

# Complex Analysis and Riemann Surfaces II

## Final Exam

Ben Church

October 23, 2020

### 1 Problem 1

**Remark 1.** In my notation  $q > n$  is the number written as  $p$  in the problem statement. I realized too late for it to be feasible to change notation since  $p$  appears in many formulae we derived in class which I used. I apologize for any confusion this may cause.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension  $n$  and let  $f \in C^0(M)$  satisfy,

$$\int_M e^f \omega^n = \int_M \omega^n$$

Consider the complex Monge-Ampere equation,

$$(\omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi)^n = e^f \omega^n \quad \omega_\varphi = \omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi > 0 \quad \sup_M \varphi = 0$$

Choose any real  $q > n$  then there exists a constant  $C(M, \omega, \|e^f\|_q)$  only depending on  $M$ ,  $\omega$ , and  $\|e^f\|_q$  such that,

$$\|\varphi\|_{C^0(M)} \leq C$$

**Remark 2.** I will use the notation  $\|\psi\|_p = \|\psi\|_{L^p(M)}$  and  $\|\psi\|_{C^0} = \|\psi\|_{C^0(M)}$ .

**Remark 3.** The proof will require multiple steps. Let  $r = \frac{q}{q-1} < \frac{n}{n-1} \leq 2$  and take any  $w_0 > r$ . We will split up the proof into a number of steps:

1. Use Moser iteration via the Sobolev Inequality to establish the bound,

$$\|\varphi\|_{C^0} = \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_1(M, \omega, \|e^f\|_q) \cdot \|\varphi\|_{L^{w_0}} + 1$$

2. Use the Poincare Inequality to find, for a specific  $w_0 > r$ , a bound,

$$\|\varphi - \varphi_{\text{avg}}\|_{L^{w_0}} \leq C_2(M, \omega, \|e^f\|_q) \cdot \|\varphi\|_{L^1}^2$$

3. Apply Green's functions to show that,

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^1} \leq C_3(M, \omega, \|e^f\|_q)$$

## 1.1 Step 1: Moser Iteration

It will be convenient in this section to define  $\phi = 1 - \varphi$  such that  $\phi \geq 1$  and thus  $\phi^a \leq \phi^b$  when  $a \leq b$ .

From the defining equation, for any  $p \geq 1$ ,

$$\int_M \phi^{p-1} (\omega_\phi^n - \omega^n) = \int_M \phi^{p-1} (e^f - 1) \omega^n$$

Recall the Holder inequality,

$$\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \implies \|fg\|_1 \leq \|f\|_r \|g\|_q$$

Therefore, if we choose  $r > 1$  such that,

$$\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \implies r = \frac{q}{q-1} < \frac{n}{n-1}$$

then we find,

$$\int_M \phi^{p-1} (e^f - 1) \omega^n \leq \|\phi^{p-1} (e^f - 1)\|_1 \leq \|\phi^{p-1}\|_r \|(e^f - 1)\|_q \leq \|\phi^{p-1}\|_r (\|e^f\|_q + \text{Vol}(M)^{\frac{1}{q}})$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{p-1} (\omega_\phi^n - \omega^n) &= \phi^{p-1} (\omega_\varphi - \omega) \wedge (\omega_\varphi^{n-1} + \dots + \omega^{n-1}) \\ &= \phi^{p-1} i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi \wedge (\omega_\varphi^{n-1} + \dots + \omega^{n-1}) \\ &= -\phi^{p-1} i \partial \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge (\omega_\varphi^{n-1} + \dots + \omega^{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

since,  $i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi = -i \partial \bar{\partial} \phi$ . Furthermore, because  $\omega$  and  $\omega_\varphi$  are Kähler forms and thus closed,

$$\begin{aligned} d(\phi^{p-1} i \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge (\omega_\varphi^{n-1} + \dots + \omega^{n-1})) &= (p-1) \phi^{p-2} d\phi \wedge i \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge (\omega_\varphi^{n-1} + \dots + \omega^{n-1}) \\ &\quad + \phi^{p-1} i d(\bar{\partial} \phi) \wedge (\omega_\varphi^{n-1} + \dots + \omega^{n-1}) \\ &= (p-1) \phi^{p-2} (\partial \phi + \bar{\partial} \phi) \wedge i \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge (\omega_\varphi^{n-1} + \dots + \omega^{n-1}) \\ &\quad + \phi^{p-1} i (\partial \bar{\partial} \phi + \bar{\partial}^2 \phi) \wedge (\omega_\varphi^{n-1} + \dots + \omega^{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

However,  $\bar{\partial} \phi \wedge \bar{\partial} \phi = 0$  and  $\bar{\partial}^2 \phi = 0$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} d(\phi^{p-1} i \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge (\omega_\varphi^{n-1} + \dots + \omega^{n-1})) &= (p-1) \phi^{p-2} \partial \phi \wedge i \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge (\omega_\varphi^{n-1} + \dots + \omega^{n-1}) \\ &\quad + \phi^{p-1} i \partial \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge (\omega_\varphi^{n-1} + \dots + \omega^{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Then applying Stokes theorem on the closed manifold  $M$  we find,

$$-\int_M \phi^{p-1} i \partial \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge (\omega_\varphi^{n-1} + \dots + \omega^{n-1}) = \int_M (p-1) \phi^{p-2} i \partial \phi \wedge \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge (\omega_\varphi^{n-1} + \dots + \omega^{n-1})$$

and therefore,

$$\int_M \phi^{p-1} (\omega_\varphi^n - \omega^n) = \int_M (p-1) \phi^{p-2} i \partial \phi \wedge \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge (\omega_\varphi^{n-1} + \dots + \omega^{n-1})$$

However, note that,

$$\frac{1}{n} |\nabla \phi|_\omega^2 \omega^n = i \partial \phi \wedge \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge \omega^{n-1}$$

and that  $\omega > 0$  and  $\omega_\varphi > 0$  which implies that,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p-1}{n} \int_M \phi^{p-2} |\nabla \phi|_\omega^2 \omega^n &= (p-1) \int_M \phi^{p-2} i \partial \phi \wedge \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge \omega^{n-1} \\ &\leq \int_M (p-1) \phi^{p-2} i \partial \phi \wedge \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge (\omega_\varphi^{n-1} + \dots + \omega^{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, note that,

$$\phi^{p-2} |\nabla \phi|_\omega^2 = |\phi^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \nabla \phi|_\omega^2 = \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^2 |\nabla \phi^{\frac{p}{2}}|_\omega^2$$

Therefore, we have calculated,

$$\int_M |\nabla \phi^{\frac{p}{2}}|_\omega^2 \omega^n \leq \frac{np^2}{4(p-1)} \int_M \phi^{p-1} (\omega_\varphi^n - \omega^n) \leq \frac{np^2}{4(p-1)} \|\phi^{p-1}\|_r \cdot (\|e^f\|_q + \text{Vol}(M)^{\frac{1}{q}})$$

Recall the Sobolev inequality for  $(M, \omega)$  which states that for any positive  $\eta \in C^1(M)$  there exists  $C$  depending only on  $M$  and  $\omega$  such that,

$$\left( \int_M \eta^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \omega^n \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq C \left( \int_M (|\nabla \eta|^2 + \eta^2) \omega^n \right)$$

We apply this inequality in the case  $\eta = \phi^{\frac{p}{2}}$ . Then we find that,

$$\left( \int_M \phi^{p \cdot \frac{2n}{n-1}} \omega^n \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq C \left( \int_M (|\nabla \phi^{\frac{p}{2}}|^2 + \phi^p) \omega^n \right)$$

Plugging in our previous result,

$$\left( \int_M \phi^{p \cdot \frac{n}{n-1}} \omega^n \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq C \left[ \frac{np^2}{4(p-1)} \cdot (\|e^f\|_q + \text{Vol}(M)^{\frac{1}{q}}) \left( \int_M \phi^{(p-1)r} \omega^n \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} + \int_M \phi^p \omega^n \right]$$

Define  $\chi = \frac{n}{n-1}$  and let  $w = pr$ . Since  $p > 1$  is arbitrary and  $1 < r < \frac{n}{n-1} = \chi$  then  $w \geq 2$  is arbitrary. Now let  $\xi = \chi/r > 1$  and using the fact that  $\phi \geq 1$  so we may increase the powers as we wish in this inequality, we find,

$$\left( \int_M \phi^{w \cdot \xi} \omega^n \right)^{\frac{1}{\chi}} \leq C \left[ \frac{np^2}{4(p-1)} \cdot (\|e^f\|_q + \text{Vol}(M)^{\frac{1}{q}}) \left( \int_M \phi^w \omega^n \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} + \int_M \phi^p \omega^n \right]$$

However, by the Holder inequality,

$$\|\phi^p\|_{L^1} \leq \|\phi^p\|_r \|1\|_q = \|\phi^p\|_r \cdot \text{Vol}(M)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

Furthermore,

$$\|\phi^p\|_r = \left( \int_M \phi^w \omega^n \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

which implies that,

$$\left( \int_M \phi^{w \cdot \xi} \omega^n \right)^{\frac{1}{\xi}} \leq C \left[ \frac{np^2}{4(p-1)} \cdot (\|e^f\|_q + \text{Vol}(M)^{\frac{1}{q}}) + \text{Vol}(M)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] \cdot \left( \int_M \phi^w \omega^n \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

Now define,

$$K(M, \omega, \|e^f\|_q) = Cn(\|e^f\|_q + \text{Vol}(M)^{\frac{1}{q}})$$

such that,

$$C \left[ \frac{np^2}{4(p-1)} \cdot (\|e^f\|_q + \text{Vol}(M)^{\frac{1}{q}}) + \text{Vol}(M)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] \leq K \left[ \frac{p^2}{4(p-1)} + 1 \right]$$

Thus, exponentiating by  $1/p$  we find,

$$\left( \int_M \phi^{w \cdot \xi} \omega^n \right)^{\frac{1}{w \cdot \xi}} \leq K^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \frac{p^2}{4(p-1)} + 1 \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_M \phi^w \omega^n \right)^{\frac{1}{w}}$$

and therefore,

$$\|\phi\|_{w\xi} \leq K^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \frac{p^2}{4(p-1)} + 1 \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \|\phi\|_w$$

for any  $w \geq 2$  where  $C$  does not depend on  $w$ . We may apply this inequality inductively, on a sequence  $w_k = w_0 \xi^k$  and thus  $p_k = w_0 / r \xi^k$  where  $w_0 > r$  so that  $p_k > 1$ . Then, at each step we have,

$$\|\phi\|_{w_{k+1}} \leq K^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \left[ \frac{p_k^2}{4(p_k-1)} + 1 \right]^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \|\phi\|_{w_k}$$

and thus we find,

$$\|\phi\|_{w_{k+1}} \leq \prod_{j=0}^k \left( K^{\frac{1}{p_j}} \left[ \frac{p_j^2}{4(p_j-1)} + 1 \right]^{\frac{1}{p_j}} \right) \|\phi\|_{w_0}$$

Recall that  $\xi > 1$  and  $p_0 = w_0 / r > 1$  since  $r < \frac{n}{n-1} \leq 2$ . First,

$$\prod_{j=0}^k K^{\frac{1}{p_j}} = K^{\sum_{j=0}^k \frac{1}{p_j}}$$

but the series is geometric,

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \frac{1}{p_k} = \frac{r}{w_0} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{1}{\xi^j} \leq \frac{r}{w_0} \frac{1}{1-\xi}$$

and thus converges in the limit  $k \rightarrow \infty$  since  $\xi > 1$ . Furthermore, since  $\xi > 1$  there exists some  $N$  such that for  $j \geq N$  we have  $p_j > 2$  and thus,

$$\frac{p_k^2}{4(p_k - 1)} < p_k$$

which implies that when  $k > N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=0}^k \left[ \frac{p_k^2}{4(p_k - 1)} + 1 \right]^{\frac{1}{p_k}} &= \prod_{j=0}^N \left[ \frac{p_k^2}{4(p_k - 1)} + 1 \right]^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \cdot \prod_{j=N}^k \left[ \frac{p_k^2}{4(p_k - 1)} + 1 \right]^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \\ &\leq \prod_{j=0}^N \left[ \frac{p_k^2}{4(p_k - 1)} + 1 \right]^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \cdot \prod_{j=N}^k p_k^{p_k} \\ &= \prod_{j=0}^N \left[ \frac{p_k^2}{4(p_k - 1)} + 1 \right]^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \cdot \prod_{j=N}^k \left( \frac{w_0}{r} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \cdot \xi^{\frac{j}{p_k}} \\ &= \prod_{j=0}^N \left[ \frac{p_k^2}{4(p_k - 1)} + 1 \right]^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \cdot \left( \frac{w_0}{r} \right)^{\sum_{j=N}^k \frac{1}{p_k}} \cdot \xi^{\sum_{j=N}^k \frac{j}{p_k}} \end{aligned}$$

which is a bounded series in the limit  $k \rightarrow \infty$  because,

$$\sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_k} = \frac{r}{w_0} \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\xi^j} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \frac{j}{p_k} = \frac{r}{w_0} \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \frac{j}{\xi^j} < \infty$$

are both bounded in the limit  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, there exists a uniform constant  $C_1(M, \omega, q, \|e^f\|_q)$  (not depending on  $k$ ) which depends only on  $M$ ,  $\omega$ ,  $\|e^f\|_q$  and  $r = \frac{q}{q-1}$  (so thus on the value of  $q > n$ ) such that,

$$\|\phi\|_{w_{k+1}} \leq C_1 \|\phi\|_{w_0}$$

for all sufficiently large  $k$ . However as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  we have  $w_{k+1} \rightarrow \infty$  since  $\xi > 1$  so,

$$\|\phi\|_{L^\infty} = \lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi\|_w = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi\|_{w_k} \leq C_1 \|\phi\|_{L_{w_0}}$$

At last,

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} = \|1 - \phi\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|\phi\|_{L^\infty} + 1 \leq C_1 \|\phi\|_{L_{w_0}} + 1$$

which proves the claim.

## 1.2 Step 2: Poincare Inequality

Recall that we have derived the inequality,

$$\int_M |\nabla \phi^{\frac{p}{2}}|_\omega^2 \omega^n \leq \frac{np^2}{4(p-1)} \int_M \phi^{p-1} (e^f - 1) \omega^n \leq \frac{np^2}{4(p-1)} \|\phi^{p-1} (e^f - 1)\|_1$$

Via the Holder inequality,

$$\|\phi^{p-1} (e^f - 1)\|_1 \leq \|\phi^{p-1}\|_r \|e^f - 1\|_q \leq \|\phi^{p-1}\|_r \left( \|e^f\|_q + \text{Vol}(M)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right)$$

since we have defined,

$$r = \frac{q}{q-1} \quad \text{such that} \quad \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$$

Recall the Poincare inequality (specialized for  $p = 2$ ),

$$\|u - u_{\text{avg}}\|_2 \leq \|\nabla u\|_2$$

and apply it to the case  $u = \phi^{\frac{p}{2}}$ . Then we have,

$$\|\phi^{\frac{p}{2}} - (\phi^{\frac{p}{2}})_{\text{avg}}\|_2^2 \leq \|\nabla \phi^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_2^2 \leq \frac{np^2}{4(p-1)} \|\phi^{p-1}\|_r \left( \|e^f\|_q + \text{Vol}(M)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right)$$

However,  $\|\phi^{p-1}\|_r = \|\phi\|_{r(p-1)}^{p-1}$  so take the  $p-1$  root of both sides to get,

$$\|\phi^{\frac{p}{2}} - (\phi^{\frac{p}{2}})_{\text{avg}}\|_2^{\frac{2}{p-1}} \leq \left( \frac{np^2}{4(p-1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \left( \|e^f\|_q + \text{Vol}(M)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \|\phi\|_{r(p-1)}$$

Chose  $p_0 = 1 + \frac{1}{r}$  such that  $r(p_0 - 1) = 1$  and choose  $w_0 = p_0 \chi$ . Now we verify that,

$$w_0 = p_0 \frac{n}{n-1} = \frac{n}{n-1} + \frac{n}{n-1} \frac{1}{r} > \frac{n}{n-1} + 1 > 2 > r$$

because  $r < \frac{n}{n-1} < 2$ . This implies that our choice for  $w_0$  is a valid one for the previously derived inequality. Plugging in,

$$\|\phi^{\frac{p_0}{2}} - (\phi^{\frac{p_0}{2}})_{\text{avg}}\|_2^{2r} \leq \left( \frac{np_0^2 r}{4} \right)^r \left( \|e^f\|_q + \text{Vol}(M)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right)^r \|\phi\|_1$$

Furthermore,

$$(\phi^{\frac{p_0}{2}})_{\text{avg}} = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(M)} \int_M \phi^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \omega^n \leq \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(M)} \int_M \phi \omega^n = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(M)} \|\phi\|_1$$

because  $p_0 = 1 + \frac{1}{r} < 2$  since  $r > 1$  and  $\phi \geq 1$ . Now,

$$\|\phi^{\frac{p_0}{2}}\|_2 \leq \|\phi^{\frac{p_0}{2}} - (\phi^{\frac{p_0}{2}})_{\text{avg}}\|_2 + \|(\phi^{\frac{p_0}{2}})_{\text{avg}}\|_2 \leq \|\phi^{\frac{p_0}{2}} - (\phi^{\frac{p_0}{2}})_{\text{avg}}\|_2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\text{Vol}(M)}} \|\phi\|_1$$

Combining this with the earlier inequality we find,

$$\|\phi^{\frac{p_0}{2}}\|_2 \leq \left(\frac{np_0^2 r}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\|e^f\|_q + \text{Vol}(M)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\phi\|_1^{\frac{1}{2r}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\text{Vol}(M)}} \|\phi\|_1 \leq K \|\phi\|_1$$

since  $\|\phi\|_1 > 1$  so  $\|\phi\|_1 \geq \|\phi\|_1^{\frac{1}{2r}}$  where  $K(M, \omega, q, \|e^f\|_q)$  is a constant. Next,

$$\|\phi^{\frac{p_0}{2}}\|_2^2 = \|\phi\|_{p_0}^{p_0} \geq \|\phi\|_{p_0}$$

since  $p_0 > 1$  and  $\phi \geq 1$ . Which implies that,

$$\|\phi\|_{p_0} \leq K^2 \|\phi\|_1^2$$

Finally, recall the inequality we derived for any  $p > 1$ ,

$$\left(\int_M \phi^{p \cdot \frac{n}{n-1}} \omega^n\right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq C \left[ \frac{np^2}{4(p-1)} \cdot (\|e^f\|_q + \text{Vol}(M)^{\frac{1}{q}}) \left(\int_M \phi^{(p-1)r} \omega^n\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} + \int_M \phi^p \omega^n \right]$$

If we specialize to the case  $p = p_0 = 1 + \frac{1}{r}$  and  $\chi = \frac{n}{n-1}$  and  $w_0 = p_0 \chi$  we find,

$$\left(\int_M \phi^{w_0} \omega^n\right)^{\frac{1}{\chi}} \leq C \left[ \frac{np_0^2 r}{4} \cdot (\|e^f\|_q + \text{Vol}(M)^{\frac{1}{q}}) \left(\int_M \phi \omega^n\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} + \int_M \phi^{p_0} \omega^n \right] \leq K' \left(\int_M \phi^{p_0} \omega^n\right)$$

where  $K'(M, \omega, q, \|e^f\|_q)$  is a constant. The last line follows because  $p_0 > 1$  and  $1/r < 1$  and  $1 \leq \phi$  so,

$$\left(\int_M \phi \omega^n\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \left(\int_M \phi^{p_0} \omega^n\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \left(\int_M \phi^{p_0} \omega^n\right)$$

Taking both sides to the  $1/p_0$  power, we find that,

$$\|\phi\|_{w_0} \leq (K')^{\frac{1}{p_0}} \|\phi\|_{p_0}$$

Combining this with the previous result we have,

$$\|\phi\|_{w_0} \leq K'' \|\phi\|_1^2$$

where the constant  $K''$  only depends on  $M, \omega, q$  and  $\|e^f\|_q$  (note that  $p_0 = 1 + \frac{1}{r} = 1 + \frac{q-1}{q}$  depends only on  $q$ ) which proves the claim.

### 1.3 Step 3: Greens Functions

In this section we will show that,

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^1} \leq C_3(M, \omega)$$

By Green's formula  $\forall x \in M$  we have,

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(M)} \int_M \varphi \omega^n - \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(M)} \int_M G(x, y) \Delta \varphi(y) \omega^n(y)$$

Where,

$$\text{Vol}(M) = \int_M \omega^n$$

and  $G(x, y)$  is the Green's function of  $\Delta_\omega$  and  $\Delta_\omega G(x, y) = \delta_x(y)$ . We know that  $G$  is bounded below by  $-C_\omega$ . Taking the trace of  $\omega_\varphi = \omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi > 0$  gives,

$$\text{tr}_\omega \omega_\varphi = n + \Delta_\omega \varphi > 0$$

and therefore, since  $G(x, y) + C_\omega \geq 0$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\text{Vol}(M)} \int_M (G(x, y) + C_\omega) \Delta_\omega \varphi(y) \omega^n \geq -\frac{n}{\text{Vol}(M)} \int_M (G(x, y) + C_\omega) \omega^n$$

Since  $\varphi$  is continuous and  $M$  is compact, it must achieve its maximum at some  $x_m \in M$ . At that point  $\varphi(x_m) = \sup_M \varphi = 0$  and thus,

$$\int_M \varphi \omega^n = \int_M G(x, y) \Delta_\omega \varphi(y) \omega^n(y) = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(M)} \int_M (G(x, y) + C_\omega) \Delta_\omega \varphi(y) \omega^n(y)$$

where we may add in a constant to the Green's function because  $\int_M \Delta_\omega \varphi \omega^n = 0$  since  $M$  has no boundary and thus, by Stokes theorem,

$$\int_M i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \int_M i d(\bar{\partial}\phi \wedge \omega^{n-1}) = 0$$

the equality holds because  $\omega$  is closed and  $\bar{\partial}\phi \wedge \bar{\partial}\phi = 0$ . Thus its trace  $\Delta_\omega \varphi \omega^n$  also integrates to zero.

Now,

$$\int_M \varphi \omega^n \geq -n \int_M (G(x, y) + C_\omega) \omega^n$$

Since  $\sup_M \varphi = 0$  we have  $\varphi \leq 0$  meaning that,

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^1} = \int_M |\varphi| \omega^n = - \int_M \varphi \omega^n \leq n \int_M (G(x, y) + C_\omega) \omega^n$$

which proves the claim.

## 1.4 The Full Theorem

Recall that the Poincare inequality gives the estimate,

$$\|\phi\|_{w_0} \leq C_2 \|\phi\|_1^2$$



where  $w_0 = p_0\chi = (1 + \frac{1}{r})\frac{n}{n-1} > r$ . Since  $w_0 > r$ , we may apply the result obtained via Moser iteration,

$$\|\varphi\|_{C^0} \leq C_1\|\varphi\|_{w_0} + 1 \leq C_1\|\phi\|_{w_0} + C_1\text{Vol}(M)^{\frac{1}{w_0}} + 1$$

to find that,

$$\|\varphi\|_{C^0} \leq C_1C_2\|\phi\|_1^2 + C_1\text{Vol}(M)^{\frac{1}{w_0}} + 1 = C_1C_2\|\varphi\|_1^2 + C_4$$

where  $C_4$  is a constant depending on  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ ,  $M$ , and  $\omega$ . Finally, the Green's function argument gives,

$$\|\varphi\|_1 \leq C_3$$

and thus,

$$\|\varphi\|_{C^0} \leq C_1C_2C_3^2 + C_4$$

which proves the theorem since each constant only depends on  $M$ ,  $\omega$ ,  $q$ , and  $\|e^f\|_q$ .