

# Math GR6262 Algebraic Geometry

## Assignment # 3

Benjamin Church

March 24, 2020

### 1 Problem 1

Let  $A$  be a Noetherian domain such that  $\dim A = 1$  with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ . Let  $K = \text{Frac}(A)$  and take any  $f \in \text{Frac}(K)$  such that  $f \notin A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  (e.g.  $p^{-1}$  for any  $p \in \mathfrak{p}$ ). Consider the ideal

$$I = (A : f) = \{x \in A \mid xf \in A\}$$

Then if  $x \in I$  we have  $xf \in A$  so if  $x \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  then  $f = \frac{xf}{x} \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Since  $f \notin A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  we must have  $I \subset \mathfrak{p}$ . Since  $A$  is Noetherian and  $I$  is proper it has a primary decomposition,

$$I = \mathfrak{q}_0 \cap \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{q}_n$$

such that  $\mathfrak{q}_i$  is  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ -primary. Therefore,

$$\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_0} \cap \cdots \cap \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_n} = \mathfrak{p}_0 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_n$$

which implies that  $\mathfrak{p}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n \in V(I)$ . Furthermore,  $\dim A = 1$  so each prime  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  is maximal and thus  $V(I) = \{\mathfrak{p}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$  since if some prime  $\mathfrak{q} \supset I$  then  $\mathfrak{q} \supset \sqrt{I}$  and thus  $\mathfrak{q} \supset \mathfrak{p}_0 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_n$  but  $\mathfrak{q}$  is prime so  $\mathfrak{q} \supset \mathfrak{p}_i$  for some but  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  is maximal so  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}_i$ . In particular there are a finite number of primes above  $I$  and since  $\mathfrak{p} \in V(I)$  we can take  $\mathfrak{p}_0 = \mathfrak{p}$  WLOG.

By prime avoidance  $\mathfrak{p}_i \not\subset \bigcup_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{p}_j$  and thus there exist elements,  $a_i \in \mathfrak{p}_i \setminus \bigcup_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{p}_j$ . Then let  $\tilde{a} = \prod_{i=1}^n a_i$  and thus  $a_0 \tilde{a} \in \mathfrak{p}_0 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_n = \sqrt{I}$  so  $(a_0 \tilde{a})^N \in I$  for some positive integer  $N$ . Consider  $I' = (A : \tilde{a}^N f) \supset I$ . Since  $a_0^N \tilde{a}^N \in I$  we know that  $a_0^N (\tilde{a}^N f) \in A$  so  $a_0^N \in I'$ . However,  $a_0 \notin \mathfrak{p}_i$  for  $i > 0$  and thus neither is  $a_0^N$  so  $I' \not\subset \mathfrak{p}_i$  for  $i > 0$ . But since  $I' \supset I$  we have  $V(I') \supset V(I)$  so  $V(I') = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ . Furthermore,

$$g \in A_{\mathfrak{q}} \iff \exists s \in A \setminus \mathfrak{q} : sg \in A \iff (A : g) \not\subset \mathfrak{q}$$

Therefore  $a_0^N f \notin A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  but  $a_0^N f \in A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  for each prime  $\mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p}$ .

### 2 Problem 2

Let  $A$  be a domain and  $M$  a torsion-free finite  $A$ -module. Take  $K = \text{Frac}(A)$  and consider the sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow K/A \longrightarrow 0$$

Tensoring with  $(-) \otimes_A M$  gives a long exact sequence,

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(K, M) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^R(K/A, M) \longrightarrow A \otimes_A M \longrightarrow K \otimes_A M \longrightarrow K/A \otimes_A M \longrightarrow 0$$

However,  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(K, M) = 0$  because  $K$  is flat. Thus we have,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^R(K/A, M) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow K \otimes_A M$$

However,  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(K/A, M)$  is the torsion of  $M$  and thus vanishes since  $M$  is torsion free. Thus the map  $M \rightarrow K \otimes_A M$  is an injection. Furthermore,  $K \otimes_A M$  is a  $K$ -module and therefore free (since it is a vectorspace) as a  $K$ -module. Thus if  $m_1, \dots, m_n$  generate the image of  $M$  in  $K \otimes_A M$  then each  $m_i$  can be expressed in terms of a basis  $b_1, \dots, b_k$  of  $K \otimes_A M$ . Choosing  $d$  large enough to clear all denominators we can write,

$$M \hookrightarrow d^{-1}(b_1 R \oplus \dots \oplus b_k R) \subset K \otimes_A M$$

which is an inclusion into a free  $R$ -module.

### 3 078S

Consider the ring  $A = k[x, y]/(y^2 - f(x))$  where  $k$  is a field with characteristic not 2 and,

$$f(x) = (x - t_1) \cdots (x - t_n)$$

with  $t_1, \dots, t_n \in k$  distinct and  $n \geq 3$  an odd integer. Take the ideal  $I = (y, x - t_1) \subset A$ . I claim that  $I$  is not a free  $A$ -module of rank 1. First, if  $I$  is not of rank 1 it cannot be free because given a generating set  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  then  $f_2 \cdot f_1 - f_1 \cdot f_2 = 0$  is a nontrivial  $A$ -linear combination of the generators that gives zero so it cannot be an  $A$ -basis. I will complete the proof of this claim at the end.

We have  $\dim k[x, y] = 2$  since  $k$  is a field. Then,

$$\dim A = \dim k[x, y] - \mathrm{ht}((y^2 - f(x)))$$

since these rings are f.g.  $k$ -algebras. However, there are strict inclusions,

$$(y, x - t_1) \supsetneq (y^2 - f(x)) \supsetneq (0)$$

so  $\mathrm{ht}((y^2 - f(x))) = 1$  since its height cannot be 2 because it is not maximal and it cannot be 0 because it is not minimal. Therefore  $\dim A = 1$  so any nonzero  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(A)$  must then be maximal. Therefore, every  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(A)$  corresponds to a closed point  $\mathfrak{p} = (x - a, y - b)$  on the curve.

Now if  $\mathfrak{p} = (x - a, y - b)$  with  $b \neq 0$  then  $y \notin \mathfrak{p}$ . Thus, by Lemma 5.1,  $I_y = A_y$  because  $y \in I$ . Furthermore, if  $\mathfrak{p} = (x - a, y)$  then since  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime of  $A$  then  $\mathfrak{p}$  viewed as a prime of  $k[x, y]$  must lie above  $(y^2 - f(x))$ . Thus,  $f(a) = 0$  so  $a = t_i$  for some  $i$ . If  $i \neq 1$  then take  $g = (x - t_1) \notin \mathfrak{p}$ . Since  $g \in I$  then by Lemma 5.1 we have  $I_g = A_g$ . Finally, for  $\mathfrak{p} = (x - t_1, y) = I$  we may take  $g = (x - t_2) \cdots (x - t_n)$ . Now consider the map,

$$\frac{x - t_1}{y} A_g \rightarrow I_g$$

given by sending,

$$\frac{x - t_1}{y} \rightarrow \frac{y}{g}$$

Since  $g \notin \mathfrak{p}$  this map is clearly injective. We need to show that this map is surjective i.e. that  $yA_g$  and  $(x - t_1)A_g$  are in the image. This is easily demonstrated via noticing that,

$$\begin{aligned} g \cdot \frac{x - t_1}{y} &\mapsto y \\ g \cdot \left( \frac{x - t_1}{y} \right)^2 &\mapsto g \frac{y^2}{g^2} = \frac{y^2}{g} = \frac{(x - t_1) \cdots (x - t_n)}{(x - t_2) \cdots (x - t_n)} = x - t_1 \end{aligned}$$

so the map hits the generators of  $I_g$  and thus surjects.

Therefore, we have shown that  $I$  is locally free of rank 1 i.e.  $I$  is an invertible  $A$ -module. Thus, it suffices to show that  $I$  is not free of rank 1 and thus represents a nontrivial class of the Picard group. By using the relations in the ring  $A$ , we may write an arbitrary element as  $\alpha + \beta y$  with  $\alpha, \beta \in k[x]$ . Consider the norm map,  $N : \text{Frac}(A) \rightarrow k(x)$  which is the multiplicative map given by sending,

$$\alpha + \beta y \mapsto (\alpha + \beta y)(\alpha - \beta y) = \alpha^2 - \beta^2 y^2 = \alpha^2 - \beta^2 f \in k(x)$$

The restriction of this map to  $A$  gives a map to  $k[x]$ . Suppose that  $I = (\pi)$  some generator written as  $\pi = \alpha + \beta y$ . Since  $(\pi) = (y, x - t_1)$  we must have  $\pi \mid x - t_1$  and  $\pi \mid y$  which implies, via the multiplicativity of the norm that,

$$\begin{aligned} N(\pi) \mid N(x - t_1) &\implies \alpha^2 - \beta^2 f \mid (x - t_1)^2 \\ N(\pi) \mid N(y) &\implies \alpha^2 - \beta^2 f \mid f \end{aligned}$$

However, in  $k[x]$  the gcd of  $(x - t_1)^2$  and  $f$  is  $(x - t_1)$  since the roots of  $f$  are distinct. Therefore,  $N(\pi) \mid (x - t_1)$ . However, in order for  $\alpha^2 - \beta^2 f$  to divide  $x - t_1$  we must have  $\deg(\alpha^2 - \beta^2 f) \leq 1$ . But since  $\deg f > 0$  either  $\beta = 0$ , in which case,  $\alpha^2 \mid x - t_1$  which is impossible unless  $\alpha \in k^\times$  because  $x - t_1$  is not a square in  $k[x]$ . In that case  $\pi = \alpha \in k^\times$  which cannot generate  $I$  since  $I$  is proper. Otherwise, for  $\deg(\alpha^2 - \beta^2 f) \leq 1$  we must have the leading terms of  $\alpha^2$  and  $\beta^2 f$  cancel which implies that they have equal degree. Thus,

$$2 \deg \alpha = 2 \deg \beta + \deg f$$

However, by hypothesis,  $\deg f$  is odd and thus we reach a contradiction so  $I$  cannot be principal.

## 4 02DU

Let  $A$  be a ring.

### 4.1

Suppose that  $M$  is a finite locally free  $A$ -module and suppose that  $\varphi : M \rightarrow M$  is an endomorphism. Let  $X = \text{Spec}(A)$  and consider the induced endomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules,  $\varphi_* : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ . Because  $M$  is finite locally free, at each  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$  there exists  $f \in A$  such that  $\mathfrak{p} \in D(f)$  (i.e.  $f \notin \mathfrak{p}$ ) and  $\tilde{M}(D(f)) = M_f$  is a free  $A_f$ -module. Therefore,  $\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{M}(D(f)) \rightarrow \tilde{M}(D(f))$  is a map of free  $A_f$ -modules which has a standard trace and determinant in  $A_f = \mathcal{O}_X(D(f))$  computed via the matrix

representation denoted by  $\text{tr}_f(\varphi) \in A_f$  and  $\det_f(\varphi) \in A_f$ . We need to show that these sections agree on overlaps. Choose a basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  of  $M_f$  as an  $A_f$ -module so  $M_f = e_1 A_f \oplus \dots \oplus e_n A_f \cong A_f^{\oplus n}$ . We have,

$$\widetilde{M}|_{D(f)} \cong \widetilde{M}_f \cong \widetilde{A_f^{\oplus n}} \cong \mathcal{O}_X|_{D(f)}^{\oplus n}$$

This gives a diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{M}(D(f)) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{O}_X(D(f))^{\oplus n} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widetilde{M}(D(g)) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{O}_X(D(g))^{\oplus n} \end{array}$$

Since the right restriction map sends an  $A_f$  basis to an  $A_g$  basis, the same must be true of the left restriction map. Then given  $D(g) \subset D(f_1) \cap D(f_2)$  then we can write  $\varphi(e_i^k) = \sum_{j=1}^n B_{ji}^k e_j^k$  for  $k = 1, 2$  and we have  $\text{tr}_{f_k} = \sum_{i=1}^n B_{ii}^k$  as an element of  $A_{f_k}$ . Under restriction, both  $\{e_i^k\}$  for  $k = 1, 2$  are sent to a  $A_g$ -basis of  $M_g$ . Therefore, since we have the diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{M}(D(f)) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \widetilde{M}(D(f)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widetilde{M}(D(g)) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \widetilde{M}(D(f)) \end{array}$$

The matrix elements for  $\varphi : M_g \rightarrow M_g$  in the restriction basis must be the restriction ( $A_f \rightarrow A_g$ ) of the matrix elements of  $\varphi : M_f \rightarrow M_f$  since,

$$\text{res}(\varphi(e_i^k)) = \text{res}\left(\sum_{j=1}^n B_{ji}^k e_j^k\right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \text{res}_A(B_{ji}^k) \text{res}(e_j^k)$$

However,  $\text{res} \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \text{res}$  and  $\text{res}(e_i^k)$  is also a basis with matrix  $B_{ij}'^k$  so we have,

$$\text{res}(\varphi(e_i^k)) = \varphi(\text{res}(e_i^k)) = \sum_{j=1}^n B_{ji}'^k \text{res}(e_j^k)$$

proving the claim. Therefore, we can compute the trace and determinant in either basis  $B_{ij}'^k$  which must be equal since they are coordinate independent,

$$\text{tr}_g = \sum_{i=1}^n B_{ii}'^k = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{res}_A(B_{ii}^k) = \text{res}_A\left(\sum_{i=1}^n B_{ii}^k\right) = \text{res}_A(\text{tr}_{f_k})$$

where I simply used the fact that  $\text{res}_A : A_{f_k} \rightarrow A_g$  is a ring map. Similarly, expressing the determinant in either induced basis we find,

$$\det_g = \det B'^k = \det(\text{res}_A(B^k)) = \text{res}_A(\det B^k) = \text{res}_A(\det_{f_k})$$

Therefore, both the determinant and trace agree when restricted to the overlap. Thus, we may glue to obtain unique global sections  $\text{tr}\varphi$  and  $\det\varphi$ .

Let  $M$  be a finite locally-free  $A$  module and  $N$  a finite locally-free  $B$ -module. Consider a ring map  $r : A \rightarrow B$  and compatible module map  $g : M \rightarrow N$  and two endomorphisms  $\varphi : M \rightarrow M$  and  $\psi : N \rightarrow N$  compatible with the module maps such that,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
M & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & M \\
g \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\
N & \xrightarrow{\psi} & N
\end{array}$$

commutes. Viewing  $N$  as an  $A$ -module, the above commutes as a diagram of  $A$ -module maps. I am not sure what being functorial in this triple means for a section such as  $\text{tr}\varphi \in A$  since the sections  $\text{tr}\varphi$  and  $\text{tr}\psi$  are not, in general, equal (consider  $M \subset N$  vectorspaces over  $A = k$  of different dimension and  $\varphi, \psi$  the corresponding identity maps which clearly make the square commute but have different traces).

## 4.2

Locally, the trace is computed standardly on maps of free modules. Given maps  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  and  $\psi : N \rightarrow M$  of finite locally free  $A$ -modules, about each point  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$  choose a neighborhood  $D(f)$  such that both  $M_f$  and  $N_f$  are free. Then the localized maps  $\varphi_f : M_f \rightarrow N_f$  and  $\psi_f : N_f \rightarrow M_f$  satisfy  $\text{tr}(\varphi_f \circ \psi_f) = \text{tr}(\psi_f \circ \varphi_f)$  and  $\det(\varphi_f \circ \psi_f) = \det(\psi_f \circ \varphi_f)$  for standard linear algebra reasons. The global traces and determinants restrict uniquely to these local traces and determinants which forces  $\text{tr}(\varphi \circ \psi) = \text{tr}(\psi \circ \varphi)$  and  $\det(\varphi \circ \psi) = \det(\psi \circ \varphi)$  since both global sections restrict to the same local sections on some cover.

## 4.3

Let  $M$  be a finite locally-free  $A$ -module. Consider the map  $\text{tr} : \text{End}_A(M) \rightarrow A$  defined above. Let  $\varphi, \psi : M \rightarrow M$  be endomorphisms and  $a, b \in A$ . Then consider  $\text{tr}(a\varphi + b\psi)$ . For each point  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$  there exists an open neighborhood  $D(f)$  such that  $M_f$  is free. Furthermore, by construction, the trace  $\text{tr}(a\varphi + b\psi)$  restricts to  $\text{tr}_f(a\varphi + b\psi)$  which is the trace of the map  $a\varphi + b\psi : M_f \rightarrow M_f$  which satisfies

$$\text{tr}_f(a\varphi + b\psi) = a \text{tr}_f\varphi + b \text{tr}_f\psi$$

for standard linear algebra reasons on free modules. Thus,  $a \text{tr}\varphi + b \text{tr}\psi$  restricts to the same local sections as  $\text{tr}(a\varphi + b\psi)$  on an open cover and thus they must be equal as global sections. The exact same argument shows that  $\det(\varphi \circ \psi) = \det(\varphi)\det(\psi)$ .

## 5 Lemmas

**Lemma 5.1.** Let  $I \subset A$  be an ideal and  $f \in I$  then  $I_f = A_f$ .

*Proof.* Consier the exact sequence of  $A$ -modules,

$$0 \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow A/I \longrightarrow 0$$

Since localization is an exact functor we get the exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow I_f \longrightarrow A_f \longrightarrow (A/I)_f \longrightarrow 0$$

However, since  $f \in I$  then  $[f] = 0$  in  $A/I$  which implies that  $(A/I)_f = 0$ . Therefore the inclusion  $I_f \rightarrow A_f$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$