

# 1 Motivation

Let  $X$  be a normal (quasi-projective) variety over a field  $k$ . Then,

$$H^1(X, \mathbb{G}_m) = \{\lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{G}_m(U_{ij})\} / \{\delta_i \in \mathbb{G}_m(U_i)\}$$

is the group of line bundles.

*Remark.* For (quasi-projective, I think)  $X$  the Čech and étale cohomology groups agree. Therefore, when we write  $H^i(X, -)$  we are going to mean  $\check{H}^i(X, -)$  on the étale site.

Then,

$$H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m) = \{\text{azumaya algebras}\} / \text{morita equivalence}$$

where Azumaya algebras are equivalent to  $\text{PGL}_r$ -bundles on  $X$ . These also classify  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -gerbes on  $X$  where a  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -gerbe is a stack locally isomorphic to  $X \times B\mathbb{G}_m$ . This allows us to write double intersection data in terms of single intersection data.

Then the transition functions,

$$U \times B\mathbb{G}_m|_{U \cap V} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{U \cap V}} V \times B\mathbb{G}_m|_{U \cap V}$$

This is given by a map  $U \cap V \rightarrow B\mathbb{G}_m$  and therefore by a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_{U \cap V} \in \text{Pic}(U \cap V)$ . Therefore we have  $\mathcal{L}_{ij}$  on each  $U_{ij}$  and then there is the data of an isomorphism,

$$\varphi : \mathcal{L}_{ij} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{jk} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{ik}^{-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{U_{ijk}}$$

and therefore we get a  $\mathbb{G}_m(U_{ijk})$ -torsor of choices of  $\varphi$ . This defines a class  $[\alpha] \in H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$ . Then we could say that,

$$H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m) = H^1(X, \text{Pic}(X))$$

where  $\text{Pic}(X)$  is the Picard stack.

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \longrightarrow \text{GL}_r \longrightarrow \text{PGL}_r \longrightarrow 0$$

Therefore we get a map  $H^1(X, \text{PGL}_r) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$  and as we vary over  $r$  these are jointly surjective (HARD). We want another perspective on Morita equivalence which is somehow the same as taking the cokernel  $H^1(X, \text{GL}_r) \rightarrow H^1(X, \text{PGL}_r)$ .

**Definition 1.0.1.** Morita equivalence is  $A \sim B$  if their category of modules are equivalent (probably in a way preserving the underlying abelian sheaf).

**Theorem 1.0.2** (Krashen-T). Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety over  $k$ . Then,

$$H^3(X, \mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{\sim} \{2\text{-azumaya algebras}\} / \text{morita equivalence}$$

## 2 Detour into dgLand

**Definition 2.0.1.** A dg-algebra is a differential graded algebra and a dg-module is a graded module over this dg-algebra.

*Remark.* We want a monoidal category in which  $\text{Br}(X)$  represents the units.

**Example 2.0.2.**  $H^1(X, \mathbb{G}_m) = \mathfrak{Coh}(X)^\times$

**Proposition 2.0.3.**  $\mathrm{Br}(X) = (\mathcal{O}_X\text{-de-CAT} / \sim_{\mathrm{mor}})^\times$ .

**Definition 2.0.4.** An  $A$ -dg-cat is a category enriched in  $A$ -dg-modules.

**Theorem 2.0.5.** There exists a well-defined category  $C$  consisting of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -dg-cat up to morita equivalence and a map,

$$\varphi : H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m) \hookrightarrow C$$

whose image is precise the invertibles of  $C$  under the  $\otimes$ -structure. We define,

$$\varphi(A) = *_A$$

the category with one object and  $A$  of morphisms. Then  $\mathrm{Br}(X) \hookrightarrow C$  by automorphisms.

**Definition 2.0.6.** A 2-azumaya algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  over  $X$  is a 2-stack of dg-categories such that,

- (a)  $\mathcal{A}|_U \cong C|_U$  for a cover  $U \rightarrow X$
- (b)  $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) \cong C$  in  $C$ .

**Proposition 2.0.7.**  $H^3(X, \mathbb{G}_m) \cong \{2\text{-azumaya algebra}\}$

*Proof.*  $\mathcal{A}$  is given by a class in  $\mathrm{Br}(U)$  over each double intersection. Define the cover to go from,

$$H^1(X, \mathrm{Br}(X)) \rightarrow H^3(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$$

□