

1 Lecture 1

Arithmetic properties of complex local systems (not ℓ -adic local systems that arise in arithmetic geometry).

Poincare: $\pi_1(X, x)$ where x is a base point of a topological space X . For finite CW complex, $\pi_1(X, x)$ is finitely presented (finitely many generators and relations). Furthermore, every finitely presented group is $\pi_1(X, x)$ for some finite CW complex which is constructed via generators and relations.

Amongst these spaces we can consider X a smooth quasi-projective variety over \mathbb{C} then $X(\mathbb{C})$ is a compact topological manifold and hence a finite CW complex. Thus $\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}), x)$ is a finitely-presented group. However, not every finitely-presented group is a fundamental group of some smooth quasi-projective variety.

To study $\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}), x)$ we study its complex linear representations,

$$\rho : \pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}), x) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

There is a space,

$$M^\square(X, r)(\mathbb{C}) = \{M_1, \dots, M_g \in \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{C}) \mid \text{relations}\}$$

To remove the dependence on the basepoint, we write $M(X, r)$ for the GL_r -quotient of $M^\square(X, r)$. This is called the character variety of X .

Affine algebraic variety defined over \mathbb{Z} . This parametrizes semi-simple ρ .

Theorem 1.0.1 (Toledo). $\pi_1(X, x)$ is *not* residually finite (meaning it does not inject into its profinite completion).

Remark. Therefore, we cannot just study the finite quotients we actually need to study the complex representations.

Definition 1.0.2. We say that a local system L is *geometric* if there is a Zariski open $U \subset X$ and $g : Y \rightarrow U$ smooth and projective such that $L|_U$ is a subquotient of $R^i g_* \mathbb{C}$.

Remark. Because $\pi_1(U, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(X, x)$ is surjective we don't lose any information in the restriction $L|_U$ but we cannot expect the geometric families to always extend.

Remark. If $\phi : Y \rightarrow X$ is finite and unramified then the subquotients of $g_* \mathbb{C}$ are called the finite local systems (they are trivialized by g).

Remark. These are all the examples that anyone knows how to write down. The geometric local systems have monodromy defined over \mathbb{Z} and thus gives $\rho : \pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}), x) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_r(\overline{\mathbb{Z}})$ because when we take a subquotient we have to extend to algebraic integers. However, since $M(X, r)$ is positive dimensional it has lots of transcendental points so where do these arise? Does every local system arising integrally come from geometry?

1.1 Riemann-Hilbert Correspondence

There is a correspondence between local systems L and (E, ∇) where E is an algebraic vector bundle and ∇ is an integrable connection with regular singularities at the boundary (in the noncompact case). This is given by,

$$L \mapsto (L \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_X, \text{id} \otimes d)$$

which in the proper case is automatically algebraic by GAGA and in the nonproper case we need a result to Deligne to see that it is algebraizable. The other direction is given by taking,

$$(E, \nabla) \mapsto E^{\nabla}$$

Proposition 1.1.1. There is a moduli space $M_{\text{dR}}(X, r)(\mathbb{C}) = \{(E, \nabla)\}$ and by the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence $M_B \cong M_{\text{dR}}$.

Theorem 1.1.2 (Simpson, '90s). Higgs bundles (V, θ) where $\theta : V \rightarrow \Omega^1 \otimes V$ is linear and $\theta \wedge \theta = 0$. PROP

Example 1.1.3. For $r = 1$ we have $M_B(\mathbb{C}) = H^1(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}^\times) = \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X), \mathbb{C}^\times)$. Also

$$M_{\text{dR}}(\mathbb{C}) = H^1(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathcal{O}_X^\times \rightarrow \Omega^1 \rightarrow \Omega^2)$$

1.2 Grothendieck p -curvature Conjecture

For motivation, let $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$ (perhaps the most important variety) and (E, ∇) be a vector bundle with flat connection. Then (X, E, ∇) is defined over $S = \text{Spec}(R)$ where R has finite type over \mathbb{Z} (by spreading out if you like). Then we can write the following differential equation,

$$\frac{df}{f} = b \frac{dt}{t} + c \frac{dt}{t-1}$$

Needs conditions for a complete set of solutions algebraic over $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}, (t-1)^{-1}]$. In fact, we can take $R = \mathcal{O}_K[1/S]$ the ring of integers of some number field with some finite set of primes inverted. The conjecture is that this is equivalent to when we take the differential equation mod p ,

$$\frac{df}{f} = (b \mod \mathfrak{p}) \frac{dt}{t} + (c \mod \mathfrak{p}) \frac{dt}{t-1}$$

has a full set of solutions on $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p})[t, t^{-1}, (t-1)^{-1}]$

1.3 Kronecker

For $b \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ then $b \in \mathbb{Q}$ iff $f \mod p \in \mathbb{F}_p$ for all primes.

1.4 Ending

Question: when does $M_B = *$? By Riemann existence theorem

$$\pi_1(X(\overline{\mathbb{C}}), \curvearrowright) = \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\mathbb{C}}, x)$$

Then by Molcer and Grothendieck if $\pi_1(X(\overline{\mathbb{C}}), \curvearrowright) = 0$ then $M_B = *$. Indeed, if $\rho : \pi_1 \rightarrow \text{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$ Then there is some finite type \mathbb{Z} -algebra $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $\rho : \pi_1 \rightarrow \text{GL}_r(A) \subset \text{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$ since π_1 is

finitely generated. Then for each maximal ideal of A we get a finite quotient of π_1 which is trivial and hence ρ is trivial.

Question: if X is smooth projective over $k = \bar{k}$ in characteristic $p > 0$ then $\pi_1(X, x) = 1 \implies$ no nontrivial crystals. Johan conjectured: no nontrivial isocrystals.

Remark. IF we assume X/\mathbb{F}_q and the isocrystal is over \mathbb{F}_q then ????

Simpson: $M_B(X, r)$ is an affine variety so it has some dimension but it may have zero dimensional components. These correspond to rigid local systems. Conjecture: the isolated points of $M_B(X, r)$ are geometric and in particular integral.

In the case the isolated point is reduced, then we can prove the conjecture that rigid implies integral. However, it is not always reduced. The proof uses the Langlands program via theory “companions” of Deligne (Weil II).

2 The p -curvature conjecture

2.1 Kronecker

For $a \in \mathbb{C}$ when is $a \in \mu_\infty$ meaning an element on $\exp(2\pi ib)$ for $b \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Proposition 2.1.1 (Kronecker). If $a \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ and for all embeddings $\iota(a) \in \mathbb{C}$ we have $|\iota(a)| = 1$ then $a \in \mu_\infty$.

Proof. Consider the minimal polynomial,

$$f(X) = X^d + c_1 X^{d-1} + \cdots + c_d$$

Then,

$$f = \prod (x - a_i) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$$

and therefore using the theory of symmetric functions,

$$f_n = \prod (x - a_i^n) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$$

but the coefficients have bounded norm because all the absolute values of the a_i are 1. Therefore there are finitely many such f_n and hence there are repetitions in the a_i^n so $a_i^n = a_j^n$ for some i and j and thus a_i is a root of unity. \square

Remark. This is an analytic version of Kronecker’s problem. Here is an algebraic version for the question $b \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Theorem 2.1.2. Assume $b \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ so $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{Q}(b) \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then $b \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(b)}[S^{-1}]$ so for any prime of this ring consider $\bar{b} \in (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(b)}/\mathfrak{p})$ which is a finite extension of \mathbb{F}_p . Assume that for all but finitely many \mathfrak{p} that $\bar{b} \in \mathbb{F}_p$ in the prime subfield. Then $b \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. Note that $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(b)}/\mathfrak{p}) = \mathbb{F}_p[b]$ and hence if $b \in \mathbb{F}_p$ then p is totally split. However, this can only happen for almost all p if $b \in \mathbb{Q}$. \square

2.2 Grothendieck

Let $X = \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$ with parameter t . Consider the differential equation,

$$\frac{df}{f} = b \frac{dt}{t}$$

which has solutions $f = at^b$. We want conditions for those solutions to be algebraic over $\mathcal{O}_\ell(X) = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$. In this case, this is equivalent to $b \in \mathbb{Q}$. This is also equivalent to the monodromy group being finite.

However, $b \in \mathbb{Q}$ is equivalent to saying that $\bar{b} \in \mathbb{F}_p$ for all but finitely many \mathfrak{p} . Therefore, we should consider the differential equation $\pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$. Therefore, the differential equation has a full set of algebraic solutions iff the $\pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ equations has a full set of solutions.

Definition 2.2.1 (Grothendieck). Let X be quasi-projective variety over \mathbb{C} , and (\mathcal{E}, ∇) is a vector-bundle with an integrable algebraic connection. Spread out over some finite type affine \mathbb{Z} -scheme S to get $(X_S, (\mathcal{E}, \nabla)_S)$. Then there is an open dense $S^0 \subset S$ such that for all closed points $s \in |S^0|$ then $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)_s$ has locally a full set of solutions if and only if (\mathcal{E}, ∇) has a full set of algebraic solutions locally on X .

Remark. The conclusion of the conjecture is equivalent to having finite monodromy.

Remark. It suffices to prove the conjecture for X is a proper curve because,

- (a) by Lefschetz, there is $C \rightarrow X$ with $\pi_1(C) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(X)$ so we can check the conclusion after restricting (\mathcal{E}, ∇) to C .
- (b) By restricting to disks around the punctures we reduce to the Kronecker example. Therefore, given the assumption, there exists a finite étale cover $Y \rightarrow X$ which trivializes the differential equation at the punctures so that we can extend the problem to \bar{Y} .

Proposition 2.2.2. Let X be a smooth projective curve over \mathbb{C} . We can assume that X is defined over a number field.

Proposition 2.2.3 (Jordan). There is a number $N(r)$ such that for any finite $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$ there is an abelian normal subgroup $A \subset \Gamma$ such that Γ/A has order at most $N(r)$.

Theorem 2.2.4 (Belyi). Any smooth projective curve X over a number field F , there is a map $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ branched over $\{0, 1, \infty\}$.

Corollary 2.2.5. Therefore, we reduce the p -curvature conjecture to the case of $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$ meaning the ring $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}, (t-1)^{-1}]$. We have the universal form,

$$\frac{df}{f} = b \frac{dt}{t} + c \frac{dt}{t-1}$$

for $b, c \in M_r(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$. We want f a matrix of algebraic functions over the ring.

Theorem 2.2.6 (Katz). Let $g : Y \rightarrow X$ be smooth projective (here we require the family is defined over all of X not just a dense open) and $\mathcal{L} = R^i g_* \underline{\mathbb{C}}$ gives the Gauss-Manin local system corresponding to (\mathcal{E}, ∇) the Gauss-Manin connection on the relative de Rham cohomology. Then the p -conjecture is true for $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{L})$.

Proof. (a) Kronecker analytic criterion in a more general version. Then the $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)_s$ condition implies

(b)

□

3 Oct. 4

Let Γ be a finitely generated group. We consider the following completions,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \Gamma & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\Gamma} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \varprojlim_{\substack{\Gamma \twoheadrightarrow Q \\ Q \text{ is finite}}} Q \\
 & \searrow & \uparrow \text{---} & & \uparrow \text{---} \\
 & & \Gamma^{\text{alg}} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \varprojlim_{\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})} \text{im } \varphi
 \end{array}$$

Theorem 3.0.1 (Malčev, 1940). If $\widehat{\Gamma} = \{1\}$ then $\Gamma^{\text{alg}} = \{1\}$.

Proof. Let $\widehat{\Gamma} = \{1\}$. For any map $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$ because Γ is finitely generated, there is a finite type \mathbb{Z} -algebra $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that ρ factors through $\text{GL}_r(A) \subset \text{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$. Let $\mathfrak{m} \subset A$ be some maximal ideal and $\kappa = A/\mathfrak{m}$ which is finite since A is finite type over \mathbb{Z} . Then,

$$A \hookrightarrow \widehat{A} = \varprojlim_n (A/\mathfrak{m}^n)$$

Then we get a map,

$$\hat{\rho} : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}_r(A) \rightarrow \text{GL}_r(\widehat{A})$$

Then since,

$$\text{GL}_r(\widehat{A}) = \widehat{\text{GL}_r(A)} = \varprojlim_n \text{GL}_r(A/\mathfrak{m}^n)$$

is profinite $\hat{\rho}$ factors through $\widehat{\Gamma} = \{1\}$ and hence is trivial. Therefore, $\rho = \text{id}$ so $\Gamma^{\text{alg}} = \{1\}$. \square

Theorem 3.0.2 (Grothendieck). Let X be a smooth quasi-projective \mathbb{C} -variety. If the étale fundamental group,

$$\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X) = \pi_1(\widehat{X(\mathbb{C})}) = \{1\}$$

is trivial then there are no non-trivial \mathcal{O}_X -coherent regular singular D -modules.

Proof. This is a consequence of Malčev since $\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}))$ is finitely generated. \square

Remark. Then $X(\mathbb{C})$ is a complex manifold and the Riemann-Hilbert map,

$$\{\mathbb{C}\text{-local systems}\} \xrightarrow{RH} \{(E, \nabla) \text{ analytic vector bundles with flat analytic connection}\}$$

given by sending $\mathcal{L} \mapsto (\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X^{\text{an}}}, \text{id} \otimes d)$ is an equivalence of Tannakian categories. The inverse map is given by $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \mapsto \mathcal{E}^\nabla$ which using Kovalevskaya is a local system of the proper rank.

Theorem 3.0.3 (Deligne). There is an enhancement of Riemann-Hilbert to,

$$\{\mathbb{C}\text{-local systems}\} \xrightarrow{RH} \{(E, \nabla) \text{ algebraic vector bundles with flat regular singular connection}\}$$

Remark. We can think of (E, ∇) having regular singularities as meaning that there is a good compactification \overline{X} of X with an extension of (E, ∇) to $(\overline{E}, \overline{\nabla})$ where the connection maps to logarithmic forms on the boundary. This can then be extended to the theory of D -modules.

The final formulation descends to, $X_{\bar{F}}$ where $F \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a finitely generated field over \mathbb{Q} . Then since,

$$\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\bar{F}}) = \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\mathbb{C}})$$

we can conclude that if $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\bar{F}}) = \{1\}$ then X has no nontrivial regular singular \mathcal{O}_X -coherent D -modules.

Remark. There is no known purely algebraic proof of this statement. We would also like to find an analog for F a field of characteristic $p > 0$.

Definition 3.0.4 (Gieseker, 1975). Let X be smooth projective over k with k an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. Suppose that $\pi_1(X) = \{1\}$ then there are no nontrivial \mathcal{O}_X -coherent D -modules.

Theorem 3.0.5 (E-Mehta, 2010). Gieseker's conjecture is true.

Remark. The work of Katz: with X quasi-projective smooth over $k = \bar{k}$ there is a Riemann-Hilbert correspondence,

$$\text{Loc}_{\mathbb{F}_p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{(E, \varphi)\}$$

where E is a vector bundle and $\varphi : E \xrightarrow{\sim} E$ is a Frob-semilinear isomorphism of abelian sheaves (not \mathcal{O}_X -linear).

Remark. The dream is that for a \mathcal{O}_X -coherent D -module then construct a φ -module which thus is trivial since it corresponds to a local system.

Proposition 3.0.6. \mathcal{O}_X -coherent D -modules correspond to Frob-divided sheaves.

Remark. A Frob-divided sheaf is a sequence of sheaves E_i equipped with isomorphisms $E_i \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Frob}_X^* E_{i+1}$. This implies that E_0 is locally free.

Remark. We want to show that if $\pi_1(X_{\bar{k}}) = 0$ then there are no nontrivial Frob-divided sheaves. Suppose that E_{\bullet} is nontrivial. Then find a new locally free sheaf M of the same rank such that,

$$\text{Frob}_X^* M \xrightarrow{\sim} M$$

We claim this is enough. In the case M has rank 1 this says $M^{\otimes p} \xrightarrow{\sim} M$ and therefore $M^{\otimes(p^m-1)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_X$ and thus we get a Kummer μ_{p^m-1} cover which contradicts $\pi_1(X_{\bar{k}}) = \{1\}$ unless $M \cong \mathcal{O}_X$. More generally $\text{Frob}_X^* M \xrightarrow{\sim} M$ produces a $\text{GL}_r(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$ -cover $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ and hence we can conclude that M is trivial.

3.1 Grothendieck Specialization

If we spread out X to $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(S)$ where S is a finite type \mathbb{F}_p -algebra. Then for any closed point s the map,

$$\pi_1(X_{\bar{k}}) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{s}})$$

is surjective. Therefore, we can work over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$.

3.2 Model Theory

Let $k = \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Let X be defined over \mathbb{F}_q . Haushouski considered correspondences $Y \subset X_k \otimes_k X_k$ of dimension $\dim Y = \dim X = d$ which is defined over some \mathbb{F}_q . There exists a dense set of closed points of X_k such that $(x, (\text{Frob}_X^m x)) \in Y(k)$.

In particular, for any map $\psi : X \rightarrow X$ there is a dense set of fixed points of powers of ψ .

4 Oct. 11

Definition 4.0.1. Let Γ be an abstract group. Then Γ is *finitely generated* if there is a surjection $F_n \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma$. Moreover, it is *finitely presented* if the kernel $K = \ker(F_n \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma)$ is finitely generated as a normal subgroup of F_n meaning there are finitely many elements $r_i \in K$ such that K is the smallest normal subgroup containing r_i .

Definition 4.0.2. Let Γ be a profinite group then we say that Γ is *finitely generated* if there is a surjection $\hat{F}_n \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma$ where \hat{F}_n is the profinite completion of the free group. Then Γ is *finitely presented* if the kernel $K = \ker(\hat{F}_n \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma)$ is finitely generated as a closed normal subgroup meaning there are finitely many elements $r_i \in K$ such that K is the smallest closed normal subgroup containing r_i .

Example 4.0.3. For $\Gamma = \pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}), x)$ for X quasi-projective smooth over \mathbb{C} then Γ is finitely presented and $\hat{\Gamma}$ is also finitely presented. But there exist finitely presented profinite groups with no discrete structure.

Remark. Question: if X is quasi-projective smooth over $k = \bar{k}$ and $\text{char } k = p > 0$ then is $\pi_1(X)$,

- (a) finitely generated - Yes if X is projective by Lefschetz
- (b) finitely presented

Example 4.0.4. Let $X = \mathbb{A}^1$ then,

$$\pi_1(\mathbb{A}^1) \twoheadrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^S (\mathbb{Z}/p)$$

with S as big as we want from Artin-Schrier theory so $\pi_1(\mathbb{A}^1)$ is not f.g.

Definition 4.0.5. Let $\bar{X} = \text{Spec}(R)$ where R is a DVR and X is the punctured DVR. Then,

$$\pi_1(X) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X)$$

is defined by considering the tame covers (a cover of X is tame if the normalization R'/R is a tame extension meaning the ramification index is coprime to p and the residue field extension is separable).

Definition 4.0.6. We say that a finite étale cover $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is *tame* if for every map from a smooth curve $C \rightarrow X$ the map $Y_C \rightarrow C$ is a tame étale cover. This allows us to define the tame fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X)$.

Remark. There are universal covers $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X^{\text{tame}} \rightarrow X$ with Galois groups K and $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X)$ respectively and total Galois group $\pi_1(X)$ fitting into the exact sequence,

$$1 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow \pi_1(X) \longrightarrow \pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X) \longrightarrow 1$$

Lemma 4.0.7. If $X \hookrightarrow \bar{X}$ with \bar{X} smooth projective and $\bar{X} \setminus X$ is normal crossings divisor (good compactification) then $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X)$ is finitely generated.

Remark. We want to know if $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X)$ is finitely presented?

Remark. There is no cohomological criterion for an *abstract* group to be finitely presented. However, there is the following theorem.

Theorem 4.0.8 (Zebotzky). Let Γ be a finitely generated profinite group. Then Γ is finitely presented if and only if for all primes $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and continuous representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ then $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} H^2(\Gamma, \rho) \leq C \operatorname{rank} \rho$ for some uniform constant C (independent of ℓ and ρ).

Theorem 4.0.9 (Shusterman-Swinnivas). If X has a good compactification then $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X)$ is finitely presented.

Remark. For $\ell \neq p$ the proof goes by considering,

$$H^2(\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X), \rho) \hookrightarrow H^2(X, \mathcal{L})$$

where \mathcal{L} is the associated local system to $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$.

For $\ell = p$ we prove that,

$$H^2(\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X), \rho) \hookrightarrow H^2(\overline{X}, j_* \mathcal{L})$$

Remark. Is the assumption necessary?

Lemma 4.0.10 (Deligne). If \mathcal{L} is tame then,

$$\chi(X, \mathcal{L}) = \sum (-1)^i \dim H^i(X, \mathcal{L}) = (\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{L}) \cdot \chi(X, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$$

Remark. For the $\dim X = 1$ case this follows from GOS formula.

There is an exact sequence,

$$H^0(C, \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow H^2(X, \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow H^2(X \setminus C, \mathcal{L})$$

So we replace H^2 by $H^1(X, \mathcal{L}) = H^1(\pi_1, \mathcal{L}) = H^1(\pi_1^{\text{tame}}, \mathcal{L})$. This is given by a cocycle $\pi_1^{\text{tame}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_\ell^{\text{opr}}$ and there are a bounded number of such cocycles because π_1^{tame} is finitely generated and $\mathbb{F}_\ell^{\text{opr}}$ is finite.

Remark. We don't actually need the good compactification assumption for the $\ell \neq p$ cases using alterations.

Now for the case $\ell = p$ we consider the compactification $X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$.

5 Oct 18

Remark. Recall, if we take an abstract group G which is finitely generated (resp. finitely presented) then \widehat{G} is topologically finitely generated (resp. topologically finitely presented).

Proposition 5.0.1. Over \mathbb{C} we know that $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X)$ is the profinite completion of a finitely generated group namely $\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}))$. However, today we will see that this is false in positive characteristic.

Definition 5.0.2. Let π be a profinite group. We say that π is *quasi- p' -finitely-generated* if there is a finitely generated abstract group Γ with a map $\Gamma \rightarrow \pi$ such that,

- (a) the completion $\widehat{\Gamma} \twoheadrightarrow \pi$ is surjective
- (b) the diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\hat{\Gamma} & \longrightarrow & \pi \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(\hat{\Gamma})^{p'} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \pi^{p'}
\end{array}$$

gives an isomorphism on the pro- p' -completion.

We say that π is p' -finitely presented if we can take Γ to be finitely presented as an abstract group.

Example 5.0.3. If X is smooth quasi-projective over \mathbb{C} then $\Gamma = \pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}))$ and $\pi_1 = \pi_1(X_{\mathbb{C}})$ then is p' -finitely presented for all p .

Example 5.0.4. Let $X \rightarrow S$ smooth projective with $S \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ affine and finite type. For any closed point $s \in |S|$ then the specialization map $\pi_1(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X_{\bar{s}})$ is surjective and an isomorphism on the p' -completion. The same is true for π_1^{tame} for X quasi-projective with a good relative compactification. Then Grothendieck existence also shows that any finite étale cover $Y \rightarrow X_{\bar{s}}$ lifts to characteristic zero so we also get a finite generation on the right sort.

Definition 5.0.5. We say that π is p' -finitely generated (resp. presented) if all open subgroups $U \subset \pi$ are quasi- p' -finitely generate (resp. presented).

Theorem 5.0.6. p' -finite generation is an obstruction for the existence of a lift to characteristic zero. Explicitly, there is X smooth projective over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ with $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X)$ not p' -finite-generated and thus cannot lift to characteristic zero.

5.0.1 Serre

Let $k = \bar{k}$. There is a G -cover $Y \rightarrow X$ with $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ a smooth complete intersection of $\dim Y \geq 3$ and thus $\pi_1(Y) = 1$. Then $\pi_1(X) = G \hookrightarrow \text{PGL}_n(k)$. If X lifts then so does Y and this shows that the representation $G \rightarrow \text{PGL}_n(k)$ lifts but Serre shows that this is impossible.

5.0.2 Deligne-Illusie

Let X be smooth projective. If X lifts over $W_2(k)$ then we have HtdR degeneration,

$$b_n = \sum_{p+q=n} h^{p,q}$$

However, this is not an obstruction to lift to characteristic zero! Enriques surfaces over lift to characteristic zero but these do not satisfy HrdR degeneration. These Enriques surfaces do lift to characteristic zero but over a ring ramified over $W_2(k)$.

5.0.3 Achinger-Zdanowling

Explicit example (Tate

van Dobben de Bruyn. If $X \subset C$ with C supersingular hyperelliptic $g \geq 2$. Then any alteration of X does not lift to characteristic zero.

This theorem is in contrast to a theorem by Achinger:

Theorem 5.0.7 (Artin). if X is smooth over \mathbb{C} there is basis of the Zariski topology which are $K(\pi_1)$. In particular, for any locally system \mathcal{L} with finite monodromy (irrelevant over characteristic zero) then $H^1(\pi_1(U), \mathcal{L}_{\bar{s}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(U, \mathcal{L})$ is an isomorphism.

Theorem 5.0.8 (Achinger). Let X be over $k = \bar{k}$ then every affine $U \subset X$ is a $K(\pi_1)$ meaning every local system with finite monodromy the map $H^1(\pi_1(U), \mathcal{L}_{\bar{s}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(U, \mathcal{L})$.

5.1 Main Tool From the Defn

Consider a finite G which fits into a quotient diagram,

$$1 \longrightarrow U_G \longrightarrow \pi \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1$$

This gives an outer action $G \rightarrow \text{Out}(U_G)$ which lifts to an action when $\pi \rightarrow G$ has a section. Therefore, we get an action $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(U_G^{\text{ab}})$. If $\pi = \pi_1(X)$ then $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow G$ defines a connected finite étale cover $Y \rightarrow X$ then $\pi_1(Y) = U_G$. If we were over \mathbb{C} then $U_G^{\text{ab}} = H_1(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ so G would act on homology. We can actually show that we get an action,

$$G \rightarrow \text{GL}(H^1(Y_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell))$$

In fact, there is an underlying \mathbb{Q} -vectorspace such that $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell = H^1(Y_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ which is a representation $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V)$ compatibly with $\rho = \rho_\ell$.

Remark. Let π be p' -finitely generated then $\rho_{\varphi, \ell}$ is Schol rational. We will exhibit an example for which $\rho_{\varphi, \ell}$ is not Schol rational.

Let C be a curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over $k = \bar{k}$ characteristic zero. Then,

$$|\text{Aut}(C)| \leq 84(g-1)$$

However, if C is over $k = \bar{k}$ of characteristic $p > 0$ then $\text{Aut}(C)$ is still finite but may be larger than the Hurwitz bound.

Example 5.1.1. Hoquette curve: $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ hyperelliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_p branched exactly over the $p+1$ rational points of \mathbb{P}^1 . Thus we can write it as,

$$y^2 = x^p - x$$

Thus has genus,

$$g = \frac{p-1}{2}$$

and we assume $p \geq 5$ such that $g \geq 2$. Then,

$$|\text{Aut}(C)| = 2p(p^2 - 1) > 84(g-1) = 42(p-3)$$

Then the map,

$$\text{Aut}(C) \rightarrow \text{GL}(H^1(C, \mathbb{Q}_\ell))$$

is injective using the trace formula. Indeed, if $g \in G$ then the graph $\Gamma_g \subset C \times C$ then the fixed points $\Gamma_g \cdot \Delta$ is up to a constant the trace acting on cohomology. So if g acts trivially on H^1 then $\Gamma_g \cdot \Delta = 2 - 2g < 0$ which is impossible unless $\Delta_g = \Delta$.

Then ρ_ℓ is not Schur rational. Consider,

$$\mathbb{Q}_\ell[G] \rightarrow \text{End}\left(H^1(C, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)\right)^{\text{Frob}}$$

Then you learn that this image is $\text{End}^0(C) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$. There is some ramification that obstructs rationality.

Now we return to Serre. Set $P = Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ then $G \curvearrowright P$ fixed point free. Then $Z = C \times P$ equipped with the diagonal G -action is also a fixed-point free action. Then consider $X = Z/G \rightarrow P/G$ is an isotrivial fiber bundle with fiber C . Then either using the homotopy fiber sequence for the fibration or the quotient of Z sequence. Then there is an exact sequence,

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi_1(C) \longrightarrow \pi_1(X) \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1$$

6 Oct 25

Definition 6.0.1. A matrix M is *quasi-unipotent* if one of the following equivalent conditions holds,

- (a) M^n is unipotent for some $n \geq 0$
- (b) M has eigenvalues which are roots of unity.

Let X be a normal variety over \mathbb{C} . Let $X \hookrightarrow \bar{X}$ be a normal compact completion. Let D_i be the irreducible components of $\bar{X} \setminus X$. Let T_i be a small loop contained at D_i . Such that $\text{im } T_i$ are defined in $\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}))$ up to conjugacy. Let $\rho : \pi_1(X(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \text{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$ be a local system $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ which we require to have quasi-unipotent monodromy at infinity meaning T_i acts quasi-unipotently. Kashiwara showed that this does not depend on the compactification.

Definition 6.0.2. Let $x \in X$ and a map $\rho : \pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}), x) \rightarrow \text{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$ for $r \in \mathbb{NN}_{\geq 1}$. Then,

$$\text{Hom}(\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}), x), \text{GL}_r)$$

This gives a functor on rings over \mathbb{Z} ,

$$R \mapsto \{\rho_R : \pi \rightarrow \text{GL}_r(R)\}$$

This is representable by an affine scheme over \mathbb{Z} called,

$$\mathbf{Ch}(\pi, r)^{\square} \quad \text{or} \quad M_B(X, r)^{\square}$$

Definition 6.0.3. Consider the quasi-unipotent locus $QU \subset M_B(X, r)(\mathbb{C})$ of $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ which are quasi-unipotent monodromy at ∞ .

Theorem 6.0.4 (E-M. Kerz, 20). $QU \subset M_B(X, r)^{\square}$ is Zariski dense.

Theorem 6.0.5 (Grothendieck). If $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ is geometric¹ then $L_{\mathbb{C}} \in QU$

Theorem 6.0.6 (Briskorn). Suppose that $Y \rightarrow U \hookrightarrow X$ is defined over F where F is a finitely generated field over \mathbb{Q} . Let $F = \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_S)$ with S affine finite type over \mathbb{Q} . Then by base change we may assume that F is a number field.

Proof. Riemann-Hilbert correspondence:

$$\bigoplus_i R^i g_* \mathbb{C} = \left(\bigoplus_i R^i g_* \Omega_{Y/U}^{\bullet} \right)^{\nabla}$$

and since this local system is defined over \mathbb{Z} it has eigenvalues of $\rho(T_j)$ algebraic integers $\mu_i \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}} \subset \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. This has residues $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then,

$$\exp(2\pi i \lambda_i) = \mu_i$$

Gelfand's theorem implies that $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$. □

Also $X_F \rightarrow X_S$ affine scheme finite type over \mathbb{Z} . Let $S^{\circ} \subset S$ nonempty open. Consider the diagram,

¹Recall this means there is a dense open $U \subset X$ and a smooth projective morphism $f : Y \rightarrow U$ such that $L_{\mathbb{C}}|_U$ is a subquotient of the Gauss-Mannin system $\bigoplus_i R^i g_* \mathbb{C}$. By Deligne semi-simplicity we can assume it is actually a direct summand.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi_1(X_{\mathbb{C}}, x) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_s, x_s) \\
\uparrow & \nearrow & \\
\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}), x) & &
\end{array}$$

Then the images of T_i are also quasi-unipotent. Then for $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ we can consider this for L_{ℓ} an ℓ -adic local system. For char $s \gg 0$ something (!(!!!))

Proposition 6.0.7 (Deligne). Let $T_i^{\text{ét}} \in \pi_1(X_{\mathbb{C}}, x)$ be the image of the T_i . Consider the homotopy exact sequence,

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi_1(X_{\mathbb{C}}, x) \longrightarrow \pi_1(X_F, x) \longrightarrow G_F \longrightarrow 1$$

Given a rational point $\sigma \in X_F(F)$ we get a section $\sigma : G_F \rightarrow \pi_1(X_F, x)$. Depending on the choice of σ we get an action,

$$\delta_{\sigma} : G_F \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\pi_1(X_{\mathbb{C}}, x))$$

Then for $\gamma \in G_F$ then $\gamma(T_i^{\text{ét}}) = (T_i^{\text{ét}})^{(\gamma)}$ where $\chi : G_F \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times}$ is the cyclotomic character².

Proof. Consider the moduli space M^{\square} of framed representations. Consider the conjugacy classes of $g_i \in \pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}), x)$ of the T_i . The characteristic polynomials,

$$\Gamma\rho(g) = \det T - \rho(g_i) = T^r - S_n(\rho(g_i)T^{r-1} + \cdots + s_r(\rho(g_i)))$$

Then consider the diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
M^{\square} & & S = \prod_1^s(\mathbb{G}_m^r) \\
& \searrow \psi & \downarrow \varphi \\
& & T \subset \prod_1^s(\mathbb{A}^{r-1} \times \mathbb{G}_m)
\end{array}$$

where R is the closure of $\psi(M^{\square})$. The goal is to show that the image under φ of the quasi-unipotent part is dense in T . We can spread out this construction to B for some affine scheme finite type over \mathbb{Z} with $\mathcal{O}_B \subset \mathbb{C}$.

Assume for contradiction that $\overline{QU} \subsetneq M^{\square}(\mathbb{C})$. When we consider $M_B^{\square} \rightarrow B$ the map $M_B^{\square} \setminus \overline{Q}_B \rightarrow B$ is dominant (WHY) \square

7 Nov. 1 Companions

Let X be a smooth projective variety over \mathbb{C} and $_{\mathbb{C}}$ a complex local system. Let $\tau : \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$. This corresponds to a representation,

$$\rho : \pi_1(X(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \text{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$$

The considering $\rho^{\tau} = \tau \circ \rho$ we get a new local system $^{\tau}$.

This gives a diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
M_B(X, r)_{\mathbb{C}} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \prod_1(\mathbb{A}^{r-1} \times \mathbb{G}_m)_{\mathbb{C}} = N_{\mathbb{C}} \\
\downarrow (-)^{\tau} & & \downarrow \\
M_B(X, r) & \longrightarrow & N_{\mathbb{C}}
\end{array}$$

² $\chi = \prod_{\ell} \chi_{\ell}$ where $\chi_{\ell} : G_F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}^{\times}$ is the standard cyclotomic character.