_r = I$ (and hence just the choice of A_1, \dots, A_{r-1}) up to overall conjugacy. The local monodromy is the conjugacy class $I(s_i) = [A_i]$. Given local monodromy data, $[B_i]$ we ask if there exists a local system A_1, \dots, A_r such that $[A_i] = [B_i]$ and this is rigid if there is a unique such choice up to overall conjugacy.

Remark. If $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ and $S = \{0, \infty\}$ then every local system \mathcal{F} on U is physically rigid because \mathcal{F} is completely determined by its monodromy data $I(0)$ since $D^*(0) \rightarrow U$ is a homotopy equivalence. Furthermore, rank 1 local systems on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus S$ are rigid because the monodromy directly determines the representation (there is no conjugacy).

Remark. NONRIGID EXAMPLE

Proposition 1.1.3. If $g(X) \geq 1$ there are no physically rigid local systems.

Proof. Let \mathcal{F} be a local system on U and \mathcal{L} a rank 1 nontorsion (meaning no tensor power is trivial) local system on X which exists because $\pi_1(X) \neq 0$. Then $j^*\mathcal{L}$ is nontorsion because $j_* : \pi_1(U, u) \rightarrow \pi_1(X, u)$ is surjective. Therefore $j^*\mathcal{L}$ has trivial local monodromy so $\mathcal{F} \otimes j^*\mathcal{L}$ and \mathcal{F} have the same local monodromy but are not isomorphic because $\det \mathcal{F}$ and $\det(\mathcal{F} \otimes j^*\mathcal{L}) = \det \mathcal{F} \otimes (j^*\mathcal{L})^{\text{rank } \mathcal{F}}$ are nonisomorphic. □

1.2 Cohomological Rigidity

Proposition 1.2.1. Let X be a manifold and \mathcal{F} a local system. Then,

$$\chi(X, \mathcal{F}) = \chi(X) \cdot \text{rank } \mathcal{F} \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_c(X, \mathcal{F}) = \chi_c(X) \cdot \text{rank } \mathcal{F}$$

Proof. DO MAYER VIETOREZ □

Proposition 1.2.2. Now we use our previous notation with a Riemann surface X . Let \mathcal{F} be a local system on U then,

$$\chi(X, j_*\mathcal{F}) = \chi(X) \cdot \text{rank } \mathcal{F} + \sum_{s \in S} \dim \mathcal{F}_s^{I(s)}$$

Proof. The Leray spectral sequence gives,

$$\chi(U, \mathcal{L}) = \chi(X, j_*\mathcal{L}) - \chi(X, R^1f_*\mathcal{L})$$

Then $R^1f_*\mathcal{L}$ is supported on S . For each disk $D^*(s)$ □

Proposition 1.2.3. Let $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ and \mathcal{F} an irreducible local system on U . Then \mathcal{F} is physically rigid if and only if $H^1(X, j_*\text{End}(\mathcal{F})) = 0$.

Proof. Apply the previous calculation to $\mathcal{L} = \text{End}(\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathcal{L} = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ which have isomorphic local monodromy. Therefore,

$$\chi(X, j_*\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})) = \chi(X, j_*\text{End}(\mathcal{F})) = 2$$

Therefore,

$$h^0(X, j_*\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})) + h^2(X, j_*\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})) \geq 2$$

Furthermore,

$$h^2(X, j_*\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})) = h_c^2(U, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})) = h^0(U, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}))$$

Therefore one of $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ or $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F})$ has a nonzero global section. Because \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are irreducible this must be an isomorphism. □

Remark. This justifies thinking of $H^1(X, j_*\text{End}(\mathcal{F}))$ as the deformation space of local systems with fixed monodromy on S at \mathcal{F} . This is an idea we will explore further now.

DO THE MOTIVATION (3.2.2) IN THIS SETTING.

2 The étale Setting

Remark. For now, let k be a field and let U be a finite type scheme over k .

Definition 2.0.1. A *local system* on $U_{\text{ét}}$ is a lisse $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -sheaf. The category $\text{Loc}(U)$ is surprisingly difficult to define. First we define $\text{Loc}(U, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z})$ as the category of locally-constant finite locally-free étale sheaves of $\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z}$ -modules. Then a lisse \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -sheaf is a projective system $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z}$ -local systems such that,

$$\mathcal{F}_n \otimes \mathbb{Z}/\ell^{n-1}\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$$

is an isomorphism. Thus we write,

$$\text{Loc}(U, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) = \varprojlim \text{Loc}(U, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z})$$

Now the category of lisse \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -sheaves is,

$$\mathrm{Loc}(U, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = \mathrm{Loc}(U, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$$

where we invert ℓ in the Hom. Similarly, if L/\mathbb{Q}_ℓ is a finite extensions we define $\mathrm{Loc}(U, \mathcal{O}_L)$ and $\mathrm{Loc}(U, L)$ in the same way. Finally, we define,

$$\mathrm{Loc}(U) := \mathrm{Loc}(U, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}) = \varinjlim \mathrm{Loc}(U, L)$$

Theorem 2.0.2. Let U be normal and connected and $\bar{u} \in U$ a geometric point. Then there is an equivalence of categories,

$$\mathrm{Loc}(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\rho : \pi_1^{\mathrm{ét}}(U, \bar{u}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \text{ continuous}\}$$

defined by evaluating on the fiber over \bar{u} ,

$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto \rho_{\mathcal{F}} : \pi_1(U, \bar{u}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}}(\mathcal{F}_{\bar{u}}) \cong \mathrm{GL}_n \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$$

Remark. The “correct” statement is PROETALE AND FINITENESS

Remark. Let $\pi_1^{\mathrm{geom}}(U, \bar{u}) = \pi_1(U_{\bar{k}}, \bar{u})$. Then there is a short exact sequence,

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi_1^{\mathrm{geom}}(U, \bar{u}) \longrightarrow \pi_1(U, \bar{u}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(k^{\mathrm{sep}}/k) \longrightarrow 1$$

2.1 H -Local Systems

Remark. Local systems correspond to continuous representations,

$$\rho : \pi_1(U, \bar{u}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$$

Given an affine algebraic group H , we want a geometric object that corresponds to a continuous homomorphism,

$$\rho : \pi_1(U, \bar{u}) \rightarrow H(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$$

which form a category under intertwining by elements of $H(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$.

Definition 2.1.1. Let $\mathrm{Rep}(H)$ be the tensor category of algebraic representations of H on finite-dimensional $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ -vector spaces. An H -local system is a tensor-preserving functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathrm{Rep}(H) \rightarrow \mathrm{Loc}(U)$. Thus the category of H -local systems is,

$$\mathrm{Loc}_H(U) = \mathrm{Fun}^{\otimes}(\mathrm{Rep}(H), \mathrm{Loc}(U))$$

Theorem 2.1.2. Let U be normal and connected. Then there is an equivalence of categories,

$$\mathrm{Loc}_H(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\rho : \pi_1(U, \bar{u}) \rightarrow H(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})\}$$

Defined by sending ρ to the functor,

$$\mathcal{F}_\rho : V \in \mathrm{Rep}(H) \mapsto [\rho_V : \pi_1(U, \bar{u}) \xrightarrow{\rho} H(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_V]$$

Conversely, $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Loc}_H(U)$ can be viewed as a functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathrm{Rep}(H) \rightarrow \mathrm{Rep}(\pi_1(U, \bar{u}))$ and hence defines a continuous homomorphism $\rho_{\mathcal{F}} : \pi_1(U, \bar{u}) \rightarrow H(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$ well-defined up to conjugacy.

Definition 2.1.3. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Loc}_H(U)$ with corresponding $\rho_{\mathcal{F}} : \pi_1(U, \bar{u}) \rightarrow H(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$. The *global geometric monodromy group* $H_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{geom}}$ is the Zariski closure,

$$H_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{geom}} = \overline{\rho(\pi_1^{\mathrm{geom}}(U, \bar{u}))} \subset H$$

Theorem 2.1.4. DELIGNE??

2.2 Local Monodromy

Remark. In this section, we let X be a projective, smooth geometrically connected curve over a perfect field k and $S \subset X(k)$ a finite set of rational points. Let $U = X \setminus S$ be the open complement and $j : U \hookrightarrow X$ the open immersion.

Remark. We require that k is perfect so that the residue fields of X are also all perfect which leads to good behavior of the unramified extensions of the local fields.

Definition 2.2.1. Let $x \in X$ be a closed point let $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}}$ be the completed local ring and F_x its fraction field and k_x its residue field. Choose a separable algebraic closure F_x^{sep} which defines a geometric generic point,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \eta_x : \text{Spec}(F_x^{\text{sep}}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec}(F_x) & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}}) & \longrightarrow & X \\ & & & \searrow & & & \uparrow \\ & & & & & & U \end{array}$$

This map gives a homomorphism of fundamental groups,

$$\Gamma_x = \text{Gal}(F_x^{\text{sep}}/F_x) \xrightarrow{\eta_x} \pi_1(U, \eta_x) \cong \pi_1(U, \bar{u})$$

where the second isomorphism is well-defined up to conjugacy.

Proposition 2.2.2. If $x \in S$ then $\eta_x : \Gamma_x \rightarrow \pi_1(U, \bar{u})$ is injective.

Definition 2.2.3. Consider the diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Spec}(k_x) & \\ & \downarrow & \\ \text{Spec}(F_x) & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}}) \end{array}$$

which induces a diagram of fundamental groups,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \text{Gal}(\bar{k}_x/k_x) & & & \\ & \downarrow & \searrow \sim & & \\ \text{Gal}(F_x^{\text{sep}}/F_x) & \longrightarrow \pi_1(\text{Spec}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}}, \eta_x) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \text{Gal}(F_x^{\text{ur}}/F_x) \end{array}$$

using that k_x/k is finite and hence k_x is perfect. Then because F_x is a local field with perfect residue field k_x the map $\text{Gal}(F_x^{\text{ur}}/F_x) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\bar{k}_x/k_x)$ is an isomorphism. We define the kernel,

$$1 \longrightarrow I_x \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(F_x^{\text{sep}}/F_x) \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(\bar{k}_x/k_x) \longrightarrow 1$$

to be the *inertia group* at $x \in U$.

Proposition 2.2.4. Under the map $\Gamma_x \rightarrow \pi_1(U, \bar{u})$ the subgroup I_x lands in $\pi_1^{\text{geom}}(U, \bar{u}) \triangleleft \pi_1(U, \bar{u})$.

Proof. This is immediate from the fact that the previous diagram is in the category of k -schemes. Explicitly,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{Spec}(F_x) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}}) & \longleftarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(k_x) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
U & \hookrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(k)
\end{array}$$

commutes. Therefore, we get a diagram of exact sequences,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & I_x & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Gal}(F_x^{\mathrm{sep}}/F_x) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}_x/k_x) \longrightarrow 1 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1^{\mathrm{geom}}(U, \bar{u}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(U, \bar{u}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \longrightarrow 1
\end{array}$$

□

Remark. Furthermore, if $x \in U$ then $\eta_x : \Gamma_x \rightarrow \pi_1(U, \bar{u})$ factors through $\mathrm{Spec}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}}) \rightarrow U$ which means it factors through $\mathrm{Gal}(F_x^{\mathrm{ur}}/F_x)$ and hence sends the monodromy to zero.

Definition 2.2.5. When $\mathrm{char} k = p$ is positive there is a normal subgroup $I_x^w \triangleleft I_x$ called the *wild inertia* subgroup such that its quotient $I_x^t = I_x/I_x^w$ the *tame inertia group* is the maximal prime-to- p quotient of I_x .

Proposition 2.2.6. There is a canonical isomorphism of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}_x/k_x)$ -modules,

$$I_x^t \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{(n,p)=1} \mu_n(\bar{k}) = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p)}(1)$$

Definition 2.2.7. Let $\rho : \pi_1(U, \bar{i}) \rightarrow H(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ be an H -local system. The *local monodromy* of ρ at $x \in S$ is the homomorphism $\rho_x := \rho|_{I_x} : I_x \rightarrow H(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. The local system ρ is *tame* at $x \in S$ if $\rho_x(I_x^w) = 0$ and hence if ρ_x factors through the tame inertia group I_x^t .

Remark. In the case $H = \mathrm{GL}_n$ the map ρ_x is just the representation of $\pi_1(U, \bar{u})$ restricted to the subgroup $\eta_x(I_x) \subset \pi_1(U, \bar{u})$. For some reason, Zhiwei intermittently calls this the “local geometric monodromy”.

2.3 Ramification Conductors

Definition 2.3.1. Let $\sigma : I_x \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ be a continuous representation of inertia on a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -vector space V such that $D = \sigma(I_x)$ is finite¹. There is some finite Galois extension L/F_x^{ur} such that $D = \mathrm{Gal}(L/F_x^{\mathrm{ur}})$ and then we define a filtration,

$$D = D_0 \triangleright D_1 \triangleright D_2 \triangleright \dots$$

where,

$$D_i = \{\sigma \in D \mid \forall x \in \mathcal{O}_L : \sigma(x) \equiv x \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_L^{i+1}}\}$$

is the subgroup of D acting trivially on $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{m}_L^{i+1}$. Then the Swan conductor is defined as,

$$\mathrm{Sw}(\sigma) = \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{\dim(V/V^{D_i})}{[D : D_i]}$$

¹This will be the case for those arising from Galois representations (WHY!??)

Likewise, the Artin conductor is,

$$a(\sigma) := \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{\dim(V/V^{D_i})}{[D : D_i]} = \dim(V/V^{I_x}) + \text{Sw}(\sigma)$$

Remark. I think there is a typo in Zhiwei's notes here with i and $i + 1$.

Remark. Since $D_1 = \sigma(I_x^w)$ if σ is tamely ramified then $\text{Sw}(\sigma) = 0$ because there is no $i = 0$ term in $\text{Sw}(\sigma)$. Indeed σ is tamely ramified if and only if $\text{Sw}(\sigma) = 0$. Likewise, σ is unramified (i.e. trivial because we are only considering $\sigma = \rho|_{I_x}$) if and only if $a(\sigma) = 0$.

2.4 Rigidity

Remark. In this section, we assume that S is nonempty so that U is nonproper.

Definition 2.4.1. An H -local system $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Loc}_H(U)$ is *physically rigid* if for any other $\mathcal{F}' \in \text{Loc}_H(U)$ such that for each $x \in S$ the local

Definition 2.4.2. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Loc}_H(U)$ be an H -local system and $n = \dim H$. We define a GL_n -local system (i.e. a local system in the standard sense) $\text{Ad}(\mathcal{F})$ via,

$$\text{Ad}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}_{\text{Ad}} \in \text{Loc}(U)$$

Furthermore, $\text{Ad}^{\text{der}}(\mathcal{F})$ is the GL_{n-1} -local system,

$$\text{Ad}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}_{\text{Ad}^{\text{der}}}$$

where Ad^{der} is the representation of H on $\mathfrak{h}^{\text{der}} = \ker(\mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^{\text{ab}})$ is the Lie algebra of the derived subgroup.

Remark. Notice that if $H = \text{GL}_n$ then $\text{Ad}(\mathcal{F}) = \text{End}(\mathcal{F})$ and $\text{Ad}^{\text{der}}\mathcal{F} = \text{End}^0(\mathcal{F})$ the subsheaf of traceless endomorphisms.

Remark. Following Zhiwei, we denote by $j_!$ and j_* the *derived* extension by zero and pushforward respectively. Furthermore we denote by $j_{!*}$ the usually pushforward operation on sheaves (what sane people would call j_*) because for a local system \mathcal{F} the sheaf $j_{!*}\mathcal{F}$ agrees with the middle extension of the perverse sheaf $\mathcal{F}[1]$.

Definition 2.4.3. An object $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Loc}_H(U)$ is *cohomologically rigid* if,

$$\text{Rig}(\mathcal{F}) := H^1(X, j_{!*}\text{Ad}^{\text{der}}(\mathcal{F})) = 0$$

Remark. Since $\mathfrak{h}^{\text{der}}$ carries the Ad -invariant symmetric bilinear Killing form then $j_{!*}\text{Ad}^{\text{der}}(\mathcal{F})$ is Verdier self-dual and $\text{Rig}(\mathcal{F})$ is a symplectic space and hence has even dimension. Furthermore,

$$\dim H^0(X, j_{!*}\text{Ad}^{\text{der}}(\mathcal{F})) = \dim H^2(X, j_{!*}\text{Ad}^{\text{der}}(\mathcal{F}))$$

which says that \mathcal{F} is unobstructed if and only if it has no automorphisms.

Remark. EXPLAIN FIXING THE CHARACTER!!!

Remark. Because $j_{!*}\text{Ad}^{\text{der}}(\mathcal{F})$ does not change if we shrink U and pull back \mathcal{F} we see that cohomological rigidity is also insensitive to U (there is of course a largest U on which \mathcal{F} is defined).

Lemma 2.4.4. For any local system \mathcal{L} on U there is an exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(U, \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{s \in S} (\mathcal{L}_x)^{I_x} \longrightarrow H_c^1(U, \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow H^1(U, \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{s \in S} (\mathcal{L}_x)_{I_x}(-1) \longrightarrow H_c^2(U, \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow 0$$

Proof. This should follow from an exact sequence of sheaves,

$$0 \longrightarrow j_! \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow j_{!*} \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in S} \mathcal{L}_x \longrightarrow 0$$

Taking the associated long exact sequence gives the desired result noting that $H^q(X, j_! \mathcal{L}) = H_c^q(U, \mathcal{L})$ and $H_c^0(U, \mathcal{L}) = 0$ for $S \neq \emptyset$ along with the following identifications,

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(X, j_{!*} \mathcal{L}) &= H^0(U, \mathcal{L}) \cong (\mathcal{L}_{\bar{u}})^{\pi_1(U, \bar{u})} \\ H^1(X, j_{!*} \mathcal{L}) &= \text{im}(H_c^1(U, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H^1(U, \mathcal{L})) \\ H^2(X, j_{!*} \mathcal{L}) &= H_c^2(U, \mathcal{L}) \cong (\mathcal{L}_{\bar{u}})_{\pi_1(U, \bar{u})}(-1) \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2.4.5 (Grothendieck-Ogg-Shafarevich). Let \mathcal{L} be a local system. Then,

$$\chi_c(U, \mathcal{L}) = \chi_c(U) \cdot \text{rank } \mathcal{L} - \sum_{x \in S} \text{Sw}_x(\mathcal{L})$$

Example 2.4.6. DO THE ARTIN-SCRIER COVER!!

Proposition 2.4.7. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Loc}_H(U)$. Then \mathcal{F} is cohomologically rigid if and only if,

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in S} a_x(\text{Ad}^{\text{der}}(\mathcal{F})) = (1 - g_X) \dim \mathfrak{h}^{\text{der}} - \dim H^0(U, \text{Ad}^{\text{der}}(\mathcal{F}))$$

where a_x is the Artin conductor at $x \in S$ and g_X is the genus of X .

Proof. We apply the Grothendieck-Ogg-Shafarevich formula,

$$\chi_c(U, \mathcal{L}) = \chi_c(U) \cdot \text{rank } \mathcal{L} - \sum_{x \in S} \text{Sw}_x(\mathcal{L})$$

And $\chi_c(U) = 2 - 2g_X - \#S$. However, by the previous lemma,

$$\dim H_c^1(X, j_{!*} \mathcal{L}) = \dim H_c^1(U, \mathcal{L}) - \sum_{x \in S} \dim(\mathcal{L}_x)^{I_x} + \dim H^0(U, \mathcal{L})$$

Adding the RHS - LHS of the GOS formula on the RHS we get ²

$$\dim H_c^1(X, j_{!*} \mathcal{L}) = \sum_{x \in S} \left(\dim(\mathcal{L}_x / \mathcal{L}_x^{I_x}) + \text{Sw}_x(\mathcal{L}) \right) + (2g_X - 2) \cdot \text{rank } \mathcal{L} + \dim H_c^2(U, \mathcal{L}) + \dim H^0(U, \mathcal{L})$$

By the definition of the Artin condutor and Poincare duality if \mathcal{L} is self-dual,

$$\dim H_c^1(X, j_{!*} \mathcal{L}) = \sum_{x \in S} a_x(\mathcal{L}) + (2g_X - 2) \cdot \text{rank } \mathcal{L} + 2 \dim H^0(U, \mathcal{L})$$

²The first term comes from

$$\#S \cdot \text{rank } \mathcal{L} - \sum_{x \in S} \dim \mathcal{L}_x^{I_x} = \sum_{x \in S} \dim(\mathcal{L}_x / \mathcal{L}_x^{I_x})$$

and $\chi_c(X, \mathcal{L}) + \dim H_c^1(U, \mathcal{L}) = \dim H_c^0(U, \mathcal{L}) + \dim H_c^2(U, \mathcal{L}) = \dim H_c^2(U, \mathcal{L})$ since $H_c^0(U, \mathcal{L}) = 0$.

Applying this to $\mathcal{L} = \text{Ad}^{\text{der}}(\mathcal{F})$ we conclude that,

$$\frac{1}{2}\text{Rig}(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in S} a_x(\text{Ad}^{\text{der}}(\mathcal{F})) - \left[(1 - g_X) \dim \mathfrak{h}^{\text{der}} - \dim H^0(U, \text{Ad}^{\text{der}}(\mathcal{F})) \right]$$

proving the claim. □

Corollary 2.4.8. Cohomologically rigid H -local systems exist only when $g_X \leq 1$. When $g_X = 1$ and $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Loc}_H(U)$ is cohomologically rigid then $\text{Ad}^{\text{der}}(\mathcal{F})$ must be everywhere unramified and have no global sections.

Proof. For $g_X > 1$ the RHS of the above is negative but the LHS is by definition non-negative giving a contradiction. For $g_X = 1$ the RHS is only non-negative if $H^0(U, \text{Ad}^{\text{der}}(\mathcal{F})) = 0$ in which case both sides are zero and thus each Artin conductor $a_x(\text{Ad}^{\text{der}}(\mathcal{F})) = 0$ meaning that $\text{Ad}^{\text{der}}(\mathcal{F})$ is everywhere unramified. □

Theorem 2.4.9 (Katz). For $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ and $H = \text{GL}_n$ the notions of physical rigidity and cohomological rigidity coincide.