Math GR6262 Algebraic Geometry Assignment # 7

Benjamin Church

March 29, 2020

1 Exercise 103.42.2

Let M be an A-module and $I = (f_1, \dots, f_t)$ a finitely generated ideal. Now take $U = V(I)^C = D(f_1) \cup \dots \cup D(f_t)$. Therefore, $D(f_i)$ gives an affine open cover of U so we may apply Cech cohomology to compute sheaf cohomology of quasi-coherent sheaves. The Cech complex $\check{C}^{\bullet}(\{D(f_i)\}, \widetilde{M})$ is,

$$0 \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^t \widetilde{M}(D(f_i)) \longrightarrow \prod_{i< j}^t \widetilde{M}(D(f_i) \cap D(f_j)) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \widetilde{M}(D(f_1) \cap \cdots \cap D(f_t)) \longrightarrow 0$$

which is equal to,

$$0 \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{t} \widetilde{M}(D(f_i)) \longrightarrow \prod_{i < j}^{t} \widetilde{M}(D(f_i f_j)) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \widetilde{M}(D(f_1 \cdots f_t)) \longrightarrow 0$$

and therefore, using the defining property $\widetilde{M}(D(f)) = M_f$ the Cech complex becomes,

$$0 \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{t} M_{f_i} \longrightarrow \prod_{i < j}^{t} M_{f_i f_j} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M_{f_1 \cdots f_t} \longrightarrow 0$$

whose cohomology gives the Cech cohomology and thus the sheaf cohomology,

$$\check{H}(\{D(f_i)\},\widetilde{M})\cong H(U,\widetilde{M})$$

which agree in this case because U is a separated scheme (see Tag 0BDX and Tag 01XD). To see why U is separated apply Lemma 6.1, using the fact that U is a subscheme of the affine scheme Spec (A) which is automatically separated.

2 Exercise 103.42.3

It will be convenient to label variables as,

$$\mathbb{A}_k^d = \operatorname{Spec}\left(k[x_0, \cdots, x_{d-1}]\right)$$

and n=d-1 to line up with the definitions in projective space. Consider the projection morphism $\pi: \mathbb{A}_k^{n+1} \setminus \{(x_1, \dots, x_n)\} \to \mathbb{P}_k^n$ and let $U = \mathbb{A}_k^d \setminus \{(x_1, \dots, x_n)\}$ and $X = \mathbb{P}_k^n$. The schemes $D_+(X_i)$ for each variable X_i constitute an affine open cover of \mathbb{P}_k^n . Furthermore, $\pi^{-1}(D_+(X_i)) = D(x_i) \subset \mathbb{P}_k^n$

 $k[x_1,\ldots,x_d]$. Therefore, π is an affine morphism and \mathcal{O}_U is a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_U -module so we have shown that,

$$H^q(\mathbb{P}^n_k, \pi_*\mathcal{O}_U) = H^q(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$$

Furthermore, denote $S = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$, then,

$$\pi_*\mathcal{O}_U|_{D_+(X_i)} = \mathcal{O}_U|_{D(x_i)} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_k^{n+1}}|_{D(x_i)} = \widetilde{S_{x_i}} = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{(S_{x_i})_k} = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{(S(k)_{x_i})_0} = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_X(k)|_{D_+(X_i)}$$

Thus, because the sheaves agree on an open affine cover, we can identify,

$$\pi_*\mathcal{O}_U = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_X(k)$$

Hartshorne has computed the cohomology of the sum of twists (Hartshorne III.5, Theorem 5.1) to be,

$$H^{q}\left(X, \bigoplus_{n \in k} \mathcal{O}_{X}(k)\right) = \begin{cases} k[X_{0}, \cdots, X_{n}] & q = 0\\ 0 & 0 < q < n\\ \frac{1}{X_{0} \cdots X_{n}} k[X_{0}^{-1}, \dots, X_{n}^{-1}] & q = n \end{cases}$$

Reverting to our initial notation and using the isomorphism $H^q(X, \pi_* \mathcal{O}_U) = H^q(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$ we arrive at,

$$H^{q}(U, \mathcal{O}_{U}) = \begin{cases} k[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{d}] & q = 0\\ 0 & 0 < q < n\\ \frac{1}{x_{1} \cdots x_{d}} k[x_{1}^{-1}, \dots, x_{d}^{-1}] & q = d - 1 \end{cases}$$

3 Exercise 103.42.4

Let k be a field and $Y = \mathbb{P}^1_k \times \mathbb{P}^1_k$. Let $\pi_i : Y \to \mathbb{P}^1_k$ be the projection maps. Now consider the invertable sheaves on Y,

$$\mathcal{O}_Y(a,b) = \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_k}(a) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \pi_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_k}(b)$$

The Künneth formula allows us to compute the cohomology of such sheaves via,

$$H^{n}(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}(a, b)) = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H^{p}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{k}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{k}}(a)) \otimes_{k} H^{q}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{k}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{k}}(b))$$

However, we have computed the cohomology of the twists previously,

$$H^{p}(\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}}(a)) = \begin{cases} (k[X_{0}, X_{1}])_{a} & p = 0\\ \left(\frac{1}{X_{0}X_{1}}k[X_{0}^{-1}, X_{1}^{-1}]\right)_{a} & p = 1\\ 0 & p \neq 0, 1 \end{cases}$$

Consider the case a, b > 0 then we have,

$$H^{n}(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}(a, b)) = H^{0}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{k}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{k}}(a)) \otimes_{k} H^{0}(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{k}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{k}}(b)) = (k[X_{0}, X_{1}])_{a} \otimes (k[Y_{0}, Y_{1}])_{b}$$

which is exactly the ring of bigraded polynomials of bidegree (a, b). An injective \mathcal{O}_Y -module map $\mathcal{O}_Y \to \mathcal{O}_Y(a, b)$ is defined by $1 \mapsto F$ for some regular section $F \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(a, b))$ which is some (a, b)-bigraded polynomial. Then $\mathcal{O}_Y(a, b)$ and F define an effective Cartier divisor $X \subset Y$ given by the vanishing of F whose inverse ideal sheaf is $\mathcal{O}_Y(a, b)$ (see Tag 01X0) which is a locally principally closed subscheme here of codimension 1. Since $\dim_k Y = 2$ we have $\dim_k X = 1$. Furthermore, there are closed immersions,

$$X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_k \times \mathbb{P}^1_k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3_k$$

given by the Segre embedding showing that X is a projective scheme over k. Since $\mathcal{O}_Y(a,b)$ is the inverse of the sheaf of ideals defining $X \subset Y$ there exists an exact sequence of \mathcal{O}_Y -modules,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(-a,-b) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow \iota_*\mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0$$

Since $\iota: X \to Y$ is a closed immersion and thus affine, we may identify $H^n(Y, \iota_* \mathcal{O}_X) = H^n(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, taking the long exact sequence of cohomology, we find,

$$0 \to H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-a, -b)) \to H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \to H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \to H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \to H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \to H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-a, -b)) \to H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \to H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \to H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-a, -b)) \to H^2(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \to H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \to \cdots$$

Now $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = k$ and $H^p(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$ for p > 0 and in the case a, b > 0 we have $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-a, -b)) = 0$ since there is no negative graded part of $k[X_0, X_1]$ and likewise,

$$H^{1}(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}(-a, -b)) = H^{0}(\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}, \mathcal{O}_{X}(-a)) \otimes_{k} H^{1}(\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}, \mathcal{O}_{X}(-b))$$
$$\oplus H^{1}(\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}, \mathcal{O}_{X}(-a)) \otimes_{k} H^{0}(\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}, \mathcal{O}_{X}(-b)) = 0$$

since one of the factors is zero in both cases. Plugging into the long exact sequence gives exact sequences,

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-a, -b)) \longrightarrow 0$$

which are thus isomorphisms. Finally,

$$\dim_k H^2(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-a, -b)) = \dim_k \left(H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_k, \mathcal{O}_X(-a)) \otimes_k H^1(\mathbb{P}^1_k, \mathcal{O}_X(-b)) \right)$$

$$= \dim_k \left(\frac{1}{X_0 X_1} k[X_0^{-1}, X_1^{-1}] \right)_{-a} \cdot \dim_k \left(\frac{1}{Y_0 Y_1} k[Y_0^{-1}, Y_1^{-1}] \right)_{-b}$$

$$= (-a+1)(-b+1) = (a-1)(b-1)$$

and thus,

$$H^{0}(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}) = H^{0}(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}) = k$$

 $H^{1}(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}) = H^{2}(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}(-a, -b)) \implies \dim_{k} H^{1}(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}) = (a - 1)(b - 1)$

For example, take the (11, 11)-bigraded polynomial,

$$F = X_0^5 X_1^6 Y_0^6 Y_1^5 + X_0^6 X_1^5 Y_0^5 Y_1^6 \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(11, 11))$$

Then the curve $X=V(F)\subset \mathbb{P}^1_k\times \mathbb{P}^1_k$ defined by the vanishing of F has,

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = k$$

 $\dim_k H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = (11 - 1)(11 - 1) = 100$

and X is a projective scheme over k of dimension 1.

4 Exercise 103.42.6

Let X be a locally ringed space. Notate by \mathcal{O}_X^{\times} , the sheaf of abelian groups given by $U \mapsto \mathcal{O}_X(U)^{\times}$. Now let \mathcal{L} be an invertable sheaf on X meaning that there exists an open cover \mathfrak{U} such that for each $U \in \mathfrak{U}$ we have isomorphisms $\varphi_U : \mathcal{O}_X|_U \to \mathcal{L}|_U$. Therefore, on the overlaps we have isomorphism,

$$\varphi_{ij} = \varphi_{U_i}^{-1}|_{U_i \cap U_j} \circ \varphi_{U_j}|_{U_i \cap U_j} : \mathcal{O}_X|_{U_i \cap U_j} \to \mathcal{O}_X|_{U_i \cap U_j}$$

which, as $\mathcal{O}_X|_{U_i\cap U_j}$ -module maps are determined uniquely by $e_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}_X(U_i\cap U_j)^{\times}$ which is a unit because the map it defines is an isomorphism. Thus, $e=(e_{ij})_{ij}$ is an element of the first Cech complex group, $\check{C}^1(\mathfrak{U},\mathcal{O}_X^{\times})$. Consider the Cech complex,

$$0 \longrightarrow \prod_{i_0} \mathcal{O}_X^{\times}(U_{i_0}) \longrightarrow \prod_{i_0 < i_1} \mathcal{O}_X^{\times}(U_{i_0} \cap U_{i_1}) \longrightarrow \prod_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2} \mathcal{O}_X^{\times}(U_{i_0} \cap U_{i_1} \cap U_{i_2})$$

Furthermore, on triple overlaps,

$$\varphi_{ij}|_{ijk} \circ \varphi_{jk}|_{ijk} = \varphi_{U_i}^{-1}|_{U_{ijk}} \circ \varphi_{U_j}|_{U_{ijk}} \circ \varphi_{U_j}^{-1}|_{U_{ijk}} \circ \varphi_{U_k}|_{U_{ijk}}$$
$$= \varphi_{U_i}^{-1}|_{U_{ijk}} \circ \varphi_{U_k}|_{U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k} = \varphi_{ik}|_{ijk}$$

which clearly implies that $e_{ij}|_{U_{ijk}} \cdot e_{jk}|_{U_{ijk}} = e_{ik}|_{U_{ijk}}$. However, the Cech differential map d: $\check{C}^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O}_X^{\times}) \to \check{C}^2(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O}_X^{\times})$ acts via,

$$(d\alpha)_{ijk} = \alpha_{jk}|_{U_{ijk}} \cdot \alpha_{ik}^{-1}|_{U_{ijk}} \cdot \alpha_{ij}|_{U_{ijk}}$$

Therefore, by the overlap identity,

$$(de)_{ijk} = e_{jk}|_{U_{ijk}} \cdot e_{ik}|_{U_{ijk}}^{-1} \cdot e_{ij}|_{U_{ijk}} = 1$$

Thus e is in the kernel of the Cech differential $d: \check{C}^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O}_X^{\times}) \to \check{C}^2(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O}_X^{\times})$ and thus e represents a Cech cohomology class $[e] \in \check{H}^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O}_X^{\times})$. Furthermore, if $\tilde{\varphi}_{U_i}: \mathcal{O}_X|_{U_i} \to \mathcal{L}|_{U_i}$ is another choice of locally trivializing isomorphisms then denote $\tilde{e}_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\times}(U_i \cap U_j)$ for the element determining the isomorphisms,

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{ij} = \tilde{\varphi}_{U_i}^{-1}|_{U_{ij}} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{U_j}|_{U_{ij}} : \mathcal{O}_X|_{U_i \cap U_j} \to \mathcal{O}_X|_{U_i \cap U_j}$$

Then we may consider the isomorphisms $t_i = \tilde{\varphi}_{U_i}^{-1} \circ \varphi_{U_i} : \mathcal{O}_X|_{U_i} \to \mathcal{O}_X|_{U_i}$ which are defined by an element $f_i \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\times}(U_i)$. Then we find that,

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{ij} = \tilde{\varphi}_{U_i}^{-1}|_{U_{ij}} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{U_j}|_{U_{ij}} = \tilde{\varphi}_{U_i}^{-1}|_{U_{ij}} \circ \varphi_{U_i}|_{U_{ijk}} \circ \varphi_{U_i}^{-1}|_{U_{ijk}} \circ \varphi_{U_j}|_{U_{ijk}} \circ \varphi_{U_j}|_{U_{ijk}}^{-1} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{U_j}|_{U_{ij}}$$

$$= t_i|_{U_{ij}} \circ \varphi_{ij} \circ t_j^{-1}|_{U_{ij}}$$

This shows that the elements must satisfy, $\tilde{e}_{ij} \cdot e_{ij}^{-1} = f_i|_{U_{ij}} \cdot f_j^{-1}|_{U_{ij}}$. Furthermore, the Cech differential map $d: \check{C}^0(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O}_X^{\times}) \to \check{C}^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O}_X^{\times})$ acts via,

$$(\mathrm{d}\alpha)_{ij} = \alpha_i|_{U_{ij}} \cdot \alpha_j^{-1}|_{U_{ij}}$$

Therefore, let $f = (f_i)_i$ then $df = \tilde{e} \cdot e^{-1}$ which implies that $[\tilde{e}] = [e]$ in $\check{H}^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O}_X^{\times})$ so the cohomology class [e] associated to the invertable sheaf \mathcal{L} is well-defined. The map $\mathcal{L} \mapsto [e]$ is well-defined for sheaves which are locally trivialized on \mathfrak{U} . Therefore we get a well-defined map,

$$\operatorname{Pic}\left(X\right) \to \check{H}^{1}(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}^{\times}) = \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{U}} \check{H}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O}_{X}^{\times})$$

via decomposing,

$$\operatorname{Pic}\left(X\right) = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{U}} \operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathfrak{U}, X\right) \quad \text{where} \quad \operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathfrak{U}, X\right) = \left\{\mathcal{L} \in \operatorname{Pic}\left(X\right) \mid \forall U \in \mathfrak{U} : \mathcal{L}|_{U} \cong \mathcal{O}_{U}\right\}$$

and mapping,

$$\operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathfrak{U},X\right)\to \check{H}^{1}(\mathfrak{U},\mathcal{O}_{X}^{\times})\to \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{U}}\check{H}(\mathfrak{U},\mathcal{O}_{X}^{\times})=\check{H}^{1}(X,\mathcal{O}_{X}^{\times})$$

using the constructed map. This map is an homomorphism because given invertable sheaves \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 and isomorphisms $\varphi^r_{U_i}: \mathcal{O}_X|_{U_i} \to \mathcal{L}_r$ corresponding to cohomology classes $[e^r]$ then there is a natural map,

$$\varphi_{U_i}^1 \otimes \varphi_{U_i}^2 : \mathcal{O}_X|_{U_i} \to \mathcal{L}_1|_{U_i} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X|_{U_i}} \mathcal{L}_2|_{U_i}$$

which therefore gives overlap maps.

$$\varphi_{ij}^{\otimes} = ((\varphi_{U_i}^1)^{-1} \circ \varphi_{U_i}^1) \otimes ((\varphi_{U_i}^2)^{-1} \circ \varphi_{U_i}^2) = \varphi_{ij}^1 \otimes \varphi_{ij}^2$$

and thus, $\varphi_{ij}^{\otimes}(1) = e_{ij}^1 \otimes e_{ij}^2 \mapsto e_{ij}^1 e_{ij}^2$ under the natural identification,

$$\mathcal{O}_X(U_{ij}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(U_{ij})} \mathcal{O}_X(U_{ij}) \to \mathcal{O}_X(U_{ij})$$

Therefore, the invertable sheaf $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}_2$ maps to the cohomology class $[e^1 e^2] = [e^1][e^2]$ so this map is a homomorphism.

I claim that this map is, in fact, an isomorphism. Let \mathcal{L} be an invertable sheaf represented by the cohomology class [e] = [1] then we know that $e_{ij} = t_i|_{U_{ij}} \cdot t_j^{-1}|_{U_{ij}}$ for some set of invertable sections t_i . Therefore, modify the isomorphism $\varphi_{U_i} : \mathcal{O}_X|_{U_i} \to \mathcal{L}|_{U_i}$ which gave rise to this cohomology representative via $\tilde{\varphi}_{U_i} = t_i \varphi_{U_i}$ which are still isomorphism because $t_i \in \mathcal{O}_X(U_i)^{\times}$ is invertable. Therefore,

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{U_i}^{-1}|_{U_{ij}} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{U_j}|_{U_{ij}} = (t_i|_{U_{ij}}^{-1} \cdot t_j|_{U_{ij}})\varphi_{U_i}^{-1}|_{U_{ij}} \circ \varphi_{U_j}|_{U_{ij}} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{O}_X(U_{ij})}$$

this map takes $1 \mapsto (t_i|_{U_{ij}}^{-1} \cdot t_j|_{U_{ij}})e_{ij} = 1$ so as a morphism of $\mathcal{O}_X|_{U_{ij}}$ -modules is the identity map. Thus $\tilde{\varphi}_{U_i}|_{U_{ij}} = \tilde{\varphi}_{U_j}|_{U_{ij}}$, so the isomorphisms $\tilde{\varphi}_{U_i} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_U}(\mathcal{O}_X|_{U_i}, \mathcal{L}|_{U_i})$ glue since they agree on this open cover to a global isomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}: \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{L}$ so \mathcal{L} is a trivial invertable sheaf. Thus $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \to \check{H}^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is injective. It remains to prove that it is surjective. Given any cohomology class $[e] \in \check{H}^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^{\times})$ we may construct an invertable sheaf as follows. Define \mathcal{L} via,

$$\mathcal{L}(V) = \{ f_i \in \mathcal{O}_X(U_i \cap V) \mid f_i|_{U_{ij} \cap V} \cdot e_{ij}|_{U_{ij} \cap V} = f_j|_{U_{ij} \cap V} \}$$

It is clear that this is an invertable sheaf if e_{ij} satisfies the transition property given by its Cech differential vanishing and that $\mathcal{L} \mapsto [e]$.

Finally, we use the general fact that $H^1(X, \mathscr{F}) = \check{H}^1(X, \mathscr{F})$ to conclude that,

$$\operatorname{Pic}(X) \cong H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^{\times})$$

5 Exercise 103.42.7

On a previous homework assignment we showed that the affine variety,

$$X = \operatorname{Spec}\left(k[x, y]/(y^2 - f(x))\right)$$

where k is a field and $f(x) = (x - t_1) \cdots (x - t_n)$ for $n \ge 3$ and odd admits nontrivial invertable sheaves so that $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ is nontrivial. Thus $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^{\times}) = \operatorname{Pic}(X)$ which implies that $H^1(X, -)$ is not the zero functor even though X is affine.

6 Lemmas

Lemma 6.1. Let X be a separated scheme and $Z \to X$ an injection then Z is separated.

Proof. Consider the map,

$$Z \hookrightarrow X \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$$

The second map is separated by definition. The first map is separated because it is an injection (see Tag 0DVA). Since the composition of separated maps is separated, then Z is a separated scheme.