

# 1 Stable Equivalence of Vector Bundles

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $E_1, E_2$  be vector bundles on  $X$  and  $\mathcal{E}^n$  be the rank  $n$  trivial vector bundle. Then we say that  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are stably equivalent  $E_1 \sim_s E_2$  if there is some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that,

$$E_1 \oplus \mathcal{E}^n \cong E_2 \oplus \mathcal{E}^n$$

Furthermore we say that  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are weakly equivalent  $E_1 \sim E_2$  if there exist  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that,

$$E_1 \oplus \mathcal{E}^n \cong E_2 \oplus \mathcal{E}^m$$

**Example 1.2.** Consider  $X = S^1$  and the Möbius bundle  $\mu$ . Then  $\mu \not\cong \mathcal{E}^1$  since  $\mu$  has no nonvanishing global sections. However, I claim that,

$$\mu \oplus \mathcal{E}^1 \cong \mathcal{E}^2$$

so  $\mu \cong_s \mathcal{E}^1$ . To see this I must find two everywhere linearly independent sections.

We describe  $\mu$  explicitly as the tautological bundle of  $S^1 = \mathbb{RP}^1$ ,

$$\mu = \{(x, v) \mid x \in \mathbb{RP}^1, v \in \text{Span}(x) \subset \mathbb{R}^2\} = \{(e^{2\pi i t}, v) \mid t \in [0, 1] \ v \in \text{Span}(e^{\pi i t})\}$$

Then take,  $s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma(X, \mu \oplus \mathcal{E}^2)$  to be,

$$s_1(t) = (e^{2\pi i t}, e^{\pi i t} \cos(\pi t)) \oplus (e^{2\pi i t}, \sin(2\pi t)) \quad s_2(t) = (e^{2\pi i t}, e^{\pi i t} \sin(\pi t)) \oplus (e^{2\pi i t}, \cos(2\pi t))$$

## 2 Definition of Topological K-Theory

## 3 Questions for March 3

- Split exact structure on a category is a type of exact structure (right?)
- So if I have a split exact category  $C$  then  $K_0(C) = K_0^\oplus(C)$  (correct?)
- However, if  $A$  is an abelian category then  $K_0(A)$  is equivalent to the grothendieck group of  $A$  as an exact category while  $K_0^\oplus(A)$  is the grothendieck group of  $A$  as a split exact category. These are not the same.
- Why is the category of vectorbundles on a scheme not split exact? Vector bundles are locally free and thus flat but I know not projective in  $\mathcal{Mod}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ . However, are they projective in  $\mathcal{Qcoh}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ ?
- Okay so it seems that if we let  $X = \mathbb{P}_R^1$  then,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \longrightarrow 0$$

cannot split because there are no maps  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$  since  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$  has no global sections.