

# 1 Group Actions

**Definition:** Let  $G$  be a group acting on a set  $X$ , call  $X$  a  $G$ -set, then there exists a homomorphism  $\phi : G \rightarrow \text{Sym}(X)$  the group of bijections of  $X$  to itself.

For example,  $\text{GL}(n, k)$  acts on  $k^n$  for a field  $k$ . However,  $\text{GL}(n, k)$  also action on  $(k^n)^*$  by the action  $A \cdot f = f \circ A^{-1}$ . Furthermore,  $\text{GL}(n, k)$  acts on  $\text{Hom}(k^n, k^n)$  by  $A \cdot F = A \circ F \circ A^{-1}$ .

# 2 Group Representations

**Definition:** A  $G$ -representation  $(V, \rho_V)$  is a group action on a vector space  $V$  with a homomorphism  $\rho_V : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V)$

**Definition:** A  $G$ -morphism between  $G$ -representations  $\rho_V$  and  $\rho_W$  is a linear map  $F : V \rightarrow W$  satisfying  $F \circ \rho_V(g) = \rho_W(g) \circ F$  for all  $g \in G$ . The set of all such  $G$ -morphisms is denoted  $\text{Hom}^G(V, W)$ .

**Definition:** Let  $\rho_V : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V)$  be a  $G$ -representation, then  $W \subset V$  is a  $G$ -invariant subspace if  $\rho(g)(W) \subset W$  for all  $g \in G$ .

**Definition:** A  $G$ -representation  $(V, \rho_V)$  is irreducible if  $V \neq \{0\}$  and the only invariant subspaces are  $\{0\}$  and  $V$ .

**Definition:** Given  $G$ -representations  $(V, \rho_V)$  and  $(W, \rho_W)$ , we can form the following additional  $G$ -representations,

1.  $(V^*, \rho_{V^*})$  given by  $\rho_{V^*}(g) \cdot \varphi = \varphi \circ \rho_V(g)^{-1}$
2.  $(V \oplus W, \rho_V \oplus \rho_W)$  given by,

$$(\rho_V \oplus \rho_W)(g) \cdot (v \oplus w) = (\rho_V(g) \cdot v) \oplus (\rho_W(g) \cdot w)$$

3.  $(\text{Hom}(V, W), \rho_{\text{Hom}(V, W)})$  given by,  $\rho_{\text{Hom}(V, W)} \cdot F = \rho_W(g) \circ F \circ \rho_V(g)^{-1}$ . Note, the fixed points,  $(\text{Hom}(V, W))^G = \text{Hom}^G(V, W)$  because  $\rho_W(g) \circ F \circ \rho_V(g)^{-1} = F$  for every  $g \in G$  if and only if  $F$  is a  $G$ -morphism.
4.  $(V \otimes W, \rho_V \otimes \rho_W)$  given by,

$$(\rho_V \otimes \rho_W)(g) \cdot \left( \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \otimes w_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\rho_V(g) \cdot v_i) \otimes (\rho_W(g) \cdot w_i)$$

**Lemma 2.1.** If  $V$  is a  $G$ -representation such that  $V \neq \{0\}$  then there exists a  $G$ -invariant subspace  $W$  which is an irreducible  $G$ -representation.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $F : V \rightarrow W$  be a  $G$ -morphism then  $\ker F$  and  $\text{Im}(F)$  are invariant subspaces.

*Proof.* Let  $V$  and  $W$  be  $G$ -representations and let  $F : V \rightarrow W$  be a  $G$ -morphism. Take any  $g \in G$ . Take,  $v \in \ker F$ . Then,  $F(v) = 0$  and thus,  $\rho_W(g)(F(v)) = F(\rho_V(g)(v)) = 0$  so  $\rho_V(g)(v) \in \ker F$ . Therefore,  $\ker F$  is invariant under the action of  $\rho_V(g)$  for any  $g \in G$ . Therefore,  $\ker F$  is a  $G$ -invariant subspace of  $V$ . Similarly, take  $w \in \text{Im}(F)$ . Then there exists  $v \in V$  such that  $F(v) = w$ . Therefore,  $\rho_W(g)(w) = \rho_W(g)(F(v)) = F(\rho_V(g)(v)) \in \text{Im}(F)$ . Therefore,  $\rho_V(g)(\text{Im}(F)) \subset \text{Im}(F)$  so  $\text{Im}(F)$  is a  $G$ -invariant subspace of  $W$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $F : V \rightarrow W$  be a  $G$ -morphism then,

1. if  $V$  is irreducible then  $F$  is either 0 or injective.
2. if  $W$  is irreducible then  $F$  is either 0 or surjective.
3. if  $V$  and  $W$  are both irreducible then  $F$  is either 0 or an isomorphism.

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be irreducible. Since  $\ker F$  is an invariant subspace, then  $\ker F = \{0\}$  or  $\ker F = V$  so  $F$  is either injective or the zero map. Likewise, let  $W$  be irreducible. Since  $\text{Im}(F)$  is an invariant subspace, then  $\text{Im}(F) = \{0\}$  or  $\text{Im}(F) = W$  so  $F$  is either the zero map or surjective.  $\square$

**Definition:** The notation  $(V, \rho_V) \cong (W, \rho_W)$  with shorthand  $V \cong W$  mean that there exists a  $G$ -isomorphism  $F : V \rightarrow W$  i.e. a bijective  $G$ -morphism.

**Theorem 2.4** (Schur's Lemma). If  $V$  is irreducible then  $\text{Hom}^G(V, V) \cong \mathbb{C} \cdot \text{id}$ . Also, if  $V$  and  $W$  are both irreducible then either  $V \not\cong W$  and  $\text{Hom}^G(V, W) = \{0\}$  or  $V \cong W$  and  $\dim \text{Hom}^G(V, W) = 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $F : V \rightarrow V$  be a  $G$ -morphism then  $F$  is either zero or an isomorphism because  $V$  is irreducible. Then  $F$  has an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  so consider the  $G$ -morphism  $F - \lambda \text{id}$ . However,  $\exists v \in V$  such that  $F(v) = \lambda v$  so  $(F - \lambda \text{id})(v) = 0$  and therefore,  $F - \lambda v$  is not injective. However,  $V$  is irreducible so  $F$  must be the zero map. Thus,  $F = \lambda \text{id}$ . Furthermore, if every  $G$ -morphism  $F \in \text{Hom}^G(V, W)$  is not an isomorphism then because  $V$  and  $W$  are irreducible we must have  $F = 0$ . Thus, if  $\text{Hom}^G(V, W) \neq \{0\}$  then there must exist a  $G$ -isomorphism  $F$ . In particular,  $V \cong W$ . Therefore,  $\text{Hom}^G(V, W) \cong \text{Hom}^G(V, V) \cong \mathbb{C} \cdot \text{id}$  so  $\dim \text{Hom}^G(V, W) = 1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5.**  $F \in \text{Hom}^G(V, W)$  is either zero or an isomorphism and therefore invertible. Therefore,  $\text{Hom}^G(V, W)$  is a division ring.

**Definition:** A  $G$ -representation  $(V, \rho_V)$  is decomposable if  $V \cong W_1 \oplus W_2$  where  $W_i \neq \{0\}$

**Definition:** A  $G$ -representation is completely reducible if  $V \cong W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_n$  where  $W_i$  is irreducible.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $V$  a  $G$ -representation, the map  $p : V \rightarrow V$  given by,

$$p(v) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho_V(g)(v)$$

is a  $G$ -invariant projection  $p : V \rightarrow V^G$ .

*Proof.* If  $v \in V^G$  then,

$$p(v) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho_V(g)(v) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} v = v$$

Furthermore, for any  $v \in V$  consider,

$$\rho_V(h) \circ p(v) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho_V(h) \circ \rho_V(g)(v) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho_V(hg)(v) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho_V(g)(v) = p(v)$$

so  $p(v) \in V^G$ . Therefore,  $\text{Im}(p) = V^G$ . Furthermore,

$$p \circ \rho_V(g)(v) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho_V(g) \circ \rho_V(h)(v) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho_V(gh)(v) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho_V(g)(v) = p(v)$$

Thus,  $p \circ \rho_V(g) = \rho_V(g) \circ p$  for all  $g \in G$ . □

**Theorem 2.7** (Maschke). If  $G$  is a finite group and  $W \subset V$  are  $G$ -representations then there exists a  $G$ -invariant complement  $W' \subset V$  of  $W$  and thus  $V = W \oplus W'$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p_0 : V \rightarrow V$  be a projection onto  $W$ . Then,  $p_0 \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$  so by the above lemma applied to the  $G$ -representation  $(\text{Hom}(V, V), \rho_{\text{Hom}(V, V)})$ , the map,

$$p_0 \mapsto p = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho_{\text{Hom}(V, V)}(g) \cdot p_0 = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho_V \circ p_0 \circ \rho_V^{-1}$$

is a projection map  $\text{Hom}(V, V) \rightarrow (\text{Hom}(V, V))^G = \text{Hom}^G(V, V)$ . Thus,  $p$  is a  $G$ -invariant projection from  $V$  to  $W$  since  $p(w) = w$ . Therefore,  $V \cong W \oplus \ker p$ . □

**Corollary 2.8.** If  $G$  is a finite group then every nonzero  $G$ -representation is completely reducible.

**Corollary 2.9.** If  $G$  is a finite abelian group then any  $G$ -representation is a sum of 1-dimensional representations.

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that every irreducible  $G$ -representation is 1-dimensional. Let  $W$  be an irreducible  $G$ -representation. However, since  $G$  is abelian,  $\rho_W(g)$  is a  $G$ -morphism in  $\text{Hom}^G(V, V) \cong \mathbb{C}$  so  $\rho_W(g) = \lambda(g) \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then,  $\rho_W(g)(w) = \lambda(g)w$  so  $\text{span}\{w\}$  is a nonempty  $G$ -invariant subspace. However  $W$  is irreducible so  $W = \text{span}\{w\}$  which has dimension 1. □

**Corollary 2.10.** Let  $A \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  and suppose that  $A$  has finite order then  $A$  is diagonalizable.

*Proof.*  $A$  defines a representation of  $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$  where  $N$  is the order of  $A$ . Therefore,  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is the sum of 1-dimensional  $G$ -invariant subspaces which are eigenspaces. Therefore, the eigenvectors of  $A$  span  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.11.** Let  $\rho_V$  be a  $G$ -representation of a finite group  $G$  then  $\forall g \in G$  we can diagonalize  $\rho_V(g)$  and its eigenvalues are roots of unity of order dividing  $|G|$ .

*Proof.* Because  $G$  is finite, and  $g \in G$  has finite order and  $\text{ord}(g) \mid |G|$  so  $\rho_V(g)$  has order dividing  $n$  and is thus diagonalizable. Furthermore if  $v$  is an eigenvector,  $\rho_V(g) \cdot v = \lambda v$  then  $\rho_V(g)^n \cdot v = \lambda^n v$  but  $\rho_V(g^n) = \rho_V(e) = \text{id}$  so  $\lambda^n v = v$  and thus  $\lambda^n = 1$  since  $v \neq 0$  so  $\lambda$  is a root of unity.  $\square$

### 3 Group Characters

**Definition:** If  $(V, \rho_V)$  is a  $G$ -representation, the character is the map  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $\chi(g) = \text{Tr}(\rho_V(g))$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $(V, \rho_V)$  be a  $G$ -representation with character  $\chi$  then,

1.  $\chi(e) = \text{Tr}(\text{id}) = \dim V$
2.  $\chi(hgh^{-1}) = \text{Tr}(\rho_V(h)\rho_V(g)\rho_V(h)^{-1}) = \text{Tr}(\rho_V(g)) = \chi(g)$ . Thus,  $\chi$  is a function on conjugacy classes.
3.  $\chi(g^{-1}) = \overline{\chi(g)}$  because  $\rho(g)$  is diagonalizable with norm-1 eigenvalues.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $(V, \rho_V)$  and  $(W, \rho_W)$  be  $G$ -representations with character  $\chi_V$  and  $\chi_W$  then,

1.  $\chi_{V \oplus W} = \chi_V + \chi_W$
2.  $\chi_{V^*} = \overline{\chi_V}$
3.  $\chi_{V \otimes W} = \chi_V \cdot \chi_W$
4.  $\chi_{\text{Hom}(V, W)} = \overline{\chi_V} \cdot \chi_W$

**Lemma 3.3.**

$$\dim V^G = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_V(g)$$

*Proof.* The map,

$$p(v) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho_V(g)(v)$$

is a  $G$ -invariant projection  $p : V \rightarrow V^G$  so  $\text{Tr}(p) = \dim V^G$ . However,

$$\text{Tr}(p) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \text{Tr}(\rho_V(g)) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_V(g)$$

□

**Corollary 3.4.** Applying this fact to  $\text{Hom}(V, W)$ , then,

$$\dim(\text{Hom}(V, W)^G) = \dim \text{Hom}^G(V, W) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_{\text{Hom}(V, W)}(g) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \bar{\chi}_V(g) \chi_W(g)$$

**Corollary 3.5.** By Schur's lemma,

$$\dim \text{Hom}^G(V, W) = \begin{cases} 1 & V \cong W \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \bar{\chi}_V(g) \chi_W(g) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_V(g) \overline{\chi_W(g)} = \begin{cases} 1 & V \cong W \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

where I have used the fact that the sum is real because it is equal to an integer.

**Definition:** For  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathbb{C}[G]$  define the Hermitian inner product,

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} f_1(g) \overline{f_2(g)}$$

**Proposition 3.6.** Therefore, for irreducible representations  $(V, \rho_V)$  and  $(W, \rho_W)$  with characters  $\chi_V$  and  $\chi_W$  then,

$$\langle \chi_V, \chi_W \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & V \cong W \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

**Corollary 3.7.** Let  $V$  be a completely reducible representation,  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i^{m_i}$  with  $V_i \cong V_j$  only if  $i = j$  then,

$$\langle \chi_V, \chi_V \rangle = \sum_{i,j} m_i m_j \langle \chi_{V_i}, \chi_{V_j} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i^2$$

**Corollary 3.8.** Let  $V$  be a completely reducible  $G$ -representation,  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i^{m_i}$  with  $V_i \cong V_j$  only if  $i = j$  and  $W$  an irreducible  $G$ -representation then,

$$\langle \chi_W, \chi_V \rangle = \begin{cases} m_i & W \cong V_i \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* We have,  $\chi_V = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \chi_{V_i}$ . Thus,

$$\langle \chi_W, \chi_V \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \langle \chi_W, \chi_{V_i} \rangle = \begin{cases} m_i & W \cong V_i \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

since by hypothesis  $i \neq j \implies V_i \not\cong V_j$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.9.**  $V$  is irreducible if and only if  $\langle \chi_V, \chi_V \rangle = 1$ .

**Theorem 3.10.** Let  $G$  be finite, then a  $G$ -representation  $V$  is determined up to isomorphism by  $\chi_V$ . That is,  $V \cong W \iff \chi_V = \chi_W$ .

*Proof.* If  $V \cong W$  then there exists an isomorphism  $F : V \rightarrow W$  such that  $F \circ \rho_V(g) = \rho_W(g) \circ F$  and thus  $\rho_V(g) = F^{-1} \circ \rho \circ F$ . Thus,

$$\chi_V = \text{Tr}(\rho_V(g)) = \text{Tr}(F^{-1} \circ \rho \circ F) = \text{Tr}(\rho_W(g)) = \chi_W(g)$$

Conversely, suppose that  $\chi_V = \chi_W$ . Then, because  $G$  is finite, we can write any  $G$ -representations as,

$$V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i^{m_i} \quad W = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n W_i^{k_i}$$

Therefore,  $\chi_V = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \chi_{V_i}$ . Consider

$$\langle \chi_{V_i}, \chi_W \rangle = \langle \chi_{V_i}, \chi_V \rangle = \langle \chi_{V_i}, \chi_V \rangle = m_i$$

but  $V_i$  is irreducible so  $\langle \chi_{V_i}, \chi_W \rangle = m_i$  implies that some factor  $W_j^{k_j}$  is isomorphic to  $V_i$  and  $m_i = k_j$ . Therefore, up to order, the expansions of  $V$  and  $W$  are equal. Thus,  $V \cong W$ .  $\square$

**Definition:** The regular representation is  $\rho_{reg} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[G]$  given by  $\rho(g)v = g \cdot v$ . Call the character of this representation  $\chi_{reg} = \chi_{\mathbb{C}[G]}$ .

**Lemma 3.11.** Let  $G$  act on  $X$  and let  $(\mathbb{C}[X], \rho)$  be the regular  $G$ -representation. Then,

$$\chi_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(g) = \#(X^g)$$

*Proof.* We know that  $\rho(g) \cdot x = g \cdot x$  so

$$\text{Tr}(\rho(\sigma)) = \sum_{i=1}^{|X|} \mathbf{1}(g \cdot x = x) = \#(X^g)$$

$\square$

**Corollary 3.12.**

$$\chi_{reg}(g) = \begin{cases} |G| & g = e \\ 0 & g \neq e \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* A group acts freely on itself ( $gh = h \implies g = e$ ) so there cannot be any fixed points of  $G$  for any map except  $\rho(e)$  which fixes every element.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.13.**  $\langle \chi_V, \chi_{reg} \rangle = \dim V$

*Proof.*

$$\langle \chi_V, \chi_{reg} \rangle = \frac{\chi_V(e)|G|}{|G|} = \chi_V(e) = \dim V$$

$\square$

**Theorem 3.14.** Write,

$$\mathbb{C}[G] \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i^{d_i}$$

If  $W$  is an irreducible  $G$ -representation then  $W \cong V_i$  for some  $i$ . Furthermore,  $\dim V_i = d_i$ .

*Proof.* Let  $W$  be irreducible, then  $\langle \chi_W, \chi_{reg} \rangle = \dim W > 0$  and therefore by corollary 3.8,  $W \cong V_i$  for a unique  $i$ . However,  $\dim V_i = \langle \chi_{V_i}, \chi_{reg} \rangle = d_i$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.15.**

$$\dim \mathbb{C}[G] = |G| = \sum_{i=1}^n (d_i)^2$$

**Corollary 3.16.** For any  $g \in G$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i \cdot \chi_{V_i}(g) = \begin{cases} |G| & g = e \\ 0 & g \neq e \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Because,

$$\mathbb{C}[G] \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i^{d_i}$$

the character factors as,

$$\chi_{reg}(g) = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i \cdot \chi_{V_i}(g) = \begin{cases} |G| & g = e \\ 0 & g \neq e \end{cases}$$

$\square$

**Theorem 3.17.** If  $G$  is a finite group, then there are finitely many irreducible  $G$ -representations.

*Proof.* Every irreducible  $G$ -representation must be isomorphic so a factor of the regular representation. Equivalently, the sum of the squares of the dimensions of all irreducible  $G$ -representations is  $|G|$  which is, in particular, finite.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.18.** Let  $G$  be abelian, then every representation is one-dimensional so  $d_i = 1$ . Thus,  $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2 = n = |G|$ . So there are exactly  $|G|$  irreducible  $G$ -representations.

## 4 The Permutation Representation

## 5 Class Functions

**Definition:**  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a class function if  $f$  is constant on conjugacy classes or equivalently,  $\forall g, h \in G : f(hgh^{-1}) = f(g)$ .

**Definition:**  $Z \subset \mathbb{C}[G]$  is the vectorspace of class functions.

**Proposition 5.1.**  $f_{Cl(x)}$  is the characteristic function of  $[x]$  which is,

$$f_{Cl(x)}(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & g \in Cl(x) \\ 0 & g \notin Cl(x) \end{cases}$$

form a basis of  $Z$ .

**Proposition 5.2.**

$$\langle f_{Cl(x)}, f_{Cl(y)} \rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{|Cl(x)|}{|G|} & Cl(x) = Cl(y) \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

**Definition:** For  $f \in \mathbb{C}[G]$  the map,  $F_{V,f} : V \rightarrow V$  is defined by,

$$F_{V,f} = \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \rho_V(g)$$

**Lemma 5.3.** If  $f$  is a class function,  $F_{V,f}$  is a  $G$ -morphism. If in addition,  $V$  is irreducible, then  $F_{V,f} = t \cdot \text{id}$  where,

$$t = \frac{|G| \cdot \langle f, \overline{\chi_V} \rangle}{\dim V}$$

*Proof.*  $F_{V,f}$  is a  $G$ -morphism if and only if  $\forall h \in G$  we have  $\rho_V(h) \circ F_{V,f} \circ \rho_V(h)^{-1} = F_{V,f}$ . Expanding,

$$\rho_V(h) \circ F_{V,f} \circ \rho_V(h)^{-1} = \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \rho_V(h) \circ \rho_g \circ \rho_V(h)^{-1} = \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \rho_V(hgh^{-1}) = \sum_{g \in G} f(h^{-1}gh) \rho_V(g) = F_{V,f}$$

because  $f$  is a class function.

Using Schur's Lemma, if  $V$  is irreducible then because  $F_{V,f}$  is a  $G$ -morphism we know that  $F_{V,f} = t \cdot \text{id}$ . Thus,  $\text{Tr}(F_{V,f}) = \text{Tr}(t \cdot \text{id}) = t \dim V$ . However,

$$\text{Tr}(F_{V,f}) = \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \text{Tr}(\rho_V(g)) = \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \chi_V(g) = |G| \langle f, \overline{\chi_V} \rangle$$

Therefore,  $t \dim V = |G| \langle f, \overline{\chi_V} \rangle$ . □



**Proposition 5.4.** If  $f$  is a class function then  $\langle f, \chi_V \rangle = 0$  for all irreducible  $V$  implies that  $f = 0$ . Furthermore, if  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  are the irreducible  $G$ -representations up to isomorphism then  $\chi_{V_1}, \dots, \chi_{V_n}$  are a basis for  $Z$ . Finally,  $n$  is the number of conjugacy classes of  $G$ .

*Proof.* If  $V$  is irreducible then  $V^*$  is irreducible so  $\langle f, \chi_{V^*} \rangle = 0$  and thus  $F_{V,f} = 0 \cdot \text{id} = 0$  for all irreducible  $V$ . However,  $F_{V_1 \oplus V_2, f} = F_{V_1, f} + F_{V_2, f} = 0$  so by induction  $F_{W, f} = 0$  for all  $G$ -representations. In particular,  $F_{\mathbb{C}[G], f} = 0$  that is,

$$F_{\mathbb{C}[G], f} = \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \rho_{\text{reg}}(g) = 0$$

so applied to 1,

$$F_{\mathbb{C}[G], f} = \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \rho_{\text{reg}}(g)(1) = \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \cdot g = 0$$

and therefore  $f = 0$  because  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  is a free vectorspace over  $G$ .

By orthogonality conditions,  $\langle \chi_{V_i}, \chi_{V_j} \rangle = \delta_{ij}$  and thus these characters are linearly independent. Consider the subspace of  $Z$  orthogonal to all  $\chi_{V_i}$ . However, we have shown that if  $\langle f, \chi_{V_i} \rangle = 0$  for all irreducible representations  $V_i$  then  $f = 0$ . Thus, the orthogonal complement is empty so the set  $\{\chi_{V_1}, \dots, \chi_{V_n}\}$  spans  $Z$  and thus  $\dim V = n$ .

However, the functions  $f_{Cl(x)}$  form a basis of  $Z$ . Therefore,  $\dim Z = n$  is the number of conjugacy classes of  $G$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.5.**  $G$  is abelian if and only if every irreducible  $G$ -representation is one-dimensional.

*Proof.* If  $d_i = 1$  then  $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2 = n = |G|$  so there are  $|G|$  conjugacy classes and thus  $G$  is abelian. We have already proved the converse.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.6.** We having the following orthogonality relationship on  $G$  over the set of irreducible characters,

•

$$\forall x \in G : \sum_{i=1}^h |\chi_{V_i}(x)|^2 = \frac{|G|}{|Cl(x)|}$$

•

$$\forall x, y \in G : y \notin Cl(x) : \sum_{i=1}^h \chi_{V_i}(x) \overline{\chi_{V_i}}(y) = 0$$

## 6 Fourier Inversion on Groups

### 6.1 The Structure of $\mathbb{C}[G]$

**Definition:** A  $K$ -algebra is a  $K$ -vector space  $A$  together with a  $K$ -bilinear map denoted by  $B : A \times A \rightarrow A$  where  $B(a, b) \mapsto ab$ .

**Proposition 6.1.** If  $A$  is an *associative unital*  $K$ -algebra, then  $A$  has a ring structure.

*Proof.*  $(a_1 + a_2)b = B(a_1 + a_2, b) = B(a_1, b) + B(a_2, b) = a_1b + a_2b$ . The other properties are similar.  $\square$

**Definition:** A homomorphism of  $K$ -algebras is a  $K$ -linear map  $F : A \rightarrow A'$  such that  $F(B(a, b)) = B'(F(a), F(b))$ . In particular, if  $A$  is an associative unital algebra then  $F$  is a linear ring homomorphism.

**Proposition 6.2.** A  $G$ -representation  $(V, \rho_V)$  induces a homomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras  $\rho_V : \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow \text{End}(V) = \text{Hom}(V, V)$  given by,

$$\rho_V \left( \sum_{g \in G} t_g \cdot g \right) = \sum_{g \in G} t_g \cdot \rho_V(g)$$

or alternatively given a map  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  define,

$$\rho_V(f) = \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \rho_V(g)$$

**Proposition 6.3.** Let  $V = \mathbb{C}[G]$  then the regular representation induces a homomorphism  $\rho_{\mathbb{C}[G]} : \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{C}[G])$ . This map is given by  $\rho_{\mathbb{C}[G]}(\alpha)(\beta) = \alpha\beta$ .

**Theorem 6.4** (Weddenburn). Define  $\rho : \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow \text{End}(V_1) \times \cdots \times \text{End}(V_h)$  where  $V_1, \dots, V_h$  enumerates all the irreducible  $G$ -representations by the map,

$$\rho(\alpha) = (\rho_{V_1}(\alpha), \dots, \rho_{V_h}(\alpha))$$

where  $\rho_{V_i}(\alpha) = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha(g) \rho_V(g)$  for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}[G]$ . Then,  $\rho$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras.

*Proof.*  $\dim \mathbb{C}[G] = |G|$  and  $\dim(\text{End}(V_1) \times \cdots \times \text{End}(V_h)) = \dim \text{End}(V_1) + \cdots + \dim \text{End}(V_h) = (\dim V_1)^2 + \cdots + (\dim V_h)^2 = d_1^2 + \cdots + d_h^2 = |G|$ . Therefore, to prove that  $\rho$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras it suffices to prove that  $\rho$  is an injective  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra homomorphism. Suppose that  $\rho(\alpha) = 0$  then  $\rho_{V_i}(\alpha) = 0$  for all  $i$ . Therefore,  $\rho_V(\alpha) = 0$  for every representation because we have shown this for every irreducible component. In particular,  $\rho_{\mathbb{C}[G]}(\alpha) = 0$  and in particular  $\rho_{\mathbb{C}[G]}(\alpha)(1) = \alpha = 0$  so  $\alpha = 0$ . Therefore  $\rho$  is injective and thus an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.5** (Hard). Suppose  $K$  is a field of characteristic zero then,

$$K[G] \cong \text{End}(D_1) \times \cdots \times \text{End}(D_h)$$

where  $D_i$  is not necessarily a field but a division ring.

**Lemma 6.6.** The center  $Z(\mathbb{C}[G]) \cong Z$  the set of class functions.

*Proof.* Suppose  $g \in Z(\mathbb{C}[G])$  if and only if  $\forall g \in \mathbb{C}[G]$  we have  $f * g = g * f$ . Thus,

$$f \in Z(\mathbb{C}[G]) \iff \delta_x * f = f * \delta_x \iff f(x^{-1}y) = f(yx^{-1}) \iff f(h) = f(xhx^{-1}) \iff f \in Z$$

□

**Remark 1.** We will sometimes refer to  $\rho : \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow \text{End}(V_1) \times \cdots \times \text{End}(V_h)$  as the Fourier transform.

**Proposition 6.7.** For  $(A_1, \dots, A_n) \in \text{End}(V_1) \times \cdots \times \text{End}(V_h)$  we have,

$$\rho^{-1}(A_1, \dots, A_n) = \sum_{g \in G} t_g \cdot g$$

where

$$t_g = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{i=1}^h d_i \text{Tr}(\rho_{V_i}(g^{-1}) \cdot A_i)$$

*Proof.* We know that  $\rho$  is an isomorphism so  $\rho$  takes any basis of  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  to an basis of  $\text{End}V_1 \times \cdots \times \text{End}(V_h)$ . □

## Classical Finite Fourier Analysis

Let  $G$  be an abelian group.

**Definition:** The dual group is  $\hat{G} = \{\lambda : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \mid \lambda \text{ is a homo.}\}$  with pointwise multiplication.

**Proposition 6.8.**  $|\hat{G}| = |G|$

*Proof.* Suppose the group  $G$  is cyclic, all its irreducible representations are finite. Therefore, there is a one-to-one correspondence between irreducible representations and homomorphisms  $\lambda : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ . However, there are exactly  $|G|$  irreducible representations because in an abelian group every element defines a distinct conjugacy class. □

**Proposition 6.9.** For a finite group  $G \cong \hat{G}$  (but not naturally) and  $G \cong \hat{\hat{G}}$  naturally.

**Definition:** The Fourier transform is a map  $\mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\hat{G}]$  given by  $f \mapsto \hat{f}$  where,

$$\hat{f}(\lambda) = |G| \langle f, \lambda \rangle = \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \lambda(g)$$

**Proposition 6.10.** The Fourier transform satisfies,

$$\bullet \widehat{f_1 * f_2} = \hat{f}_1 \cdot \hat{f}_2$$

- Inversion:  $f = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\lambda \in G} \hat{f}(\lambda) \cdot \lambda$  such that  $f = \hat{\hat{f}}$  up to normalization.
- $\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \langle \hat{f}_1, \hat{f}_2 \rangle$

*Proof.* Because  $\lambda$  forms a unitary basis,

$$f = \sum_{\lambda \in \hat{G}} \langle f, \lambda \rangle \cdot \lambda = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\lambda} \hat{f}(\lambda) \cdot \lambda$$

Furthermore,

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \sum_{\lambda \in \hat{G}} \langle f_1, \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda, f_2 \rangle = \sum_{\lambda \in \hat{G}} \langle f_1, \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda, f_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|^2} \sum_{\lambda \in \hat{G}} \hat{f}_1(\lambda) \overline{\hat{f}_2(\lambda)} = \frac{1}{|G|} \langle \hat{f}_1, \hat{f}_2 \rangle$$

□

**Theorem 6.11.** Let  $G$  be a finite abelian group then the map,

$$ev : G \rightarrow \hat{\hat{G}}$$

is an isomorphism and  $ev : f \mapsto \hat{\hat{f}} = |G|f(g^{-1})$ .

## 7 One-Dimensional Representations

**Theorem 7.1.** Let  $G$  be finite. The number of one-dimensional representations of  $G$  is the order of  $G^{ab}$ .

*Proof.* Any one-dimensional representation is given by a homomorphism  $\lambda : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ . However,  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  is abelian so such homomorphisms are in one-to-one correspondence with homomorphisms  $G^{ab} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  i.e. to the group  $\widehat{G^{ab}}$ . Therefore, the number of one-dimensional representations is  $|G^{ab}|$  and thus this number divides  $|G|$ . □

**Lemma 7.2.** A subgroup  $N \triangleleft G$  such that  $N \subset G'$  and  $G/N$  is abelian then  $N = G'$

*Proof.* We know that  $G/N$  is abelian and  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/N$  is a homomorphism so  $G' \subset \ker \pi = N$ . Thus,  $N = G'$ . □

## 8 Product Groups

**Theorem 8.1.** Let  $\rho_{V_1}$  be an irreducible  $G_1$ -representation and  $\rho_{V_2}$  be an irreducible  $G_2$ -representation then  $\rho_{V_1 \otimes V_2} : G_1 \times G_2 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V_1 \otimes V_2)$  given by,

$$\rho_{V_1 \otimes V_2}(g_1, g_2) = \rho_{V_1}(g_1) \otimes \rho_{V_2}(g_2)$$

is an irreducible  $G_1 \times G_2$  representation and every irreducible  $G_1 \times G_2$  representation is of this form.

*Proof.* The character is given by,

$$\chi_{V_1 \otimes V_2}(g_1, g_2) = \text{Tr}(\rho_{V_1 \otimes V_2}(g_1, g_2)) = \text{Tr}(\rho_{V_1}(g_1)) \cdot \text{Tr}(\rho_{V_2}(g_2)) = \chi_{V_1}(g_1) \cdot \chi_{V_2}(g_2)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi_{V_1 \otimes V_2}, \chi_{V_1 \otimes V_2} \rangle &= \frac{1}{|G_1 \times G_2|} \sum_{(g_1, g_2) \in G_1 \times G_2} |\chi_{V_1 \otimes V_2}(g_1, g_2)|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{|G_1| |G_2|} \sum_{g_1 \in G_1} |\chi_{V_1}(g_1)|^2 \sum_{g_2 \in G_2} |\chi_{V_2}(g_2)|^2 = \langle \chi_{V_1}, \chi_{V_1} \rangle \cdot \langle \chi_{V_2}, \chi_{V_2} \rangle = 1 \end{aligned}$$

and therefore  $\rho_{V_1 \otimes V_2}$  is irreducible.

Furthermore, (WIP) □

## 9 Burnside's Theorem

**Definition:**  $c(x) = |Cl(x)|$  is the size of the conjugacy class of  $x$ .

**Lemma 9.1.** If  $G$  is finite and  $\rho_V$  is a  $G$ -representation, then  $\chi_V(g)$  is an algebraic integer.

*Proof.* We know that  $\rho_V(g)$  is diagonalizable and each eigenvalue is a root of unity because  $\rho_V(g)^n = \rho_V(g^n) = \rho_V(e) = \text{id}$ . Therefore,  $\chi_V(g) = \text{Tr}(\rho_V(g))$  is the sum of roots of unity which is an algebraic integer. □

**Theorem 9.2.** Let  $V$  be an irreducible  $G$ -representation with  $\dim V = d_V$  then for all  $g \in G$  the number  $\frac{c(g)}{d_V} \chi_V(g)$  is an algebraic integer.

*Proof.* Define the map  $\rho_V : \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$  by,

$$\rho_V(f) = \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \rho_V(g)$$

We know that since  $V$  is irreducible if  $f$  is a class function then,

$$\rho_V(g) = \frac{|G| \langle f, \overline{\chi_V} \rangle}{\dim V} \cdot \text{id}$$

Since  $\delta_{Cl(x)}$  is a class function,

$$\rho_V(\delta_{Cl(x)}) = \frac{|G| \langle \delta_{Cl(x)}, \overline{\chi_V} \rangle}{d_V} \cdot \text{id}$$

but we know that,

$$\langle \delta_{Cl(x)}, \overline{\chi_V} \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \delta_{Cl(x)}(g) \chi_V(g) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in Cl(x)} \chi_V(g) = \frac{c(x)}{|G|} \chi_V(x)$$

since  $\chi_V$  is a class function. Therefore,

$$\rho_V(\delta_{Cl(x)}) = \frac{c(x)}{d_V} \chi_V(x) \cdot \text{id}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{c(x)}{d_V} \chi_V(x)$$

is the eigenvalue of the map  $\rho_V(\delta_{Cl(x)})$  which must be an algebraic integer.  $\square$

**Theorem 9.3** (Frobenius). If  $V$  is irreducible then  $d_V \mid |G|$ .

*Proof.*  $\langle \chi_V, \chi_V \rangle = 1$  so  $|G| = \sum_{g \in G} \chi_V(g) \overline{\chi_V(g)}$ . We write  $G$  as the disjoint union over conjugacy classes. Thus,

$$|G| = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{g \in Cl(x_i)} \chi_V(g) \overline{\chi_V(g)} = \sum_{i=1}^h c(x_i) \chi_V(x_i) \overline{\chi_V(x_i)}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{|G|}{d_V} = \sum_{i=1}^h \left( \frac{c(x_i) \chi_V(x_i)}{d_V} \right) \overline{\chi_V(x_i)}$$

is the sum of products of algebraic integers and thus an algebraic integer. Therefore,  $|G|/d_V$  is an algebraic integer but also rational. therefore  $|G|/d_V \in \mathbb{Z}$  so  $d_V \mid |G|$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 9.4.** Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$  be roots of unity. Then,

1.  $|\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_d| \leq d$  with equality iff  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_d$ .
2.  $\alpha = \frac{1}{d}(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_d)$  is an algebraic integer if and only if  $\alpha = 0$  or  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_d$ .

*Proof.*  $\square$

**Lemma 9.5.** Let  $G$  be finite and  $V$  any  $G$ -representation of dimension  $d = d_V$  then,

1.  $\forall g \in G : |\chi_V(g)| \leq d_V$  with equality iff  $\rho_V(g) = \frac{\chi_V(g)}{d_V} \text{id}$
2.  $\forall g \in G : \chi_V(g) = d_V \iff \rho_V(g) = \text{id} \iff g \in \ker \rho_V$ .

*Proof.* We know that  $\rho_V(g)$  is diagonalizable with eigenvalues which are roots of unity. Therefore  $\chi_V(g) = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_d$ . Thus,  $|\chi_V(g)| \leq d_V$  with equality iff  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_d = \frac{\chi_V(g)}{d_V}$  so  $\rho_V(g) = \frac{\chi_V(g)}{d_V} \text{id}$ . Furthermore,

$$\chi_V(g) = d_V \implies |\chi_V(g)| = d_V \implies \rho_V(g) = \frac{\chi_V(g)}{d_V} \text{id} = \text{id}$$

And clearly if  $\rho_V(g) = \text{id}$  then  $\chi_V(g) = \text{Tr}(\text{id}) = d_V$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 9.6.** A finite group  $G$  is not simple iff there exists a nontrivial irreducible  $G$ -representation  $V$  such that  $\exists g \in G \setminus \{e\} : \chi_V(g) = \chi_V(e) = d_V$ .

*Proof.*  $G$  is not simple if there exists  $N \triangleleft G$  such that  $N$  is nontrivial and proper. Therefore,  $G/N$  is not isomorphic to  $G$  or  $\{e\}$ . Therefore, there must exist a nontrivial representation  $\rho_V : G/N \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V)$  of  $G/N$  which lifts under  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/N$  to a representation  $\pi^* \rho_V = \rho_V \circ \pi : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V)$ .

Converseley, choose  $\rho_V$  which is a nontrivial irreducible  $G$ -representation such that  $\exists g \in G \setminus \{e\} : \chi_V(g) = \chi_V(e) = d_V$ . Then,  $\ker \rho_V \triangleleft G$  but  $\ker \rho_V \neq G$  since  $\rho_V$  is nontrivial. However, there exists  $g \in G \setminus \{e\}$  such that  $\chi_V(g) = d_V$  which implies that  $g \in \ker \rho_V$  so  $\ker \rho_V$  is nontrivial. Thus,  $G$  is not simple because  $\ker \rho_V$  is a nontrivial proper subgroup.  $\square$

**Proposition 9.7.** Let  $G$  be a finite group, let  $V$  be an irreducible  $G$ -representation suppose that  $\gcd(c(g), d_V) = 1$  then  $\chi_V(g) = 0$  or  $\rho_V(g) = \lambda \cdot \text{id}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\gcd(c(x), d_V) = 1$  we know that  $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $ac(x) + bd_V = 1$  but,

$$\frac{\chi_V(g)}{d_V} = (ac(x) + bd_V) \frac{\chi_V(g)}{d_V} = a \left( \frac{c(x)\chi_V(g)}{d_V} \right) + b\chi_V(g)$$

which is the sum of algebraic integers. Thus,  $\frac{\chi_V(g)}{d_V}$  is an algebraic integer. However,  $\chi_V(g) = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_d$  is a sum of roots of unity. Therefore, since  $\frac{1}{d}(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_d)$  is an algebraic integer, we know that  $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_d = 0$  so  $\chi_V(g) = 0$  or  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_d$  so  $\chi_V(g) = \lambda \cdot \text{id}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 9.8.** Let  $G$  be a finite simple nonabelian group and  $V$  a nontrivial irreducible  $G$ -representation then  $\gcd(c(g), d_V) = 1 \implies \chi_V(g) = 0$ .

*Proof.*  $G$  is simple so  $\rho_V$  is injective since  $\ker \rho_V$  is normal and  $\rho_V$  is nontrivial. Therefore, take  $g$  as in the condition, if  $\chi_V(g) \neq 0$  then  $\rho_V(g) = \lambda \cdot \text{id}$ . Therefore,  $\rho_V(g) \in Z(\text{Aut}(V))$  so  $\text{Im}(\rho_V)$  is abelian so  $G' \subset \ker \rho_V = \{e\}$ . Therefore  $G' = \{e\}$  which implies that  $G/G' \cong G$  is abelian which contradicts the assumption that  $G$  is nonabelian. Thus,  $\chi_V(g) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 9.9.** Let  $G$  be a nonabelian finite simple group let  $g \in G \setminus \{e\}$  then  $c(g)$  is not a prime power.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $|Cl(g)| = p^a$  for some prime  $p$ . If  $a = 0$  then  $a \in Z(G)$  but  $Z(G) \neq G$  because  $G$  is nonabelian so  $Z(G)$  is a nontrivial proper normal subgroup contradicting simplicity. Let  $V$  be an irreducible  $G$ -representation. If  $\gcd(c(x), d_V) = 1$  then  $\chi_V(g) = 0$ . Therefore, if  $p \nmid d_V$  then  $\chi_V(g) = 0$  so either  $p \mid d_V$  or  $\chi_V(g) = 0$ . Consider,

$$\chi_{\text{reg}}(g) = 0 = \sum_{i=1}^h d_i \chi_{V_i}(g) = 1 + \sum_{i=2}^h d_i \chi_{V_i}(g)$$

However,  $\chi_V(g) = 0$  or  $p \mid d_i$  so  $\frac{d_i \chi_{V_i}(g)}{p}$  is an algebraic integer. Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=2}^h d_i \chi_{V_i}(g) = -\frac{1}{p}$$

is an algebraic integer but  $-\frac{1}{p}$  is rational so it would need to be in  $\mathbb{Z}$  which is clearly false. Thus,  $|Cl(g)| = p^a$  is false.  $\square$

**Theorem 9.10** (Burnside). If  $|G| = p^a q^b$  for primes  $p, q$  and  $a, b \geq 1$  then  $G$  is not simple.

*Proof.* Assume that  $G$  is simple. We know that  $G$  cannot be abelian because  $G$  does not have prime order. However, for all  $g \in G$  we know that  $c(g)$  is not a prime power. However,

$$|G| = p^a q^b = \sum_{i=1}^h |Cl(x_i)| = 1 + \sum_{i \geq 2}^h |Cl(x_i)|$$

However, the nontrivial conjugacy classes divide  $p^a q^b$  and cannot be prime powers so they each must be divisible by  $pq$ . Thus,

$$p^a q^b = 1 + \sum_{i \geq 2}^h |Cl(x_i)| \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \quad \text{and} \quad p^a q^b = 1 + \sum_{i \geq 2}^h |Cl(x_i)| \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$$

which are clearly contradictions.  $\square$

## 10 Induced Representations

**Definition:** Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $H \subset G$  a subgroup then the induced representation,

$$\text{Ind}_H^G(W) = \mathbb{C}[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[H]} W$$

as a left  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  module thus a  $G$ -representation. Alternatively,

$$\text{Ind}_H^G(W) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[H]}(\mathbb{C}[G], W) = \{f : G \rightarrow W \mid f(hg) = \rho_W(h)f(g)\}$$

**Proposition 10.1.** Properties of the induced representation.

1.

$$\text{Ind}_H^G(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[G/H]$$

2.

$$\text{Ind}_G^G(V) \cong V$$

**Remark 2** (Notation). Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be representatives for  $G/H$ . Then,  $gx_i \in gx_i H = x_{j(i,g)} H$  so  $gx_i = x_{j(i,g)} h_i(g)$

We want to determine the structure  $\text{Ind}_H^G(W)$ .



**Definition:** For  $w \in W$ , let  $F_{i,w} : G \rightarrow W$  be given by,

$$F_{i,w}(g) = \rho_W(h)^{-1}(w)$$

where  $g = x_i h \in x_i H$  and zero otherwise.

**Proposition 10.2.** Properties of  $F_{i,w}$ ,

1.  $F_{i,w} \in \text{Ind}_H^G(W)$
2.  $F_{i,w_1+w_2} = F_{i,w_1} + F_{i,w_2}$
3.  $F_{i,t \cdot w} = t \cdot F_{i,w}$
4.  $W^{(i)} = \{F_{i,w} \mid w \in W\}$  is a vector subspace of  $\text{Ind}_H^G(W)$  and,

$$W^{(i)} = \{F \in \text{Ind}_H^G(W) \mid F(g) = 0 \text{ if } g \notin x_i H\}$$

5.  $\forall F \in \text{Ind}_H^G(W)$  we have  $F = \sum_{i=1}^k F_{i,w_i}$  where  $w_i = F(x_i)$ .
6. We have the isomorphism of vectorspaces,

$$\text{Ind}_H^G(W) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k W^{(i)}$$

Therefore,

$$\dim \text{Ind}_H^G(W) = k \dim W = [G : H] \dim W$$

**Proposition 10.3.**

$$\rho_{\text{Ind}_H^G(W)}(g) \cdot F_{i,w} = F_{j(i,g), \rho_W(h_i(g)) \cdot w}$$

*Proof.* Consider,  $\rho(g) \cdot F_{i,w}(x_\ell) = F_{i,w}(g^{-1}x_\ell)$ . Now,  $g^{-1}x_\ell \in x_i H$  so  $x_\ell \in gx_i H = x_j H$  therefore zero unless  $\ell = j$ . Assume that  $\ell = j$  then  $\rho(g) \cdot F_{i,w}(x) = F_{i,w}(g^{-1}x)$  but  $x \in x_j H$  so  $x = x_j h$   $\square$

**Theorem 10.4** (Frobenius Reciprocity).

$$\text{Hom}^H(W, \text{Res}_H^G(U)) \cong \text{Hom}^G(\text{Ind}_H^G(W), U)$$

**Theorem 10.5.** For any class functions  $f_1 : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $f_2 : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  we have,

$$\langle f_1, \text{Res}_H^G(f_2) \rangle_H = \langle \text{Ind}_H^G(f_1), f_2 \rangle_G$$

*Proof.* and the right hand side is,

$$\langle \text{Ind}_H^G(f_1), f_2 \rangle_G = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \text{Ind}_H^G(f_1)(g) \overline{f_2(g)} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{x \in G} \tilde{f}_1(x^{-1}gx) \overline{f_2(g)}$$

Rewriting,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \text{Ind}_H^G(f_1), f_2 \rangle_G &= \frac{1}{|G| \cdot |H|} \sum_{g, x \in G} \tilde{f}_1(x^{-1}gx) \bar{f}_2(g) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G| \cdot |H|} \sum_{g, x \in G} \tilde{f}_1(g) \bar{f}_2(xgx^{-1}) = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{g \in G} \tilde{f}_1(g) \bar{f}_2(g)\end{aligned}$$

where I have used the fact that  $f_2$  is a  $G$ -class function. However,  $\tilde{f}(g) = 0$  unless  $g \in h$  so the left hand side becomes,

$$\langle \text{Ind}_H^G(f_1), f_2 \rangle_G = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} f_1(h) \overline{f_2(h)} = \langle f_1, \text{Res}_H^G(f_2) \rangle_H$$

□

**Corollary 10.6.**

$$\left\langle \chi_W, \chi_{\text{Res}_H^G(U)} \right\rangle_H = \left\langle \chi_{\text{Ind}_H^G(W)}, \chi_U \right\rangle_G$$

**Theorem 10.7** (Projection Formula).

$$\text{Ind}_H^G(W \otimes \text{Res}_H^G(U)) = (\text{Ind}_H^G(W)) \otimes U$$

**Corollary 10.8.**

$$\text{Ind}_H^G(\text{Res}_H^G(V)) = \text{Ind}_H^G(\text{Res}_H^G(\mathbb{C} \otimes V)) = \mathbb{C}[G/H] \otimes V$$

**Definition:**

**Theorem 10.9.** Suppose that  $W$  is irreducible then  $\text{Ind}_H^G(W)$  is irreducible if and only if  $\forall x \in G \setminus H$  the representations  $W$  and  $W_x$  are not isomorphic  $G$ -representations.

*Proof.*

$$\left\langle \chi_{\text{Ind}_H^G(W)}, \chi_{\text{Ind}_H^G(W)} \right\rangle_G = \left\langle \chi_W, \chi_{\text{Res}_H^G(\text{Ind}_H^G(W))} \right\rangle_H$$

□

**Definition:** Let  $H \subset G$  and  $[G : H] = 2$  then define the homomorphism  $\epsilon : G \rightarrow \{\pm 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}^\times$  by,

$$\epsilon(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & g \in H \\ 0 & g \notin H \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 10.10.** Let  $V$  be an irreducible  $G$ -representation,  $W = \text{Res}_H^G(V)$  and let  $V \otimes \epsilon$  correspond to  $\epsilon \rho_V$ . Then, exactly one of the following holds,

1.  $V \cong V \otimes \epsilon$  and  $W \cong W' \oplus W'_x$  where  $W'$  is irreducible and  $W' \not\cong W'_x$  and  $V \cong \text{Ind}_H^G(W') \cong \text{Ind}_H^G(W'_x)$ .
2.  $V \not\cong V \otimes \epsilon$  and  $W \cong W_x$  is irreducible and  $\text{Ind}_H^G(W) \cong V \otimes (V \otimes \epsilon)$ .

## 11 Real Representations

**Definition:** A  $G$ -representation  $\rho_V : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V)$  is real if  $V$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -vectorspace.

**Proposition 11.1.** If  $\rho_V$  is a real representation then  $V \cong V^*$  as a  $G$ -representation.

*Proof.* If  $\rho_V$  is real then  $\chi_V$  is real so  $\chi_V = \overline{\chi_V}$  and thus  $V \cong V^*$ . □

**Remark 3.** The condition  $V \cong V^*$  is not sufficient to show that  $\rho_V$  is the complexification of a real representation.

**Theorem 11.2.** Let  $V$  be an irreducible  $G$ -representation then,

1.  $V \not\cong V^*$  and  $V$  cannot be defined over  $\mathbb{R}$  if and only if  $(\text{Bil } V)^G = 0$ .
2.  $V \cong V^*$  and  $V$  cannot be defined over  $\mathbb{R}$  if and only if  $\dim(\bigwedge^2 V^*)^G = 1$ .
3.  $V \cong V^*$  and  $V$  can be defined over  $\mathbb{R}$  if and only if  $\dim(\text{Sym } V)^G = 1$ .

*Proof.* We know that  $\text{Bil } V \cong \text{Hom}(V, V^*)$  so  $(\text{Bil } V)^G = \text{Hom}^G(V, V^*) = 0$  if and only if  $V \not\cong V^*$ .

Furthermore, □

## 12 Representations of the Symmetric Group

**Remark 4.** For any  $n$  we always have the 1-dimensional (irreducible) representations  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{C}(\epsilon)$  and the  $n$ -dimensional permutation representation  $\mathbb{C}^n \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus V$  where  $V$  is an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional irreducible  $S_n$ -representation.

**Lemma 12.1.** Any  $\sigma \in S_n$  can be written as a unique product of disjoint nontrivial cycles  $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k$  ordered by length. The cycle type of  $\sigma$  is  $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  where  $n_i$  is the length of  $\gamma_i$ . Furthermore, there is a one-to-one correspondence between cycle types and conjugacy classes.

**Definition:**  $\lambda$  is a partition of  $n$  written as  $\lambda \vdash n$  is a weakly decreasing sequence of positive integers  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_\ell$  such that,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_i = n$$

**Proposition 12.2.** Every  $\sigma \in S_n$  determines a partition of  $n$ . Furthermore, the action of  $\langle \sigma \rangle$  on  $S_n$  by partition  $S_n$  into orbits of sizes  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell$ .

**Proposition 12.3.** Conjugacy classes of  $S_n$  are indexed by partitions  $\lambda \vdash n$ .

**Definition:** The Young Subgroup of a partition  $\lambda \vdash n$  is the group  $S_\lambda = S_{\lambda_1} \times \dots \times S_{\lambda_\ell}$  where  $\sigma \in S_\lambda$  means that  $\sigma$  preserves the partition  $\lambda$  of the set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ .

**Definition:** For each  $\lambda \vdash n$  we get an  $S_n$ -representation,

$$M^\lambda = \mathbb{C}[S_n/S_\lambda] = \text{Ind}_{S_\lambda}^{S_n}(\mathbb{C})$$

For example, for the extreme partitions  $\lambda = (n)$  we have  $S_\lambda = S_n$  so  $M^{(n)} = \mathbb{C}[S_n/S_n] = \mathbb{C}$ . Furthermore, if  $\lambda = (1, \dots, 1)$  then  $S_\lambda = \{e\}$  so  $M^{(1, \dots, 1)} = \mathbb{C}[S_n]$  the regular representation.

**Definition:** Given two partitions  $\lambda, \mu \vdash n$  then  $\lambda$  dominates  $\mu$  written as  $\lambda \supseteq \mu$  if,

$$\forall i : \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_i \geq \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_i$$

**Proposition 12.4.** Domination is a partial order on the set of partitions of  $n$  and for any  $\lambda \vdash n$  we have  $(n) \supseteq \lambda \supseteq (1, \dots, 1)$