# Mathematics GU4044 Representations of Finite Groups Assignment # 2

Benjamin Church

February 23, 2018

#### Problem 1.

Let k be a field of characteristic zero. Define the subspaces,

$$W_1 = \{(t, \dots, t) \mid t \in k\}$$

$$W_2 = \{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mid \sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 0\}$$

Define the map  $p_1: k^n \to W_1$  by  $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto (a(v), \dots, a(v))$  where  $a(v) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n t_i$ . Clearly,  $p_1$  is linear and for  $(t, \dots, t) \in W_1$  we have  $a = \frac{1}{n}(nt) = t$  so  $p_1(w) = (t, \dots, t)$ . Finally, given any  $t \in k^n$  take  $v = (tn, 0, \dots, 0) \in k^n$  then  $p_1(v) = (t, \dots, t)$  so  $W_1 \subset \operatorname{Im}(p_1)$  but clearly  $\operatorname{Im}(p_1) \subset W_1$  so  $\operatorname{Im}(p_1) = W_1$ . Therefore,  $p_1$  is a projection map. Furthermore,  $v \in \ker p_1 \iff a(v) = 0 \iff \sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 0$  so  $\ker p_1 = W_2$ . Thus,  $k^n = W_1 \oplus W_2$ .

Similarly, let  $p_2: k^n \to W_2$  be given by,  $p_2 = \mathrm{id}_{k^n} - p_1$  so  $p_2(t_1, \dots, t_n) = (t_1 - a(v), \dots, t_n - a(v))$ . As we have seen on the previous homework,  $p_2$  has image  $W_2$  and kernel  $W_1$ .

## Problem 2.

Let  $v_1 \in \mathbb{R}^2$  be the vector (1, -3) and let  $L_1 = \text{span}\{v_1\}$ .

- (a). Let  $v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus L_1$  and  $L_2 = \operatorname{span}\{v_2\}$ . Then, because  $v_2 \notin L_1$  the set  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  is independent which implies that  $L_1 \cap L_2 = \emptyset$ . Furthermore, dim  $\mathbb{R}^2 = 2$  so  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  being independent is also a basis. Therefore,  $L_1 + L_2 = \mathbb{R}^2$  so  $\mathbb{R}^2 = L_1 \oplus L_2$ .
- (b). Let  $v_2 = (-4, 9)$ . Define  $p : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $p(v_1) = v_1$  and  $p(v_2) = 0$ . The kernel of p is nontrivial (since  $v_2 \in \ker p$ ) but not full (because  $v_1 \notin \ker p$ ). Thus dim  $\ker p = 1$ . Thus, the kernel is spanned by any nonzero element. In particular,  $\ker p = \operatorname{span}\{v_2\} = L_2$ . Similarly, if  $v \in L_1$  then  $v = cv_1$  for  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  so  $p(v) = p(cv_1) = cp(v_1) = cv_1 = v$  so p(v) = v on  $L_1$ . This shows that  $L_1 \subset \operatorname{Im}(p)$ . However, by rank-nullity, dim  $\operatorname{Im}(p) = 1$  and dim  $L_1 = 1$  so  $\operatorname{Im}(p) = L_1$ .
- (c). In the basis  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  the matrix of p satisfying  $p(v_1) = v_1$  and  $p(v_2) = 0$  is given by,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now, define the change of basis matrix C such that  $C(e_1) = v_1$  and  $C(e_2) = v_2$  i.e.

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -4 \\ -3 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$$
 with inverse  $C^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & -4/3 \\ -1 & -1/3 \end{pmatrix}$ 

Therefore, in the standard basis, p is given by the matrix,

$$A' = CAC^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & -4/3 \\ 9 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

(d). Tr A' = -3 + 4 = 1 and likewise Tr A = 1 + 0 = 1.

#### Problem 3.

Let V be a k-vectorspace. Consider the map  $\Phi$ : Hom  $(k,V) \to V$  given by,  $\Phi(h) = h(1)$  where  $h: k \to V$  is any element of Hom (k,V). We must show that  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism. First, suppose that  $h \in \ker \Phi$  then h(1) = 0 so for any  $r \in k$  we have  $h(k) = h(1 \cdot k) = h(1)h(k) = 0$  so h is the zero map. Thus,  $\Phi$  is injective. Furthermore, for any  $v \in V$  consider the map  $\phi_v \in \operatorname{Hom}(k,V)$  given by  $\phi_v(c) = cv$ . Clearly,  $\phi_v$  is linear and  $\Phi(\phi_v) = \phi_v(1) = v$  so  $\Phi$  is surjective. Finally, for  $c_1, c_2 \in k$  and  $h_1, h_2 \in \operatorname{Hom}(k, V)$  consider,

$$\Phi(c_1h_1 + c_2h_2) = (c_1h_1 + c_2h_2)(1) = c_1h_1(1) + c_2h_2(1) = c_1\Phi(h_1) + c_2\Phi(h_2)$$

so  $\Phi$  is linear. Therefore,  $\operatorname{Hom}(k, V) \cong V$ .

Furthermore,  $\{B: k \times V \to W \mid B \text{ is bilinear}\} \cong \operatorname{Hom}(k \otimes V, W)$  via the universal property of the tensor product. However,  $k \otimes V \cong \operatorname{Hom}(k^*, V) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(k, V) \cong V$  were I have used the previous result and the fact that  $k^*$  is naturally isomorphic to k because k has a natural choice of basis, namely  $\{1\}$ . Thus, there is a natural isomorphism,

$$\{B: k \times V \to W \mid B \text{ is bilinear}\} \cong \operatorname{Hom}(V, W)$$

# Problem 4.

Let V and W be finite-dimensional vector spaces with bases,  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  and  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  respectively.

(a). Let  $F: V \to W$  be a linear map with matrix A such that  $F(v_i) = A_{ji}w_j$ . Then, consider the matrix of  $F^*: W^* \to V^*$  with respect to the dual bases  $v_1^*, \dots, v_n^*$  and  $w_1^*, \dots, w_n^*$ . Consider,

$$(F^*(w_i^*))(v_k) = (w_i^* \circ F)(v_k) = w_i^*(A_{jk}w_j) = A_{jk}\delta_{ij} = A_{ik}$$

Therefore,

$$F^*(w_i^*) = A_{ik}v_k^* = (A^\top)_{ki}v_k^*$$

so the matrix for  $F^*$  is  $A^{\top}$ .

(b). Let V and W be finite-dimensional k-vectorspaces. Consider the map  $\Phi$ : Hom  $(V, W) \to \text{Hom}(W^*, V^*)$  given by  $\Phi: F \mapsto F^*$ . First, we show that  $F \mapsto F^*$  is an injective linear map. This does not depend on the finite dimensional assumption. Suppose  $F^*$  is the zero map. Therefore, for any  $\phi \in W^*$  the map  $F^*(\phi) = \phi \circ F$  is the zero map. However,

there exists a  $\phi$  which is nonzero on any  $w \in W \setminus \{0\}$ . Thus, F must be the zero map so  $\Phi: F \mapsto F^*$  is injective. Furthermore,  $\Phi(F+G) = (F+G)^*$  which is a map such that  $(F+G)^*(\phi) = \phi \circ (F+G) = \phi \circ F + \phi \circ G = F^*(\phi) + G^*(\phi)$  so  $(F+G)^* = F^* + G^*$ . Thus,  $\Phi$  is linear.

Now, we need the fact that V and W are finite-dimensional. We know that  $\dim \operatorname{Hom}(V,W) = (\dim V)(\dim W)$  and likewise  $\dim \operatorname{Hom}(W^*,V^*) = (\dim W^*)(\dim V^*) = (\dim V)(\dim W)$ . Thus,  $\dim \operatorname{Hom}(W^*,V^*) = \dim \operatorname{Hom}(V,W)$  so because  $\Phi : \operatorname{Hom}(V,W) \to \operatorname{Hom}(W^*,V^*)$  is a linear injection it must also be a surjection and thus an isomorphism.

(c). For a map  $F:V\to V$  we know that if the matrix of F is A then the matrix of  $F^*$  is  $A^\top$ . Thus,  $\operatorname{Tr} F^*=\operatorname{Tr} A^\top=\operatorname{Tr} A=\operatorname{Tr} F$ .

### Problem 5.

Let  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  be a basis of  $V_1$  and  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  be a basis of  $V_2$  such that  $v_i \otimes w_j$  forms a basis of  $V_1 \otimes V_2$ . Let A be the matrix of  $F_1 : V_1 \to V_1$  and B the matrix of  $F_2 : V_2 \to V_2$  such that (using summation convention)  $F_1(v_i) = A_{ji}v_j$  and  $F_2(w_i) = B_{ji}(w_i)$ . Then,

$$(F_1 \otimes F_2)(v_i \otimes w_j) = F_1(v_i) \otimes F_2(w_j) = (A_{ai}v_a) \otimes (B_{bj}v_b) = \sum_{a,b} A_{ai}B_{bj}v_a \otimes v_b$$

Therefore, the matrix of  $F_1 \otimes F_2$  is  $A_{ai}B_{bj}$ . Thus,

$$\operatorname{Tr} F_1 \otimes F_2 = \sum_{a,b} A_{aa} B_{bb} = \sum_{a=1}^n A_{aa} \sum_{b=1}^n B_{bb} = (\operatorname{Tr} F_1)(\operatorname{Tr} F_2)$$

Similarly, let  $F_1: V_1 \to V_1$  and  $F_2: V_2 \to V_2$  be linear. Consider the linear map  $(F_2)_* \circ (F_1)^*$ : Hom  $(V_1, V_2) \to \text{Hom } (V_1, V_2)$ . A basis for Hom  $(V_1, V_2)$  can be written as  $v_i^* w_j$  where  $v_i^*$  is an element of the dual basis and  $(v_i^* w_i)(v) = v_i^*(v) \cdot w_i$ . Thus,

$$((F_2)_* \circ (F_1)^*)(v_i^* w_j)(v_l) = (F_2)_*(v_i^* w_j \circ F_1)(v_l) = F_2 \circ (v_i^* w_j) \circ F_1(v_l) = F_2(v_i^* (A_{al} v_a) w_j)$$
$$= F_2(A_{al} v_i^* (v_a) w_j) = A_{al} F_2(\delta_{ia} w_j) = A_{il} B_{rj} w_r$$

Therefore,

$$((F_2)_* \circ (F_1)^*)(v_i^* w_i) = A_{il} B_{ri} v_l^* w_r$$

so the trace becomes,

$$\operatorname{Tr} ((F_2)_* \circ (F_1)^*) = \sum_{i,j} A_{ii} B_{jj} = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ii} \sum_{j=1}^n B_{jj} = (\operatorname{Tr} F_1)(\operatorname{Tr} F_2)$$

## Problem 6.

Let  $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  be diagonalizable. Suppose that every eigenvalue of A has absolute value 1 then  $\lambda^{-1} = \bar{\lambda}$ . However, since A is diagonalizable, Tr  $A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i$  counting multiplicity if necessary. However, if  $Av = \lambda v$  then  $A^{-1}\lambda v = v$  so  $A^{-1}v = \frac{1}{\lambda}v$  and visa versa. Thus, the eigenvalues of  $A^{-1}$  are exactly one over the eigenvalues of A. Therefore,

$$\operatorname{Tr} A^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{\lambda_i} = \overline{\operatorname{Tr} A}$$

# Problem 7.

Let  $A: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be an invertivle matrix. Then, consider  $(AA^{-1})^{\top} = (A^{-1})^{\top}A^{\top} = I^{\top} = I$  and  $(A^{-1}A)^{\top} = A^{\top}(A^{-1})^{\top} = I^{\top} = I$ . Therefore,  $(A^{-1})^{\top}$  is an inverse of  $A^{\top}$ . By the uniqueness of inverses,  $(A^{-1})^{\top} = (A^{\top})^{-1}$ .

# Lemmas