Mathematics GR6261 Commutative Algebra Assignment # 2

Benjamin Church

October 9, 2018

1 Problem 1

Let A be a Noetherian ring.

- (a) \Longrightarrow (b) If A is Artinian then A has finitely many maximal ideals and every prime ideal is maximal. Thus, Spec (A) is finite and if $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ then \mathfrak{p} is maximal so $V(\mathfrak{p}) = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ since there are no proper ideals above it. Therefore each point is closed so Spec (A) is discrete.
- $(b) \implies (c)$ Trivial.
- (c) \Longrightarrow (a) Suppose that Spec (A) is discrete. Then for each prime $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ the set $\{\mathfrak{p}\}$ must be closed. Therefore, $\{\mathfrak{p}\} = V(I)$ for some ideal I so \mathfrak{p} is the only prime ideal above I. However, every ideal is contained in a maximal ideal which is prime so \mathfrak{p} must be maximal. Therefore A is an Noetherian ring in which every prime ideal is maximal. This implies that A is Artinian.

2 Problem 2

Let k be a field and A a k-algebra. If A is finite-dimensional then, as k-modules, $A \cong k^n$ which is Artinian because k a field and thus Artinian as a k-module. Thus A is Artinian as a k-module since every ideal is a k-submodule. Conversely, if A is Artinian then it must be Noetherian as well. Take any $v_0 \in A$ and let $A_1 = k \cdot v_0$ and define a sequence of submodules inductively. Define $A_{n+1} = A_n + k \cdot v_n$ where v_n is some element of $A \setminus A_n$ which we assume is nonempty. Clearly, we have a strictly increasing infinite chain,

$$A_0 \subsetneq A_1 \subsetneq A_2 \subsetneq \cdots$$

contradicting the fact that A is Noetherian. Thus, $A = A_n = k \cdot v_0 + \cdots + k \cdot v_n$ for some n. Therefore A is finite-dimensional.

3 Problem 3

Let M be an A-module and $f: M \to M$ a morphism of A-modules.

a) Suppose that f is surjective and M is Noetherian. Consider the ascending chain of submodules,

$$\ker f \subset \ker f^2 \subset \ker f^3 \subset \cdots$$

Because M is Noetherian, the chain must stabilize at some k. Suppose f(x) = 0. By surjectivity, there must exist $y \in M$ such that $f^k(y) = x$. Thus $f(x) = f(f^k(y)) = f^{k+1}(y) = 0$ so $y \in \ker f^{k+1} = \ker f^k$ so $x = f^k(y) = 0$. Thus, f is injective. Because f is also a surjective morphism it is an isomorphism.

b) Suppose that f is injective and M is Artinian. Consider the descending chain of submodules,

$$\operatorname{Im}(f) \supset \operatorname{Im}(f^2) \supset \operatorname{Im}(f^3) \supset \cdots$$

Because M is Artinian, this chain must stabilize at some k. Thus, for any $y \in M$ we know that $f^k(y) \in \text{Im}(f^k) = \text{Im}(f^{k+1})$ so $f^k(y) = f^{k+1}(x) = f^k(f(x))$ for some $x \in M$. However, f is injective and thus f^k is also injective so y = f(x) since $f^k(y) = f^k(f(x))$. Therefore $y \in \text{Im}(f)$ so f is surjective. Since f is also an injective morphism, f is an isomorphism.

4 Problem 4

a) Let $\mathfrak{q}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{q}_r$ be \mathfrak{p} -primary ideals. Consider the ideal,

$$I = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{q}_r$$

By lemma 5.1, we have $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_1} \cap \cdots \cap \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_r} = \mathfrak{p} \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}$. Furthermore, I claim that I is primary. If $xy \in I$ then $xy \in \mathfrak{q}_i$ for each i so either $x \in \mathfrak{q}_i$ or $y \in \mathfrak{p} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_i}$. If $x \in \mathfrak{q}_i$ for each i then $x \in I$ so we are done. Otherwise, $y \in \mathfrak{p}$ for some i. However, for every $i, \mathfrak{p} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_i}$ so there is some power such that $y^{n_i} \in \mathfrak{q}_i$ for each i. Take $N = n_1 + \cdots + n_r$ then $y^N \in \mathfrak{q}_i$ for all i so $y^N \in I$. Thus, I is primary and $\sqrt{I} = \mathfrak{p}$ so I is \mathfrak{p} -primary.

- b) Let \mathfrak{q} be \mathfrak{p} -primary and $x \in A \setminus \mathfrak{q}$. Consider $I = (\mathfrak{q} : Ax) = \{r \in A \mid rx \in \mathfrak{q}\}$. Since $\mathfrak{q} \subset I$ we have $\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathfrak{p} \subset \sqrt{I}$. Suppose that $r \in \sqrt{I}$ then $r^n \in I$ for some n so $r^n x \in \mathfrak{q}$ but $x \notin \mathfrak{q}$ and \mathfrak{q} is primary so $r \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathfrak{p}$. Furthermore, if $ab \in I$ then $abx \in \mathfrak{q}$ then $a^r \in \mathfrak{q}$ or $bx \in \mathfrak{q}$ since \mathfrak{q} is primary. Thus, $a^n \in I$ or $b \in I$ and thus I is \mathfrak{p} -primary.
- c) Suppose that $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{q}_r$ be a minimal primary decomposition. Then, for any $x \in A$, by Lemma 5.2,

$$(\mathfrak{a}:x) = \bigcap_{i} (\mathfrak{q}_i:x)$$

Since \mathfrak{q}_i is \mathfrak{p}_i -primary with $\mathfrak{p}_i = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_i}$ by (b) we know that $(\mathfrak{q}_i : x)$ is \mathfrak{p}_i -primary when $x \in A \setminus \mathfrak{q}_i$ and otherwise $(\mathfrak{q}_i : x) = A$. Then, by lemma 5.1, we know that,

$$\sqrt{(\mathfrak{a}:x)} = \bigcap_{i} \sqrt{(\mathfrak{q}_i:x)} = \bigcap_{i|x \notin \mathfrak{q}_i} \mathfrak{p}_i$$

Suppose that $\mathfrak{p} = \sqrt{(\mathfrak{a}:x)}$ is a prime ideal. By corollary 5.4, we know that $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_i$ for some i. Thus every prime of the form $\sqrt{(\mathfrak{a}:x)}$ is one of \mathfrak{p}_i , the associated primes. The decomposition is minimal so for each i there must exist some $x_i \notin \mathfrak{q}_i$ which is an element of all \mathfrak{q}_j for $i \neq j$. Then, $(\mathfrak{q}_i:x_i)$ is \mathfrak{p}_i -primary and $(\mathfrak{q}_j:x_i)=A$ for $i\neq j$. Therefore,

$$\sqrt{(\mathfrak{a}:x_i)} = \bigcap_j \sqrt{(\mathfrak{q}_j:x_i)} = \mathfrak{p}_i$$

so each associated prime is of the form $\sqrt{(\mathfrak{a}:x)}$. We have shown that the primes associated to \mathfrak{a} are exactly those primes which can be written as $\mathfrak{p} = \sqrt{(\mathfrak{a}:x)}$. Furthermore, this proves that the set of associate primes $\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_i}$ is independent of the primary decomposition $\{\mathfrak{q}_i\}$ since "all primes of the form $\mathfrak{p} = \sqrt{(\mathfrak{a}:x)}$ " is a categorization with is manifestly independent of the choice of decomposition.

- d) Clearly, if $\mathfrak{p} = (\mathfrak{a} : x)$ is prime then $\mathfrak{p} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{p}} = \sqrt{(\mathfrak{a} : x)}$ so \mathfrak{p} is an \mathfrak{a} -associate. However, I believe the converse is false. All \mathfrak{a} -associates are primes of the form $\mathfrak{p} = \sqrt{(\mathfrak{a} : x)}$ but not necessarily of the form $(\mathfrak{a} : x)$.
- e) Let S be a multiplicative subset and $\iota: A \to S^{-1}A$ the localization map. Suppose that \mathfrak{a} has minimal primary decomposition,

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{q}_r$$

Now,

$$\iota^{-1}(S^{-1}\mathfrak{a}) = \iota^{-1}\left(S^{-1}\mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap S^{-1}\mathfrak{q}_r\right) = \iota^{-1}(S^{-1}\mathfrak{q}_1) \cap \dots \cap \iota^{-1}(S^{-1}\mathfrak{q}_r)$$

Therefore we need to investigate $\iota^{-1}(S^{-1}\mathfrak{q}_i)$ for each i. We have,

$$x \in \iota^{-1}(S^{-1}\mathfrak{q}) \iff (x,1) \in S^{-1}\mathfrak{q} \iff \exists s \in S : sx \in \mathfrak{q} \iff \exists s \in S : x \in (\mathfrak{q} : s)$$

If $S \cap \mathfrak{q} \neq \emptyset$ then take $s \in S \cap \mathfrak{q}$ and $(\mathfrak{q}:s) = A$ so $\iota^{-1}(S^{-1}\mathfrak{q}) = A$. However, if $S \cap \mathfrak{q} = \emptyset$ then for any $s \in S$ we have $s \notin \mathfrak{q}$ but if $s \in \mathfrak{p} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}$ then $s^n \in \mathfrak{q}$. However, S is multiplicative so $s^n \in S$ and thus $s \notin \mathfrak{q}$ since S and \mathfrak{q} have trivial intersection. Therefore, $s \notin \mathfrak{p}$. Applying Lemma 5.5, $(\mathfrak{q}:s) = \mathfrak{q}$ so we have,

$$x \in \iota^{-1}(S^{-1}\mathfrak{q}) \iff \exists s \in S : x \in (\mathfrak{q} : s) \iff x \in \mathfrak{q}$$

Therefore, $\iota^{-1}(S^{-1}\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}$ if $S\cap\mathfrak{q}=\varnothing$ and $\iota^{-1}(S^{-1}\mathfrak{q})=A$ otherwise. Finally,

$$\iota^{-1}(S^{-1}\mathfrak{a}) = \bigcap_{i|S \cap \mathfrak{q}_i = \varnothing} \mathfrak{q}_i$$

since when $S \cap \mathfrak{q}_i \neq \emptyset$ we have $\iota^{-1}(S^{-1}\mathfrak{q}_i) = A$ which does not contribute to the intersection.

Now let Σ be a set of primes associated to $\mathfrak a$ which are isolated in Spec (A). I take this to mean that Σ is separated from every $\mathfrak a$ -associated prime it does not contain. In particular, if $\mathfrak p$ is $\mathfrak a$ -associated and $\overline{\{\mathfrak p\}}=V(\mathfrak p)$ intersects Σ then $\mathfrak p\in\Sigma$. That is, if $\mathfrak p$ is an $\mathfrak a$ -associate prime such that there exists $\mathfrak p'\in\Sigma$ with $\mathfrak p\subset\mathfrak p'$ then $\mathfrak p\in\Sigma$. Now take,

$$S = A \setminus \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{p}$$

Clearly, $1 \in S$ and if $x, y \in S$ then $x, y \notin \mathfrak{p}$ for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma$ so $xy \notin \mathfrak{p}$ for each \mathfrak{p} and thus $xy \in S$. Therefore, S is multiplicative. Take,

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\Sigma} = \iota^{-1}(S^{-1}\mathfrak{a}) = \bigcap_{i|S \cap \mathfrak{q}_i = \varnothing} \mathfrak{q}_i$$

However, $S \cap \mathfrak{q}_i = \emptyset$ exactly when,

$$\mathfrak{q}_i \subset \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{p} = U$$

Clearly, if $\mathfrak{p}_i = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_i} \in \Sigma$ then $\mathfrak{q}_i \subset \mathfrak{p}_i \subset U$ so $S \cap \mathfrak{q}_i = \emptyset$. Conversely, if $\mathfrak{q}_i \subset U$ then $\mathfrak{q}_i \subset \mathfrak{p}'$ for some $\mathfrak{p}' \in \Sigma$. Thus, $\mathfrak{p}_i = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_i} \subset \mathfrak{p}'$ so, since Σ is isolated, $\mathfrak{p}_i \in \Sigma$. Therefore,

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\Sigma} = \iota^{-1}(S^{-1}\mathfrak{a}) = \bigcap_{i \mid \mathfrak{p}_i \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{q}_i$$

However, $\iota^{-1}(S^{-1}\mathfrak{a})$ is independent of the primary decomposition and thus,

$$\mathfrak{a}_\Sigma = igcap_{i|\mathfrak{p}_i\in\Sigma} \mathfrak{q}_i$$

is also independent of the decomposition.

5 Lemmas

Lemma 5.1. Let $I, J \subset A$ be ideals. Then $\sqrt{I \cap J} = \sqrt{I} \cap \sqrt{J}$

Proof. Note that $I, J \supset I \cap J$ so \sqrt{I} and \sqrt{J} lie above $\sqrt{I \cap J}$ so $\sqrt{I} \cap \sqrt{J} \supset \sqrt{I \cap J}$. Furthermore, if $x \in \sqrt{I} \cap \sqrt{J}$ then $x^n \in I$ and $x^m \in J$ for some m and n. Then, $x^{m+n} \in I \cap J$ so $x \in \sqrt{I \cap J}$. Thus, $\sqrt{I \cap J} = \sqrt{I} \cap \sqrt{J}$.

Lemma 5.2. For ideals $I_i, J \subset A$,

$$\left(\bigcap_{i} I_{i}: J\right) = \bigcap_{i} (I_{i}: J)$$

Proof. We know that,

$$\left(\bigcap_{i} I_{i}: J\right) = \left\{x \in A \mid xJ \subset \bigcap_{i} I_{i}\right\}$$

However, xJ is a subset of $\cap I_i$ iff $xJ \subset I_i$ for each i. Thus,

$$\left(\bigcap_{i} I_{i}: J\right) = \bigcap_{i} (I_{i}: J)$$

Lemma 5.3. If $\mathfrak{p} \supset \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_r$ are all prime ideals then $\mathfrak{p} \supset \mathfrak{p}_i$ for some i.

Proof. Suppose not. Then there exists $x_i \in \mathfrak{p}_i$ such that $x_i \notin \mathfrak{p}$ for each i. Therefore, we have elements not in \mathfrak{p} such that the product $x_1 \cdots x_r \in \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_r = \mathfrak{p}$ is in \mathfrak{p} which contradicts the primality of \mathfrak{p} .

Corollary 5.4. If $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_r$ are all prime ideals then $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_i$ for some i.

Proof. We know that $\mathfrak{p} \supset \mathfrak{p}_i$ for some i but $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_r \subset \mathfrak{p}_i$ so $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_i$.

Lemma 5.5. Let \mathfrak{q} be \mathfrak{p} -primary and $s \notin \mathfrak{p}$ then $(\mathfrak{q} : s) = \mathfrak{q}$.

Proof. If $x \in (\mathfrak{q} : s)$ then $xs \in \mathfrak{q}$ thus either $x \in \mathfrak{q}$ or $s^n \in \mathfrak{q}$ so $s \in \mathfrak{p} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}$ which is false. Thus $x \in \mathfrak{q}$. Conversely, if $x \in \mathfrak{q}$ then $xs \in \mathfrak{q}$ so $(\mathfrak{q} : s) = \mathfrak{q}$.