

# 1 Homework 1

## 1.1 1

### 1.1.1 1

Maps  $\text{Hom}_k(\mathbb{G}_a, \mathbb{G}_a) = \text{Hom}_k(\text{Spec}(k[t]), \text{Spec}(k[t])) = \text{Hom}_k(k[t], k[t]) = k[t]$ . Therefore we need  $f \in k[t]$  which are cogroup maps for  $k[t] \rightarrow k[t] \otimes_k k[t]$  meaning that  $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$ .

Consider  $\text{Hom}_k(\mathbb{G}_m, \mathbb{G}_m) = \text{Hom}_k(\text{Spec}(k[t]), \text{Spec}(k[t])) = \text{Hom}_k(k[t, t^{-1}], k[t, t^{-1}]) = (k[t, t^{-1}])^\times$  such that  $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$ .

### 1.1.2 2

If  $k$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra then any  $f \in k[t]$  satisfying  $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$  must be linear with zero constant term and thus  $f = at$  for  $a \in k$  so we get  $\text{End}(k)\mathbb{G}_a \cong k$ .

If  $k$  is a field of characteristic  $p > 0$  then if  $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$  we must have that  $f(ax) = af(x)$  for each  $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$  which implies that,

$$f(t) = \sum c_j t^{p^j}$$

Suppose that  $k = \mathbb{Z}/(p^2)$ . (DO THIS CASE!!)

### 1.1.3 3

If  $k$  is a field then  $(k[t, t^{-1}])^\times$  consists of elements of the form  $f(t) = at^n$  and if  $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$  then  $a = 1$  so  $\text{End}(\mathbb{G}_m) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ .

Now suppose that  $A$  is an Artinian local ring and  $\kappa = A/\mathfrak{m}$  its residue field. It suffices to prove that every  $f \in (A[t, t^{-1}])^\times$  such that  $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$  is of the form  $f = t^n$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We have shown that the image of  $f$  in  $(\kappa[t, t^{-1}])^\times$  is of the form  $t^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then we can consider  $g = ft^{-n}$  which is 1 when reduced to the special fiber. To conclude that  $g = 1$  we appeal to induction on the length of  $A$ . If  $\ell_A(A) = 1$  then  $A$  must be a field in which case we are done. Since  $A$  is Artinian,  $\mathfrak{m}^{N+1} = 0$  but  $\mathfrak{m}^N \neq 0$  for some  $N$ . Then,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}^N \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}^N \longrightarrow 0$$

However,  $\mathfrak{m}^N$  is a  $\kappa$ -module. Then  $A' = A/\mathfrak{m}^N$  has smaller length so the image of  $g$  in  $A'[t, t^{-1}]$  equals 1 and thus  $g - 1 \in \mathfrak{m}^N[t, t^{-1}]$ . However,  $g(xy) = g(x)g(y)$  and thus  $g(1) = g(1)^2$  but  $g(1) \in A^\times$  so  $g(1) = 1$ . Furthermore,

$$(g(x) - 1)(g(y) - 1) = g(xy) + 1 - g(x) - g(y) = (g(xy) - 1) - (g(x) - 1) - (g(y) - 1)$$

and thus since  $(g(x) - 1)(g(y) - 1) = 0$  letting  $h = g - 1$ ,

$$h(xy) = h(x) + h(y)$$

But this is impossible for degree reasons since  $h(t^2) = 2h(t)$  so if,

$$h = \sum_{n=-k}^k c_n t^n$$

then  $c_k = 0$  and  $c_{-k} = 0$  since  $h(t^2) = 2h(t)$  and thus  $h = 0$ .

#### 1.1.4 4

Let  $A$  be a complete Noetherian local ring and  $f \in (A[t, t^{-1}])^\times$ . Then under  $A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}^k$  we see that  $f \mapsto t^n$  for a fixed  $n$  by using (iii) (the fixedness of  $n$  comes from the composition  $A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}^k \rightarrow \kappa$  and  $f = t^n$  in  $\kappa[t, t^{-1}]$ ). However, the maps  $A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}^n$  are mutually injective because  $A$  is complete so  $f = t^n$ .

Now let  $A$  be any Noetherian local ring and  $f \in (A[t, t^{-1}])^\times$ . Consider the injection  $A \rightarrow \hat{A}$  (this is injective because if  $x \mapsto 0$  under each  $A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}^k$  then  $x = \mathfrak{m}^k$  for all  $k$  so  $x = 0$  by the Krull intersection theorem) then we see that  $f = t^n$  since it is in  $\hat{A}[t, t^{-1}]$ .

Now let  $A$  be any local ring and  $f \in (A[t, t^{-1}])^\times$  and consider  $A'$  to be the ring generated by the coefficients of  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then localizing at  $\mathfrak{m} \cap A'$  we get a Noetherian local subring  $A'' \subset A$  such that  $f \in (A''[t, t^{-1}])^\times$  and thus  $f = t^n$ .

Now consider any ring  $A$  and  $f \in (A[t, t^{-1}])^\times$ . Then for any ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$  we have  $f_{\mathfrak{p}} \in (A_{\mathfrak{p}}[t, t^{-1}])^\times$  so  $f_{\mathfrak{p}} = t^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}$  giving a function  $n : \text{Spec}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  taking  $\mathfrak{p} \mapsto n_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . However, if  $f_{\mathfrak{p}} = t^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}$  then there is some  $u \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $u(f_{\mathfrak{p}} - t^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}) = 0$  which implies that  $f = t^n$  in  $A_u[t, t^{-1}]$  and thus  $n$  is constant on  $D(u)$  with  $\mathfrak{p} \in D(u)$ .

## 1.2 2

Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional vector space over a field  $k$ .

### 1.2.1 1

Should this be  $\text{Sym}(V^*)$  or  $\text{Sym}(V)^*$ ? It is clear that  $\text{Sym}(V^*)$  is the set of functorial functions on  $V$  that are sums of products of linear maps while  $\text{Sym}(V)^*$  contains divided power structures.

### 1.2.2 2

Consider the functor,

$$\text{End}(V)(R) = \text{End}(V_R)$$

However,  $\text{Sym}(-)$  is the left adjoint to the forgetful functor from  $k$ -algebras to  $k$ -modules. Then,

$$\text{Hom}_{k\text{-alg}}(\text{Sym}(\text{End}(V)^*), R) = \text{Hom}_k(\text{End}(V)^*, R) = \text{End}(V) \otimes_k R = \text{End}(V_R)$$

functorially and thus  $\text{Sym}(\text{End}(V)^*)$  represents the functor  $\text{End}(\ ) V$ .

### 1.2.3 3

Define  $\det \in \text{Sym}(\text{End}(V)^*)$  as follows. Let  $n = \dim V$  then define the ring map  $\text{End}(V) \rightarrow \text{End}(\bigwedge^n V)$  via  $\varphi \mapsto \bigwedge^n \varphi$ . This defines a natural map of algebras,

$$\text{Sym}\left(\text{End}\left(\bigwedge^n V\right)^*\right) \rightarrow \text{Sym}(\text{End}(V)^*)$$

However,  $\bigwedge^n V$  is one-dimensional and thus,

$$\text{End}\left(\bigwedge^n V\right) \cong k$$

canonically via the canonical basis element  $\text{id} \in \text{End}(\bigwedge^n V)$ . Then the map,

$$\text{Sym} \left( \text{End} \left( \bigwedge^n V \right)^* \right) \rightarrow \text{Sym} (\text{End} (V)^*)$$

sends  $\text{id} \mapsto \det$ .

Now consider the algebra,

$$A = \text{Sym} (\text{End} (V)^*) [\det]^{-1}$$

Then we see,

$$\text{Hom}_{k\text{-alg}} (A, R) = \{\varphi : \text{End} (V)^* \rightarrow R \mid \varphi(\det) \in R^\times\} = \{\varphi \in \text{End} (V) \otimes_k R \mid \det \varphi \in R^\times\}$$

which is exactly  $\text{Aut} (V) (R)$ .

#### 1.2.4 4

Let  $B : V \times V \rightarrow k$  be a bilinear form. Consider the subfunctor  $\text{Aut} (V, B) \subset \text{Aut} (V)$  of points preserving  $B$ . It is clear that  $B(g \cdot v, g \cdot u) = B(v, u)$  is a closed condition.

Let  $B$  be nondegenerate. We say that  $T : V_R \rightarrow V_R$  is a  $B$ -similitude if  $B_R(Tv, Tu) = \mu(T)B_R(v, u)$  for all  $v, u \in V_R$  and some  $\mu(T) \in R^\times$ . Since  $B$  is nondegenerate, for each  $v \in V$  there is  $v' \in V$  such that  $B(v, v') = 1$  and thus  $B_R(v \otimes 1, v' \otimes 1) = 1$ . Then,  $B_R(Tv \otimes 1, Tv' \otimes 1) = \mu(T) \cdot B_R(v \otimes 1, Tv' \otimes 1) = \mu(T)$  so  $\mu(T)$  is uniquely determined by  $T$  and  $B$ . Consider the functor sending  $R \mapsto \{B\text{-similitudes}\}$ . Consider the closed subscheme,

$$H \subset V \times \mathbb{G}_m$$

defined in coordinates,

$$V \times \mathbb{G}_m = k[x_{ij}][\det]^{-1}[t, t^{-1}]$$

as the vanishing of (let  $B$  be represented by  $S_{ij}$ ) the equations,

$$x_{\ell i} S_{ij} x_{jk} = t S_{\ell k}$$

for each pair  $(\ell, k)$ .

### 1.3 3 dO THIS

#### 1.3.1 1

Let  $X$  be a connected scheme of finite type over a field  $k$  and  $x : \text{Spec} (k) \rightarrow X$  is a rational point. Let  $k'/k$  be a finite extension. Since  $\text{Spec} (k') \rightarrow \text{Spec} (k)$  is flat and finite we see that  $X_{k'} \rightarrow X$  is flat and finite and thus open and closed. Consider the fiber over  $x$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec} (k') & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec} (k) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_{k'} & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec} (k') & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec} (k) \end{array}$$

since the bottom square is cartesian and the outer square is trivially cartesian we see that the top square is cartesian. Therefore, the fiber over  $x$  consists of a single point with residue field  $k'$ . Now if  $X_{k'} = C_1 \cup C_2$  is a disjoint union of clopen sets. Then under  $f : X_{k'} \rightarrow X$  we see that  $f(C_1) \cup f(C_2) = X$  and  $f(C_i)$  are clopen so we need to show that  $f(C_1) \cap f(C_2) = \emptyset$ . Since the fibers over  $X(k)$  are single points we see that each fiber is contained in exactly one of  $C_1$  or  $C_2$  so  $f(C_1) \cap f(C_2) \cap X(k) = \emptyset$ . Without loss of generality, the fiber over  $x$  is contained in  $C_1$  and thus  $x \notin f(C_2)$  but  $X$  is connected and  $f(C_2)$  is clopen so  $f(C_2) = \emptyset$  and thus  $C_2 = \emptyset$  meaning that  $X_{k'}$  is connected.

Now for every extension of fields  $k'/k$  we know that,

$$\varinjlim_{k' \supset k'' \supset k} k'' = k'$$

over the finite extensions  $k''/k$ . However,  $\text{Spec}(-)$  is a right adjoint  $\mathbf{Ring}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sch}$  and thus preserves limits (this is a limit in  $\mathbf{Ring}^{\text{op}}$ ) and thus we see that.

$$\text{Spec}(k') = \varprojlim_{k' \supset k'' \supset k} \text{Spec}(k'')$$

and products commute with limits so we see that,

$$X_{k'} = \varprojlim_{k' \supset k'' \supset k} X_{k''}$$

Since for each  $k_1 \supset k_2$  the map  $X_{k_1} \rightarrow X_{k_2}$  is surjective and furthermore the map  $X_{k'} \rightarrow X_{k_1}$  is surjective

### 1.3.2 2

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be geometrically connected of finite type over  $k$ . Then it suffices to show that  $(X \times_k Y) \times_k \bar{k}$  is connected. However,

$$(X \times_k Y) \times_k \bar{k} = (X \times_k \bar{k}) \times_{\bar{k}} (Y \times_k \bar{k})$$

and then I claim that if  $X$  and  $Y$  are connected and finite type over an algebraically closed field  $k$  then  $X \times_k Y$  is connected. Since  $X$  and  $Y$  are connected the standard affine cover  $U_i \times V_j$  overlap each other and thus it suffices to show the claim for affine  $X$  and  $Y$ . Thus we need to show that if  $A$  and  $B$  are finitely generated  $k$ -algebras with prime nilradical then  $A \otimes_k B$  has prime nilradical.

Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are connected but not necessarily geometrically connected over  $k = \mathbb{Q}$ . We can take  $X = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}(i))$  and  $Y = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}(i))$  and then

$$X \times_k Y = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}(i) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(i)) = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}(i)) \sqcup \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}(i))$$

is not connected.

## 1.4 4 DO THIS

Let  $G$  be a group scheme of finite type over  $k$ .

### 1.4.1 1

Let  $(G_{\bar{k}})_{\text{red}}$  be the closed subscheme of  $G_{\bar{k}}$ . To show that various maps factor through  $(G_{\bar{k}})_{\text{red}} \hookrightarrow G_{\bar{k}}$  it suffices to show that  $(G_{\bar{k}})_{\text{red}} \times (G_{\bar{k}})_{\text{red}}$  is reduced (since obviously  $(G_{\bar{k}})_{\text{red}}$  and  $\text{Spec } (\bar{k})$  are reduced). Since reducedness and smoothness are local properties we reduce to the affine case that  $A$  is a finite type  $k$ -algebra then  $B = (A_{\bar{k}})_{\text{red}}$  then the tensor product of reduced  $\bar{k}$ -algebras is reduced. To see this, consider,

$$B \rightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \text{ minimal}} B_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

which is injective because for a reduced ring the associated primes are exactly the minimal primes. Then  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a field because  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a minimal prime. Since  $B$  is flat over  $k$  we can suppose that  $B$  is a finite product of fields ( $B$  is finite type and thus noetherian) so it suffices to reduce to the case of a domain and we know that the tensor product of domains over an algebraically closed field is a domain.

Now we need to show that  $H = (G_{\bar{k}})_{\text{red}}$  is smooth. However, since  $\bar{k}$  is algebraically closed and  $H$  is reduced, by generic smoothness there is a smooth point and then by translation every point is smooth.

### 1.4.2 2

Let  $k$  be an imperfect field and  $G$  an algebraic group scheme over  $k$ . Then  $G_{\text{red}}$  need not be a closed algebraic subgroup of  $G$ . This happens when  $G_{\text{red}} \times_k G_{\text{red}}$  is not reduced and thus it does not map into  $G_{\text{red}}$ . (FIND EXAMPLE)

### 1.4.3 3

Let  $k$  be imperfect and characteristic  $p > 0$ . Choose  $\alpha \in k \setminus k^p$  then let,

$$f = x_0^0 + \alpha x_1^p + \cdots + \alpha^{p-1} x_{p-1}^p - 1$$

and consider,

$$G = \text{Spec } (k[x_0, \dots, x_{p-1}]/(f))$$

with the group operation,

$$(x \cdot y)_n = \sum_{p+q=n} x_p y_q$$

This works because,

$$G = \ker (\text{Nm} : \text{Res}_k^{k(a^{\frac{1}{p}}})} (\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m)$$

I claim that  $f$  is not a power over  $k$ . Indeed, because the degree of  $f$  is prime it would have to be  $f = g^p$  but this implies that  $\alpha = \alpha^p$  which is not true by hypothesis. Therefore,  $G$  is reduced.

Now after base changing to  $k(a^{\frac{1}{p}})$  we see that,

$$f = (x_0 + a^{\frac{1}{p}} x_1 + \cdots + a^{\frac{p-1}{p}} x_{p-1} - 1)^p$$

and thus  $G \times_k k(a^{\frac{1}{p}}) = \text{Spec } (k[x_0, \dots, x_{p-1}]/(f))$  is not reduced and thus not smooth.

#### 1.4.4 4

Consider the subscheme,

$$\mu_n = \ker (\mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^n} \mathbb{G}_m)$$

which is a subgroup because kernels always are. Clearly, the kernel is closed. Let  $K = \ker (G \rightarrow H)$ . Then  $K \times K \subset G \times G \rightarrow G$  maps to  $K$  because,

$$K \times K \rightarrow G \rightarrow H$$

is the map  $K \times K \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k) \rightarrow H$  and thus factors through  $K \rightarrow G$  by the universal property of the kernel which is a fiber product.

Consider the map  $\deg : \text{GL}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  and the preimage  $G = \det^{-1} \mu_n \subset \text{GL}_N$  is always a  $k$ -subgroup by the universal property (its the exact same argument as for the kernel). Explicitly, let  $K \subset H$  be a closed subgroup and  $f : G \rightarrow H$  a morphism of algebraic groups. Let  $\tilde{K} = f^{-1}(K)$  the pullback which is a closed subscheme of  $G$  then consider the diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & m & & \\ & \searrow & & \searrow & \\ \tilde{K} \times \tilde{K} & \dashrightarrow & \tilde{K} & \longrightarrow & G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ K \times K & \xrightarrow{m} & K & \longrightarrow & H \end{array}$$

therefore multiplication factors through  $\tilde{K} \times \tilde{K} \rightarrow \tilde{K}$ . The same trick works for inversion.

It is clear that  $\text{SL}_N \subset G$  and thus assuming that  $\text{SL}_N$  is connected we see that  $\text{SL}_N \subset G^0$ . Furthermore, as long as  $p \nmid n$  we see that  $\mu_n$  is disconnected with a reduced point at each root of unity contained in  $k$  and  $\mu_n^0 = \text{Spec}(k)$  the trivial group scheme at the origin. Thus,  $G^0 = \ker \det = \text{SL}_N$ .

For  $k = \mathbb{Q}$  and  $n = 5$  the group scheme  $G \setminus G^0$  is the fiber over the one nonidentity point of  $\mu_5 = \text{Spec}(k[x]/(x^5 - 1))$  which is the point  $\eta = \text{Spec}(k[x]/(x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1))$ . Therefore, the preimage is isomorphic as a scheme over  $k$  to  $\text{SL}_N \times_k \eta$  which is connected because it is just  $\text{SL}_N$  over  $\eta$  viewed as a  $k$ -scheme. However, over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  we see that  $\eta$  splits into four points and thus  $G \setminus G^0 = \det^{-1}(\eta)$  must have at least four components after base change to  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ .