

1 Introduction

1.1 References

- (a) A sampling of vector bundle techniques, Lazarsfeld.

1.2 Divisors

Remark. Let X be a projective variety over $k = \bar{k}$.

A divisor is a formal sum,

$$D = \sum a_i D_i$$

for $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and D_i is a codimension 1 subvariety. We also will allow $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ or \mathbb{R} .

Definition 1.2.1. $N^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{\mathbb{R}\text{-divisors}\} / \sim$ where,

$$D_1 \sim D_2 \iff D_1 \cdot C = D_2 \cdot C$$

for all integral curves $C \subset X$.

Definition 1.2.2 (Ample). A Line bundle \mathcal{L} is *ample* if one of the following equivalent conditions hold,

- (a) $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}$ (for some $m \geq 0$) is very ample meaning \mathcal{L} defines an embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$
- (b) for any coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} there exists $n(\mathcal{F})$ s.t. $m \geq n(\mathcal{F})$ implies $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}$ is globally generated
- (c) for any coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} there exists $n(\mathcal{F})$ s.t. $m \geq n(\mathcal{F})$ implies $H^i(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) = 0$ for all $i > 0$
- (d) (over \mathbb{C}) positive in the sense of admitting a positive hermitian connection.

Theorem 1.2.3 (Nakai-Moishezon). On X a line bundle \mathcal{L} is ample if and only if

$$(\mathcal{L}^{\dim V} \cdot V) > 0$$

for all subvarieties $V \subset X$.

Definition 1.2.4. \mathcal{L} is nef (numerically effective) if,

$$(\mathcal{L} \cdot C) \geq 0$$

for all curves $C \subset X$.

Theorem 1.2.5 (Kleiman). If \mathcal{L} is nef then for any subvariety $V \subset X$,

$$\mathcal{L}^{\dim V} \cdot V \geq 0$$

Remark. However, $\mathcal{L} \cdot C > 0$ does not imply that \mathcal{L} is ample meaning it does not imply that the intersection against all subvarities is positive.

Proposition 1.2.6. (a) non-negative linear combinations of nef divisors are nef.

(b) if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is proper and \mathcal{L} on Y is nef then $f^*\mathcal{L}$ is nef.

(c) if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is surjective and proper and $f^*\mathcal{L}$ is nef then \mathcal{L} is nef.

Corollary 1.2.7. (a) Let X be projective, D is a nef \mathbb{R} -divisor, and H is any ample \mathbb{R} -divisor. Then $D + \epsilon H$ is ample for all $\epsilon > 0$.

(b) fix \mathbb{R} -divisors D and H , if $(D + \epsilon H)$ is ample for all small $\epsilon > 0$ then D is nef.

Proof. For (2) we have,

$$D \cdot C = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (D + \epsilon H) \cdot C \geq 0$$

For (1) we need to show that,

$$(D + \epsilon H)^{\dim V} \cdot V > 0$$

for any subvariety $V \subset X$. Now,

$$(D + \epsilon H)^{\dim V} = [D^{\dim V} + \dots + (\epsilon H)^{\dim V}] \cdot V$$

Since D is nef, all the intersections are ≥ 0 and $\epsilon^{\dim V} H^{\dim V} \cdot V > 0$ because $\epsilon > 0$ and H is ample and thus we conclude. \square

Proposition 1.2.8. Let $f : X \rightarrow T$ be surjective, proper and \mathcal{L} is a line bundle on X . Suppose for some $t_0 \in T$, that L_{t_0} is nef on X_{t_0} . Then there exists a countable union of proper subvarieties $B \subset T$ such that L_t is nef on X_t for all $t \notin B$.

Definition 1.2.9. The ample cone is,

$$\text{Amp}(X) = \{D \in N^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \mid D \text{ is ample}\} \subset N^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

and the nef cone,

$$\text{Nef}(X) = \{D \in N^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \mid D \text{ is nef}\} \subset N^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

The corollaries tell us that $\text{Amp}(X)$ is an open convex cone and $\overline{\text{Amp}(X)} = \text{Nef}(X)$.

Example 1.2.10. $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Then $N^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \langle F_1, F_2 \rangle$ with basis $F_i = [\pi_i^{-1}(\text{pt})]$. The F_i are both nef but $F_i^2 = 0$ so they are not ample. The ample cone is the first quadrant and the nef cone is the first quadrant plus the positive axes.

Example 1.2.11. Let E be an elliptic curve general in \mathcal{M}_1 . Let $X = E \times E$. Then,

$$N^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \langle F_1, F_2, \Delta \rangle$$

Claim: any effective class on $X = E \times E$ is nef. Indeed this is because we can freely move classes by translation until they intersect properly.

Lemma 1.2.12. Let $X = E \times E$. A class $\alpha \in N^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is nef iff $\alpha^2 \geq 0$ and $\alpha \cdot h \geq 0$ for some ample h .

Proposition 1.2.13. Let X be a surface and D an integral divisor s.t. $D^2 > 0$ and $(D \cdot H) > 0$ for some ample H , then mD is effective for some $m > 0$.

Proof. Consider,

$$\chi(X, mD) = \frac{1}{2}(mD) \cdot (mD - K_X) + \chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$$

Now since $D^2 > 0$ we can make $\chi(X, mD) \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, $h^2(X, mD) = h^0(X, K_X - mD) = 0$ for large enough m if $D \cdot H > 0$. Otherwise, there would be an effective $D' \sim K_X - mD$ and then $D' \cdot H > 0$ since H is ample but $D' \cdot H = K_X \cdot H - mD \cdot H < 0$ for large enough m since $D \cdot H > 0$. Therefore, we must have $h^0(X, mD) \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Remark. This proves the previous lemma using that the nef cone is closed and that any effective class is nef.

Remark. Back to the example, let $\alpha = xF_1 + yF_2 + z\Delta$ and $h = F_1 + F_2 + \Delta$. Applying the lemma gives the inequalities of the nef cone,

$$x + y + z \geq 0 \quad xy + xz + yz \geq 0$$

This is a round cone.

1.3 Schedule

- (a) Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity
- (b) Introduction to Brill-Noether Theory
- (c) Petri's condition and Brill-Noether Theory on K3 surfaces:

$$\mu_0 : H^0(C, A) \otimes H^0(C, \omega_C \otimes A^\vee) \rightarrow H^0(C, \omega_C)$$

for a line bundle A and a curve C when is this injective?

- (d) Lazarsfeld-Mukai bundles on K3 surfaces.
- (e) Proof of the Brill-Noether-Petri.
- (f) $\dim = 2$ case of Fujita's conjecture.
- (g) Moduli of sheaves on K3s? Other topics?

2 Mumford-Castounovo Regularity

Theorem 2.0.1 (Serre Vanishing). Let $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ be proper and \mathcal{L} ample on X . Then for any $\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{Coh}(X)$ there is some $n(\mathcal{F})$ such that for all $n \geq n(\mathcal{F})$ and $i > 0$,

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}) = 0$$

Remark. Today we want to quantify how the minimal $n(\mathcal{F})$ grows.

Definition 2.0.2. Let $X = \mathbb{P}_k^n$. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{Coh}(X)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then \mathcal{F} is *m-regular* if,

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{F}(m - i)) = 0$$

for all $i > 0$. Then *the regularity* of \mathcal{F} is,

$$\text{reg}(\mathcal{F}) = \inf\{m \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \mathcal{F} \text{ is } m\text{-regular}\}$$

Remark. If \mathcal{F} is supported on a finite set then $\text{reg}(\mathcal{F}) = -\infty$. Otherwise $\text{reg} \mathcal{F}$ is a finite number.

Example 2.0.3. Consider $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_X(-1, -3)$. Then,

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{L}) = 0$$

for all i by Kunneth since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$ has no cohomology. However,

$$\dim H^i(X, \mathcal{L}(1)) = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq 1 \\ 1 & i = 1 \end{cases}$$

because,

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{L}(1)) \cong H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}) \otimes H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2))$$

The cohomology can all vanish but can jump up after a *positive* twist. However, $\text{reg}(\mathcal{L}) = 3$ so after twisting three times the higher cohomology stays zero.

Example 2.0.4. $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(a)$ is $(-a)$ -regular. If $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is a degree d -hypersurface then $\iota_*\mathcal{O}_X$ is $(d-1)$ -regular.

Proposition 2.0.5. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{Coh}(X)$ be m -regular. Then for $k \geq 0$,

- (a) \mathcal{F} is $(m+k)$ -regular
- (b) $\mathcal{F}(m+k)$ is generated by global sections
- (c) the natural map,

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{F}(m)) \otimes H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(k)) \twoheadrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{F}(m+k))$$

is surjective.

Proof. By flat base change, we can assume that k is algebraically closed. Then we do induction on $n = \dim X$. For $\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{Coh}(X)$ the support $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{F})$ is a closed subscheme so it has finitely many components and hence there exists a hyperplane missing each generic point (using that k is infinite). Therefore, we get an exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\mathcal{G} = \iota_*(\mathcal{F}|_H)$ supported on $H \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. When $n = 0$ the statements are obvious. By the sequence, if \mathcal{F} is m -regular then \mathcal{G} is m -regular. By the induction hypothesis, \mathcal{G} is $(m+k)$ -regular. Thus for $i > 0$ and $k \geq 0$ we have $H^i(X, \mathcal{G}(m+k-i)) = 0$ so if $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}(m+k-1-i)) = 0$ then $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}(m+k-i)) = 0$ so if \mathcal{F} is $(m+(k-1))$ -regular then \mathcal{F} is $(m+k)$ -regular so by induction \mathcal{F} is $(m+k)$ -regular for all $k \geq 0$ proving (a). Now, consider the diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{F}(m+k-1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{F}(m+k)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{G}(m+k)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(m+k-1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(m+k) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}(m+k) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Since \mathcal{F} is $(m+k)$ -regular $H^1(X, \mathcal{F}(m+k-1)) = 0$ so the top sequence is short exact. By the induction hypothesis, for all $k \geq 0$ the map $H^0(\mathcal{G}(m+k)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(m+k)$ is surjective (on H this is the induction hypothesis and outside H this hold because \mathcal{G} vanishes). By Serre, there is some $k \gg 0$ such that $\mathcal{F}(m+k)$ is globally generated and thus by downward induction we see that $\mathcal{F}(m+k)$ is globally generated for all $k \geq 0$ proving (b). Then consider the diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& & 0 \\
& & \downarrow \\
H^0(X, \mathcal{F}(m)) \otimes H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(k-1)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(X, \mathcal{F}(m+k-1)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H^0(X, \mathcal{F}(m)) \otimes H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(k)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(X, \mathcal{F}(m+k)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H^0(\mathcal{G}(m)) \otimes H^0(H, \mathcal{O}_H(k)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(H, \mathcal{G}(m+k)) \\
& & \downarrow \\
& & 0
\end{array}$$

By induction on n the bottom map is surjective. The bottom downward maps are surjective because \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are m -regular so $H^1(X, \mathcal{F}(m-1)) = 0$ and likewise for \mathcal{G} . Now we use induction on k . The case $k = 0$ is clear so we can assume that the top map is surjective and thus the middle map is also surjective completing the induction step. Therefore,

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{F}(m)) \otimes H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(k)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{F}(m+k))$$

is surjective proving (c). □

Proposition 2.0.6. Given an exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_3 \longrightarrow 0$$

Then,

- (a) if \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_3 are m -regular then \mathcal{F}_2 is m -regular
- (b) if \mathcal{F}_1 is $(m+1)$ -regular and \mathcal{F}_2 is m -regular then \mathcal{F}_3 is m -regular
- (c) if \mathcal{F}_2 is m -regular and \mathcal{F}_3 is $(m-1)$ -regular then \mathcal{F}_1 is m -regular
- (d) $\text{reg}(\mathcal{F}_1) \leq \max\{\text{reg}(\mathcal{F}_2), \text{reg}(\mathcal{F}_3) + 1\}$
- (e) $\text{reg}(\mathcal{F}_2) \leq \max\{\text{reg}(\mathcal{F}_1), \text{reg}(\mathcal{F}_3)\}$
- (f) $\text{reg}(\mathcal{F}_3) \leq \max\{\text{reg}(\mathcal{F}_1) - 1, \text{reg}(\mathcal{F}_2)\}$

Proof. Consider the long exact sequence,

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{F}_1(m-i)) \longrightarrow H^i(X, \mathcal{F}_2(m-i)) \longrightarrow H^i(X, \mathcal{F}_3(m-i)) \longrightarrow H^{i+1}(X, \mathcal{F}_1(m-i))$$

DO THIS □

Proposition 2.0.7. Consider a coherent resolution,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_n \xrightarrow{d_n} \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} \mathcal{F}_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0$$

with each \mathcal{F}_j is $(m+j)$ -regular. Then \mathcal{F} is m -regular and $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_0(m)) \twoheadrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{F}(m))$.

Proof. Given $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}_j(m+j-i)) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ and $j \geq 0$. We want to show that $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}(m-i)) = 0$. DO THIS \square

Proposition 2.0.8. A coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{Coh}(X)$ is m -regular iff there exists a resolution,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-m-(n+1))^{\oplus a_{n+1}} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-m-1)^{\oplus a_1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-m)^{\oplus a_0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0$$

Proposition 2.0.9. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{Coh}(X)$ and \mathcal{E} a vector bundle. If \mathcal{F} is m -regular and \mathcal{E} is ℓ -regular then $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{E}$ is $(m+\ell)$ -regular.

Proof. We apply the resolution property to \mathcal{F} and then applying $- \otimes \mathcal{E}$ gives a resolution of $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{E}$ since \mathcal{E} is flat. Then we apply the previous proposition. \square

Corollary 2.0.10. If \mathcal{E} is a an m -regular vector bundle then,

- (a) $\mathcal{E}^{\otimes r}$
- (b) $\bigwedge^r \mathcal{E}$
- (c) $S^r \mathcal{E}$ (for characteristic zero).

all are (rm) -regular.

Proof. Regularity of $\mathcal{E}^{\otimes r}$ is immediate. Then consider the exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\otimes r} \longrightarrow \bigwedge^r \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow 0$$

which has a section (CHECK) \square

Definition 2.0.11. Let X be a projective variety and \mathcal{L} a globally generated line bundle. Then \mathcal{F} is m -regular with respect to \mathcal{L} if,

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(m-i)}) = 0$$

for all $i > 0$.

Proposition 2.0.12 (Green's Theorem). Let $W \subset H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d))$ be a codimension n basepoint-free linear system. Then for $k \geq c$,

$$\zeta_k : W \otimes H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(k)) \twoheadrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d+k))$$

is surjective.

Proof. Consider $W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$ then there is a map,

$$W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(d)$$

which is surjective as a map of sheaves since W is base-point free. Let \mathcal{M}_W be its kernel. Then surjectivity is equivalent to $H^1(X, \mathcal{M}_W(k)) = 0$. Similarly, define,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_d \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(d) \longrightarrow 0$$

Wind that \mathcal{M}_d is 1-regular and $\wedge^k \mathcal{M}_d$ is k -regular. Since $\text{codim}(W) = c$ we have,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_W \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_d \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\otimes c} \longrightarrow 0$$

Using the Egan-Northcott complex we have \mathcal{M}_W is $(c+1)$ -regular. If $k \geq c$ then \mathcal{M}_W is $(k+1)$ -regular and thus,

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{M}_W(k)) = H^1(X, \mathcal{M}_W(k+1-1)) = 0$$

□

Definition 2.0.13 (Fujita). Let X be a smooth projective variety $\dim X = n$. Let D be an ample divisor. Then,

- (a) $k \geq n+1$ implies that $K_X + kD$ is basepoint free
- (b) $k \geq n+2$ implies that $K_X + kD$ is very ample.

Remark. This is true for curves, surfaces, and projective spaces.

Remark. h^0 can be hard to compute but χ is easier. If $H^i = 0$ for $i > 0$ then $\chi = h^0$.

Example 2.0.14. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$. What is the dimension of quadric supersurfaces containing X . Consider $h^0(\mathcal{I}_X(2))$. We have,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_X(2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(2) \longrightarrow 0$$

Therefore, we need vanishing $H^1(\mathcal{I}_X(2)) = 0$ to compute $h^0(\mathcal{I}_X(2))$.

Example 2.0.15. Let $\ell \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a line. What is the dimension of degree d surfaces containing ℓ . Since ℓ is a complete intersection $\ell = H_1 \cap H_2$ for two hyperplanes. We have a Koszul resolution,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow 0$$

By the previous result, $H^0(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_\ell)$ is surjective and thus $H^1(\mathcal{I}_\ell(d)) = 0$. Alternatively, the resolution gives that \mathcal{I}_ℓ is 0-regular.

Example 2.0.16. Noether-Lefschetz: $\text{Pic}(S_d) = \mathbb{Z}$ for very general hypersurface $S_d \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ of degree d . However, if $S_d \supset \ell$ then it is not very general.

Example 2.0.17. Let $H_1, \dots, H_e \subset \mathbb{P}$ be hypersurfaces with $\deg H_i = d_i$ and a complete intersection,

$$X = H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_e$$

Then,

$$\text{reg}(\mathcal{I}_X) = d_1 + \dots + d_e - e + 1$$

3 Andres: Moduli of Vector Bundles on Curves

Let C be a smooth projective curve over k . Vector bundles on C vary in continuous families.

Example 3.0.1. If C is an elliptic curve then there is a bijection between,

$$C(k) \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{rank 1 vector bundles of degree 1}\}$$

via the map,

$$p \mapsto \mathcal{O}_C(p) \cong \mathcal{I}_p^\vee$$

Let $\Sigma_{n,d}$ be the set of all vector bundles on C of fixed rank n and degree d . Assume that $(n, d) = 1$. We want that $\Sigma_{n,d}$ is the k -points of some projective variety.

Let T be a variety or a scheme, we can consider a vector bundle \mathcal{E} on $T \times C$ this gives a map $T(k) \rightarrow \Sigma_{n,d}$ via $t \mapsto \mathcal{E}_{C_t} \in \Sigma_{n,d}$. Therefore, we use this as the functor of points of the desired variety.

Consider the finest topology on $\Sigma_{n,d}$ such that for all T and all \mathcal{E} on $C \times T$ the induced map $T(k) \rightarrow \Sigma_{n,d}$ is continuous.

4 Brill-Noether Theory

Let C be a smooth projective curve of genus g . Then we want to consider the space of line bundles \mathcal{L} on C with $V \subset H^0(C, \mathcal{L})$ of dimension $r + 1$ giving a map $C \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$ of degree d . We get a moduli space $G_d^r(C)$. We ask the following questions:

- (a) when is $G_d^r(C)$ nonempty
- (b) what is the dimension of $G_d^r(C)$
- (c) how many components does $G_d^r(C)$ have and are they equidimensional?

Definition 4.0.1. The Brill-Noether number,

$$\rho = g - (r + 1)(g - d + r)$$

is the “expected dimension” of $G_d^r(C)$ for a general curve C .

Definition 4.0.2. There is a universal fibration $\mathcal{G}_d^r \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$ of the Brill-Noether moduli spaces.

Theorem 4.0.3 (Brill-Noether). There is an open locus of \mathcal{M}_g such that if,

- (a) $\rho < 0$ then $\mathcal{G}_d^r|_U$ is empty
- (b) $\rho \geq 0$ then $\mathcal{G}_d^r|_U$ has constant fiber dimension ρ and is smooth
- (c) $\rho > 0$ then \mathcal{G}_d^r has connected fibers (over all of \mathcal{M}_g).

Remark. If $\rho \geq 0$ then $G_d^r(C)$ is nonempty for all C but need not be smooth or of the correct dimension.

Example 4.0.4. Hyperelliptic curves have nontrivial \mathfrak{g}_2^1 but

$$\rho = g - 2(g - 1) = 2 - g$$

is negative for large g .

Definition 4.0.5. Consider the space

$$W_d^r(C) = \{\mathcal{L} \mid \mathcal{L} \text{ line bundle wth } \deg \mathcal{L} = d \text{ and } \dim H^0(C, \mathcal{L}) \geq r + 1\}$$

Then clearly there is a map $\beta : G_d^r(C) \rightarrow W_d^r(C)$.

4.1 Definition of Moduli Spaces

Definition 4.1.1. Let F_1, F_2 be free modules of finite rank over R and consider,

$$F_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} F_2 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

Then the a^{th} fitting ideal $\text{Fitt}_a(M)$ is the ideal generated by the $(\text{rk} F_2 - a) \times (\text{rk} F_2 - a)$ minors of the matrix representing φ . This is independent of the presentation.

Definition 4.1.2. Using the universal line bundle \mathcal{L} on $C \times \text{Pic}_C^d$ we define,

$$W_d^r(C) = \text{Fitt}_{g-d+r-1}(R^1\nu_*\mathcal{L})$$

where $\nu : C \times \text{Pic}_C^d \rightarrow \text{Pic}_C^d$.

Remark. Notice that $R^1\nu_*\mathcal{L}$ has fibers $H^1(C, L)$ over the point $[L]$ for L of degree d . Choose high enough degree divisor Γ on C we get,

$$0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow L(\Gamma) \longrightarrow L(\Gamma)/L \longrightarrow 0$$

Then the long exact sequence gives,

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(C, L) \longrightarrow H^0(C, L(\Gamma)) \xrightarrow{\gamma} H^0(C, L(\Gamma)/L) \longrightarrow H^1(C, L) \longrightarrow 0$$

Then by Riemann-Roch $h^0(C, L(\Gamma)) = d - g + 1 + m$ and $h^0(C, L(\Gamma)/L) = m$ where $\deg \Gamma = m$. Then we have,

$$|W_d^r(C)| = \{L \in \text{Pic}^d \mid \text{rank } \gamma \leq m - (g - d + r - 1) - 1 = m - g + d - r\}$$

which is exactly the conditions of the fitting ideal.

Remark. Naive dimension count for $W_d^r(C)$ is,

$$\dim \text{Pic}_C^d - \#\{\text{minors}\} = g - (m - (m - g + d - r))(d - g + 1 + m - (m - g + d - r)) = g - (r + 1)(g - d + r) = \rho$$

4.2 Petri's Condition

Let C be a smooth projective curve. We say that C satisfies (P) if for all $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(C)$,

$$\mu_{\mathcal{L}} : H^0(C, \mathcal{L}) \otimes H^0(C, \omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -1}) \rightarrow H^0(C, \omega_C)$$

is injective.

Theorem 4.2.1 (Gieseker). Petri's condition holds for a general C .

Corollary 4.2.2. (a) If $\rho < 0$, for a general C , then G_d^r and W_d^r are empty

(b) if $\rho \geq 0$, for a general C , then G_d^r is smooth of dimension ρ and W_d^r is smooth away from W_d^{r+1} and has dimension ρ

(c) if $\rho \geq 1$, for a general C , then G_d^r and W_d^r are irreducible.

Proof. Consider infinitesimal deformation theory, given $(L, V) \in G_d^r(\mathbb{C})$ we consider,

$$T_{(L,V)}G_d^r = \{(L', V') \mid L' \text{ extending } L \text{ and } V' \subset H^0(L') \text{ free restricting to } V\}$$

The tangent space fits into a sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow T_{(L,V)}\beta^{-1}(L) \rightarrow T_{(L,V)}G_d^r \xrightarrow{\beta} T_L\text{Pic}^d$$

and recall that $T_L\text{Pic}^d \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$.

When does $\phi \in T_L\text{Pic}^d$ lie in the image of $T\beta$? We can represent ϕ by a Čech 1-cocycle $\phi_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathcal{O}_C(U_{\alpha\beta})$. For a given $[L] \in H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C^\times)$ represented by a cocycle $\{g_{\alpha\beta}\}$ then we can represent the lift with a given class by the cocycle $\{g'_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta}(1 + \epsilon\phi_{\alpha\beta})\}$. There needs to exist an extension (L, s) to (L', s') for $s \in W \subset H^0(L)$. For s' to be an extension of s we should have,

$$s'_\alpha = s_\alpha + \epsilon t_\alpha$$

for $t_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_C(U_\alpha)$ and we want $s'_\beta = g'_{\alpha\beta}s'_\alpha$. This gives,

$$-\phi_{\alpha\beta}s_\alpha = t_\alpha - g_{\beta\alpha}t_\beta$$

Therefore we require that $-\phi \cdot s$ is zero in $H^1(L)$.

Thus $\phi \in \text{im } T\beta$ is zero precisely when $\phi \cdot W \subset H^1(L)$ is zero. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{im } T\beta &= \{\phi \in H^1(\mathcal{O}_C) \mid \phi \cdot W = 0\} = \{\phi \in H^1(\mathcal{O}_C) \mid \forall s : \langle \phi W, s \rangle = 0\} \\ &= \{\phi \in H^1(\mathcal{O}_C) \mid \forall s : \langle \phi, W \cdot s \rangle = 0\} \\ &= \{\phi \in H^1(\mathcal{O}_C) \mid \langle \phi, t \rangle = 0\} \end{aligned}$$

over all $t \in \text{im } (H^0(L) \otimes H^0(\omega_C \otimes L^{-1}) \rightarrow H^0(\omega_C))$. Therefore,

$$\dim T_{(L,W)}G_d^r = \dim \text{im } T\beta + (r+1)(h^0(L) - (r+1))$$

using that $\beta^{-1}(L)$ is a Grasmannian and thus,

$$T_{(L,V)}\beta^{-1}(L) = \text{Hom}(W, H^0(L)/W)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim T_{(L,W)}G_d^r &= g - \dim \operatorname{im} \mu_L + (r+1)(h^0(L) - (r+1)) \\
&= g - ((r+1)h^0(\omega_C \otimes L^{-1}) - \ker \mu_L) + (r+1)(h^0(L) - (r+1)) \\
&= g + (r+1)(h^0(L) - h^0(\omega_C \otimes L^{-1}) - (r+1)) + \ker \mu_L \\
&= g + (r+1)(g - g - r) + \ker \mu_L \\
&= \rho + \ker \mu_L
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, G_d^r has tangent space of the expected imension iff μ_L is injective. We already know $\dim G_d^r \geq \rho$ from the naive dimension count. Then G_d^r is smooth at (L, W) of dimension ρ iff $\mu_L|_W$ is injective.

Then $\beta : G_d^r \rightarrow W_d^r$ is an siomrophism away from W_d^{r+1} and $W_d^r \setminus W_d^{r+1}$ is dense in W_d^r . Furthermore, μ_L is injective implies that W_d^r is smooth of $\dim = \rho$ away from W_d^{r+1} . \square

4.3 Riemann-Roch in Geometric Terms

Let D be an effective divisor. Then,

$$r(D) = h^0(D) - 1$$

is the number of independent relations between the canonical image $\phi(D)$ meaning under the canonical embedding $\phi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$.

Example 4.3.1. If C is hyperelliptic and D is degree d effective divisor with $r(D) = r$. Then,

$$D \sim r\mathfrak{g}_2^1 + p_1 + \cdots + p_{d-2r}$$

Example 4.3.2. If $g = 4$ and $d = 3$ and $r = 1$ then $\rho = 0$. If C is hyperelliptic then,

$$D = \mathfrak{g}_2^1 + p$$

and therefore $W_3^1 \cong C$ is 1-dimensional. If C is not hyperelliptic then under the canonical embedding $C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ we have $C = Q \cap S$ for a quadric Q and a cubic S surface. Then if D is degree 3 and $r(D) = 1$ then $\phi(D)$ should be colinear and hence the line is on Q . Therefore, W_3^1 is the set of linear equivalence classes of rullings on Q so $\#W_3^1 = 1$ if Q is a cone and $\#W_3^1 = 2$ if Q is smooth.

5 Oct 11. Brill Noether Theory on K3 Surfaces, Lazarsfeld-Mukai bundles

Definition 5.0.1. X/\mathbb{C} is a $K3$ -surface if it is a smooth, projective variety of $\dim X = 2$ such that $K_X = \Omega_{X/K}^2 \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ and $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$.

Example 5.0.2. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a smooth quartic then $\omega_X = \omega_{\mathbb{P}^3} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(4) \cong \mathcal{O}_X$.

Lemma 5.0.3. Let X be a $K3$ surface then $\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 2$.

Proof. $\chi = h^0 - h^1 + h^2 = 2h^0 = 2$. \square

Proposition 5.0.4. Let $C \subset X$ be a smooth irreducible curve of genus ≥ 1 then $|C|$ has no base points and defines a morphism $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^g$ such that $\phi|_C : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ is the canonical one.

Proof. The sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(C) \longrightarrow 0$$

and use that $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ and thus,

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(C)) \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(C)) = H^0(C, \omega_C)$$

□

Lemma 5.0.5. Let $C \subset X$ be a smooth irreducible curve with $g \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_X(C)$. Then $c_1(\mathcal{L})^2 = 2g - 2$ and $h^0(X, \mathcal{L}) = g + 1$. Also, if $\ell \geq 1$ then $h^0(X, \mathcal{L}^\ell) = (\ell^2/2)c_1(\mathcal{L})^2 + 2 = (g - 1)\ell^2 + 2$.

Proof. Riemann-Roch gives,

$$2g - 2 = C \cdot (C + K_X) = \mathcal{L}^2$$

Then Riemann-Roch for surfaces gives,

$$\chi(X, \mathcal{L}) = \frac{1}{2}c_1(\mathcal{L})^2 + 2 = g + 1$$

also $h^2(X, \mathcal{L}) = h^0(X, \mathcal{L}^\vee) = 0$. Therefore,

$$h^0(X, \mathcal{L}) \geq g + 1$$

Furthermore, $h^1(X, \mathcal{L}) = 0$ by Kodaira vanishing or something else. □

Theorem 5.0.6. Let $C \subset X$ be a smooth irreducible curve of genus $g \geq 2$. Suppose every divisor in $|C|$ is reduced and irreducible then,

- (a) for all $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(C)$ the number $\rho(\mathcal{L}) = g(C) - h^0(\mathcal{L})h^1(\mathcal{L}) \geq 0$
- (b) Petri's condition holds for a general member $C' \in |C|$.

Remark. The assumption on the linear series is essential. For a counterexample, let $|C| = |nD|$ with $D \subset X$ a curve of genus $g \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$. Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_X(D)|_D$. Claim that $\rho(\mathcal{L}) < 0$. Consider the exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D - C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(D) \longrightarrow 0$$

5.1 Lazarsfeld-Mukai Bundle

From now on, X is a K3 surface and $C \subset X$ is a smooth irreducible curve. Recall that $V_d^r(C) \subset \text{Pic}^d(C)$ is the open subset of $W_d^r(C)$ consisting of line bundles \mathcal{L} such that,

- (a) $h^0(\mathcal{L}) = r + 1$ and $\deg \mathcal{L} = d$
- (b) \mathcal{L} and $\omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee$ are globally generated.

Definition 5.1.1. Fix $\mathcal{L} \in V_d^r(C)$. Let $\iota : C \hookrightarrow X$ be the inclusion. For each pair (C, \mathcal{L}) define, $\mathcal{F}_{C, \mathcal{L}}$ as the kernel of,

$$\text{ev} : H^0(\mathcal{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \iota_* \mathcal{L}$$

Lemma 5.1.2. Let \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle on X with a surjection $\varphi : \mathcal{E}|_C \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{L}$. Then consider the exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \iota_* \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow 0$$

Then \mathcal{F} is locally free.

Proof. Work locally, assume $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_X$. Then there is a locally free resolution,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow 0$$

Therefore the homological dimension of \mathcal{L} is ≤ 1 and therefore the homological dimension of \mathcal{F} is 0 and thus \mathcal{F} is locally free. \square

Corollary 5.1.3. The Lazarsfeld-Mukai bundle $\mathcal{F}_{C,\mathcal{L}}$ is a vector bundle.

Proof. Consider the sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \iota_* \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow 0$$

and apply the previous lemma. \square

Lemma 5.1.4. Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{C,\mathcal{L}}$. Then,

- (a) \mathcal{F}^\vee is globally generated
- (b) $c_1(\mathcal{F}) = -[C]$ and $c_2(\mathcal{F}) = \deg \mathcal{F} = d$
- (c) $H^0(\mathcal{F}) = H^2(\mathcal{F}^\vee) = 0$ and $H^1(\mathcal{F}) = H^2(\mathcal{F}^\vee) = 0$ and,

$$h^0(\mathcal{F}^\vee) = h^0(\mathcal{L}) + h^1(\mathcal{L})$$

Proof. Consider the sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{L})^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^\vee \longrightarrow \iota_*(\omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee) \longrightarrow 0$$

By assumption the third term is globally generated and $H^0(\mathcal{F}^\vee) \twoheadrightarrow H^0(\mathfrak{m}_C \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee)$ because $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$. Therefore, \mathcal{F}^\vee is globally generated.

In general we have a formula,

$$c_1(\iota_* \mathcal{L}) = [C] \quad c_2(\iota_* \mathcal{L}) = [C]^2 - \iota_* c_1(\mathcal{L}) = [C]^2 - (\deg \mathcal{L})[pt]$$

Then from the exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \iota_* \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow 0$$

We get that,

$$c_1(\mathcal{F}) = -c_1(\mathcal{L}) = -[C] \quad c_2(\mathcal{F}) = -c_1(\iota_* \mathcal{L})c_1(\mathcal{F}) - c_2(\iota_* \mathcal{L}) = [C]^2 - [C]^2 + (\deg \mathcal{L})[pt] = (\deg \mathcal{L})[pt]$$

\square

Lemma 5.1.5. Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{C,\mathcal{L}}$ then,

$$\chi(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}^\vee) =$$

6 Oct 18

6.1 Proof of (a)

Theorem 6.1.1 (Main). Let $C \subset X$ be a smooth irreducible curve of genus $g \geq 2$ on the K3 surface X . Assume that every divisor in the linear series $|C|$ is reduced and irreducible. Then,

- (a) for each $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(C)$ we have $\rho(\mathcal{L}) \geq 0$
- (b) Petri's condition holds for a general element $C' \in |C|$.

Lemma 6.1.2. Let $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(C)$ for a smooth proper curve C with $\deg \mathcal{L} \in (0, 2g - 2)$. There is a line bundle $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}'(D)$ such that \mathcal{L}' and $\omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee$ are globally generated and $\rho(\mathcal{L}') \leq \rho(\mathcal{L})$.

Proof. Let D_1 be the divisor of base points of \mathcal{L} . Then $\mathcal{L}(-D_1)$ is globally generated because $|\mathcal{L}| = |\mathcal{L}(-D_1)| + D_1$. Let D_2 be the divisor of basepoints of $K_C - c_1(\mathcal{L}) + D_1$. Then $K_C - c_1(\mathcal{L}) + D_1 - D_2$ is base-point free. I claim that $\mathcal{L}(D_2 - D_1)$ is also globally generated. If $\mathcal{L}(D_2 - D_1 - P)$ does not drop dimension then by Riemann Roch $K_C - c_1(\mathcal{L}) + D_1 + P - D_2$ must increase dimension \square

Proof of (a). Suppose that $\rho(\mathcal{L}) < 0$ (REPLACE WITH BPF)

Let $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F}_{C, \mathcal{L}}^\vee$ which is a vector bundle since $\mathcal{L} \in V_d^r(C)$. We showed that,

$$2h^0(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}^\vee) \geq \chi(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) = 2 - 2\rho(\mathcal{L}) \geq 4$$

thus \mathcal{E} has a nontrivial endomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ meaning $\varphi \neq \lambda \text{id}$. Choose a point $x \in X$ and let λ be an eigenvalue of $\varphi(x)$. Then $\psi = \varphi - \lambda \text{id}$ is nonzero but is not of full rank at x . Thus $\det \psi \in \text{Hom}_X(\det \mathcal{E}, \det \mathcal{E}) = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ has a zero and hence is zero. Let $\mathcal{E}_1 = \text{im } \psi$ and $\mathcal{E}_2 = \text{coker } \psi$ so there is a sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_2 \longrightarrow 0$$

so we have $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = c_1(\mathcal{E}_1) + c_1(\mathcal{E}_2)$ and $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = [C]$. Then if $c_1(\mathcal{E}_1)$ and $c_1(\mathcal{E}_2)$ are represented by nonzero effective divisors. We showed last time that \mathcal{E} is globally generated and $H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^\vee) = 0$. Thus since $\mathcal{E} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}_i$ we see that \mathcal{E}_i are globally generated so $c_1(\mathcal{E}_i) = [C_i]$ for some effective class C_i . (SHOW BOTH CLASSES ARE NONTRIVIAL). Hence $C \sim C_1 + C_2$ contradicting the assumption on the linear system. \square

6.2 Mukai's Theorem

Definition 6.2.1. Let X be a proper k -scheme. A vector bundle \mathcal{E} on X is *simple* if,

$$\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) = k$$

Remark. Simple vector bundles are indecomposable. If X is geometrically irreducible then all line bundles are simple.

In this section, let X be a (smooth projective) K3 surface over \mathbb{C} . Therefore, all line bundles are simple.

Remark. If \mathcal{E} is simple, then by Serre duality using that $\omega_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X$,

$$\text{Ext}_X^2(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \cong \text{Ext}_X^2(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E}^\vee, \omega_X) = H^0(X, \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E}^\vee)^\vee = \text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})^\vee = \mathbb{C}$$

Definition 6.2.2. Let $\mathcal{M}(X, r, c_1, c_2)$ be the moduli space of simple vector bundles on X of rank r and with Chern classes c_1 and c_2 .

Remark. Because the objects of \mathcal{M} are simple, the stabilizers groups are \mathbb{G}_m and hence $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow M$ is a \mathbb{G}_m -torsor over a coarse space M .

Remark. The moduli problem has tangent-obstruction theory at a point $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$T^i = \text{Ext}_X^i(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$$

Therefore, since the fiber direction $B\mathbb{G}_m$ have trivial tangent direction we see that,

$$T_{[\mathcal{E}]}M = \text{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$$

Remark. The cup product gives a nondegenerate holomorphic 2-form on M defined by,

$$\text{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \times \text{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_X^2(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) = \mathbb{C}$$

Therefore, M gives an example of a holomorphic symplectic variety. When $\dim M = 2$ it turns out that M is also a K3 surface.

Theorem 6.2.3 (Mukai). The moduli space $M(X, r, c_1, c_2)$ is smooth.

Proof. By descent along the flat map $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow M$ it suffices to show that \mathcal{M} is smooth. Alternatively we can develop directly tangent-obstruction theory for M . Either way, it suffices to show that obstruction classes $\text{ob}(E) \in \text{Ext}_X^2(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$ vanish. Let $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}$ be a closed point (corresponding to a simple vector bundle \mathcal{E} on X) and a small extension of Artin local k -algebras $A \subset B$,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Def}_{\mathcal{M}}(B) & \longrightarrow & \text{Def}_{\mathcal{M}}(A) & \xrightarrow{\text{ob}} & \text{Ext}_X^2(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \\ \downarrow \det & & \downarrow \det & & \downarrow \text{tr} \\ \text{Def}_{\text{Pic}}(B) & \longrightarrow & \text{Def}_{\text{Pic}}(A) & \xrightarrow{\text{ob}} & \text{Ext}_X^2(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X) \end{array}$$

but Pic_X is smooth so we see that $\text{tr} \circ \text{ob} = 0$. However, using Serre duality,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Ext}_X^2(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H^0(X, \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E}^\vee) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \\ \downarrow \text{tr} & & \downarrow \text{tr} & & \downarrow \text{tr} \\ \text{Ext}_X^2(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) & \xlongequal{\quad} & H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \end{array}$$

but since \mathcal{E} is simple the map $\text{tr} : \text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is an isomorphism. Thus $\text{tr} \circ \text{ob} = 0$ implies that $\text{ob} = 0$. \square

6.3 Proof of (b)

Sketch of Proof of (b). Recall that for $\mathcal{L} \in V_d^r(C')$ we know that the tangent space of $V_d^r(C')$ and $G_d^r(C')$ are isomorphic and hence injectivity of $\mu_{\mathcal{L}}$ is equivalent to $V_d^r(C')$ being smooth of the expected dimension. Consider the variety,

$$\mathcal{V}_d^r = \{(C', \mathcal{L}) \mid C' \in |C| \text{ smooth curve and } \mathcal{L} \in V_d^r(C')\}$$

and denote,

$$\pi_d^r : \mathcal{V}_d^r \rightarrow |C|$$

the natural map. By generic smoothness, to show that $V_d^r(C')$ is smooth (and hence $\mu_{\mathcal{L}}$ is injective) for a generic C' it suffices to show that \mathcal{V}_d^r is smooth.

Consider the fibration,

$$\pi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow M = M(X, r+1, [C], d)$$

where \mathcal{G} is the space of pairs (\mathcal{E}, V) for a simple vector bundle \mathcal{E} of rank $r+1$ of X with $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = [C]$ and $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = d$ and $V \subset H^0(X, \mathcal{E})$ of dimension $r+1$. By Mukai's theorem M is smooth and hence \mathcal{G} is smooth since it is a Grassmannian bundle so we can compute the tangent space at the point $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F}_{C, \mathcal{L}}^\vee$ to get,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathcal{G} &= \dim M + (r+1)(\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{E}) - r - 1) \\ &= \text{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) + (r+1)(\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{E}) - r - 1) \\ &= 2\rho(r, d, g) + (r+1)(g - d + r) = g + \rho(r, d, g) \end{aligned}$$

using a lemma we proved last time. Thus it suffices to show that \mathcal{V}_d^r has an open embedding in \mathcal{G} .

Let $U \subset \mathcal{G}$ denote the open set consisting of pairs (E, V) such that,

- (a) E is globally generated and $H^1(X, E) = H^2(X, E) = 0$
- (b) the natural map $\text{ev} : V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ drops rank on a smooth curve C_V and coker ev is a line bundle on C_V .

Then we have exact sequences,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^\vee \longrightarrow V^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_V \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L}_V^\vee \longrightarrow 0$$

(WHY) SHOW THE EQUIVALENCE

□

7 Oct 25

7.1 Setup

X is a smooth projective surface over \mathbb{C} and L a line bundle on X . Then we have the following two facts,

adjunction if $C \subset X$ is an effective curve then,

$$p_a(C) - 1 = \frac{1}{2}C \cdot (C + K_X)$$

Hodge index if D, H are divisors on X with $H^2 \geq 0$ and $D \cdot H = 0$ then $D^2 \leq 0$ and $D^2 = 0$ iff $D \sim 0$.

Remark. If C is integral then $p_a(C) \geq 0$.

7.2 Linear Systems

Let $V \subset H^0(X, L)$ be a linear system. Then the base locus is,

$$\text{Bs}(V) = \{p \in X \mid \forall s \in V : s(p) = 0 \in L(p)\}$$

Note we use the notation $L(p) = L_p/\mathfrak{m}_p L_p$. Consider the map,

$$\Phi_V : X \setminus \text{Bs}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$$

Note that $p \in \text{Bs}(V)$ iff $H^0(X, L) \rightarrow H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}|_Z)$ is zero.

Proposition 7.2.1. $\text{Bs}(V) = \emptyset$ then $\Phi_V : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$ is a closed embedding iff,

- (a) V separates points meaning $\forall p, q \in X$ with $p \neq q$ there is $s \in V$ with $s(p) = 0$ and $s(q) \neq 0$ or vice versa
- (b) V separates tangent directions,

$$\{s \in V \mid s_p \in \mathfrak{m}_p L_p\}$$

generates $\mathfrak{m}_p L_p / \mathfrak{m}_p^2 L_p$ as a vector space.

Remark. We can reformulate the conditions as follows,

- (a) $p, q \in X$ with $p \neq q$ let $Z = \{p, q\}$ reduced thne,

$$H^0(X, L) \rightarrow H^0(Z, L|_Z)$$

is surjective

- (b) $p \in X$ and $t \in \mathfrak{m}_p / \mathfrak{m}_p^2$ and Z is cut out by $\mathfrak{m}_p^2 + (t)$ locally then,

$$H^0(X, L) \rightarrow H^0(Z, L|_Z)$$

is surjective.

Theorem 7.2.2 (Reider). Let L be a nef line bundle,

- (a) let $(L \cdot L) \geq 5$. Let p be a base point of $|K_X + L|$. Then there is an effective divisor $D \subset X$ with $p \in D$ such that either,
 - (a) $(L \cdot D) = 0$ and $D^2 = -1$
 - (b) $(L \cdot D) = 1$ and $D^2 = 0$
- (b) $(L \cdot L) \geq 10$. Let $p \in X$ and $q \in X$ with $p \neq q$ which are not separated by $|K_X + L|$ or $q \in \mathfrak{m}_p / \mathfrak{m}_p^2$ and p, q not separated by $|K_X + L|$. Then there is an effective divisor $D \subset X$ with $Z_{p,q} \subset D$ such that one of the three conditions holds,
 - (a) $(L \cdot D) = 0$ and $(D \cdot D) \in \{-1, -2\}$
 - (b) $(L \cdot D) = 1$ and $(D \cdot D) \in \{0, -1\}$
 - (c) $(L \cdot D) = 2$ and $(D \cdot D) = 0$.

Example 7.2.3. Let $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ and $L = \mathcal{O}_X(2)$ then $(L \cdot L) = 4$ and $K_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-3)$ then $K_X + L = \mathcal{O}_X(-1)$ which has every point as a base point. Let $D \subset X$ and $D \in |kH|$ then $D^2 = k^2$ but $L \cdot D = 2k$ so these cannot satisfy the conclusion of the theorem. This shows that $(L \cdot L) \geq 5$ is strict in the theorem.

7.3 Fujita's Conjecture

Definition 7.3.1 (Fujita 1985). Let X be a compact complex manifold of dimension n and L an ample line bundle.

- (a) $m \geq n + 1 \implies K_X \otimes L^{\otimes m}$ is base point free
- (b) $m \geq n + 2 \implies K_X \otimes L^{\otimes m}$ is very ample.

Proof in the $n = 2$ case. (a) We know X is projective use Nakai-Moishezon. Let $(L \cdot L) \geq 1$ then mL is nef if $m \geq 3$ then $(mL \cdot mL) \geq 3^2 \geq 5$. Then if p is a base point of $|K_X + K|$ then there is an effective divisor $D \subset X$ with $p \in D$ such that $(mL \cdot D) = 0$ and $D^2 = 1$ or $(mL \cdot D) = 1$ which is not possible since $m > 1$ and hence we have $(L \cdot D) = 0$ and $D^2 = -1$. We write,

$$D = D_1 + \cdots + D_r$$

But L is ample so $(D_i \cdot L) > 0$ and D must have some component since $p \in D$ and thus $(D \cdot L) > 0$ giving a contradiction.

- (b) Use the same sort of argument with the second part of Reider's theorem.

□

7.4 Pluricanonical Mappings

Let X be a surface of general type. Consider the pluricanonical maps,

$$\Phi_m : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(mK_X))$$

defined by the complete linear system $|mK_X|$.

Proposition 7.4.1. If X is minimal then K_X is nef and $K_X^2 \geq 1$.

Definition 7.4.2. A (-2) -curve on X is a smooth rational curve $C \subset X$ with $C^2 = -2$.

Proposition 7.4.3. If X is minimal then X has finitely many -2 -curves. In fact, it is at most $\rho(X) - 1$.

Theorem 7.4.4 (Bombieri). Let X be a minimal surface of general type. Let,

$$F = \bigcup C \subset X$$

be the union of the -2 -curves.

- (a) if $m \geq 4$ or $m \geq 3$ and $K_X^2 \geq 2$ then Φ_m is a morphism
- (b) if $m \geq 5$ or $m \geq 4$ and $K_X^2 \geq 2$ or $m \geq 3$ and $K_X^2 \geq 3$ then Φ_m is an embedding on $X \setminus F$.

Proof. Let $L = (m - 1)K_X$ is nef then $L \cdot L \geq 5$. Apply Reider's theorem. Let p be a base point of $|K_X + L| = |mK_X|$. Then there is an effective divisor $D \subset X$ with $p \in D$ such that $(L \cdot D) = 0$ and $D^2 = 1$ since $L \cdot D = 1$ is impossible. Then,

$$-1 = D^2 = D \cdot (D + K_X) = 2p_a(D) - 2$$

which is a contradiction.

□

7.5 Bogomolov's Theorem

Theorem 7.5.1 (Bogomolov). Let E be a vector bundle of rank e on a surface X . If $c_1(E)^2 > \frac{2e}{e-1}c_2(E)$ then E is H -unstable with respect to every ample class H .

Remark. $c_2(E) \in H^4(X, \mathbb{Z})$ so we view $c_2(E)$ as an integer under the canonical isomorphism $H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}$ using that X is oriented (as a complex manifold).

7.5.1 Stability for Curves

Let C be a smooth projective irreducible curve. Let E be a vector bundle on C .

Definition 7.5.2. The slope,

$$\mu(E) = \frac{\deg E}{\text{rank } E}$$

where $\deg E = \deg \det E$.

Example 7.5.3. Let $C = \mathbb{P}^1$ then $\mu(\mathcal{O}_C) = 0$ and $\mu(\mathcal{O}_C(1)) = 1$ and,

$$\mu(\mathcal{O}_C(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_C(b)) = \frac{a+b}{2}$$

Definition 7.5.4. Let $F \subset E$ be a coherent subsheaf. Then F is locally free of constant rank almost everywhere. Then $c_1(F) := \det F^{\vee\vee}$ is a line bundle

Definition 7.5.5. The slope of a torsion-free sheaf F is,

$$\mu(F) = \frac{\deg F}{\text{rank } F}$$

Definition 7.5.6. E is *stable* if, for every $F \subset E$ with,

$$0 < \text{rank } F < \text{rank } E$$

we have $\mu(F) < \mu(E)$ and *semistable* if $\mu(F) \leq \mu(E)$.

Remark. It is trivial that line bundles are stable.

Example 7.5.7. Let $C = \mathbb{P}^1$ then $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$ is unstable because $\mathcal{O}(1) \subset \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$,

$$\mu(\mathcal{O}(1)) > \mu(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)) = \frac{1}{2}$$

Theorem 7.5.8. Let E be a vector bundle on C and L a line bundle on C ,

- (a) E is (semi)-stable iff $E \otimes L$ is (semi)-stable
- (b) E is semistable, $\deg E < 0$ implies $H^0(C, E) = 0$
- (c) if E is semi-stable then $\text{Sym}_n(E)$ is semi-stable for $n \geq 1$.

Remark. The last statement is not true for stable instead of semi-stable or in positive characteristic.

7.5.2 Stability For Surfaces

Let H be an ample divisor on a surface X .

Definition 7.5.9. The H -slope is defined,

$$\mu_H(E) := \frac{c_1(E) \cdot H}{\text{rank } E}$$

Definition 7.5.10. For $F \subset E$ we have $c_1(F) = \det F^{\vee\vee}$ is a reflexive sheaf of rank 1 and hence is a line bundle on a surface. Then we can set,

$$\mu_H(F) = \frac{c_1(F) \cdot H}{\text{rank } F}$$

Definition 7.5.11. We say E is H -stable if for every $F \subset E$ with,

$$0 < \text{rank } F < \text{rank } E$$

if $\mu_H(F) < \mu_H(E)$ and semi-stable if $\mu_H(F) \leq \mu_H(E)$.

8 Nov. 1 Bogomolov's Theorem

Let (X, \mathcal{L}) be a polarized surface over \mathbb{C} .

Definition 8.0.1. A sheaf \mathcal{F} on X is called torsion-free if for all $U \subset X$ open, the group $\mathcal{F}(U)$ is torsion-free module over $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$.

Remark. Recall that $\mathcal{F}^\vee = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X)$. There is a natural morphism $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee}$.

Proposition 8.0.2. \mathcal{F} is torsion-free iff $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee}$ is injective.

Definition 8.0.3. We say that \mathcal{F} is reflexive if $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee}$ is an isomorphism.

Proposition 8.0.4. Any reflexive sheaf on a regular $\dim X \leq 2$ scheme is locally free.

Example 8.0.5. Let $p \in |X|$ be a closed point and $\mathcal{I}_p \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ the sheaf of ideals. Then \mathcal{I}_p is torsion-free but not locally-free.

Definition 8.0.6. The rank of a torsion-free sheaf \mathcal{F} is defined to be,

$$\text{rank } \mathcal{F} = \ell(\mathcal{F}_{\text{ét}})$$

where $\eta \in X$ is the generic point.

Definition 8.0.7. A sheaf \mathcal{F} is called μ -semistable (with respect to \mathcal{L}) if \mathcal{F} is torsion-free for all nontrivial proper subsheaves $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{F}$ we have,

$$\frac{c_1(\mathcal{E}) \cdot c_1(\mathcal{L})}{\text{rank } \mathcal{E}} \leq \frac{c_1(\mathcal{L}) \cdot c_1(\mathcal{F})}{\text{rank } \mathcal{F}}$$

Remark. For the definition of μ -stable you need nontrivial proper subsheaves with strictly smaller rank. To see why this is necessary, consider,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_p \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow k_p \longrightarrow 0$$

Then we get $c_1(\mathcal{I}_p) = 0$ and hence we don't get a strict inequality,

$$\frac{c_1(\mathcal{I}_p) \cdot c_1(\mathcal{L})}{\text{rank } \mathcal{I}_p} \leq \frac{c_1(\mathcal{O}_X) \cdot c_1(\mathcal{L})}{\text{rank } \mathcal{O}_X}$$

Example 8.0.8. (a) if \mathcal{F} has rank 1 then \mathcal{F} is μ -semistable for all polarizations

(b) if \mathcal{F} is μ -semistable and $\mathcal{H} \in \text{Pic}X$ then $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ is μ -semistable

(c) if \mathcal{F} is μ -semistable then $\mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee}$ is μ -semistable.

Definition 8.0.9. Let \mathcal{F} be torsion-free of rank r , then $\Delta(\mathcal{F}) = 2rc_2 - (r-1)c_1^2$.

Theorem 8.0.10 (Bogomolov). If \mathcal{F} is μ -semistable on (X, \mathcal{L}) then $\Delta(\mathcal{F}) \geq 0$.

Remark. Since $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$ is independent of the polarization, Bogomolov's theorem gives an obstruction to be μ -semistable with respect to *any* polarization.

Proposition 8.0.11. Recall,

$$\text{char } \mathcal{F} = \text{rank } \mathcal{F} + c_1(\mathcal{F}) + \frac{1}{2}(c_1^2 - 2c_2)$$

Then we can compute with $r = \text{rank } \mathcal{F}$,

$$\log \left(\frac{\text{char } \mathcal{F}}{r} \right) = \log(1 + \square) = \left[\frac{c_1}{r} + \frac{c_1^2 - 2c_2}{2r} \right] - \frac{c_1^2}{2r} = \frac{c_1}{r} + \frac{1}{2r^2} ((r-1)c_1^2 - 2rc_2)$$

Therefore,

$$\log \left(\frac{\text{char } \mathcal{F}}{r} \right) = \frac{c_1}{r} + \frac{1}{2r^2} \Delta(\mathcal{F})$$

Because \log sends multiplication to addition, we have,

$$\frac{\Delta(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G})}{(\text{rank } \mathcal{F})^2 (\text{rank } \mathcal{G})^2} = \frac{\Delta(\mathcal{F})}{(\text{rank } \mathcal{F})^2} + \frac{\Delta(\mathcal{G})}{(\text{rank } \mathcal{G})^2}$$

Proposition 8.0.12. (a) if \mathcal{F} is a line bundle then $\Delta(\mathcal{F}) = 0$

(b) if \mathcal{F} is locally free then $\Delta(\mathcal{F}) = \Delta(\mathcal{F}^\vee)$

(c) for $\mathcal{H} \in \text{Pic}X$ we have,

$$\frac{\Delta(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{H})}{(\text{rank } \mathcal{F})^2 1^2} = \frac{\Delta(\mathcal{F})}{(\text{rank } \mathcal{F})^2} + 0 \implies \Delta(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{H}) = \Delta(\mathcal{F})$$

(d) if \mathcal{F} is locally free, then $\Delta(\text{End}(\mathcal{F})) = 2(\text{rank } \mathcal{F})^2 \Delta(\mathcal{F})$

(e) if \mathcal{F} is torsion free, then,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow 0$$

and then,

$$\Delta(\mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee}) = 2rc_2(\mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee}) - (c-1)c_1(\mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee}) = 2r(c_2(\mathcal{F}) + \ell(\mathcal{Q})) - (r-1)c_1(\mathcal{F})^2 = \Delta(\mathcal{F}) + 2r\ell(\mathcal{Q})$$

Remark. By the last property, since $\ell(\mathcal{Q}) \geq 0$ we see that if $\Delta(\mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee}) \leq 0$ then $\Delta(\mathcal{F}) \leq 0$. Therefore, it suffices to prove the theorem for reflexive and hence locally free \mathcal{F} ,

Proof. Proof reductions,

- (a) can assume $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee}$ by above remark
- (b) $\Delta(\text{End}(\mathcal{F})) = 2r^2\Delta(\mathcal{F})$ so can assume that $\det \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_X$.

We need to show that $c_2(\mathcal{F}) \leq 0$ for \mathcal{F} such that,

- (a) \mathcal{F} is a vector bundle
- (b) $\det \mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$
- (c) \mathcal{F} is μ -semistable for some \mathcal{L} .

Consider,

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \text{Sym}_{nr}(\mathcal{F})$$

We use the following lemmas. □

Lemma 8.0.13. (a) $\det \mathcal{F}_n \cong \mathcal{O}_X$

- (b) there is a formula,

$$\chi(X, \mathcal{F}_n) = -\frac{\Delta(\mathcal{F})n^{r+1}r^r}{2(r+1)!} + O(n^r)$$

Proof. Represent \mathcal{F} as $[\xi] \in H^1(X, \text{SL}_n)$ because $\det \mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$. Then $\text{SL}_r \curvearrowright \text{Sym}_{nr}(\mathbb{C}^r)$ gives an action $\text{SL}_r \curvearrowright \det \text{Sym}_{nr}(\mathbb{C}^r)$ which is a character of SL_r and hence is trivial. Therefore, the map $H^1(X, \text{SL}_r) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$ given by taking determinants is trivial.

Consider, $\pi : \mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow X$. Look at $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F})}(1)$. Then,

$$\chi(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F})}(nr)) = \chi(X, R\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F})}(nr)) = \chi(X, \text{Sym}_{nr}(\mathcal{F})) = \chi(X, \mathcal{F}_n)$$

Now, by Riemann-Roch we have,

$$\chi(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F})}(nr)) = \frac{(nr)^{r+1}c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F})}(1))^{r+1}}{(r+1)!} + O(n^r)$$

But by the projective bundle formula (or the Grothendieck definition of Chern classes),

$$c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F})}(1))^r - \pi^*c_1(\mathcal{F})c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F})}(1))^{r-1} + \pi^*c_2(\mathcal{F})c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F})}(1))^{r-2} = 0$$

We assumed that $c_1(\mathcal{F}) = 0$. Therefore,

$$c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F})}(1))^{r+1} = -\pi^*c_2(\mathcal{F})c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F})}(1))^{r-1}$$

Now we have,

$$\deg(c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F})}(1))^{r+1}) = -\deg \pi_*(\pi^* c_2(\mathcal{F}) c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F})}(1))^{r-1}) = -\deg(c_2(\mathcal{F}) \cdot \pi_*[c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F})}(1))^{r-1}])$$

Now $\pi_*[c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F})}(1))^{r-1}] = [X]$ since on each fiber this is H^{r-1} on \mathbb{P}^{r-1} where H is the hyperplane class. Since $c_1(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ we have $\Delta(\mathcal{F}) = 2rc_2(\mathcal{F})$ and thus,

$$\chi(X, \mathcal{F}_n) = -\frac{\Delta(\mathcal{F})n^{r+1}r^r}{2(r+1)!} + O(n^r)$$

□

Remark. We explicitly complete the GRR calculaiton. Let $\widetilde{X} = \mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{F})$. By GRR,

$$\chi(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{G}) = \deg(\text{char}(\mathcal{G}) \cdot \text{td}_{\widetilde{X}})$$

and because $R\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(nr) = \text{Sym}_{nr}(\mathcal{F})[0]$ we have that,

$$\chi(X, \text{Sym}_{nr}(\mathcal{F})) = \chi(X, R\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(nr)) = \chi(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(nr)) = \deg(\text{char}(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(nr)) \cdot \text{td}_{\widetilde{X}})$$

Now let $\xi = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(1))$ then we see,

$$\chi(X, \text{Sym}_{nr}(\mathcal{F})) = \deg(e^{nr\xi} \cdot \text{td}_{\widetilde{X}})$$

Let $d = \dim \widetilde{X} = r - 1 + \dim X = r + 1$. Then the leading term as a polynomial in n gives,

$$\chi(X, \text{Sym}_{nr}(\mathcal{F})) = \frac{(nr)^d \xi^d}{d!} \cdot 1 + O(n^{d-1})$$

because the first term of $\text{td}_{\widetilde{X}}$ is 1. This gives,

Proof. Now we complete the proof. From the lemma, to show that $\Delta(\mathcal{F}) \leq 0$ it suffices to show that $\chi(X, \mathcal{F}_n) \leq Cn^r$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This will follow if we show that $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_n) \leq C_1n^r$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $H^2(X, \mathcal{F}_n) \leq C_2n^r$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. □