

Physics GR6047 Quantum Field Theory I

Assignment # 6

Benjamin Church

October 15, 2019

1 Computing the Fermionic Two-Point Function

Consider the decomposition of the two-point function,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \Omega | \psi_\alpha(x) \bar{\psi}_\beta(y) | \Omega \rangle &= \sum_\lambda \sum_s \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2p^0} \langle \Omega | \psi_\alpha(x) | \lambda_{sp} \rangle \langle \lambda_{sp} | \bar{\psi}_\beta(y) | \Omega \rangle \\ &= \sum_s \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2p^0} \langle \Omega | \psi_\alpha(x) | \lambda_{sp} \rangle \langle \Omega | \psi_{\beta'}(x) | \lambda_{sp} \rangle^* \gamma_{\beta'\beta}^0\end{aligned}$$

Where I have made the sum over λ implicit. For convinience, I will omit this sum throughout the calculation. Therefore, we should investigate the amplitude,

$$\langle \Omega | \psi_\alpha(x) | \lambda_{sp} \rangle$$

in more detail.

1.1 The Component Amplitude

Returning to the calculation of the amplitude,

$$\langle \Omega | \psi(x) | \lambda_{sp} \rangle = \langle \Omega | e^{iP_\mu x^\mu} \psi(0) e^{-iP_\mu x^\mu} | \lambda_{sp} \rangle = \langle \Omega | \psi(0) | \lambda_{sp} \rangle e^{-ip \cdot x}$$

where $p \cdot x = p_\mu x^\mu$. This result follows because $|\Omega\rangle$ is shift invariant and $P_\mu |\lambda_{sp}\rangle = p_\mu |\lambda_{sp}\rangle$. Now, note that the states $|\lambda_{sp}\rangle$ are Lorentz boosts of zero momentum states,

$$|\lambda_{sp}\rangle = U(\vec{\chi}_p) |\lambda_s\rangle$$

Therefore, since the vacuum is Lorentz-invariant,

$$\langle \Omega | \psi(0) | \lambda_{sp} \rangle = \langle \Omega | U(\vec{\chi}_p)^{-1} \psi(0) U(\vec{\chi}_p) | \lambda_s \rangle = \langle \Omega | e^{\vec{\chi}_p \cdot \vec{S}} \psi(0) | \lambda_s \rangle$$

where we have defined the generators of the matrix algebra for the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ representation as,

$$S^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4} [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]$$

with, in particular, the boost part,

$$(\vec{S})^i = S^{0i} = \frac{1}{4} [\gamma^0, \gamma^i] = \frac{1}{2} \gamma^0 \gamma^i = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma^i & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^i \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore,

$$(e^{\vec{\chi}_p \cdot \vec{S}})^2 = e^{\gamma^0 \vec{\chi}_p \cdot \vec{\gamma}} = \mathbf{1} + \gamma^0 \vec{\chi}_p \cdot \vec{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^0 \vec{\chi}_p \cdot \vec{\gamma})^2 + \dots$$

However,

$$(\gamma^0 \vec{\chi}_p \cdot \vec{\gamma})^2 = \gamma^0 (\chi_p)_i (\chi_p)_j \gamma^i \gamma^0 \gamma^j = -(\chi_p)_i (\chi_p)_j \gamma^i \gamma^j = -\frac{1}{2}(\chi_p)_i (\chi_p)_j \{\gamma^i, \gamma^j\} = -(\chi_p)_i (\chi_p)_j \eta^{ij} \mathbf{1} = (\chi_p)^2 \mathbf{1}$$

Thus, combining terms in the sequence,

$$(e^{\vec{\chi}_p \cdot \vec{S}})^2 = \mathbf{1}(1 + \frac{1}{2}\chi_p^2 + \frac{1}{4!}\chi_p^4 + \dots) + \gamma^0 \hat{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma}(\chi_p + \frac{1}{3!}\chi_p^3 + \dots) = \mathbf{1} \cosh \chi_p + \gamma^0 \hat{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma} \sinh \chi_p$$

However, we know that the boost factor is given by $\gamma = \cosh \chi_p$ and $\beta\gamma = \sinh \chi_p$ so $E = m_\lambda \cosh \chi_p$ and $\vec{p} = m_\lambda \hat{p} \sinh \chi_p$. Therefore, since $(\gamma^0)^2 = \mathbf{1}$ we have,

$$(e^{\vec{\chi}_p \cdot \vec{S}})^2 = \frac{1}{m_\lambda} (\mathbf{1}E + \gamma^0 \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma}) = \frac{\gamma^0 p_\mu \gamma^\mu}{m_\lambda} = \frac{1}{m_\lambda} \gamma^0 \not{p} = \frac{1}{m_\lambda} \begin{pmatrix} p_\mu \sigma^\mu & 0 \\ 0 & p_\mu \bar{\sigma}^\mu \end{pmatrix}$$

Finally,

$$e^{\vec{\chi}_p \cdot \vec{S}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_\lambda}} \sqrt{\gamma^0 \not{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_\lambda}} \sqrt{\begin{pmatrix} p_\mu \sigma^\mu & 0 \\ 0 & p_\mu \bar{\sigma}^\mu \end{pmatrix}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_\lambda}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{p_\mu \sigma^\mu} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{p_\mu \bar{\sigma}^\mu} \end{pmatrix}$$

Putting this together, we see that,

$$\langle \Omega | \psi(x) | \lambda_{sp} \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma^0 \not{p}}{m_\lambda}} \langle \Omega | \psi(0) | \lambda_s \rangle e^{-ip \cdot x}$$

1.2 Inner Products of Spinors

Since parity is going to be a symmetry of the theory, we need to have both left and right handed spinors. We will give the chiral components of the spinor field special names,

$$\psi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} L(x) \\ R(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

By the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ transformation properties,

$$\langle \Omega | L_{s'}(0) | \lambda_s \rangle = C_{\lambda, L} \delta_{s' s} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \Omega | R_{s'}(0) | \lambda_s \rangle = C_{\lambda, R} \delta_{s' s}$$

However, these matrix element can be related via parity transformation. Since parity commutes with spin operators and, by assumption, with the Hamiltonian, we can choose the rest states $|\lambda_s\rangle$ to be parity eigenstates. This would not work for the states $|\lambda_{sp}\rangle$ because $\mathbf{P}^{-1}P^i\mathbf{P} = -P^i$ and thus we cannot simultaneously diagonalize both operators. Take the state $|\lambda_s\rangle$ to have intrinsic parity η_λ . That is,

$$\mathbf{P} |\lambda_s\rangle = \eta_\lambda |\lambda_s\rangle$$

Furthermore, the right-handed and left-handed spinors are related by,

$$\mathbf{P}^{-1}L(x)\mathbf{P} = R(\bar{x}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{P}^{-1}R(x)\mathbf{P} = L(\bar{x})$$

Therefore, since $|\Omega\rangle$ is invariant under parity,

$$\langle \Omega | L_{s'}(0) | \lambda_s \rangle = \langle \Omega | \mathbf{P}^{-1} R_{s'}(0) \mathbf{P} | \lambda_s \rangle = \eta_\lambda \langle \Omega | R_{s'}(0) | \lambda_s \rangle = \eta_\lambda C_{\lambda,R} \delta_{s's} = C_{\lambda,L} \delta_{s's}$$

Likewise,

$$\langle \Omega | R_{s'}(0) | \lambda_s \rangle = \langle \Omega | \mathbf{P}^{-1} L_{s'}(0) \mathbf{P} | \lambda_s \rangle = \eta_\lambda \langle \Omega | L_{s'}(0) | \lambda_s \rangle = \eta_\lambda C_{\lambda,L} \delta_{s's} = C_{\lambda,R} \delta_{s's}$$

Therefore, $C_{\lambda,L} = \eta_\lambda C_{\lambda,R}$ and $C_{\lambda,R} = \eta_\lambda C_{\lambda,L}$. Therefore, $\eta_\lambda = \pm 1$. Now, define the field strength,

$$Z_\lambda^{1/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_\lambda}} C_{\lambda,L} = \frac{\eta_\lambda}{\sqrt{m_\lambda}} C_{\lambda,R}$$

which, because the λ states are relativistically normalized, has the correct units. Using this definition, we see that the entire inner product becomes,

$$\langle \Omega | \psi(0) | \lambda_s \rangle = \langle \Omega | \begin{pmatrix} L(0) \\ R(0) \end{pmatrix} | \lambda_s \rangle = Z_\lambda^{1/2} \sqrt{m_\lambda} \begin{pmatrix} \xi^s \\ \eta_\lambda \xi^s \end{pmatrix}$$

where ξ^s is the two-component spinor defined by,

$$\xi^\uparrow = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi^\downarrow = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Putting it all together,

$$\langle \Omega | \psi(x) | \lambda_{sp} \rangle = Z_\lambda^{1/2} \sqrt{\gamma^0 \not{p}} \begin{pmatrix} \xi^s \\ \eta_\lambda \xi^s \end{pmatrix} e^{-ip \cdot x} = Z_\lambda^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} \xi^s \\ \eta_\lambda \sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}} \xi^s \end{pmatrix} e^{-ip \cdot x} = Z_\lambda^{1/2} u_{\eta_\lambda}^s e^{-ip \cdot x}$$

where we have found the bispinors,

$$u_{\eta_\lambda}^s = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} \xi^s \\ \eta_\lambda \sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}} \xi^s \end{pmatrix}$$

If we restrict this to the cases $\eta_\lambda = \pm 1$ then we find,

$$u_+^s = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} \xi^s \\ \sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}} \xi^s \end{pmatrix} = u^s \quad \text{and} \quad u_-^s = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} \xi^s \\ -\sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}} \xi^s \end{pmatrix} = v'^s$$

where v'^s is the spinor v^s with the reversed spin. Therefore, the two-point function becomes,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Omega | \psi_\alpha(x) \bar{\psi}_\beta(y) | \Omega \rangle &= \sum_s \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2p^0} \langle \Omega | \psi_\alpha(x) | \lambda_{sp} \rangle \langle \Omega | \psi_{\beta'}(y) | \lambda_{sp} \rangle^* \gamma_{\beta'\beta}^0 \\ &= \sum_s \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{Z_\lambda}{2p^0} (u_{\eta_\lambda}^s)_\alpha (u_{\eta_\lambda}^s)_{\beta'}^* \gamma_{\beta'\beta}^0 e^{-ip \cdot (x-y)} \end{aligned}$$

Where $Z_\lambda \geq 0$ because $Z_\lambda = C_{\lambda,L} C_{\lambda,L}^*$. Now, we need to compute the outer product,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_s (u_{\eta_\lambda}^s)_\alpha (u_{\eta_\lambda}^s)_{\beta'}^* \gamma_{\beta'\beta}^0 &= \sum_s \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} \xi^s \\ \eta_\lambda \sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}} \xi^s \end{pmatrix} (\eta_\lambda (\xi^s)^\dagger \sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}} \quad (\xi^s)^\dagger \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma}) \\ &= \sum_s \begin{pmatrix} \eta_\lambda \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} \xi^s (\xi^s)^\dagger \sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}} & \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} \xi^s (\xi^s)^\dagger \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} \\ \sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}} \xi^s (\xi^s)^\dagger \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} & \eta_\lambda \sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}} \xi^s (\xi^s)^\dagger \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

However,

$$\sum_s \xi^s (\xi^s)^\dagger = \mathbf{1}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_s (u_{\eta\lambda}^s)_\alpha (u_{\eta\lambda}^s)_{\beta'}^* \gamma_{\beta'\beta}^0 &= \begin{pmatrix} \eta_\lambda \sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}} \sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}} & p \cdot \sigma \\ p \cdot \bar{\sigma} & \eta_\lambda \sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}} \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \eta_\lambda m_\lambda & p \cdot \sigma \\ p \cdot \bar{\sigma} & \eta_\lambda m_\lambda \end{pmatrix} = (\not{p} + \eta_\lambda m_\lambda)_{\alpha\beta} \end{aligned}$$

where I have used the fact that,

$$\sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}} \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} = \sqrt{(p \cdot \sigma)(p \cdot \bar{\sigma})} = \sqrt{p_\mu p_\nu \sigma^\mu \bar{\sigma}^\nu} = \sqrt{p_\mu p_\nu \eta^{\mu\nu}} = m_\lambda$$

Finally, the two-point function becomes,

$$\langle \Omega | \psi_\alpha(x) \bar{\psi}_\beta(y) | \Omega \rangle = \sum_\lambda \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{Z_\lambda}{2p^0} (\not{p} + \eta_\lambda m_\lambda)_{\alpha\beta} e^{-ip \cdot (x-y)}$$

2 Computing the Reversed Two-Point Function

Consider the decomposition of the two-point function,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Omega | \bar{\psi}_\beta(y) \psi_\alpha(x) | \Omega \rangle &= \sum_{\bar{\lambda}} \sum_s \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2p^0} \langle \Omega | \bar{\psi}_\beta(y) | \bar{\lambda}_{sp} \rangle \langle \bar{\lambda}_{sp} | \psi_\alpha(x) | \Omega \rangle \\ &= \sum_s \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2p^0} \langle \Omega | \psi_{\beta'}^*(y) | \bar{\lambda}_{sp} \rangle \langle \Omega | \psi_\alpha^*(x) | \bar{\lambda}_{sp} \rangle^* \gamma_{\beta'\beta}^0 \end{aligned}$$

As before, I will make the sum over $\bar{\lambda}$ implicit. Therefore, we should investigate the amplitude,

$$\langle \Omega | \psi_\alpha^*(x) | \bar{\lambda}_{sp} \rangle$$

in more detail.

2.1 The Component Amplitude

Returning to the calculation of the amplitude,

$$\langle \Omega | \psi^*(x) | \bar{\lambda}_{sp} \rangle = \langle \Omega | e^{iP_\mu x^\mu} \psi^*(0) e^{-iP_\mu x^\mu} | \bar{\lambda}_{ps} \rangle = \langle \Omega | \psi^*(0) | \bar{\lambda}_{ps} \rangle e^{-ip \cdot x}$$

where $p \cdot x = p_\mu x^\mu$. This result follows because $|\Omega\rangle$ is shift invariant and $P_\mu |\bar{\lambda}_{ps}\rangle = p_\mu |\bar{\lambda}_{ps}\rangle$. Now, note that the states $|\bar{\lambda}_{sp}\rangle$ are Lorentz boosts of zero momentum states,

$$|\bar{\lambda}_{sp}\rangle = U(\vec{\chi}_p) |\bar{\lambda}_s\rangle$$

Therefore, since the vacuum is Lorentz-invariant,

$$\langle \Omega | \psi^*(0) | \bar{\lambda}_{sp} \rangle = \langle \Omega | U(\vec{\chi}_p)^{-1} \psi^*(0) U(\vec{\chi}_p) | \bar{\lambda}_{ps} \rangle = \langle \Omega | e^{\vec{\chi}_p \cdot \vec{S}^*} \psi^*(0) | \bar{\lambda}_s \rangle$$

As before, we have that,

$$e^{\vec{\chi}_p \cdot \vec{S}^*} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{\bar{\lambda}}}} \sqrt{\gamma^0 \not{p}^*} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{\bar{\lambda}}}} \sqrt{\begin{pmatrix} p_\mu \sigma^{*\mu} & 0 \\ 0 & p_\mu \bar{\sigma}^{*\mu} \end{pmatrix}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{\bar{\lambda}}}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{p_\mu \sigma^{*\mu}} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{p_\mu \bar{\sigma}^{*\mu}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Putting this together, we see that,

$$\langle \Omega | \psi^*(x) | \bar{\lambda}_{sp} \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma^0 \not{p}^*}{m_{\bar{\lambda}}}} \langle \Omega | \psi^*(0) | \bar{\lambda}_s \rangle e^{-ip \cdot x}$$

2.2 Inner Products of Conjugate Spinors

Consider the chiral components of the conjugated spinor,

$$\psi^*(x) = \begin{pmatrix} L^*(x) \\ R^*(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

We cannot directly use the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ transformation properties because L^* is not a spinor. However, ϵL^* is a right handed spinor. This can be checked by considering its transformation properties under rotations,

$$\epsilon L^* \mapsto \epsilon \left(e^{-\frac{i}{2} \vec{\phi} \cdot \vec{\sigma}} L \right)^* = \epsilon e^{\frac{i}{2} \vec{\phi} \cdot \vec{\sigma}^*} L^* = e^{-\frac{i}{2} \vec{\phi} \cdot \vec{\sigma}} \epsilon L^*$$

and under boosts,

$$\epsilon L^* \mapsto \epsilon \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2} \vec{\chi} \cdot \vec{\sigma}} L \right)^* = \epsilon e^{-\frac{1}{2} \vec{\chi} \cdot \vec{\sigma}^*} L^* = e^{\frac{1}{2} \vec{\chi} \cdot \vec{\sigma}} \epsilon L^*$$

Therefore, we apply the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ selection rules to the spinors ϵL^* and ϵR^* ,

$$\langle \Omega | \epsilon_{s's''} L_{s''}^*(0) | \bar{\lambda}_s \rangle = C_{\bar{\lambda},L} \delta_{s's} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \Omega | \epsilon_{s's''} R_{s''}^*(0) | \bar{\lambda}_s \rangle = C_{\bar{\lambda},R} \delta_{s's}$$

Therefore,

$$\langle \Omega | L_{s'}^*(0) | \bar{\lambda}_s \rangle = C_{\bar{\lambda},L} \epsilon_{ss'} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \Omega | R_{s'}^*(0) | \bar{\lambda}_s \rangle = C_{\bar{\lambda},R} \epsilon_{ss'}$$

These matrix element can be related via parity transformation. Since parity commutes with spin operators and, by assumption, with the Hamiltonian, we can choose the rest states $|\bar{\lambda}_s\rangle$ to be parity eigenstates. Take the state $|\bar{\lambda}_s\rangle$ to have intrinsic parity $\eta_{\bar{\lambda}}$. That is,

$$\mathbf{P} |\bar{\lambda}_s\rangle = \eta_{\bar{\lambda}} |\bar{\lambda}_s\rangle$$

Furthermore, the right-handed and left-handed conjugate spinors are related by,

$$\mathbf{P}^{-1} \epsilon L^*(x) \mathbf{P} = \epsilon R^*(\bar{x}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{P}^{-1} \epsilon R^*(x) \mathbf{P} = \epsilon L^*(\bar{x})$$

Therefore, since $|\Omega\rangle$ is invariant under parity,

$$\langle \Omega | (\epsilon L^*)_{s'} | \bar{\lambda}_s \rangle = \langle \Omega | \mathbf{P}^{-1} (\epsilon R^*)_{s'} \mathbf{P} | \bar{\lambda}_s \rangle = \eta_{\bar{\lambda}} \langle \Omega | (\epsilon R^*)_{s'} | \bar{\lambda}_s \rangle = \eta_{\bar{\lambda}} C_{\bar{\lambda},R} \delta_{s's} = C_{\bar{\lambda},L} \delta_{s's}$$

Likewise,

$$\langle \Omega | (\epsilon R^*)_{s'} | \bar{\lambda}_s \rangle = \langle \Omega | \mathbf{P}^{-1} (\epsilon L^*)_{s'} \mathbf{P} | \bar{\lambda}_s \rangle = \eta_{\bar{\lambda}} \langle \Omega | (\epsilon L^*)_{s'}(0) | \bar{\lambda}_s \rangle = \eta_{\bar{\lambda}} C_{\bar{\lambda},L} \delta_{s's} = C_{\bar{\lambda},R} \delta_{s's}$$

Therefore, $C_{\bar{\lambda},L} = \eta_{\bar{\lambda}} C_{\bar{\lambda},R}$ and $C_{\bar{\lambda},R} = \eta_{\bar{\lambda}} C_{\bar{\lambda},L}$. As before, our choices require, $\eta_{\bar{\lambda}} = \pm 1$. Now, define the field strength,

$$Z_{\bar{\lambda}}^{1/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{\bar{\lambda}}}} C_{\bar{\lambda},L} = \frac{\eta_{\bar{\lambda}}}{\sqrt{m_{\bar{\lambda}}}} C_{\bar{\lambda},R}$$

which, because the $\bar{\lambda}$ states are relativistically normalized, has the correct units. Using this definition, we see that the entire inner product becomes,

$$\langle \Omega | \psi^*(0) | \bar{\lambda}_s \rangle = \langle \Omega | \begin{pmatrix} L^*(0) \\ R^*(0) \end{pmatrix} | \bar{\lambda}_s \rangle = Z_{\bar{\lambda}}^{1/2} \sqrt{m_{\bar{\lambda}}} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon \xi^s \\ \eta_{\bar{\lambda}} \epsilon \xi^s \end{pmatrix}$$

Putting it all together,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Omega | \psi^*(x) | \bar{\lambda}_{sp} \rangle &= Z_{\bar{\lambda}}^{1/2} \sqrt{\gamma^0 \not{p}^*} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon \xi^s \\ \eta_{\bar{\lambda}} \epsilon \xi^s \end{pmatrix} e^{-ip \cdot x} = Z_{\bar{\lambda}}^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma^*} \epsilon \xi^s \\ \eta_{\bar{\lambda}} \sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}^*} \epsilon \xi^s \end{pmatrix} e^{-ip \cdot x} \\ &= Z_{\bar{\lambda}}^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon \sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}} \xi^s \\ \eta_{\bar{\lambda}} \epsilon \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} \xi^s \end{pmatrix} e^{-ip \cdot x} = Z_{\bar{\lambda}}^{1/2} (v_{\eta_{\bar{\lambda}}}^s)^* e^{-ip \cdot x} \end{aligned}$$

where we have found bispinors,

$$v_{\eta_{\bar{\lambda}}}^s = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} \epsilon \xi^s \\ \eta_{\bar{\lambda}} \sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}} \epsilon \xi^s \end{pmatrix} = (u_{-\eta_{\bar{\lambda}}}^s)^{(c)} = \mathcal{C} \cdot (u_{-\eta_{\bar{\lambda}}}^s)^*$$

If we restrict this to the cases $\eta_{\bar{\lambda}} = \pm 1$ then we find,

$$v_+^s = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} \epsilon \xi^s \\ \sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}} \epsilon \xi^s \end{pmatrix} = u'^s \quad \text{and} \quad v_-^s = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} \epsilon \xi^s \\ -\sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}} \epsilon \xi^s \end{pmatrix} = v^s$$

where u'^s is the spinor u^s with the reversed spin. Therefore, the two-point function becomes,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Omega | \bar{\psi}_\beta(y) \psi_\alpha(x) | \Omega \rangle &= \sum_s \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2p^0} \langle \Omega | \psi_{\beta'}^*(y) | \bar{\lambda}_{sp} \rangle \langle \Omega | \psi_\alpha^*(x) | \bar{\lambda}_{sp} \rangle^* \gamma_{\beta'\beta}^0 \\ &= \sum_s \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{Z_{\bar{\lambda}}}{2p^0} (v_{\eta_{\bar{\lambda}}}^s)_\alpha (v_{\eta_{\bar{\lambda}}}^s)^*_{\beta'} \gamma_{\beta'\beta}^0 e^{ip \cdot (x-y)} \end{aligned}$$

Where $Z_{\bar{\lambda}} \geq 0$ because $Z_{\bar{\lambda}} = C_{\bar{\lambda},L} C_{\bar{\lambda},L}^*$. Now, we need to compute the outer product,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_s (v_{\eta_{\bar{\lambda}}}^s)_\alpha (v_{\eta_{\bar{\lambda}}}^s)^*_{\beta'} \gamma_{\beta'\beta}^0 &= \sum_s \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} \epsilon \xi^s \\ \eta_{\bar{\lambda}} \sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}} \epsilon \xi^s \end{pmatrix} (\eta_{\bar{\lambda}} (\epsilon \xi^s)^\dagger \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} \quad (\epsilon \xi^s)^\dagger \sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}}) \\ &= \sum_s \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{\bar{\lambda}} \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} \epsilon \xi^s (\epsilon \xi^s)^\dagger \sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}} & \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} \epsilon \xi^s (\epsilon \xi^s)^\dagger \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} \\ \sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}} \epsilon \xi^s (\epsilon \xi^s)^\dagger \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} & \eta_{\bar{\lambda}} \sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}} \epsilon \xi^s (\epsilon \xi^s)^\dagger \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

However,

$$\sum_s \epsilon \xi^s (\epsilon \xi^s)^\dagger = \epsilon \left(\sum_s \xi^s (\xi^s)^\dagger \right) \epsilon^{-1} = \mathbf{1}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_s (v_{\eta_{\bar{\lambda}}}^s)_\alpha (v_{\eta_{\bar{\lambda}}}^s)^*_{\beta'} \gamma_{\beta'\beta}^0 &= \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{\bar{\lambda}} \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} \sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}} & p \cdot \sigma \\ p \cdot \bar{\sigma} & \eta_{\bar{\lambda}} \sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}} \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{\bar{\lambda}} m_{\bar{\lambda}} & p \cdot \sigma \\ p \cdot \bar{\sigma} & \eta_{\bar{\lambda}} m_{\bar{\lambda}} \end{pmatrix} = (\not{p} + \eta_{\bar{\lambda}} m_{\bar{\lambda}})_{\alpha\beta} \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the reversed two-point function becomes,

$$\langle \Omega | \bar{\psi}_\beta(y) \psi_\alpha(x) | \Omega \rangle = \sum_{\bar{\lambda}} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{Z_{\bar{\lambda}}}{2p^0} (\not{p} + \eta_{\bar{\lambda}} m_{\bar{\lambda}})_{\alpha\beta} e^{i\vec{p} \cdot (x-y)}$$

3 The Anti-Commutation Relations

Knowing that observable fields must either commute or anti-commute at space-like separation, we want to find out whether we are forced to impose commutation or anti-commutation relations on our spinor fields. Suppose x and y are space-like separated. First, assume that x and y are at equal times. Consider the the reversed two-point function,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Omega | \bar{\psi}_\beta(y) \psi_\alpha(x) | \Omega \rangle &= \sum_{\bar{\lambda}} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{Z_{\bar{\lambda}}}{2p^0} (\not{p} + \eta_{\bar{\lambda}} m_{\bar{\lambda}})_{\alpha\beta} e^{i\vec{p} \cdot (\vec{x}-\vec{y})} \\ &= \sum_{\bar{\lambda}} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{Z_{\bar{\lambda}}}{2p^0} (\gamma^0 p^0 - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma} + \eta_{\bar{\lambda}} m_{\bar{\lambda}})_{\alpha\beta} e^{i\vec{p} \cdot (\vec{x}-\vec{y})} \end{aligned}$$

Flipping the direction of the integrated out variable \vec{p} we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Omega | \bar{\psi}_\beta(y) \psi_\alpha(x) | \Omega \rangle &= \sum_{\bar{\lambda}} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{Z_{\bar{\lambda}}}{2p^0} (2\gamma^0 p^0 - \gamma^0 p^0 + \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma} + \eta_{\bar{\lambda}} m_{\bar{\lambda}})_{\alpha\beta} e^{-i\vec{p} \cdot (\vec{x}-\vec{y})} \\ &= \sum_{\bar{\lambda}} \left[\int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} Z_{\bar{\lambda}} \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^0 e^{-i\vec{p} \cdot (\vec{x}-\vec{y})} - \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{Z_{\bar{\lambda}}}{2p^0} (\not{p} - \eta_{\bar{\lambda}} m_{\bar{\lambda}})_{\alpha\beta} e^{-i\vec{p} \cdot (\vec{x}-\vec{y})} \right] \\ &= \sum_{\bar{\lambda}} Z_{\bar{\lambda}} \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^0 \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) - \sum_{\bar{\lambda}} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{Z_{\bar{\lambda}}}{2p^0} (\not{p} - \eta_{\bar{\lambda}} m_{\bar{\lambda}})_{\alpha\beta} e^{-i\vec{p} \cdot (\vec{x}-\vec{y})} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since $Z_\lambda, Z_{\bar{\lambda}} \geq 0$ then we must have equal time anti-commutation relations. Furthermore, compare the above to,

$$\langle \Omega | \psi_\alpha(x) \bar{\psi}_\beta(y) | \Omega \rangle = \sum_{\bar{\lambda}} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{Z_{\bar{\lambda}}}{2p^0} (\not{p} + \eta_{\bar{\lambda}} m_{\bar{\lambda}})_{\alpha\beta} e^{-i\vec{p} \cdot (x-y)}$$

If these terms are going to actually be minus each other, there must be a spectral symmetry, $\lambda \leftrightarrow \bar{\lambda}$ which makes $Z_\lambda = Z_{\bar{\lambda}}$ and $m_\lambda = m_{\bar{\lambda}}$ and finally $\eta_{\bar{\lambda}} = -\eta_\lambda$. In that case,

$$\langle \Omega | \psi_\alpha(x) \bar{\psi}_\beta(y) | \Omega \rangle + \langle \Omega | \bar{\psi}_\beta(y) \psi_\alpha(x) | \Omega \rangle = \sum_{\lambda} Z_\lambda \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^0 \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{y})$$

which fixes the vacuum expectation of equal-time anti-commutation relations,

$$\langle \Omega | \{ \psi_\alpha(x), \bar{\psi}_\beta(y) \} | \Omega \rangle = \sum_{\lambda} Z_\lambda \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^0 \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{y})$$

By swapping which we call the particle and which the anti-particle, we are free to choose $\eta_\lambda = 1$ and therefore $\eta_{\bar{\lambda}} = -1$.

4 The Feynman Propagator

I claim that the Feynman propagator can be written in the form,

$$\Delta_F(x-y)_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{\lambda} \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i(\not{p} + m)_{\alpha\beta}}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} Z_{\lambda} e^{-ip \cdot (x-y)}$$

Throughout the derivation, I will drop the sum over λ for notational convenience. I will prove this formula by integrating over p^0 ,

$$\int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i(\not{p} + m)_{\alpha\beta}}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} Z_{\lambda} e^{-ip \cdot (x-y)} = \int \frac{i dp^0}{2\pi} e^{-ip^0(x^0-y^0)} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} Z_{\lambda} \frac{(\not{p} + m)_{\alpha\beta}}{(p^0)^2 - E_p^2 + i\epsilon} e^{\vec{p} \cdot (\vec{x} - \vec{y})}$$

When $x^0 > y^0$ then we can close the contour below such that $-ip^0 < 0$ and thus $e^{-p^0(x^0-y^0)}$ is exponentially small. However, we can write the term,

$$\frac{\not{p} + m}{(p^0)^2 - E_p^2 + i\epsilon} = \frac{\not{p} + m}{(p^0 - E_p + i\epsilon)(p^0 + E_p - i\epsilon)}$$

Therefore, the residue at $p^0 = E_p - i\epsilon$ is,

$$\frac{\not{p} + m}{2E_p} e^{-iE_p(x^0-y^0)}$$

where \not{p} is on shell. Therefore, by the residue theorem (remembering to use the factor $-2\pi i$ for a clockwise contour),

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i(\not{p} + m)_{\alpha\beta}}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} Z_{\lambda} e^{-ip \cdot (x-y)} &\xrightarrow{x^0 > y^0} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} Z_{\lambda} \frac{(\not{p} + m)_{\alpha\beta}}{2E_p} e^{-iE_p(x^0-y^0)} e^{\vec{p} \cdot (\vec{x} - \vec{y})} \\ &= \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{Z_{\lambda}}{2E_p} (\not{p} + m)_{\alpha\beta} e^{-ip \cdot (x-y)} = \langle \Omega | \psi_{\alpha}(x) \bar{\psi}_{\beta}(y) | \Omega \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Likewise, for $x^0 < y^0$ we close the contour above such that $-ip^0 > 0$ and thus $e^{-ip^0(x^0-y^0)}$ is exponentially small. The residue at $p^0 = -E_p + i\epsilon$ is given by,

$$\frac{-E_p \gamma^0 - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma} + m}{-2E_p} e^{+iE_p(x^0-y^0)}$$

Therefore, by the residue theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i(\not{p} + m)_{\alpha\beta}}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} Z_{\lambda} e^{-ip \cdot (x-y)} &\xrightarrow{x^0 < y^0} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} Z_{\lambda} \frac{(-E_p \gamma^0 - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma} + m)_{\alpha\beta}}{2E_p} e^{+iE_p(x^0-y^0)} e^{\vec{p} \cdot (\vec{x} - \vec{y})} \\ &= - \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} Z_{\lambda} \frac{(E_p \gamma^0 - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma} - m)_{\alpha\beta}}{2E_p} e^{iE_p(x^0-y^0)} e^{-\vec{p} \cdot (\vec{x} - \vec{y})} \\ &= - \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{Z_{\lambda}}{2E_p} (\not{p} - m)_{\alpha\beta} e^{ip \cdot (x-y)} = - \langle \Omega | \bar{\psi}_{\beta}(y) \psi_{\alpha}(x) | \Omega \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where I have changed variables $\vec{p} \rightarrow -\vec{p}$. Putting these results together and reintroducing the sum over states λ we find,

$$\sum_{\lambda} \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i(\not{p} + m)_{\alpha\beta}}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} Z_{\lambda} e^{-ip \cdot (x-y)} = \langle \Omega | \mathbf{T}[\psi_{\alpha}(x) \bar{\psi}_{\beta}(y)] | \Omega \rangle = \begin{cases} \langle \Omega | \psi_{\alpha}(x) \bar{\psi}_{\beta}(y) | \Omega \rangle & x^0 > y^0 \\ - \langle \Omega | \bar{\psi}_{\beta}(y) \psi_{\alpha}(x) | \Omega \rangle & x^0 < y^0 \end{cases}$$

which is the Feynman propagator.