

# Mathematics GU4051 Topology

## Assignment # 5

Benjamin Church

November 11, 2017

### Problem 1.

Let  $f_1 : V_1 \rightarrow Y$  and  $f_2 : V_2 \rightarrow Y$  be functions on sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  with  $V_1 \cup V_2 = X$ . Also, let  $f_1|_{V_1 \cap V_2} = f_2|_{V_1 \cap V_2}$  so that the function,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  given by,

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} f_1(x) & x \in V_1 \\ f_2(x) & x \in V_2 \end{cases}$$

is well defined. The following fact will be of use:

$$f^{-1}(U) = f_1^{-1}(U) \cup f_2^{-1}(U)$$

This equality hold because:

$$x \in f^{-1}(U) \iff f(x) \in U \iff f_1(x) \in U \text{ or } f_2(x) \in U \iff x \in f_1^{-1}(U) \cup f_2^{-1}(U)$$

Suppose that  $f$  is continuous on any (not necessarily open or closed) sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ . Then for any open  $U \subset Y$  the set  $f^{-1}(U) = f_1^{-1}(U) \cup f_2^{-1}(U)$  is open in  $X$ . Thus,  $f^{-1}(U) \cap V_1$  is open in  $V_1$ . However,

$$f_2^{-1}(U) \cap V_1 \subset f_1^{-1}(U) \cap V_1$$

because if  $x \in f_2^{-1}(U) \cap V_1$  then  $x \in V_1 \cap V_2$  so  $f_1(x) = f_2(x) \in U$  so  $x \in f_1^{-1}(U)$ . Thus,

$$f^{-1}(U) \cap V_1 = (f_1^{-1}(U) \cap V_1) \cup (f_2^{-1}(U) \cap V_1) = f_1^{-1}(U) \cap V_1 = f_1^{-1}(U)$$

because  $f_1^{-1}(U) \subset V_1$  and thus  $f_1^{-1}(U)$  is open in  $V_1$ . Thus,  $f_1$  is continuous. The continuity of  $f_2$  follows identically. Now, we will prove the converse in the cases that  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are both closed or both open.

- (a). Suppose that  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are open and that  $f_1 : V_1 \rightarrow Y$  and  $f_2 : V_2 \rightarrow Y$  are continuous. For an open  $U \subset Y$ , consider

$$f^{-1}(U) = f_1^{-1}(U) \cup f_2^{-1}(U)$$

However, by continuity,  $f_1^{-1}(U)$  is open in  $V_1$  and  $f_2^{-1}(U)$  is open in  $V_2$ . Thus, there are sets  $S_1, S_2 \subset X$  which are open in  $X$  s.t.  $f_1^{-1}(U) = S_1 \cap V_1$  and  $f_2^{-1}(U) = S_2 \cap V_2$ . Therefore, these sets are open in  $X$  because  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are open. Thus,

$$f^{-1}(U) = f_1^{-1}(U) \cup f_2^{-1}(U)$$

is open in  $X$  so  $f$  is continuous.

- (b). Suppose that  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are closed and that  $f_1 : V_1 \rightarrow Y$  and  $f_2 : V_2 \rightarrow Y$  are continuous. For a closed  $D \subset Y$ , consider

$$f^{-1}(D) = f_1^{-1}(D) \cup f_2^{-1}(D)$$

However, by continuity,  $f_1^{-1}(D)$  is closed in  $C_1$  and  $f_2^{-1}(D)$  is closed in  $C_2$ . Thus, there are sets  $S_1, S_2 \subset X$  which are closed in  $X$  s.t.  $f_1^{-1}(D) = S_1 \cap C_1$  and  $f_2^{-1}(D) = S_2 \cap C_2$ . Therefore, these sets are closed in  $X$  because  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are closed. Thus,

$$f^{-1}(D) = f_1^{-1}(D) \cup f_2^{-1}(D)$$

is closed in  $X$  so  $f$  is continuous.

## Problem 2.

Let  $\{A_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a sequence of connected subsets of  $X$  s.t. for every  $n \in \mathbb{N} : A_n \cap A_{n+1} \neq \emptyset$ . Consider the sequence of sets,  $C_n = \bigcup_{i=0}^n A_i$ . By induction, I will show that these sets are connected.  $C_0 = A_0$  which is by hypothesis connected. Suppose that  $C_n$  is connected then  $C_{n+1} = C_n \cup A_{n+1}$  and  $A_n \subset C_n$  but  $A_n \cap A_{n+1} \neq \emptyset$  so  $C_n \cap A_{n+1} \neq \emptyset$ . Thus,  $C_{n+1}$  is the union of two intersecting connected sets and is therefore connected. Since  $A_n \subset C_n$  and  $C_n \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i$  we have,

$$\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n$$

and  $A_0 \subset C_n$  so  $A_0 \subset \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n$  which is therefore not empty because  $A_0 \cap A_1 \neq \emptyset$  so  $A_0$  is not empty. Thus, because every  $C_n$  is connected and the total intersection is nonempty, the union is also connected.

## Problem 3.

I claim that every proper nonempty subset  $A \subset X$  has  $\partial A \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $X$  is connected. Note, I claim “yes” to both the question and its converse and I use  $\partial A = \text{Bd}A$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that some  $A \subset X$  has  $\bar{A} \setminus A^\circ = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $x \in \bar{A} \implies x \in A^\circ$  so  $\bar{A} \subset A^\circ$  but  $A^\circ \subset A \subset \bar{A}$  so  $A^\circ = A = \bar{A}$ . Furthermore,  $A^\circ$  is open and  $\bar{A}$  is closed so  $A$  is clopen. Thus, if  $X$  is connected then  $A$  must be nonproper or empty. Conversely, if  $X$  is disconnected then there exists a proper nonempty clopen set  $U \subset X$  then  $U = U^\circ = \bar{U}$  because both  $U$  and  $X \setminus U$  are closed thus  $\partial U = \bar{U} \setminus U^\circ = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

## Problem 4.

- (a). Suppose that  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1)$  is a homeomorphism. Take  $A = [0, 1] \setminus \{1\} = [0, 1)$  then by bijectivity,  $f(A) = (0, 1) \setminus \{f(1)\}$ . However,  $0 < f(1) < 1$  so  $f(A)$  is not an interval and thus disconnected. However,  $A = [0, 1)$  is connected and by assumption  $f$  is continuous so  $f(A)$  must be connected which is a contradiction.

Suppose that  $f : (0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1)$  is a homeomorphism. Take  $A = (0, 1] \setminus \{1\} = (0, 1)$  then by bijectivity,  $f(A) = (0, 1) \setminus \{f(1)\}$ . However,  $0 < f(1) < 1$  so  $f(A)$  is not an interval and thus disconnected. However,  $A = (0, 1)$  is connected and by assumption  $f$  is continuous so  $f(A)$  must be connected which is a contradiction.

Suppose that  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1]$  is a homeomorphism. Take  $A = [0, 1] \setminus \{0\} = (0, 1]$  then by bijectivity,  $f(A) = (0, 1] \setminus \{f(0)\}$ . However,  $0 < f(0) \leq 1$ . In the case  $f(0) < 1$ , we proceed as above, since  $f(A)$  is not an interval and thus disconnected. However,  $A = (0, 1]$  is connected and by assumption  $f$  is continuous so  $f(A)$  must be connected which is a contradiction. In the case  $f(0) = 1$ , we have  $A = (0, 1]$  and  $f(A) = (0, 1)$  which we already know are not homeomorphic contradicting Lemma 0.2.

- (b). Suppose that  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for  $n > 1$  is a homeomorphism. Take  $A = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  then  $f(A) = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{f(0)\}$  but by Lemma 0.1,  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{f(0)\}$  is connected. However,  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  is not an interval so it is disconnected. However, by Lemma 0.2,  $A$  and  $f(A)$  are homeomorphic which is a contradiction because connectedness is preserved by homeomorphism.

## Problem 5.

Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be countable. Now, consider two points  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus S$ . Consider the set of lines passing through a given point:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}) = \{L(\mathbf{w}, \theta) \mid \theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]\} \text{ with } L(\mathbf{w}, \theta) = \{\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid (r_x - w_x) \sin \theta = (r_y - w_x) \cos \theta\}$$

$L(\mathbf{w}, \theta)$  contains  $(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) + \mathbf{w}$ . Also, no two distinct lines intersect at more than one point so the number of lines about any point is uncountable since it is in bijection with the points on a half circle surrounding  $\mathbf{w}$ . Thus, every point has a line through it which does not intersect  $S$ . If this were false, we could construct a map  $f : \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}) \rightarrow S$  given by mapping a line  $L$  to the smallest  $s \in S$  ( $S$  is in bijection to a set of integers and thus can be well-ordered) that intersects  $L$ . This map would be an injection because two distinct lines through the same point cannot intersect but at that point. However, there cannot exist an injection from an uncountable set to a countable set so there must exist some (uncountably many in fact) lines which do not intersect  $S$ . Choose  $\tilde{L}(\mathbf{v})$  and  $\tilde{L}(\mathbf{u})$  to be two such lines which intersect each other at  $\mathbf{r}$  which is always possible because there is exactly one line through  $\mathbf{u}$  which is parallel to  $\tilde{L}(\mathbf{v})$  so take any other of the uncountably many options for  $\tilde{L}(\mathbf{u})$ . Define  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus S$  by

$$\gamma(t) = \begin{cases} \gamma_1(t) = \mathbf{v} + 2t(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}) & x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\ \gamma_2(t) = \mathbf{r} + (2t - 1)(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{r}) & x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \end{cases}$$

Since  $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$  and  $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$  are closed and intersect only at  $\frac{1}{2}$  where  $\gamma_1(\frac{1}{2}) = \mathbf{v} + (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{r}$  and  $\gamma_2(\frac{1}{2}) = \mathbf{r}$  so by the glueing lemma,  $\gamma$  is continuous since  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are continuous. Also,  $\gamma(0) = \mathbf{v}$  and  $\gamma(1) = \mathbf{r} + (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{u}$ . Finally,  $\gamma$  is well defined because  $\gamma_1(t) \in \tilde{L}(\mathbf{v}) \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus S$  and  $\gamma_2(t) \in \tilde{L}(\mathbf{u}) \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus S$ . Thus,  $\gamma(t) \in S$  so  $\gamma$  is a path from  $\mathbf{u}$  to  $\mathbf{v}$  proving that  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus S$  is path connected.

## Problem 6.

Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be connected and open. Take  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in A$  and consider

$$U = \{\mathbf{x} \in A \mid \exists \text{ path from } \mathbf{x}_0 \text{ to } \mathbf{x}\}$$

Consider  $\mathbf{z} \in U$ , then  $\mathbf{z} \in A$  which is open so  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $\mathbf{z} \in B_\delta(\mathbf{z}) \subset A$ . Also, there exists a continuous map  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow A$  s.t.  $\gamma(0) = \mathbf{x}_0$  and  $\gamma(1) = \mathbf{z}$ . For any  $\mathbf{x} \in B_\delta(\mathbf{z})$ , take the function  $\gamma_G : [0, 2] \rightarrow A$  given by:

$$\gamma_G(t) = \begin{cases} \gamma(t) & t \in [0, 1] \\ r(t) = \mathbf{z} + (t-1)(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}) & t \in [1, 2] \end{cases}$$

$\gamma_G$  is well defined because  $|r(t) - \mathbf{z}| = (t-1)|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}| < \delta$  so  $r(t) \in B_\delta(\mathbf{z}) \subset A$ . Since  $\gamma$  and  $r(t)$  are continuous and  $[0, 1] \cap [1, 2] = \{1\}$  with  $\gamma(1) = \mathbf{z} = [\mathbf{z} + (t-1)(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z})]_{t=1}$  so by the gluing lemma,  $\gamma_G$  is continuous. Because  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 2]$  given by  $f(x) = 2x$  is a homeomorphism,  $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma_G \circ f : [0, 1] \rightarrow A$  is a continuous function with  $\tilde{\gamma}(0) = \gamma(0) = \mathbf{x}_0$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}(1) = \gamma_G(f(1)) = \gamma_G(2) = \mathbf{x}$ . Thus,  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is a path from  $\mathbf{x}_0$  to  $\mathbf{x}$  so  $\mathbf{x} \in U$ . Thus,  $B_\delta(\mathbf{z}) \subset U$  so  $U$  is open.

Likewise, consider  $\mathbf{z} \in A \setminus U$ , then  $\mathbf{z} \in A$  which is open so  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $\mathbf{z} \in B_\delta(\mathbf{z}) \subset A$ . Suppose that there exists a continuous map  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow A$  s.t.  $\gamma(0) = \mathbf{x}_0$  and  $\gamma(1) = \mathbf{x}$  with  $\mathbf{x} \in B_\delta(\mathbf{z})$ . Then take the function  $\gamma_G : [0, 2] \rightarrow A$  given by:

$$\gamma_G(t) = \begin{cases} \gamma(t) & t \in [0, 1] \\ r(t) = \mathbf{x} + (t-1)(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}) & t \in [1, 2] \end{cases}$$

$\gamma_G$  is well defined because  $|r(t) - \mathbf{x}| = (t-1)|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}| < \delta$  so  $r(t) \in B_\delta(\mathbf{x}) \subset A$ . Since  $\gamma$  and  $\mathbf{x} + t(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x})$  are continuous and  $[0, 1] \cap [1, 2] = \{1\}$  with  $\gamma(1) = \mathbf{x} = [\mathbf{x} + (t-1)(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x})]_{t=1}$  so by the gluing lemma,  $\gamma_G$  is continuous. Because  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 2]$  given by  $f(x) = 2x$  is a homeomorphism,  $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma_G \circ f : [0, 1] \rightarrow A$  is a continuous function with  $\tilde{\gamma}(0) = \gamma(0) = \mathbf{x}_0$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}(1) = \gamma_G(f(1)) = \gamma_G(2) = \mathbf{z}$ . Thus,  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is a path from  $\mathbf{x}_0$  to  $\mathbf{z}$  so  $\mathbf{z} \in U$  a contradiction. Thus,  $\mathbf{x} \notin U$  so  $B_\delta(\mathbf{z}) \subset A \setminus U$  so  $A \setminus U$  is open. Thus,  $U$  is clopen but  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in U$  so because  $A$  is connected,  $U = A$  and therefore  $A$  is path-connected.

## Lemmas

**Lemma 0.1.** *For any  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $n > 1$ , the set  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{x}_0\}$  with the subspace topology is connected.*

*Proof.* Take  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{x}_0\}$ . Suppose that  $\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x} \in \text{span}\{\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\}$  then define  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{x}_0\}$  to be the map:

$$\gamma(t) = \mathbf{x} + t(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) + t(1-t)\mathbf{b}$$

Where  $\mathbf{b}$  is any vector not in the span of  $\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}$ . Such a  $\mathbf{b}$  exists because  $n > 1$ . Now,  $\gamma(0) = \mathbf{x}$  and  $\gamma(1) = \mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{y}$ . Also,  $\gamma$  is well defined because if  $\gamma(t) = \mathbf{x}_0$  then,

$$\mathbf{b} = \frac{1}{t(1-t)}(\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}) - \frac{1}{1-t}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) \in \text{span}\{\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\}$$

which contradicts the definition of  $\mathbf{b}$ . The previous formula is well defined because  $t \neq 0$  and  $t \neq 1$  since  $\gamma(0) = \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{x}_0$  and  $\gamma(1) = \mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{x}_0$ . Thus,  $\text{Im } \gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{x}_0\}$

Otherwise, if  $\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x} \notin \text{span}\{\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\}$  then define  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{x}_0\}$  to be the map:

$$\gamma(t) = \mathbf{x} + t(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})$$

Now,  $\gamma(0) = \mathbf{x}$  and  $\gamma(1) = \mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{y}$ . Also,  $\gamma$  is well defined because if  $\gamma(t) = \mathbf{x}_0$  then  $\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x} = t(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})$  contradicting the fact that  $\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x} \notin \text{span}\{\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\}$ . Thus,  $\text{Im } \gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{x}_0\}$ . These maps  $\gamma$  are continuous with respect to the Euclidean metric by  $\epsilon - \delta$  arguments. Therefore,  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{x}_0\}$  is path connected and thus connected.  $\square$

**Lemma 0.2.** *If  $(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{T}_Y)$  are homeomorphic topological spaces with homeomorphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  then for any  $A \subset X$ ,  $A$  is homeomorphic to  $f(A)$  with the subspace topologies.*

*Proof.* For  $A \subset X$  define  $g : A \rightarrow f(A)$  by  $g : x \mapsto f(x)$  which is trivially a surjection because  $\text{Im } g = f(A)$ . Since  $f$  is a bijection,  $f$  is injective so  $g(x) = g(y) \implies f(x) = f(y) \implies x = y$  so  $g$  is a bijection. We must check that  $g$  and  $g^{-1}$  are continuous. If  $U$  is open in  $f(A)$  then  $\exists V \in \mathcal{T}_Y$  s.t.  $U = V \cap f(A)$  then,

$$x \in g^{-1}(U) \iff g(x) \in U \text{ and } x \in A \iff f(x) \in V \cap f(A) \text{ and } x \in A \iff x \in f^{-1}(V) \cap A$$

so  $g^{-1}(U) = f^{-1}(V) \cap A$  which is open in  $A$  because  $f$  is continuous and  $V \in \mathcal{T}_Y$ . Also, if  $U$  is open in  $A$  then  $U = A \cap V$  with  $V$  open in  $X$  and consider  $(g^{-1})^{-1}(U)$ .

$$x \in (g^{-1})^{-1}(U) \iff g^{-1}(x) \in U \iff x \in g(U) = f(U)$$

Thus,  $(g^{-1})^{-1}(U) = f(U) = f(A \cap U) = f(A) \cap f(U)$  which is open in  $f(A)$ . In the last line I have used  $f(A \cap B) = f(A) \cap f(B)$  which follows from injectivity. Thus,  $g^{-1}$  is a continuous function.  $\square$