

# 1 Quantum Mechanincs In 1D

## 2 Quantum Erasers

## 3 Spherical Harmonics

### 3.1 Feynman's Trick

Consider the Spherical Harmonic,

$$Y_m^\ell(\theta, \phi) = \langle \theta, \phi | \ell, m \rangle$$

Now denote the state with total angular momentum  $\ell(\ell+1)$  and component  $m$  along the axis defined by  $(\theta, \phi)$  as  $|\ell, m, \theta, \phi\rangle$ . That is,

$$|\ell, m, \theta, \phi\rangle = R(\theta, \phi) |\ell, m\rangle$$

These states with fixed  $(\theta, \phi)$  and  $\ell$  varying over  $m$  form a complete set of states for the fixed  $\ell$ -multiplet subspace. thus,

$$Y_m^\ell(\theta, \phi) = \langle \theta, \phi | \ell, m \rangle = \sum_{m'=-\ell}^{\ell} \langle \theta, \phi | \ell, m', \theta, \phi \rangle \langle \ell, m', \theta, \phi | \ell, m \rangle$$

However, it is easily shown that,

$$\langle \theta, \phi | \ell, m', \theta, \phi \rangle = \langle +z | R(\theta, \phi)^\dagger R(\theta, \phi) | \ell, m' \rangle = \langle +z | \ell, m' \rangle = Y_{m'}^\ell(0, 0)$$

is zero unless  $m = 0$ . Thus we find,

$$Y_m^\ell(\theta, \phi) = Y_0^\ell(0, 0) \langle \ell, 0, \theta, \phi | \ell, m \rangle = Y_0^\ell(0, 0) \langle \ell, 0 | R(\theta, \phi)^\dagger | \ell, m \rangle$$

which agrees with Feynman's formula.

## 4 Multi-Electron Atoms

### 4.1 The Born-Oppenheimer Approximation

### 4.2 Exchange Energies

First consider two electrons in a central nuclear potential with Hamiltonian,

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_1 + \hat{H}_2 + \frac{e^2}{|r_1 - r_2|} = \frac{\hat{p}_1^2}{2m_e} + \frac{\hat{p}_2^2}{2m_e} - \frac{Ze^2}{r_1} - \frac{Ze^2}{r_2} + \frac{e^2}{|r_1 - r_2|}$$

where  $\hat{H}_1 = \hat{H}_e \otimes I_2$  and  $\hat{H}_2 = I_1 \otimes \hat{H}_e$  and,

$$\hat{H}_e = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m_e} - \frac{Ze^2}{r}$$

Consider two electrons in states  $|\psi\rangle$  and  $|\phi\rangle$  given by the slater determinant,

$$|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|\psi\rangle \otimes |\phi\rangle - |\phi\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle]$$

Note we may require  $\langle\psi|\psi\rangle = \langle\phi|\phi\rangle = 1$  and  $\langle\psi|\phi\rangle = 0$  without loss of generality since any parallel term is removed in the antisymmetrization. Now consider,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\Psi|\hat{H}|\Psi\rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \langle\psi|\hat{H}_e|\psi\rangle + \langle\phi|\hat{H}_e|\phi\rangle + \langle\psi|\otimes\langle\phi|\frac{e^2}{|r_1-r_2|}|\psi\rangle\otimes|\phi\rangle \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \langle\psi|\hat{H}_e|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|\psi\rangle + \langle\psi|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|\hat{H}_e|\psi\rangle + \langle\psi|\otimes\langle\phi|\frac{e^2}{|r_1-r_2|}|\phi\rangle\otimes|\psi\rangle \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \langle\phi|\hat{H}_e|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|\phi\rangle + \langle\phi|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|\hat{H}_e|\phi\rangle + \langle\phi|\otimes\langle\psi|\frac{e^2}{|r_1-r_2|}|\psi\rangle\otimes|\phi\rangle \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \langle\phi|\hat{H}_e|\phi\rangle + \langle\psi|\hat{H}_e|\psi\rangle + \langle\phi|\otimes\langle\psi|\frac{e^2}{|r_1-r_2|}|\phi\rangle\otimes|\psi\rangle \right] \end{aligned}$$

We may combine these matrix elements to find,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\Psi|\hat{H}|\Psi\rangle &= \langle\psi|\hat{H}_e|\psi\rangle + \langle\phi|\hat{H}_e|\phi\rangle + \langle\psi|\otimes\langle\phi|\frac{e^2}{|r_1-r_2|}|\psi\rangle\otimes|\phi\rangle \\ &\quad - \langle\phi|\otimes\langle\phi|\frac{e^2}{|r_1-r_2|}|\phi\rangle\otimes|\phi\rangle \end{aligned}$$

This is the energy we expect for the first electron in state  $|\psi\rangle$  and the second in state  $|\phi\rangle$  except for the last term, the exchange energy,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{ex}} &= -\langle\phi|\otimes\langle\phi|\frac{e^2}{|r_1-r_2|}|\phi\rangle\otimes|\phi\rangle = -\int d^3x d^3y \phi^*(x)\psi^*(y)\frac{e^2}{|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|}\psi(x)\phi(y) \\ &\quad - \int d^3x d^3y \frac{e^2}{|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|}\psi(x)\phi^*(x)\psi^*(y)\phi(y) \end{aligned}$$

### 4.3 Hartee-Fock Theory

The wavefunction of an arbitrary  $n$ -electron state must be totally antisymmetric. Given an orthonormal set of  $n$  single-electron states the closest multi-electron state which satisfies the proper fermionic condition is given by a Slater determinant,

$$\Psi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \begin{vmatrix} \psi_1(x_1) & \psi_1(x_2) & \cdots & \psi_1(x_n) \\ \psi_2(x_1) & \psi_2(x_2) & \cdots & \psi_2(x_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \psi_n(x_1) & \psi_n(x_2) & \cdots & \psi_n(x_n) \end{vmatrix}$$

Where  $\psi_i$  is the  $i$ -th spin-orbital i.e. the variable  $x_i$  lives in the product space of position and spin degrees of freedom. Explicitly,  $x = (\vec{r}, s)$  and  $\psi_i(x) = (\langle\vec{r}|\otimes\langle s|)|\psi_i\rangle$ . Recall that this multi-electron state is only properly normalized if we require that,

$$\langle\psi_i|\psi_j\rangle = \delta_{ij}$$

Our first task is to compute the energy of such a Slater determinant. Recall that, under the Born-Oppenheimer approximation, the multi-electron Hamiltonian is,

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \frac{\vec{p}_i^2}{2m_e} - \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{Z_j e^2}{|\vec{r}_i - \vec{R}_j|} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{e^2}{|\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j|} = \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{H}_S^{(i)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \hat{H}_R^{(ij)}$$

where  $\hat{H}_S$ , the single particle Hamiltonian acts on the product space as a product, and  $\hat{H}_R$  only mixes pairs of spaces. Thus we have,

$$\begin{aligned} E_\Psi &= \langle \Psi | \hat{H} | \Psi \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \Psi | \hat{H}_S^{(i)} | \Psi \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \langle \Psi | \hat{H}_R^{(ij)} | \Psi \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_n} \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{\text{sign}(\sigma) + \text{sign}(\tau)} \langle \psi_{\sigma(1)} | \otimes \cdots \otimes \langle \psi_{\sigma(n)} | \hat{H}_S^{(i)} | \psi_{\tau(1)} \rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes | \psi_{\tau(n)} \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2n!} \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_n} \sum_{i \neq j} (-1)^{\text{sign}(\sigma) + \text{sign}(\tau)} \langle \psi_{\sigma(1)} | \otimes \cdots \otimes \langle \psi_{\sigma(n)} | \hat{H}_R^{(ij)} | \psi_{\tau(1)} \rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes | \psi_{\tau(n)} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Now if  $\sigma \neq \tau$  then there are at least two values which differ between them (since  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are permutations). Therefore, the first inner product is zero unless  $\sigma = \tau$ . Thus, the single particle Hamiltonian gives a contribution,

$$\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \psi_{\sigma(1)} | \otimes \cdots \otimes \langle \psi_{\sigma(n)} | \hat{H}_S^{(i)} | \psi_{\sigma(1)} \rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes | \psi_{\sigma(n)} \rangle = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_{\sigma(i)} = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i$$

where

$$h_i = \langle \psi_i | \hat{H}_S | \psi_i \rangle$$

since the sum over such values is invariant under permutation. Now consider the two-electron repulsion terms,

$$\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_n} \sum_{i \neq j} (-1)^{\text{sign}(\sigma) + \text{sign}(\tau)} \langle \psi_{\sigma(1)} | \otimes \cdots \otimes \langle \psi_{\sigma(n)} | \hat{H}_R^{(ij)} | \psi_{\tau(1)} \rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes | \psi_{\tau(n)} \rangle$$

Since all but two of the single-particle states must correspond for the inner product to be nonzero, the only non-equal option is that  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  differ by a single transposition, that is,  $\sigma = \tau \circ (a b)$ . Thus, the repulsion terms become,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \sum_{i \neq j} \langle \psi_{\sigma(1)} | \otimes \cdots \otimes \langle \psi_{\sigma(n)} | \hat{H}_R^{(ij)} | \psi_{\sigma(1)} \rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes | \psi_{\sigma(n)} \rangle \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \sum_{a < b} \sum_{i \neq j} \langle \psi_{\sigma(1)} | \otimes \cdots \otimes \langle \psi_{\sigma(n)} | \hat{H}_R^{(ij)} | \psi_{\sigma(1)} \rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes | \psi_{\sigma(n)} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \sum_{i \neq j} J_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)} - \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \sum_{i \neq j} K_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)} \\ &= \sum_{i \neq j} [J_{ij} - K_{ij}] \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$J_{ij} = \langle \psi_i | \otimes \langle \psi_j | H_R | \psi_i \rangle \otimes | \psi_j \rangle = \int d^3x_1 d^3x_2 \psi_i^*(x_1) \psi_j^*(x_2) \frac{e^2}{|\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2|} \psi_i(x_1) \psi_j(x_2)$$

$$K_{ij} = \langle \psi_i | \otimes \langle \psi_j | H_R | \psi_j \rangle \otimes | \psi_i \rangle = \int d^3x_1 d^3x_2 \psi_i^*(x_1) \psi_j^*(x_2) \frac{e^2}{|\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2|} \psi_j(x_1) \psi_i(x_2)$$

Note that if  $|\psi_i\rangle$  and  $|\psi_j\rangle$  are product states in the spin degrees of freedom then  $K_{ij}$  is zero for opposite spin states. Thus, finally, the energy becomes,

$$E_\Psi = \langle \Psi | \hat{H} | \Psi \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n [J_{ij} - K_{ij}]$$

Note that we may include the  $i = j$  cross terms noting that  $K_{ii} = J_{ii}$ . Now we use the variational principal with respect to the states  $|\psi_1\rangle$  subject to the constraint,

$$\langle \psi_1 | \psi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$$

Therefore we introduce Largange multipliers and set the variation to zero,

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta \psi_i^*(x)} \left[ \langle \Psi | \hat{H} | \Psi \rangle - \sum_{i,j=1}^n (\langle \psi_i | \psi_j \rangle - \delta_{ij}) \varepsilon_{ij} \right] = 0$$

Therefore,

$$\left( \hat{H}_S + \sum_{j=1}^n [\hat{J}_j - \hat{K}_j] \right) \psi_i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_{ij} \psi_j(x)$$

where,

$$\hat{J}_j \psi(x) = \left[ \int d^3x_j \psi_j^*(x_j) \frac{e^2}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}_j|} \psi_j(x_j) \right] \psi(x)$$

$$\hat{K}_j \psi(x) = \left[ \int d^3x_j \psi_j^*(x_j) \frac{e^2}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}_j|} \psi(x_j) \right] \psi_j(x)$$

Thus we have derived the Fock operator,

$$\hat{F} = \hat{H}_S + \sum_{j=1}^n [\hat{J}_j - \hat{K}_j]$$

It remains to solve this pseudo-eigenvalue problem with the nonlinear operators. First, let  $U_{ij}$  be an  $n \times n$  special unitary matrix. Consider the transformation,

$$|\psi'_i\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n U_{ij} |\psi_j\rangle$$

which preserves the inner product,

$$\langle \psi'_i | \psi'_j \rangle = \sum_{k,\ell=1}^n \langle \psi_k | \psi_\ell \rangle U_{ik}^* U_{j\ell} = \sum_{k,\ell=1}^n \delta_{k\ell} U_{ik}^* U_{j\ell} = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \psi_k | \psi_\ell \rangle U_{jk} (U^\dagger)_{ki} = \delta_{ij}$$

Now consider the matrix,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi'_1(x_1) & \psi'_1(x_2) & \cdots & \psi'_1(x_n) \\ \psi'_2(x_1) & \psi'_2(x_2) & \cdots & \psi'_2(x_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \psi'_n(x_1) & \psi'_n(x_2) & \cdots & \psi'_n(x_n) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_{11} & U_{12} & \cdots & U_{1n} \\ U_{21} & U_{22} & \cdots & U_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ U_{n1} & U_{n2} & \cdots & U_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(x_1) & \psi_1(x_2) & \cdots & \psi_1(x_n) \\ \psi_2(x_1) & \psi_2(x_2) & \cdots & \psi_2(x_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \psi_n(x_1) & \psi_n(x_2) & \cdots & \psi_n(x_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

Since  $\det U = 1$  thus we have shown that the determinant of this matrix remains unchanged and thus  $|\Psi'\rangle = |\Psi\rangle$ . Therefore, transforming a solution set of single-electron wave functions via  $U$  will not change of the physical wavefunction and thus still represent an energy minimum. Since  $\varepsilon_{ij}$  is a symmetric matrix, it can be diagonalized via a special unitary matrix  $U$ . Therefore, we can apply such a transformation to make the representing single-electron wavefunction eigenvectors of the matrix  $\varepsilon_{ij}$  to reduce the Fock equation to the eigenvalue form,

$$\hat{F}\psi_i = \varepsilon_i \psi_i$$

## 5 Time Independent Perturbation Theory

### 5.1 Non-Degenerate Perturbation Theory

### 5.2 First-Order

#### 5.2.1 Second-Order Non-Degenerate Perturbation Theory

#### 5.2.2 Higher-Order Non-Degenerate Perturbation Theory

## 6 Degenerate Perturbation Theory

### 6.1 First-Order Degenerate Perturbation Theory

#### 6.1.1 Second-Order Degenerate Perturbation Theory

## 7 Symmetries and Conservation

## 8 Wigner's Theorem

### 8.1 Quantum Dynamics

#### 8.1.1 Unitary Time Evolution

#### 8.1.2 The Heisenberg Picture

#### 8.1.3 The Interaction Picture

### 8.2 The Adjoint Representation

(DEGENERACY) (WINGER THEOREM)

## **9 Representation Theory**

### **9.1 Tensor Operators**

### **9.2 The Wigner-Eckart Theorem**

## **10 Angular Momentum**

## **11 The Radial Equation**

## **12 The Harmonic Oscillator**

### **12.1 The Explicit Series Solution**

### **12.2 The Operator Method**

### **12.3 Coherent States**

### **12.4 Squeezed States**

### **12.5 Multidimensional Harmonic Oscillators**

(SYMMETRY  $SU(N)$ )

### **12.6 Coupled Oscillators**

## **13 The Hydrogen Atom**

### **13.1 The Non-Relativistic Solution**

#### **13.1.1 The Explicit Series Solution**

#### **13.1.2 The Operator Method**

### **13.2 Hidden Symmetry of the Coulomb Problem**

(RUNGE LENZ)

## 13.3 Relativistic Corrections

### 13.3.1 Relativistic Momentum

### 13.3.2 Spin-Orbit Coupling

### 13.3.3 The Darwin Term

### 13.3.4 The First-Order Relativistic Correction

## 13.4 The Hyperfine Structure

### 13.4.1 Nuclear Spin and Applied Magnetic Fields

## 13.5 Atoms in Applied Fields

### 13.5.1 The Stark Effect

### 13.5.2 The (Anomalous) Zeeman Effect

### 13.5.3 The Lande $g$ Factor

## 14 Two State Systems

### 14.1 Time-Independent Solution

### 14.2 The Ammonia Maser

### 14.3 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance

## 15 Time-Dependent Perturbation Theory

### 15.1 The General Case

#### 15.1.1 First-Order Time-Dependent Perturbation Theory

#### 15.1.2 Higher-Order Time-Dependent Perturbation Theory

### 15.2 Harmonic Perturbations

#### 15.2.1 First-Order Time-Dependent Perturbation Theory

#### 15.2.2 Second-Order Time-Dependent Perturbation Theory

#### 15.2.3 The Exact Result

## 16 The Atomic Theory of Radiation

### 16.1 Stimulated Emission and Absorption

### 16.2 Spontaneous Emission

## 17 The Dirac Equation

8

### 17.1 Introduction

### 17.2 Spinor Transformations

### 17.3 The Non-Relativistic Limit

#### 17.3.1 First Relativistic Corrections