

1 Schemes

2 More Schemes

3 Seperated Schemes

Lemma 3.1. A topological space X is Hausdorff iff $X \xrightarrow{\Delta} X \times X$ is closed.

Definition A scheme is *seperated* iff $X \xrightarrow{\Delta} X \times X$ is a closed immersion.

Definition A morphism of schemes $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is *affine* if and only if for any $V \subset Y$ affine open then $f^{-1}(V) \subset X$ is affine open.

Definition A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is separated if the diagonal morphism $\Delta_{X/Y} : X \rightarrow X \times_Y X$ is a closed immersion. Thus X is separated if $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(Z)$ is separated.

Proposition 3.2. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ be morphisms of schemes. If $g \circ f$ is separated then f is separated.

Proof. Assume that $g \circ f : X \rightarrow Z$ is separated. The diagonal morphism $\Delta_{X/Z}$ factors through $X \rightarrow X \times_Y X \rightarrow X \times_Z Y$ then $X \rightarrow X \times_Y X$ is a closed immersion of schemes. \square

Proposition 3.3. Any affine scheme is separated.

Proof. Consider the map $\text{Spec}(A) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(Z)$. The diagonal morphism $\Delta : \text{Spec}(A) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A) \times \text{Spec}(A) = \text{Spec}(A \otimes A)$ is given by the ring map $A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ by $a \otimes b \rightarrow ab$. This ring map is clearly surjective and thus Δ is a closed immersion. \square

Definition Let S be a graded ring then $\text{Proj}(S)$ as a set is the set of homogeneous prime ideals which do not contain S_+ . Then $\text{Proj}(S)$ is a scheme with structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj}(S)}$ such that for any homogenous $f \in S_+$ we have $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj}(S)}(D_+(f)) = \text{Spec}(S_{(f)})$ where $S_{(f)}$ is the zero-degree part of S_f and $D_+(f) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj}(S) \mid f \notin \mathfrak{p}\}$.

Proposition 3.4. Let S be a graded ring then $\text{Proj}(S)$ is separated.

Proof. Consider the canonical morphism $\text{Proj}(S) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(Z)$. Given any pair of standard opens $D_+(f)$ and $D_+(g)$ we have affine $D_+(f) \cap D_+(g) = D_+(fg)$. Now to show that the diagonal map

$$\text{Proj}(S) \rightarrow \text{Proj}(S) \times \text{Proj}(S)$$

is a closed immersion it suffices to prove that for these affine opens that $u \cap V = \Delta^{-1}(U \times V) \rightarrow U \times V$ is a closed immersion. Since these are affine we can check that the ring map $S_{(f)} \otimes S_{(g)} \rightarrow S_{(fg)}$ is surjective. For any $s = h/(f^n g^m) \in S_{(fg)}$ we can rewrite s as,

$$s = h/f^{(n'+n') \deg s} \cdot f^{m' \deg g} / g^{m' \deg f}$$

by multiplying h by a suitable $f^i g^j$ such that $n = n' \deg g$ and $m = m' \deg f$. Then s is in this image. \square

Definition Let $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(A)}$ be the unique sheaf of rings of $\text{Spec}(A)$ such that,

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(A)}(D(f)) = A_f$$

and thus $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(A)}(A) = \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(A)}(D(1)) = A$. Furthermore,

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(A)})_{\mathfrak{p}} = A_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

which is local.

Proposition 3.5. Let A and B be rings then,

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}}(\text{Spec}(B), \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(B)}, \text{Spec}(A), \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(A)}) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Ring}}(A, B)$$

given by sending $(f, f^{\#})$ to $f^{\#}$ on global sections.

Definition A morphism of schemes $\iota : Z \rightarrow X$ is a *closed immersion* iff ι is affine and for every affine open $U \subset X$, the map,

$$\mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z(\iota^{-1}(U))$$

is surjective.

Remark. Any closed subset of $\text{Spec}(A)$ is of the form $V(I) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A) \mid \mathfrak{p} \supset I\}$ where $I \subset A$ is an ideal.

Remark. If $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is a ring map then there is a map $\text{Spec}(\varphi) : \text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ given by $\mathfrak{p} \mapsto \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$ which is continuous with respect to the Zariski topology via, $\text{Spec}(\varphi)^{-1}(D(f)) = D(\varphi(f))$. Furthermore, $\text{Spec}(\varphi)$ is canonically a morphism of affine schemes via,

$$\text{Spec}(\varphi)^{\#} : \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(A)}(D(f)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(B)}(D(\varphi(A)))$$

given by sending A_f to $B_{\varphi(f)}$ by $a/f^n \mapsto \varphi(a)/\varphi(f)^n$.

Proposition 3.6. A surjective ring map $A \rightarrow B$ with kernel I induces a homeomorphism $\text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A/I) = V(I) \subset \text{Spec}(A)$. If $f \in A$ then $\text{Spec}(A \rightarrow A_f)$ is a homeomorphism $D(f) = \text{Spec}(A_f) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ (CHECK THIS)

Proposition 3.7. Let X be a scheme and K a field. A morphism $\text{Spec}(K) \rightarrow X$ is the same as specifying a point $p \in X$ and an inclusion $\iota : k(p) \rightarrow K$ where $k(p) = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x$ is the residue field at x .

Proof. Let $(f, f^{\#}) : \text{Spec}(K) \rightarrow X$ be a morphism. Then take the image $\{p\} = f^{-1}((0))$. Furthermore, we have a sheaf map,

$$f^{\#} : \mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(K)}(f^{-1}(U)) = \begin{cases} K & p \in U \\ 0 & p \notin U \end{cases}$$

Consider the commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{O}_X(U) & \xrightarrow{f^\#} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}(K)}(f^{-1}(U)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{O}_{X,x} & \xrightarrow{f_x^\#} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}(K), (0)}
\end{array}$$

On opens U with $p \notin U$ clearly the map $f^\# : \mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}(K)}(f^{-1}(U))$ is the zero map. Otherwise, the map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}(K)}(f^{-1}(U)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}(K), (0)}$ is the identity. Therefore, the above diagram determines $f^\# = f_x^\# \circ \mathrm{res}_{U,x}$ uniquely from the stalk map

$$f_x^\# : \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}(K), (0)} = K$$

Furthermore, $f_x^\#$ must be a local so $f_x^\#(\mathfrak{m}_x) = (0)$ since (0) is maximal in K . Therefore, this map factors through $k(p) = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x$. Therefore, $f^\#$ is determined from the map $k(p) \rightarrow K$ (which is an inclusion) via the canonical composition,

$$\mathcal{O}_X(U) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x \xrightarrow{f_x^\#} K$$

□

3.1 Proper Morphism

Definition We say a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of topological spaces is *proper* iff for each $K \subset Y$ quasi-compact then $f^{-1}(K)$ is quasi-compact.

Definition A continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of topological spaces is *universally closed* iff for each Z the map $f \times \mathrm{id}_Z : X \times Z \rightarrow Y \times Z$ is closed.

Definition Let P be a property of morphisms in **Top**. A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in **Top** is *universally P* if for every $f : Z \rightarrow Y$ under base change,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Z \times_Y X & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\
\downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\
Z & \xrightarrow{g} & Y
\end{array}$$

then f' has property P .

Theorem 3.8. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map of topological spaces then TFAE:

- (a). f is proper and closed
- (b). f is universally closed
- (c). f is closed and $\forall y \in Y : f^{-1}(y)$ is quasi-compact.

Definition A morphisms of schemes $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is proper iff it is

- (a). finite type.
- (b). separated.
- (c). universally closed.

Example 3.9. Let k be a field then $\mathbb{A}_k^1 \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ is closed but not universally closed.

Proof. Consider the diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V(xy - 1) = \text{Spec}(k[x, y]/(xy - 1)) & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{A}_k^2 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}_k^1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{A}_k^1 \setminus \{(x)\} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{A}_k^1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec}(k) \end{array}$$

Since \mathbb{A}_k^1 is not closed, the left map is not closed. □

4 Classical Algebraic Varieties

Definition An affine variety over a field k is a subset $V(I) \subset k^n$ with the Zariski topology where $I \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is an ideal. An affine variety is a locally ringed space with the sheaf of regular functions. A regular function on open $U \subset V$ is a map $f : U \rightarrow k$ such that f is locally a regular (denominator not vanishing) quotient of polynomials.

Definition A morphism of classical affine varieties V and W is a continuous map $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ such that for all open $U \subset V$ and $U' \subset W$ such that $\varphi(U) \subset U'$ and a regular function $f' : U' \rightarrow k$ then $f' \circ \varphi|_U$ is a regular function on U .

Definition A (classical) algebraic variety is a locally ringed space which is locally isomorphic to affine varieties.

Lemma 4.1. Let $V \subset k^n$ be an affine variety. Then any (global) regular function $f : V \rightarrow k$ is a polynomial.

Proof. Let $V \subset k^n$ be an affine variety and $f : V \rightarrow k$ be a regular function. Define,

$$I = \{g \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \mid g|_V \cdot f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]\}$$

First, I claim that I is an ideal. Take $g \in I$ and $h \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ then $hg \in I$ since if $g|_V \cdot f = e|_V$ for some $e \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ then $(hg)|_V \cdot f = (he)|_V$ so $hg \in I$. Similarly, if $g_1, g_2 \in I$ then $g_i|_V \cdot f = e_i|_V$ for some $e_i \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and so $(g_1 + g_2)|_V \cdot f = (e_1 + e_2)|_V$ and thus $g_1 + g_2 \in I$.

Now I claim that I is not contained in any maximal ideal. Let $\mathfrak{m} \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a maximal ideal. Then by Hilbert's Nullstellensatz,

$$\mathfrak{m} = (x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n) = \{g \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \mid g(p) = 0\}$$

for some $p = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Suppose that $p \notin V$. Since V is Zariski closed, there exists $g \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that $g(p) \neq 0$ and $g|_V = 0$. Then $g|_V \cdot f = 0$ so $g \in I$ but $g \notin \mathfrak{m}$. Otherwise, $p \in V$ and thus by definition, there exists an open $U \subset V$ and polynomials $a, b \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that $b(p') \neq 0$ for all $p' \in U$ and $f|_U = \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)|_U$. Therefore, we have,

$$b|_U \cdot f|_U = a|_U \implies b|_V \cdot f = a|_V$$

since U is dense in V and $b|_V \cdot f - a$ is continuous. \square

Corollary 4.2. Let $V \subset k^n$ be a classical affine variety and $g : V \rightarrow k$ be a regular function. Then,

$$\mathcal{O}_V(\{p \in V \mid g(p) \neq 0\}) = \mathcal{O}_V(V)_g = \mathcal{O}_V(V)[g^{-1}]$$

Corollary 4.3. $\mathcal{O}_V(V) = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$ where $I = \{f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \mid f|_V = 0\}$.

Corollary 4.4. $\text{Hom}(V, W) = \text{Hom}_{k\text{-alg}}(\mathcal{O}_V(V), \mathcal{O}_W(W))$

Theorem 4.5. Let k be an algebraically closed field. There is a fully faithful functor from the category of classical varieties over k to the category of schemes over k whose image lies in the subcategory of integral (reduced and irreducible) separated schemes of finite type over k .

Proof. Let k be an algebraically closed field. The functor $v : \mathbf{Var}(k) \rightarrow \mathbf{Sch}(k)$ is constructed by taking the underlying space X and sending it to $v(X)$ the set of irreducible closed subsets with the topology generated by closed sets $v(C)$ for each closed set $C \subset X$. Furthermore, there is a continuous map $\alpha_X : X \rightarrow v(X)$ given by $\alpha_X(p) = \overline{\{p\}}$. \square

5 Sheaves of Modules

Definition Let A be a ring and $X = \text{Spec}(A)$. For every A -module M there is a unique sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules \widetilde{M} such that,

$$\widetilde{M}(X) = M \quad \widetilde{M}(D(f)) = M_f$$

The stalks are $(\widetilde{M})_{\mathfrak{p}} = M_{\mathfrak{p}} = M \otimes_A A_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Proposition 5.1. For any \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{F} we have,

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\widetilde{M}, \mathcal{F}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X(X)}(M, \mathcal{F}(X))$$

given by sending φ to its action on global sections.

Proof. Given a map $M \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathcal{F}(X)$ we get a diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\widetilde{M}(X) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & M & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathcal{F}(X) \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{res} \\
\widetilde{M}(D(f)) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & M_f & \dashrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(D(f))
\end{array}$$

We get a map $M_f \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(D(f))$ because f becomes invertible in $\mathcal{F}(D(f))$ because $\mathcal{F}(D(f))$ is an A_f -module. \square

Corollary 5.2. The functor $M \mapsto \widetilde{M}$ is a fully faithful functor from the category of A -modules to the category of \mathcal{O}_X -modules.

Definition Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a scheme. An \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{F} is *quasi-coherent* iff for every affine open $U \subset X$ with $U \cong \text{Spec}(A)$ we have $\mathcal{F}|_U \cong \widetilde{M}$ for some A -module M .

Definition Let $(f, f^\#) : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ is a map of ringed spaces. If \mathcal{F} is an \mathcal{O}_X -module then $f_*\mathcal{F}$ is the \mathcal{O}_Y -module with values,

$$(f_*\mathcal{F})(V) = \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}(V))$$

as a $\mathcal{O}_Y(V)$ -module via the map,

$$f^\# : \mathcal{O}_Y(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(V))$$

Then f^* is defined to be the left adjoint of f_* . This adjoint exists because we can define,

$$f^*\mathcal{G} = f^{-1}\mathcal{G} \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{O}_X$$

where \mathcal{O}_X is an $f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module under the map $f^\# : f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ and \mathcal{G} is a \mathcal{O}_Y -module and pullback is additive so $f^{-1}\mathcal{G}$ is a $f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module. This functor has stalks,

$$(f^*\mathcal{G})_x = \mathcal{G}_{f(x)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)}} \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$$

Lemma 5.3. Let $f : \text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ be given by $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ then,

- (a). $f_*(\widetilde{N}) = \widetilde{N_A}$ for N a B -module
- (b). $f^*(\widetilde{M}) = \widetilde{M \otimes_A B}$ for M an A -module.

Proof. Let $X = \text{Spec}(A)$ and $Y = \text{Spec}(B)$. By adjunction,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(f^*\widetilde{M}, \mathcal{G}) &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\widetilde{M}, f_*\mathcal{G}) = \text{Hom}_A(M, (f_*\mathcal{G})(X)) \\
&= \text{Hom}_B(M \otimes_A B, \mathcal{G}(Y)) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\widetilde{M \otimes_A B}, \mathcal{G})
\end{aligned}$$

Because $(f_*\mathcal{G})(X) = \mathcal{G}(f^{-1}(X)) = \mathcal{G}(Y)$. However,

$$\text{Hom}_B(M \otimes_A B, C) = \text{Hom}_A(M, \text{Hom}_B(B, C)) = \text{Hom}_A(M, C)$$

\square

Proposition 5.4. For any A -module M the sheaf \widetilde{M} is quasi-coherent.

Proof. If $U \subset \text{Spec}(A)$ is affine open say $U = \text{Spec}(B)$ then,

$$\widetilde{M}|_U = \widetilde{M \otimes_A B}$$

\square

6 Picard Group

Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a locally ringed space. The Picard group is isomorphism classes of invertible \mathcal{O}_X -modules with addition given by tensor product.

Proposition 6.1. Any finite locally free \mathcal{O}_X -modules on a scheme X is quasi-coherent.

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{E} is locally free. We have to show that $\forall x \in X \exists U \subset X$ affine open such that $\mathcal{E}|_U$ is of the form \widetilde{M} for some $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -module. However, we know that $\exists x \in W \subset X$ open s.t for some n ,

$$\mathcal{E}|_W \cong \mathcal{O}_W^{\oplus n}$$

We may assume that $W = U$ is affine since affine opens form a basis of the topology. Then,

$$\mathcal{E}|_W \cong \mathcal{O}_U^{\oplus n} = \widetilde{\mathcal{O}_X(U)^{\oplus n}}$$

□

Remark. We further showed that the modules that \mathcal{E} locally looks like (as a quasi-coherent) sheaf are finitely generated.

Definition An \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{F} is of *finite type* iff $\forall x \in X \exists U \subset X$ is open s.t. $\mathcal{F}|_U$ is generated by finitely many sections i.e. for some n there exists $s_1, \dots, s_n \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ such that the map, $\mathcal{O}_U^{\oplus n} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_U$ given by $(f_1, \dots, f_n) \rightarrow \sum f_i s_i$ is surjective as a map of sheaves.

Lemma 6.2. The tilde functor is exact because $(\widetilde{M})_{\mathfrak{p}} = M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and localization is exact.

Lemma 6.3. Let $\mathcal{F} = \widetilde{M}$ be a quasi-coherent module on $\text{Spec}(A)$ then TFAE,

- (a). \mathcal{F} is of finite type (as $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(A)}$ -module)
- (b). M is of finite type (as A -module)

Proof. Suppose that M is f.g. then $M = m_1 A + \dots + m_n A$ However, $\mathcal{F}(\text{Spec}(A)) = \widetilde{M}(\text{Spec}(A)) = M$ so m_1, \dots, m_n are global sections. I claim that these generate \mathcal{F} . The map,

$$A^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow M$$

is surjective. Applying the functor,

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(A)}^{\oplus n} = \widetilde{A^{\oplus n}} \longrightarrow \widetilde{M}$$

Since tilde is an exact functor, this map remains a surjection. □

Proposition 6.4.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(\text{Spec}(A))$ then $\mathcal{L} = \widetilde{M}$ and now know that M is finite since \mathcal{L} is rank one and quasi-coherent. and $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$. □

7 Feb 8

Ask about why the pullback can be checked locally but not the pushforward in Prop. 5.8. Does it have to do with how we check the map on stalks which we know for the pullback but not for pushforward?

Lemma 7.1. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of schemes. The pullback of a quasi-coherent module is quasi-coherent.

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{G} is a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_Y -module. Pick $V \subset Y$ affine open $f^{-1}(V)$ and affine open $U \subset X$ such that $x \in U$ and $f(U) \subset V$ (since it is a basis for the topology and f is continuous). Consider the diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \hookrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow f|_U & & \downarrow f \\ V & \hookrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

Thus,

$$f^*\mathcal{G}|_U = (f_U)^*\mathcal{G}|_V$$

which reduces the case to a morphism of affine schemes. \square

Definition A morphism of schemes $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is *quasi-compact* iff for all $V \subset Y$ quasi-compact open then $f^{-1}(V)$ is quasi-compact open.

Lemma 7.2. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a quasi-compact separated morphism of schemes. The pushforward of a quasi-coherent module is quasi-coherent.

Proof. The question is local on Y hence we may assume that Y is an affine scheme. Choose an affine open cover U_i of X . Then Y is quasi-compact so its preimage is quasi-compact by the assumption that f is quasi-compact. Hence we may take X to be quasi-compact and thus we can find a finite subcover U_i . Take a quasi-coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules \mathcal{F} . Denote $f_i : U_i \rightarrow Y$ the restriction of f to U_i which is a morphism of affine schemes. Applying the sheaf property we find,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}(V)) \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{F}(U_i \cap f^{-1}(V)) \longrightarrow \prod_{i,j} \mathcal{F}(U_i \cap U_j \cap f^{-1}(V))$$

$$0 \longrightarrow (f_*\mathcal{F})(V) \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n ((f_i)_*\mathcal{F})(V) \longrightarrow \prod_{i,j} ((f_{ij})_*\mathcal{F})(V)$$

The second term is quasi-coherent because it is the finite product of quasi-coherent sheaves since $(f_i)_*$ is a morphism of affine schemes. If $U_i \cap U_j$ is affine for all i and j then we see that $f_*\mathcal{F}$ is a kernel of a map of quasi-coherent modules and thus quasi-coherent. Separatedness gives exactly this property. \square

Lemma 7.3. Kernels, cokernels, images, and coimages of quasi-coherent modules are quasi-coherent.

Proof. On an affine path $X = \text{Spec}(A)$ any morphism of quasi-coherent modules has the form $\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{N}$ for $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ and thus $\ker \tilde{\varphi} = \widetilde{\ker \varphi}$. \square

Definition A morphism of schemes $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called separated iff the diagonal map $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times_Y X$ is a closed immersion.

Lemma 7.4. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is separated then for any affine open $U, U' \subset X$ with $f(U) \cup f(U')$ contained in an affine open $V \subset Y$ then $U \cap U'$ is affine.

Proof. Then $U \times_V U' \subset X \times_Y X$ is open. However, $U \times_V X = U \times_Y U'$. Furthermore, $\Delta^{-1}(U \times_Y U') = U \cap U'$ because $\Delta(x) \in U \times_Y U'$ exactly when $x \in U$ and $x \in U'$ since $\Delta = \text{id} \times_Y \text{id}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & X & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & X \times_Y X \\ & \uparrow & & & \uparrow \\ U \cap U' & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \Delta^{-1}(U \times_Y U') & \longrightarrow & U \times_V U' \xrightarrow{\sim} U \times_Y U' \end{array}$$

Because Δ is a closed immersion then Δ is affine so $U \cap U'$ is affine. \square

Proposition 7.5. Let $A_n = k[x, y]/(1 - y(x - t_1) \cdots (x - t_n))$ then if $n \neq m$ then $A_n \not\cong A_m$.

Proof. \square

Proposition 7.6. If $X = \text{Spec}(A)$ is any smooth affine curve over an algebraically closed k with $\text{Frac}(A) \cong k(z)$ then $A \cong A_n$ for some n and some $t_1, \dots, t_n \in k$.

Proof. \square

8 Feb 14

8.1 Number Theory

Let K be a number field with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K then why is $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ related to $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. Since \mathcal{O}_K is a Dedekind domain we know that $(\mathcal{O}_K)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ at each prime is a DVR. Therefore if I is a fractional ideal then, $I_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \alpha(\mathcal{O}_K)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ since $(\mathcal{O}_K)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a PID. Furthermore, the principal ideals are exactly the globally rank 1 modules. Thus, $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K) \cong \text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. Furthermore, this group is the free abelian group on prime $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ modulo principal divisors i.e. the prime valuations of $\alpha \in K^\times$.

8.2 Integral Schemes

Lemma 8.1. Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf on X and $U \subset X$ an open set. Then the product of the natural maps,

$$\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \prod_{x \in X} \mathcal{F}_x$$

is injective.

Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ restricts to $f_x = 0$ in each stalk \mathcal{F}_x then there exists an open set $x \in V_x$ such that $f|_{V_x} = 0$. Therefore, f and 0 have equal restrictions to the open cover $\{V_x \mid x \in U\}$ so by the sheaf property $f = 0$. \square

Definition A scheme is *reduced* if for each open $U \subset X$ the ring $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is reduced.

Proposition 8.2. The following are equivalent,

- (a). X is reduced
- (b). for each $x \in X$ the stalk $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is reduced
- (c). for each affine open $U \subset X$ the ring $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is reduced
- (d). X has an affine open cover by spectra of reduced rings

Proof. Let X be a reduced schemes. Take $x \in X$ and consider the stalk,

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,x} = \varinjlim_{x \in U} \mathcal{O}_X(U)$$

Each $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is a reduced ring so if $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ satisfies $f^n = 0$ then on each open neighborhood of x we have $f = 0$ and thus $f = 0$ in $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. Conversely, if all stalks are reduced then for any open set $U \subset X$ consider an element $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$. If $f^n = 0$ then $f^n = 0$ in each stalk $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ at $x \in U$ which implies $f = 0$ since $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is reduced. Thus $f = 0$ in $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ so X is reduced.

If X is reduced then $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is reduced for all open sets and thus, in particular, all affine opens which implies that if $\{U_i\}$ is an affine open cover of X with $U_i = \text{Spec}(A_i)$ then $\mathcal{O}_X(U_i) = \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(A_i)}(U_i) = A_i$ is a reduced ring.

Assume that $\{U_i\}$ is an affine open cover of X with $U_i = \text{Spec}(A_i)$ where A_i is a reduced ring. At a point $x \in U_i$ corresponding to a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset A_i$, consider the stalk,

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,x} = \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(A_i),\mathfrak{p}} = (A_i)_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

Since A_i is reduced, then $(A_i)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is reduced which implies that X has reduced stalks and thus is reduced. \square

Definition A scheme is *integral* if for each open $U \subset X$ the ring $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is a domain.

Lemma 8.3. If X is integral then X is irreducible.

Proof. If X is not irreducible then there exist non-empty disjoint open sets $U_1, U_2 \subset X$ which implies that $\mathcal{O}_X(U_1 \cup U_2) = \mathcal{O}_X(U_1) \times \mathcal{O}_X(U_2)$ which cannot be an integral domain. Thus X is irreducible. \square

Proposition 8.4. A scheme X is integral iff for every affine open $U \subset X$ with $U = \text{Spec}(A)$ then A is a domain.

Proof. First, X is an irreducible scheme and thus has a unique generic point $\xi \in X$. Then for any affine open $U \subset X$ with $U = \text{Spec}(A)$ then $\mathcal{O}_{X,\xi} = \text{Frac}(A)$ the localization at the unique generic point of $\text{Spec}(A)$ namely (0) which is prime since A is a domain. Thus, $\mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}$ is a field and $\mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}$ is injective. For any open U take an affine open cover U_i . Now consider $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ in the kernel of $\mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}$. Then $f|_{U_i}$ is in the kernel of $\mathcal{O}_X(U_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}$ which is an injective map so $f|_{U_i} = 0$. Since $\{U_i\}$ is an open cover of U , then $f = 0$ so the map $\mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}$ is injective. Since $\mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}$ is a field and $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ embeds inside it then $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is a domain. Thus X is integral. \square

Proposition 8.5. A scheme X is integral if and only if it is reduced and irreducible.

Proof. Let X be an integral scheme then clearly X is reduced (each domain is reduced). We have already shown that X is irreducible.

Conversely, suppose that X is reduced and irreducible. Then for each affine open $U \subset X$ with $U = \text{Spec}(A)$, we have $\mathcal{O}_X(U) = A$ is reduced so $\text{nilrad}(A) = (0)$. Furthermore, since X is irreducible so is $U = \text{Spec}(A)$ which implies that $\text{nilrad}(A)$ is prime. Therefore, (0) is prime in A so A is a domain. Therefore, X is integral.

Alternatively, let $U \subset X$ be an arbitrary open set. Take $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ such that $fg = 0$. Consider the sets,

$$A = \{x \in X \mid f_x \in \mathfrak{m}_x\} \quad B = \{x \in X \mid g_x \in \mathfrak{m}_x\}$$

Since $f_x g_x = (fg)_x = 0$ then $f_x \in \mathfrak{m}_x$ or $g_x \in \mathfrak{m}_x$. Therefore, $X = A \cup B$. Furthermore, A and B are closed but X is irreducible so $A = X$ or $B = X$. Without loss of generality, take $A = X$. Therefore, f is nilpotent on any affine subset so f is zero. Thus X is integral. (DO THESE EXERCISES) \square

8.3 Abstract Varieties

Definition A scheme is reduced if every ring of sections is reduced i.e. has no nilpotents. A scheme is integral if every ring of sections is an integral domain (maybe besides the zero ring).

Definition Let k be a field, a *variety* over k is a separated scheme of finite type over k which is irreducible (as a topological space) and reduced.

Remark. We refer to *abstract varieties* simply as *varieties* from here on. Otherwise we will use the term *classical varieties* to specify the non-scheme object.

Definition If X is a variety then its *function field* is the residue field at the generic point. Equivalently. For any $U = \text{Spec}(A) \subset X$ nonempty open take $\text{Frac}(A)$. We notate this as $k(X)$.

Remark. These definitions are the same since $(0) \in \text{Spec}(A)$ is the generic point then $\mathcal{O}_{X,(0)} = \text{Frac}(A)$ and thus clearly its residue field is itself because it is a field (so its maximal ideal is (0)).

Definition If X is a scheme then $\dim X$ is the Krull dimension of the topological space X i.e. the supremum of the length of chains of irreducible closed subsets.

Proposition 8.6. Let X be a variety over k then $\dim X = \text{trdeg}_k(k(X))$.

Proposition 8.7. If X is sober with an open cover U_i then $\dim X = \sup \dim U_i$.

Definition A variety X is rational over k iff $k(X)$ is a purely transcendental extension of k .

Proposition 8.8. Let k be algebraically closed and X a variety over k . Then $p \in X$ is closed iff $\kappa(p) = k$.

Proof. Let $p \in V \subset X$ be an affine open with $V \cong \text{Spec}(A)$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{X,p} = \mathcal{O}_{V,p} = A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ where $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$ is the prime corresponding to p . Now the residue field at p is,

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,p}/\mathfrak{m}_p = A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} = S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}(A/\mathfrak{p})$$

by the exactness of localizations. Furthermore, since X is a scheme of finite type over k there is a map $\text{Spec}(A) \rightarrow X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ giving a map $k \rightarrow A$ making A a finitely generated k -algebra. Now p is closed iff \mathfrak{p} is maximal i.e. A/\mathfrak{p} is a field so $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is invertible in A/\mathfrak{p} making $\kappa(p) \cong A/\mathfrak{p}$. Therefore $k(p)$ is a finitely generated k -algebra and a field extension of k . Therefore, by Hilbert's Nullstellensatz, $\kappa(p)$ is a finite algebraic extension of k . In particular, since we assume that k is algebraically closed, $k = k(p)$. Conversely if $\kappa(p) = k$, since k is a field mapping nontrivially into a ring and A/\mathfrak{p} is a domain, we have injections,

$$k \hookrightarrow A/\mathfrak{p} \hookrightarrow S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}(A/\mathfrak{p}) = k(p)$$

Thus, if $k(p) = k$ as k -algebras then the tower of inclusions collapses to $k = A/\mathfrak{p} = k(p)$ since the unique k -algebra map $k \rightarrow k(p)$ is surjective. Therefore, $A/\mathfrak{p} = \kappa(p)$ is a field and thus \mathfrak{p} is maximal and thus p is closed in every U and thus closed in X . \square

Proposition 8.9. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of schemes over k . If $\kappa(x) = k$ then $\kappa(f(x)) = k$.

Proof. Consider the morphisms of schemes,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \text{Spec}(k) & \end{array}$$

which, on stalks, induces morphisms of rings,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)} & \xrightarrow{f^{\#}} & \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \\ & \nwarrow & \nearrow \\ & k & \end{array}$$

making $f^\# : \mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ a morphism of k -algebras. Furthermore, this map is local and thus factoring through a morphism $f^\# : \mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)}/\mathfrak{m}_{f(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x$ of k -algebras. Therefore, we have an inclusion of fields,

$$k \hookrightarrow \kappa(f(x)) \hookrightarrow \kappa(x)$$

which implies that if $\kappa(x) = k$ as k -algebras then the unique map $k \rightarrow \kappa(x)$ must be surjective which factors injectively through $k \rightarrow \kappa(f(x)) \rightarrow \kappa(x)$ implying that the tower collapses to give $k = \kappa(f(x)) = \kappa(x)$ as k -algebras. \square

Corollary 8.10. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of varieties over an algebraically closed field. Then the image of a closed point is a closed point.

8.4 Curves

Need to consider nonsingular curves. Take k algebraically closed and $X = \text{Spec}(A)$ is an affine curve.

8.5 Smoothness

Definition Let X be an affine variety then,

$$X = \text{Spec}(A) \quad A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_n)$$

such that (f_1, \dots, f_n) is prime. Let $d = \dim X$. The singular locus of X is the closed subset of X cut out by the $(n-d) \times (n-d)$ minors of the Jacobian $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}$.

Example 8.11. Let $X = V(y^2 - x^3)$ then the jacobian is $(2y, 3x^2)$. Thus, $\text{Sing}(X) = V(y^2 - x^3, 2y, 3x^2) = \{(x, y)\}$.

Proposition 8.12. Let X be a variety over an algebraically closed field k . If $x \in X$ is in the smooth locus $X \setminus \text{Sing}(X)$ iff $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is a regular local ring.

Theorem 8.13. Let X be a curve over an algebraically closed field k . If $x \in X$ is a closed point then TFAE,

- (a). x is a smooth point
- (b). $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is regular
- (c). $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is regular of dimension 1
- (d). \mathfrak{m}_x is principal
- (e). $\dim_{k(X)}(\mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2) = 1$
- (f). $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is a DVR
- (g). $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is a normal domain

- (h). $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ has finite global dimension
- (i). $k(X)$ has finite projective dimension.

Definition Let X be a curve. $\text{Div}(X)$ is the free abelian group on closed points of X .

Proposition 8.14. If X is a smooth curve over an algebraically closed k . Then define $k(X)^\times \rightarrow \text{Div}(X)$ given by,

$$f \mapsto \text{div}(f) = \sum_{x \in X} v_x(f) \cdot [x]$$

where v_x is the discrete valuation on $k(X) = \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$ using the fact that $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is a DVR. Then, $\text{Cl}(X)$ is the cokernel of this map.

9 Feb. 19

Lemma 9.1. Any finite dimensional k -algebra domain is a field.

Proof. We can embed $A \subset \text{Frac}(A)$ which is a finite field extension of k . The map $k \rightarrow A$ makes A a finite dimensional k -vectorspace. For any nonzero $a \in A$ the k -linear map $T_a : A \rightarrow A$ given by $x \mapsto ax$ is injective since A is a domain. Therefore, by rank-nullity, $\dim_K A = \dim \ker T_a + \dim \text{Im}(T_a)$ but $\ker T_a = 0$ and thus T_a is surjective. Therefore there exists $x \in A$ such that $ax = 1$. Therefore A is a field. \square

Lemma 9.2. Let k be a field and $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ a morphism of k -algebras of finite type then $\mathfrak{m} \subset B$ maximal implies that $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}) \subset A$ is maximal.

Proof. B/\mathfrak{m} is a finitely-generated k -algebra and a field extension of k . By Hilbert's Nullstellensatz B/\mathfrak{m} is a finite extension of k so the inclusion $A/\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}) \subset B/\mathfrak{m}$ implies that the domain $A/\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$ is a finite-dimensional k -algebra. Therefore $A/\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$ is a field so $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$ is maximal. \square

Lemma 9.3. Let k be a field and $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ a morphism of k -algebras of finite type then the induced map $\varphi^* : \text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ is surjective iff the induced map (see previous lemma) $\varphi^* : \text{mSpec}(B) \rightarrow \text{mSpec}(A)$ is surjective.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Spec}(A)$ be closed and $\mathfrak{m} \in \varphi^*(\mathfrak{p})$. Then $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{m}'$ for some maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}' \subset B$. Then $\varphi^*(\mathfrak{m}') \supset \varphi^*(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{m}$ so $\varphi^*(\mathfrak{m}') = \mathfrak{m}$ so \mathfrak{m} is in the image of a maximal ideal.

Conversely, suppose that $\varphi^* : \text{mSpec}(B) \rightarrow \text{mSpec}(A)$ is surjective. Take any point $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$ then $Z = \overline{\{\mathfrak{p}\}}$ is an irreducible closed subset of $\text{mSpec}(A)$. \square

Proposition 9.4. Let X be a scheme locally of finite type over a field k then $x \in X$ is closed if and only if $\text{yy}\kappa(x)$ is a finite extension of k .

Proof. Let $x \in U \subset X$ be an affine open with $U \cong \operatorname{Spec}(A)$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} = \mathcal{O}_{U,x} = A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ where $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ is the prime corresponding to x . Now the residue field at x is,

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x = A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} = S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}(A/\mathfrak{p})$$

by the exactness of localizations. Furthermore, since X is a scheme of finite type over k there is a map $\operatorname{Spec}(A) \rightarrow X \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(k)$ giving a map $k \rightarrow A$ making A a finitely generated k -algebra.

If $x \in X$ is closed then \mathfrak{p} is closed in U and thus maximal i.e. A/\mathfrak{p} is a field. In this case, $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is invertible in A/\mathfrak{p} making $\kappa(p) \cong A/\mathfrak{p}$. Therefore $\kappa(p)$ is a finitely generated k -algebra and a field extension of k . Therefore, by Hilbert's Nullstellensatz, $\kappa(p)$ is a finite algebraic extension of k .

Conversely if $\kappa(x)$ is a finite extension of k then on each affine open $x \in U$ the corresponding prime \mathfrak{p} gives a domain A/\mathfrak{p} and thus inclusions

$$k \hookrightarrow A/\mathfrak{p} \hookrightarrow S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}(A/\mathfrak{p}) = \kappa(p)$$

showing that A/\mathfrak{p} is a finite-dimensional k -vectorspace domain and thus, by the lemma, a field. Therefore \mathfrak{p} is maximal and thus closed in U . Therefore we have shown that x is closed in every affine open neighborhood. Therefore there exists a closed $C \subset X$ such that $C \cap U = \{x\}$ and thus

$$U^C \cup \{x\} = (U \setminus \{x\})^C = (C^C \cap U)^C = C \cup U^C$$

is closed. Now let $\{U_{\alpha}\}$ be an affine cover of X . If $x \in U_{\alpha}$ then we have shown that $U_{\alpha}^C \cup \{x\}$ is closed otherwise $x \in U_{\alpha}^C$ so $U_{\alpha}^C \cup \{x\}$ is closed. Therefore, using the fact that U_{α} cover X , the set

$$\bigcap_{\alpha} U_{\alpha}^C \cup \{x\} = \left(\bigcap_{\alpha} U_{\alpha} \right) \cup \{x\} = \emptyset \cup \{x\} = \{x\}$$

is closed. □

Corollary 9.5. If X is a scheme of finite type over an algebraically closed field k then $x \in X$ is closed $\iff \kappa(x) = k$.

Proposition 9.6. If X is a scheme of finite type over k then any closed point in an affine open is a closed point of X .

Proof. Suppose that there exists some affine open neighborhood $x \in U \subset X$ such that $x = \mathfrak{m}$ is closed in U i.e. x corresponds to some maximal prime \mathfrak{m} . Then $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} = \mathcal{O}_{U,\mathfrak{m}} = \kappa(x)$ is a finite extension of k and therefore x is closed. □

Proposition 9.7. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of schemes. Then $\kappa(f(x)) \hookrightarrow \kappa(x)$.

Proof. The ring map $f^{\#} : \mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is local and thus factoring through a morphism $f^{\#} : \mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)}/\mathfrak{m}_{f(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x$. Therefore, we have a ring map of fields which is automatically an embedding,

$$\kappa(f(x)) \hookrightarrow \kappa(x)$$

□

Proposition 9.8. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of schemes over k . If $\kappa(x)$ is a finite extension of k then $\kappa(f(x))$ is a finite extension of k .

Proof. Consider the morphisms of schemes,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \text{Spec}(k) & \end{array}$$

which, on stalks, induces morphisms of rings,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)} & \xrightarrow{f^\#} & \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & k & \end{array}$$

making $f^\# : \mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ a morphism of k -algebras. Furthermore, this map is local and thus factoring through a morphism $f^\# : \mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)}/\mathfrak{m}_{f(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x$ of k -algebras. Therefore, we have an inclusion of fields,

$$k \hookrightarrow \kappa(f(x)) \hookrightarrow \kappa(x)$$

which implies that $\kappa(f(x))$ includes into $\kappa(x)$ as a morphism of k -algebras so if $\kappa(x)$ is a finite extension of k then $\kappa(f(x))$ is a finite extension of k . □

Corollary 9.9. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of locally finite type schemes over k . Then the image of a closed point under f is a closed point.

Proof. A point $x \in X$ is closed iff $\kappa(x)$ is a finite extension of k and likewise for $y \in Y$. Then we get an inclusion of fields,

$$k \hookrightarrow \kappa(f(x)) \hookrightarrow \kappa(x)$$

However, k is obviously Noetherian so if $x \in X$ is closed then $\kappa(x)$ is a finite k -module so $\kappa(f(x)) \hookrightarrow \kappa(x)$ is a finite k -module and thus is finite. Therefore, $f(x)$ is closed. □

Remark. This is not true for arbitrary schemes even for nice (flat, finite type) maps. For example, $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ takes the closed point $(0) \in \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q})$ to the generic point $(0) \in \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ which is not closed.

Corollary 9.10. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of locally finite type schemes over k . Then, $\kappa(x) = k \implies \kappa(f(x)) = k$.

Proof. If $\kappa(x) = k$ as k -algebras then the unique map $k \rightarrow \kappa(x)$ must be surjective which factors injectively though,

$$k \hookrightarrow \kappa(f(x)) \hookrightarrow \kappa(x)$$

implying that the tower collapses to give $k = \kappa(f(x)) = \kappa(x)$ as k -algebras. \square

Proposition 9.11. If X is a scheme of finite type over k then the map $\delta : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $x \mapsto \text{trdeg}_k(\kappa(x))$ satisfies,

- (a). $\dim \overline{\{x\}} = \delta(x)$
- (b). x is closed $\iff \delta(x) = 0$
- (c). if $x \rightsquigarrow y$ and $x \neq y$ then $\delta(x) > \delta(y)$
- (d). if $x \rightsquigarrow y$ and $x \neq y$ but there is no $x \rightsquigarrow z \rightsquigarrow y$ with $z \neq x$ and $z \neq y$ then $\delta(x) = \delta(y) + 1$.

Example 9.12. Take $A \rightarrow B$ with $B = A[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_c)$. Define the element,

$$g = \det \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} \Big|_{1 \leq i, j \leq c} \right)$$

If g maps to an invertible element of B , then $A \rightarrow B$ is a smooth ring map.

10 Misc

Definition A topological space is T_0 if for each pair of distinct points there is a neighborhood of one that does not contain the other.

Proposition 10.1. All schemes are T_0 .

Proof. Let X be a scheme and $x, y \in X$ distinct points. If x and y lie in different affine opens then this is an open separation. If x, y lie in the same affine open $U = \text{Spec}(A)$ then they correspond to distinct prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q} \subset A$. Since $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{q}$ there exists some element of one that is not in the other. Without loss of generality suppose that there is some $f \in \mathfrak{p}$ with $f \notin \mathfrak{q}$. Thus, $\mathfrak{q} \in D(f)$ and $\mathfrak{p} \notin D(f)$ so x and y are separated by some open $D(f) \subset U \subset X$. \square

Definition A *generic point* $\xi \in Z$ of a closed irreducible set Z is such that $\overline{\{\xi\}} = Z$.

Proposition 10.2. Let X be a topological space and $\xi \in X$ then $\overline{\{\xi\}}$ is a closed irreducible set with generic point ξ .

Proof. Clearly, $\{\xi\}$ is closed. Suppose that $\overline{\{\xi\}} \subset Z_1 \cup Z_2$ then $\xi \in Z_1$ or $\xi \in Z_2$ and thus $\overline{\{\xi\}} \subset Z_1$ or $\overline{\{\xi\}} \subset Z_2$ so $\overline{\{\xi\}}$ is irreducible. Clearly, ξ is a generic point of $\overline{\{\xi\}}$. \square

Definition A topological space is *sober* if every irreducible closed set has a unique generic point.

Proposition 10.3. Any Hausdorff space is sober.

Proof. Let Z be irreducible and closed. Suppose that Z has more than one point. Take distinct $x, y \in Z$ and, using the Hausdorff property, open sets U and V such that $U \cap V = \emptyset$. Now consider $Z_1 = Z \cap U^c$ and $Z_2 = Z \cap V^c$ which are closed in Z proper because $x \notin Z_1$ and $y \notin Z_2$. Furthermore, $Z_1 \cup Z_2 = Z \cap (U^c \cup V^c) = Z \cap (U \cap V)^c = Z$ so Z cannot be irreducible. Thus, the only irreducible sets are points which clearly have a unique generic point because all points in a T_2 space are closed. \square

Lemma 10.4. Any prime $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$ in an affine scheme satisfies $\overline{\{\mathfrak{p}\}} = V(\mathfrak{p})$.

Proof. Any closed set in $\text{Spec}(A)$ is of the form $V(I)$ for some ideal $I \subset A$. Consider the closed sets $V(I)$ containing \mathfrak{p} which correspond to $\mathfrak{p} \supset I$. Clearly, $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{p})$ and if $\mathfrak{p} \in V(I)$ then $V(\mathfrak{p}) \subset V(I)$ since $\mathfrak{p} \supset I$. Therefore $V(\mathfrak{p})$ is the closure of \mathfrak{p} . \square

Lemma 10.5. Every closed irreducible set of an affine scheme $\text{Spec}(A)$ is of the form $V(\mathfrak{p})$ for some prime $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$.

Proof. First, all closed subsets of $\text{Spec}(A)$ are of the form $V(I)$. First, if $I = \mathfrak{p}$ is prime and $V(\mathfrak{p}) \subset V(I_1) \cup V(I_2) = V(I_1 I_2)$ then $\mathfrak{p} \supset I_1 I_2$. However, since \mathfrak{p} is prime we have either $\mathfrak{p} \supset I_1$ or $\mathfrak{p} \supset I_2$ so $V(\mathfrak{p}) \subset V(I_1)$ or $V(\mathfrak{p}) \subset V(I_2)$ proving that $V(\mathfrak{p})$ is irreducible. Conversely, if $V(I)$ is irreducible then take $x, y \in A$ such that $xy \in \sqrt{I}$ and thus,

$$\sqrt{(xy)} \subset \sqrt{I} \implies V(I) \subset V((xy)) = V((x)) \cup V((y))$$

Since $V(I)$ is irreducible we must have either $V(I) \subset V((x))$ or $V(I) \subset V((y))$ which implies that $\sqrt{(x)} \subset \sqrt{I}$ or $\sqrt{(y)} \subset \sqrt{I}$. Therefore, $x \in \sqrt{I}$ or $y \in \sqrt{I}$ so \sqrt{I} is prime and $V(I) = V(\sqrt{I})$. \square

Proposition 10.6. Any scheme is sober.

Proof. First consider the affine case $X = \text{Spec}(A)$. Any irreducible closed set in X is of the form $V(\mathfrak{p})$ for some prime $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$. Thus $\overline{\{\mathfrak{p}\}} = V(\mathfrak{p})$ is the unique generic point. Now let X be any scheme and $Z \subset X$ a closed irreducible subset. X has a cover by affine opens so take some affine open U which intersects Z . Since U is an affine scheme and $U \cap Z$ is a closed irreducible subset of U there exists a unique generic point $\xi \in U \cap Z$. Because Z is closed in X we then have $Z \cap U \subset \overline{\{\xi\}} \subset Z$. However, $Z \cap U$ is open in Z and $\overline{\{\xi\}}$ is closed in Z , an irreducible, which implies that either $U \cap Z$ is empty (which is false by assumption) or $\overline{\{\xi\}} = Z$. Thus Z has a generic point ξ . Suppose that $\xi, \xi' \in Z$ were both generic points then both must be limit points of each other and thus have exactly the same open neighborhoods contradicting the fact that $Z \subset X$ is T_0 . \square

11 Feb. 21

Theorem 11.1. Let k be an algebraically closed field and X a nonsingular curve over k . Then there is a canonical map $c_1 : \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(X)$ called the first Chern

class from the Picard group to the Weil divisor class group which is an isomorphism of abelian groups.

Definition Let X be a variety and $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$ then a *meromorphic section* or *rational section* of L is an element $s \in L_\eta$ which is the stalk at the generic point of X . Note that L_η is a 1-dimensional $k(X) = \mathcal{O}_{X,\eta}$ vectorspace.

Definition If s, s' are two nonzero rational sections of L then $s' = sf$ for some unique $f \in k(X)^\times$. Therefore define,

$$\text{div}(\cdot)L(s) = \sum_{p \in X} \text{ord}_{L,p}(s)[p]$$

Now $\mathcal{O}_{X,p} \subset \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_{X,p}) = k(X) = \mathcal{O}_{X,\eta}$. In general if $x \rightsquigarrow x'$ then there is a map $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x'}$. Therefore, L_p is a (noncanonically) free rank 1 $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ -module. Take $L_p = \mathcal{O}_{X,p} \cdot e_p$ where $e_p \in L_p$ is a basis element. Now,

$$L_\eta = L_p \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,p}} \mathcal{O}_{X,\eta} = L_p \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,p}} k(X)$$

Therefore we can write $s = f_p e_p$ for $f_p \in k(X)^\times$ unique. Then define $\text{ord}_{L,p}(s) = \text{ord}_p(f_p)$.

Lemma 11.2. IF s' is a second nonzero rational section of L then,

$$\text{div}(\cdot)L(s') = \text{div}(\cdot)L(f') + \text{div}(\cdot)L(s)$$

where $f \in k(X)^\times$ is unique such that $s' = fs$.

Proof. Let L be an invertible \mathcal{O}_X module. Then set $c_1(L)$ to be the divisor class of $\text{div}(\cdot)L(s)$ where s is a nonzero meromorphic section of L . Consider $L \otimes L'$ and $s \in L_\eta$ and $s' \in L'_\eta$. Then $s \otimes s' \in L \otimes L'$ is a meromorphic section. Computing its divisor gives $\text{div}(L)(s) + \text{div}(L')(s')$. \square

Example 11.3. Let $X = \mathbb{P}_k^1$. Let $o \in X$ be $[0 : 1]$ let I be the ideal sheaf of $o \subset \mathcal{O}_X(X)$.

Lemma 11.4. If $I \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ is the ideal sheaf of a closed point $p \in X$ then $c_1(I) = -[p]$. Therefore, c_1 is surjective.

Lemma 11.5.

Proof. Suppose that $c_1(L) = 0$ in $\text{Cl}(X)$. We know that $\text{div}(\cdot)L(s) = \text{div}(\cdot)f$ for some $f \in k(X)^\times$ for any meromorphic section $s \in L_\eta$. Then $\text{div}(\cdot)L(f^{-1}s) = 0$ which implies that $f^{-1}s$ is nonvanishing at closed points. Thus $f^{-1}s = u_p e_p$ where $e_p \in L_p$ and $u_p \in \mathcal{O}_{X,p}^\times$ at each closed point p . Therefore, $f^{-1}s$ is a section of L on some open neighborhood of each closed point of p . Therefore by gluing, $f^{-1}s \in \Gamma(X, L)$ and nonvanishing so $L \cong \mathcal{O}_X$. \square

Example 11.6. For $k = \bar{k}$ we have $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}_k^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. We can compute $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{P}_k^1)$. We have $k(\mathbb{P}_k^1) = k(x)$ thus any rational function can be written as,

$$f = u \frac{(x - \alpha_1) \cdots (x - \alpha_r)}{(t - \beta_1) \cdots (t - \beta_s)}$$

therefore,

$$\text{div}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^r [\alpha_i] - \sum_{i=1}^s [\beta_i] + (s - r)[\infty]$$

Define the map,

$$\text{deg} : \text{Div}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad D = \sum_{i=1}^r n_i [p_i] \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^r n_i$$

Therefore, for any $f \in k(X)$ we know $\text{deg div}(f) = 0$ so this map descends to $\text{deg} : \text{Cl}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. This map is clearly surjective. Furthermore, since,

$$[\alpha] - [\beta] = \text{div}\left(\frac{x - \alpha}{x - \beta}\right) \quad [\alpha] - [\infty] = \text{div}((x - \alpha))$$

this map is also injective.

Definition A variety X over k is *projective* if there exists some n and a closed immersion $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^n$.

Proposition 11.7. Let X be a smooth projective curve. The the degree of the divisor of a nonzero rational function is zero.

12 Feb. 26

Definition An \mathcal{O}_X -module is finite type if it is locally finitely generated. For coherent modules this is equivalent to being \widetilde{M} for some finite type module on each affine open.

Proposition 12.1. Let X be a reduced scheme and \mathcal{F} a finite type quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module. If there exists r such that $\forall x \in X : \dim_{k(x)} \mathcal{F}_x / \mathfrak{m}_x \mathcal{F}_x = r$ then \mathcal{F} is finite locally free of rank r .

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and $s_1, \dots, s_r \in \mathcal{F}_x$ which map to a basis of,

$$\mathcal{F}_x / \mathfrak{m}_x \mathcal{F}_x = \mathcal{F}_x \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}} k(x)$$

the fiber of \mathcal{F} at x . There exists a “small” open $U \subset X$ s.t. $s_1, \dots, s_r \in \mathcal{F}(U)$. By a Nakayama type argument, the fact that \mathcal{F} has finite type and that s_1, \dots, s_n generate $\mathcal{F}_x / \mathfrak{m}_x \mathcal{F}_x$ implies that s_1, \dots, s_n generated $\mathcal{F}|_U$ after shrinking U . Therefore we have a surjective morphism of sheaves,

$$\mathcal{O}_U^{\otimes r} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}|_U$$

To show that this is injective, say $(f_1, \dots, f_r) \mapsto 0$ then, since U is reduced, if $f_i \neq 0$ for some i then the image of f_i in the residue field at some point $x' \in U$ is nonzero. Then the map,

$$k(x')^{\otimes r} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{x'}/\mathfrak{m}_{x'}\mathcal{F}_{x'}$$

has some kernel. This contradicts the fact that dimension of the fiber is required to be constant. \square

Lemma 12.2. A ring A is reduced iff,

$$A \subset \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} k(\mathfrak{p})$$

13 Cohomology

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be abelian categories and $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be an additive functor.

Theorem 13.1. $\mathbf{Ab}(X)$ and $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ have enough injectives.

Lemma 13.2. If \mathcal{A} has enough injectives then for each short exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow M_1 \longrightarrow M_2 \longrightarrow M_3 \longrightarrow 0$$

There is a short exact sequence of injective resolutions such that,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M_1 & \longrightarrow & M_2 & \longrightarrow & M_3 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{I}_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{I}_2 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{I}_3 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

commutes. Furthermore, each row of injectives is split because there are exact sequences of injectives.

Corollary 13.3. Applying the additive functor F to the above short exact sequence of injective resolution, we get a short exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow F(\mathbf{I}_1) \longrightarrow F(\mathbf{I}_2) \longrightarrow F(\mathbf{I}_3) \longrightarrow 0$$

of chain complexes which remains exact after applying F because additive functors preserve split exactness.

Definition If X is a topological space, an abelian sheaf \mathcal{F} is called *flasque* or *flabby* iff $\forall U \subset V \subset X$ open then $\mathcal{F}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U)$ is a surjection.

Lemma 13.4. If \mathcal{F} is flasque then \mathcal{F} is $\Gamma(X, -)$ -acyclic.

13.1 Godement Resolution

Suppose A_x is an abelian group for each $x \in X$ then consider

$$U \mapsto \prod_{x \in U} A_x$$

This is a flasque sheaf equivalent to,

$$\prod_{x \in X} (\iota_x)_*(A_x)$$

where $\iota_x : * \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion at x . The Godement resolution is acquired by mapping \mathcal{F} into this construction where $A_x = \mathcal{F}_x$ i.e. we have,

$$\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \prod_{x \in U} \mathcal{F}_x$$

Theorem 13.5 (Existence of Injectives). For each $x \in X$ choose $\mathcal{F}_x \hookrightarrow I_x$ into some injective abelian group. Then $(\iota_x)_*(I_x)$ is an injective object of $\mathbf{Ab}(X)$. Finally, products of injectives are injectives so,

$$\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \prod_{x \in X} (\iota_x)_*(I_x)$$

is an injective map into an injective.

Proposition 13.6. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous map of topological space and \mathcal{I} is an injective sheaf on X then $f_*\mathcal{I}$ is an injective sheaf on Y .

Proof. Consider the diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & f_*\mathcal{I} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \\ \mathcal{G} & & \end{array}$$

the dashed arrow exists by adjunction to the diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^{-1}\mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \\ f^{-1}\mathcal{G} & & \end{array}$$

and the dashed arrow exists because f^{-1} is exact and \mathcal{I} is injective. □

Theorem 13.7. If \mathcal{J} is an injective of $\mathbf{Ab}(X)$ then for any open $U \subset X$ then the restriction $\mathcal{J}|_U$ is also. □

Proof. Use the fact that $(-)|_U$ is a right-adjoint to $j_!$ which is exact. □

Corollary 13.8. If we have an injective resolution,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}$$

then

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}|_U \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}|_U$$

is also an injective resolution. This gives a map,

$$H^q(X, \mathcal{F}) = H^q(\Gamma(X, \mathbf{I})) \xrightarrow{\text{res}_U} H^q(\Gamma(U, \mathbf{I}|_U)) = H^q(U, \mathcal{F}|_U)$$

via the maps $\text{res}_{X,U} : \mathcal{I}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(U)$.

Theorem 13.9 (Locality of Cohomology). Given $\xi \in H^q(X, \mathcal{F})$ then $q > 0$ then there exists an open cover \mathcal{U} such that $\xi|_U = 0$ for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$.

Proof. The cohomology class ξ is represented by some $\sigma \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}^q)$ which is a chochain i.e. $d\sigma = 0$ in the complex,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{I}^{q-1}(X) & \xrightarrow{d} & \mathcal{I}^q(X) & \xrightarrow{d} & \mathcal{I}^{q+1}(X) \\ \downarrow \text{res} & & \downarrow \text{res} & & \downarrow \text{res} \\ \mathcal{I}^{q-1}(U) & \xrightarrow{d} & \mathcal{I}^q(U) & \xrightarrow{d} & \mathcal{I}^{q+1}(U) \end{array}$$

Since $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ is a resolution it is an exact sequence of sheaves and therefore since $\sigma \in \ker d^q$ then σ is in the image of d^{q-1} as sheaves so locally it is in the image as abelian groups. \square

Definition Let \mathcal{U} be an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ with I totally ordered of X then define the Čech complex $\check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ given by,

$$\prod_{i_0 \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_{i_0}) \longrightarrow \prod_{i_0 < i_1} \mathcal{F}(U_{i_0} \cap U_{i_1}) \longrightarrow \prod_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2} \mathcal{F}(U_{i_0} \cap U_{i_1} \cap U_{i_2}) \longrightarrow \dots$$

with the Čech boundary map given by,

$$d(s)_{i_0, \dots, i_p} = \sum_{j=0}^{p+1} (-1)^j$$

Theorem 13.10.

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{F}) = \check{H}^1(X, \mathcal{F})$$

14 Feb. 5

Definition Let X be a Noetherian scheme then a \mathcal{O}_X -module is *coherent* if it is quasi-coherent and locally of finite type.

Theorem 14.1. If X is a proper variety over a field k and \mathcal{F} is a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module then $H^r(X, \mathcal{F})$ is a finite dimensional k vectorspace for each r .

Lemma 14.2. Any proper birational morphism is surjective.

Proof. □

Lemma 14.3 (Chow). If X is a proper variety over k then there exists a projective variety X' over k and a proper birational morphism $X' \rightarrow X$.

Remark. First we prove finiteness for “projective” varieties then use Chow’s lemma to deduce the theorem for proper varieties.

Lemma 14.4. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism then f^* and f_* are inverse functors between $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ and $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}$.

Proof. □

Lemma 14.5. The support of a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module is closed.

Proof. Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module. There exists an open affine cover $U_i = \text{Spec}(A_i)$ on which $\mathcal{F} \cong \tilde{M}_i$ for some finitely generated A_i -module M_i . Then,

$$\text{Supp}_{A_i}(M_i) = V(\text{Ann}_{A_i}(M_i))$$

is a closed set. Therefore,

$$\text{Supp}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}) \cap U_i = \{\mathfrak{p} \subset A_i \mid \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong M_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0\} = \text{Supp}_{A_i}(M_i)$$

is closed in U_i . Thus, $\text{Supp}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F})^C \cap U_i = V_i \cap U_i$ where V_i is some open set. Then,

$$\text{Supp}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F})^C = \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \text{Supp}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F})^C \cap U_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i \cap U_i$$

is open in X so $\text{Supp}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F})$ is closed. □

Lemma 14.6. Proper morphisms from projective varieties are projective.

Definition Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of schemes. Then $R^q f_*$ are the right-derived functors of $f_* : \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}$.

Proposition 14.7. If \mathcal{F} is an \mathcal{O}_X -module and \mathcal{F}_{ab} denotes the underlying sheaf of abelian groups, then,

$$(R^q f_*(\mathcal{F}))_{\text{ab}} = R^q f_*(\mathcal{F}_{\text{ab}})$$

for all $q \geq 0$ and $H^q(X, \mathcal{F})_{\text{ab}} = H^q(X, \mathcal{F}_{\text{ab}})$.

Theorem 14.8. The sheaf $R^q f_* \mathcal{F}$ is the sheaf associated to the presheaf,

$$V \mapsto H^q(f^{-1}(V), \mathcal{F}|_{f^{-1}(V)})$$

Proof. □

Lemma 14.9. If X is an affine scheme and \mathcal{F} quasi-coherent on X then, $H^q(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $q > 0$. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an affine morphism, then $R^q f_* \mathcal{F} = 0$ for $q > 0$.

Proof. USE ABOVE □

Theorem 14.10 (Part I). Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a locally projective morphism where S is a Noetherian scheme then $R^q f_*$ takes coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules to coherent \mathcal{O}_S -modules.

Definition A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is *locally projective* if there exists an affine cover $U_i = \text{Spec}(A_i)$ of Y such that $f|_{f^{-1}(U_i)} : f^{-1}(U_i) \rightarrow U_i$ factors through a closed immersion into $\mathbb{P}_{A_i}^n$ for some n .

Proof. We may assume that $S = \text{Spec}(A)$ is affine since the morphism is locally projective. In this case, we may assume that X is a closed subscheme of \mathbb{P}_S^n for some n . We have the diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathbb{P}_A^n \\ & \searrow f & \swarrow p \\ & S = \text{Spec}(A) & \end{array}$$

Relative Leray spectral sequence gives,

$$E_2^{kl} = R^k p_* R^l \iota_* \mathcal{F} \implies R^{k+l} f_* \mathcal{F}$$

Since ι is a closed immersion, it is affine and thus, by the lemma, $R^l \iota_* \mathcal{F}$ is zero unless $l = 0$. Therefore,

$$R^q f_*(\mathcal{F}) = R^q p_*(\iota_* \mathcal{F})$$

which is coherent since ι is a closed immersion. Thus we have to show that if \mathcal{F} is a coherent module on \mathbb{P}_A^n then $R^q(\mathbb{P}_A^n \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A))_* \mathcal{F}$ is coherent for all $q \geq 0$. Also, $H^q(\mathbb{P}_A^n, \mathcal{F})$ is a finite A -module.

Proposition 14.11.

$$R^q(\mathbb{P}_A^n \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A))_* \mathcal{F} = \widetilde{H^q(\mathbb{P}_A^n, \mathcal{F})}$$

Given the above, we simply need to prove that $H^q(\mathbb{P}_A^n, \mathcal{F})$ is a finite A -module for any coherent \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{P}_A^n . □

Example 14.12. Let's try to compute $H^q(\mathbb{P}_A^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_A^1}(n))$. We may write,

$$\mathbb{P}_A^1 = \text{Spec}(A[x]) \coprod_{\text{Spec}(A[x, x^{-1}])} \text{Spec}(A[x^{-1}]) = U \coprod_{U \cap V} V$$

Then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_A^1}(n)$ has a local trivialization e on U and f on V i.e. $\text{struct} \mathbb{P}_A^1(n)|_U = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_A^1}|_U \cdot e$ and on the overlap $e = x^{-n} f$. Now applying Mayer-Vietoris gives,

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}_A^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_A^1}(n))A[x] \cdot e \oplus A[x^{-1}] \cdot f \longrightarrow A[x, x^{-1}] \cdot \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}_A^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_A^1}(n)) \longrightarrow 0$$

because the higher cohomology of an affine open vanishes. The map sends $P(x) \oplus Q(x^{-1}) \mapsto x^{-1}P(x) = Q(x^{-1})$.

Remark. Locality of cohomology says that if $V \subset S$ is open then,

$$(R^q f_* \mathcal{F})|_V = R^q(f|_{f^{-1}(V)})_*(\mathcal{F}|_{f^{-1}(V)})$$

Lemma 14.13. If $\iota : X \rightarrow Y$ is a closed immersion then ι is affine and ι_* takes coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules to coherent \mathcal{O}_Y -modules.

Proposition 14.14. Let \mathcal{F} be quasi-coherent then,

- (a). $H^q(\mathbb{P}_A^n, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $q > n$
- (b). If \mathcal{F} is coherent on \mathbb{P}_A^n then there exists a surjection,

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_A^n}(m_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_A^n}(m_r) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}$$

for some integers m_1, \dots, m_r .

Theorem 14.15. Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on \mathbb{P}_A^n then $H^q(\mathbb{P}_A^n, \mathcal{F})$ is a finite A -module.

Proof. There exists an exact sequence of sheaves,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{m_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{m_r} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0$$

The long exact sequence of cohomology gives,

$$H^q(\mathbb{P}_A^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_A^n}(m_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_A^n}(m_r)) \longrightarrow H^q(\mathbb{P}_A^n, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow H^{q+1}(\mathbb{P}_A^n, \mathcal{G})$$

The first term is a finite A -module by direct computation and $H^{q+1}(\mathbb{P}_A^n, \mathcal{G})$ is a finite A -module by descending induction on q . The base case holds because $H^q(\mathbb{P}_A^n, \mathcal{F})$ automatically vanishes for $q > n$. \square

15 March 7

Theorem 15.1. Let X be a locally ringed space then,

$$\text{Pic}(X) = H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\times) = \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{U}} \check{H}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{O}_X^\times)$$

Proof. Decompose,

$$\text{Pic}(X) = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{U}} \text{Pic}(\mathfrak{U}, X) \quad \text{where} \quad \text{Pic}(\mathfrak{U}, X) = \{\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X) \mid \forall U \in \mathfrak{U} : \mathcal{L}|_U \cong \mathcal{O}_U\}$$

\square

Definition Let X be a variety over k and \mathcal{L} an invertible sheaf on X . Then \mathcal{L} is *very ample* iff there is a locally closed immersion,

$$X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^n$$

such that $\mathcal{L} \cong \iota^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}(1)$. We say that \mathcal{L} is *ample* iff there exists some $n > 0$ such that $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ is very ample.

Theorem 15.2 (Serre). Let X be a proper variety and \mathcal{L} invertible on X then TFAE,

- (a). \mathcal{L} is ample
- (b). for any any coherent \mathcal{F} on X and any $q \geq 0$ there exists n_0 such that $\forall n \geq n_0$,

$$H^q(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}) = 0$$

Theorem 15.3. Let X be a locally contractible then,

$$H_{\text{sing}}^q(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^q(X, \underline{\mathbb{Z}})$$

15.1 Čech Cohomology and Cohomology

Lemma 15.4. Let X be a topological space and \mathcal{F} an abelian sheaf, If for all open covers \mathfrak{U} of an open $U \subset X$ then we have $\check{H}^i(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $i > 0$, then,

$$H^q(U, \mathcal{F}) = 0$$

for any open $U \subset X$.

Lemma 15.5. If \mathcal{B} is a basis for X s.t. $U, U' \in \mathcal{B}$ then $U \cap U' \in \mathcal{B}$ and any any

Lemma 15.6. If X is an affine scheme and \mathfrak{U} is an affine open cover and \mathcal{F} is quasi-coherent, then $\check{H}^q(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for all $q > 0$.

Proof. In the case where $X = \text{Spec}(A)$ and $\mathcal{F} = \widetilde{M}$ and $f_1, \dots, f_n \in A$ generate the unit ideal, i.e., $D(f_i)$ cover X . Then the Čech complex C^\bullet for this cover is,

$$\prod_{i_0} M_{f_{i_0}} \longrightarrow \prod_{i_0 < i_1} M_{f_{i_0} f_{i_1}} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M_{f_1 \cdots f_n}$$

We need to show that C^\bullet is exact in positive degree. It is a complex of A -modules so it suffices to show exactness of the localization at each prime $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$. Thus we get,

$$\left(\prod_{i_0} M_{f_{i_0}} \right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow \left(\prod_{i_0 < i_1} M_{f_{i_0} f_{i_1}} \right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow (M_{f_1 \cdots f_n})_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

which gives,

$$\prod_{i_0} (M_{\mathfrak{p}})_{f_{i_0}} \longrightarrow \prod_{i_0 < i_1} (M_{\mathfrak{p}})_{f_{i_0} f_{i_1}} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow (M_{\mathfrak{p}})_{f_1 \cdots f_n}$$

This is the Čech complex for $\widetilde{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ on $\mathrm{Spec}(A_{\mathfrak{p}})$ with the respect to the open covering,

$$\mathrm{Spec}(A_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n D(f_{i_0})$$

However, the maximal ideal must lie in some open which is then forced to be the entire space now use the next lemma. \square

Lemma 15.7. If \mathfrak{U} is an open cover of X such that some $U \in \mathfrak{U}$ is X itself then $\check{H}^q(\mathfrak{U}, -) = 0$ for $q \geq 0$.

Proof. I claim that the extended Čech complex,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(X) \longrightarrow \prod_{i_0} \mathcal{F}(U_{i_0}) \longrightarrow \prod_{i_0 < i_1} \mathcal{F}(U_{i_0, i_1}) \longrightarrow \dots$$

is homotopy equivalent to zero. The homotopy is given by projecting onto the open X . \square

16

Definition Let X be a projective curve over an algebraically closed field k . Then the *genus* of X is,

$$g = \dim_k H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

Example 16.1. When k is not algebraically closed, this may not work. For example, take $X = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}(i)}^1$ which is both a variety over \mathbb{Q} and over $\mathbb{Q}(i)$. Consider the base change,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}(i)}^1 \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Q})} \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}(i)}^1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}(i)) \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Q})} \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}(i)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}) \end{array}$$

However, $\mathbb{Q}(i) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ and thus we have,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \amalg \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}(i)}^1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) \amalg \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}(i)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}) \end{array}$$

Therefore, under base change this scheme is no longer a variety. Furthermore, $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}(i)}^1$ is a projective curve over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q})$. To see this, consider the closed immersion $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}(i)) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1$ via the ring map $\mathbb{Q}[t] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(i)$ giving the map $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}(i)) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1$ into an affine open of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}(i)}^1$. Then we find a closed immersion,

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}(i)}^1 = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1 \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q})} \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}(i)) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1 \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q})} \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1 \xrightarrow{\text{segre}} \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^3$$

so $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}(i)}^1$ is a projective curve. However, we have the problem in this case that $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}(i)}^1$ will have different \mathbb{Q} -dimension and $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ -dimension in its cohomology so its genus is not well-defined in the previous sense.

Definition If X is a projective curve over k and $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = k$ then we define the *genus* of X to be,

$$g = \dim_k H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

Lemma 16.2. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ are varieties and X is projective, then any $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is closed.

Proof. □

Proposition 16.3. Any closed subset \mathbb{P}_k^n is cut out by a collection of homogeneous polynomials.

Example 16.4. The genus of \mathbb{P}_k^1 is zero. Consider its map to the curve, $X_0X_1X_2 = X_1^3 + X_2^3$ inside \mathbb{P}_k^2 .

Definition Let A be a graded ring and M a graded module then $M(e)$ is the graded module with $M(e)_n = M_{n+e}$.

Proposition 16.5. Let A be a graded ring. There is an exact functor from the category of graded A -modules to the category of quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj}(A)}$ -modules via $M \mapsto \widetilde{M}$ satisfying,

$$\Gamma(D_+(f), \widetilde{M}) = (M_f)_0$$

17 March 14

Proposition 17.1 (Kunneth). Let X and Y be finite-type separated schemes over k and \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} quasi-coherent on X and Y then given the diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X \times_{\text{Spec}(k)} Y & \\ p \swarrow & & \searrow q \\ X & & Y \end{array}$$

Then we have,

$$H^n(X \times_{\text{Spec}(k)} Y, p^* \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X \times Y}} q^* \mathcal{G}) = \bigoplus_{i+j=n} H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) \otimes_k H^j(Y, \mathcal{G})$$

Example 17.2. Consider, $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X(a, b) = p_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} p_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b)$. Then we find,

$$H^n(X, \mathcal{O}_X(a, b)) = \bigoplus_{i+j=n} H^i(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)) \otimes_k H^j(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b))$$

However, $H^i(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a))$ is only nonzero for $i = 0, 1$

Proposition 17.3. If $C \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is a close subscheme and also a curve then its ideal sheaf \mathcal{I} is invertible and hence of the form $\mathcal{O}_X(a, b)$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have a short exact sequence of sheaves,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(a, b) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \iota^* \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow 0$$

which gives the long exact sequence,

From which we find $H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C) = k$ and $\dim_k H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C) = \dim_k H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X(a, b)) = (a+1)(b+1)$. Such a curve is called a $(-a, -b)$ curve. Such a curve can be expressed as

Example 17.4. Let $Q = 0$ be a quadric and $K = 0$ a cubic in \mathbb{P}^3 and their intersection is C . Suppose we know that C has dimension 1. Then Q and K form a regular sequence in $P = k[X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3]$. Then the Koszul complex on K and Q is exact. Then we find,

$$0 \longrightarrow P(-5) \longrightarrow P(-2) \otimes P(-3) \longrightarrow P/(Q, K) \longrightarrow 0$$

Then applying the functor sending these graded modules to coherent modules we find an exact sequence of sheaves,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-5) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow 0$$

Looking at the dimensions of the cohomology groups we have,

When $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$ is exact sequence of sheaves don't always get a long exact sequence of cohomology since applying sections is not exact. If $H^1(F|_U) = 0$ however, then taking sections should be exact so you should get a cohomology long exact sequence. Is this true? Does this ever happen in practice (it does if F is flasque but then we know $\text{cech}(F)$ vanishes also)? It is useful?

When $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$ is exact sequence of sheaves we almost get a short exact sequence of cohomology complexes just not surjective since taking sections is only left-exact. Is there a spectral sequence or something which corrects the sequence of cohomology complexes by $H^1(F)$ to get something meaningful out of it.

Prove Chevalley's theorem. Having trouble with the exercises.

18 March 28

Proposition 18.1. Let X be a variety over k then $\text{Hom}_{\text{Spec}(k)}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{C}[x]/(x^2)), X)$ is naturally identified with “sections of the tangent bundle of X ” i.e. pairs (x, θ) where x is a closed point of X and θ is a tangent vector at x .

Proof. Denote $k[\epsilon] = k[x]/(x^2)$ then the quotient map,

$$k[\epsilon] \twoheadrightarrow k[\epsilon]/(\epsilon) = k$$

gives a closed immersion,

$$\text{Spec}(k) \hookrightarrow \text{Spec}(k[\epsilon])$$

□

Definition Let $R \rightarrow A$ be a ring map and M an A -module. Then an R -derivation $D : A \rightarrow M$ is an R -linear map satisfying the Leibniz rule,

$$D(ab) = aD(b) + bD(a)$$

Lemma 18.2. There is a universal R -derivation $d : A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/R}^1$ i.e. for any A -module M we have,

$$\text{Hom}_A(\Omega_{A/R}^1, M) = \{D : A \rightarrow M \mid R\text{-derivation}\}$$

via,

$$\varphi \mapsto D = \varphi \circ d$$

Proof. Consider F the free A -module on $S = \{da \mid a \in A\}$ then $\Omega_{A/R}^1$ is the quotient of F over the relations,

- (a). $d(ra) = rda$ for $r \in R$
- (b). $d(a_1 + a_2) = da_1 + da_2$
- (c). $d(a_1a_2) = a_1da_2 + da_1a_2$

□

Example 18.3. Consider $\Omega_{R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/R}^1$. Then we have, R -derivations,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} : R[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

Therefore, this map must factor through $d : R[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow \Omega_{R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/R}^1$. We have,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R[x_1, \dots, x_n] & \xrightarrow{\partial_i} & R[x_1, \dots, x_n] \\ & \searrow d & \nearrow \psi_i \\ & \Omega_{R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/R}^1 & \end{array}$$

Therefore,

$$\psi_i(dx_j) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(x_j) = \delta_{ij}$$

This implies that dx_1, \dots, dx_n in $\Omega_{R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/R}^1$ must be $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ -linearly independent. Furthermore, if $x \in \Omega_{R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/R}^1$ such that $\psi_i(x) = 0$ for each i then $D = \varphi \circ d = 0$ because

Lemma 18.4. Let R be a ring and $A = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$ with $I(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ then there is a presentation,

$$I/I^2 \longrightarrow \bigoplus Adx_i \longrightarrow \Omega_{A/R} \longrightarrow 0$$

under the map,

$$f \mapsto \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i$$

Remark. If $R = k$ is a field and $\mathfrak{m} \subset A$ is a maximal ideal with residue field k then there exists a canonical isomorphism,

$$\Omega_{A/k}^1 \otimes_A k(\mathfrak{m}) \cong \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$$

Definition Let M be an A -module then,

$$\Lambda^*(M) = T^*(M) / \langle m \otimes m \mid m \in M \rangle$$

Definition

$$\Omega_{A/R}^p = \Lambda^p(\Omega_{A/R})$$

Theorem 18.5. The complex $(\Omega_{A/R}^\bullet, d)$ is a differential graded algebra over A where,

$$d(ada_1 \wedge \dots \wedge da_p) = da \wedge da_1 \wedge \dots \wedge da_n$$

Furthermore, the de-Rham cohomology of A/R is the cohomology of this complex,

$$H_{\text{dR}}^p(A/R) = H^p(\Omega_{A/R}^\bullet)$$

Example 18.6. Consider $R = k$ and $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. For characteristic zero,

$$H_{\text{dR}}^i(k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/k) = \begin{cases} k & i = 0 \\ 0 & i > 0 \end{cases}$$

Example 18.7. Let $\mathbb{G}_m = \text{Spec}(k[x, x^{-1}])$ with k characteristic zero. Then,

$$H_{\text{dR}}^1(k[x, x^{-1}]/k) = k \frac{dx}{x}$$

19 Closed Subschemes and Divisors

Definition A closed subscheme Z of a scheme X is an equivalence class of closed immersions $\iota : Z \hookrightarrow X$.

Theorem 19.1. There is a correspondence between closed subschemes Z of X and sheaves of ideals $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ i.e. injections of quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules up to isomorphism,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{J} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$$

Via the correspondence: given $\iota : Z \rightarrow X$ the map of sheaves $\iota^\# : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \iota_*\mathcal{O}_Z$ is surjective and thus fits into an exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{J} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \iota_*\mathcal{O}_Z \longrightarrow 0$$

Conversely, given a sheaf of ideals $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ then let $Z = (\text{Supp}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{J}), \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{J})$.

Proof. First, given a closed subscheme $\iota : Z \rightarrow X$ we need to check that the corresponding ideal sheaf \mathcal{J} generates Z . Since closed immersions are separated and quasi-compact then $\iota_*\mathcal{O}_Z$ is a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module which implies that \mathcal{J} is also quasi-coherent. In this case there is an isomorphism $\iota_*\mathcal{O}_Z \cong \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{J}$. Note that $\iota(Z)$ is closed and thus if $x \notin \iota(Z)$ then any open neighborhood of x contains some $U \subset X \setminus \iota(Z)$ open neighborhood of x on which $(\iota_*\mathcal{O}_Z)(U) = \mathcal{O}_Z(f^{-1}(U)) = \mathcal{O}_Z(\emptyset) = 0$. Thus if $x \notin \iota(Z)$ then $(\iota_*\mathcal{O}_Z)_x = 0$. Furthermore, if $\iota(z) \in \iota(Z)$ then because ι is a homeomorphism onto its image, every open neighborhood of z is of the form $\iota^{-1}(U)$ for some open $U \subset X$ and thus,

$$(\iota_*\mathcal{O}_Z)_{\iota(z)} = \varinjlim_{\iota(z) \in U} \mathcal{O}_Z(\iota^{-1}(U)) = \varinjlim_{z \in V} \mathcal{O}_Z(V) = \mathcal{O}_{Z,z}$$

In particular, if $\iota(z) \in \iota(Z)$ then $(\iota_*\mathcal{O}_Z)_{\iota(z)} = \mathcal{O}_{Z,z} \neq 0$. Therefore we have shown that,

$$x \in \iota(Z) \iff (\iota_*\mathcal{O}_Z)_x \neq 0 \iff x \in \text{Supp}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{J})$$

Thus let $Z' = (\text{Supp}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{J}), \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{J})$ then there is an isomorphism $\iota : Z \rightarrow Z'$ which has $\iota^\# : \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \iota_*\mathcal{O}_X$ which makes the diagram commute,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & X \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id}_X \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Z' & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

Now, given a quasi-coherent sheaf of ideals $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ then we must show that $Z = (\text{Supp}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{J}), \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{J})$ is a closed subscheme. This is a local property so take an affine open $U \subset X$ on which $U = \text{Spec}(A)$ and $\mathcal{J} = \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}$ for some ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$. Then the map $\iota : Z \rightarrow X$ is given locally by the ring map $A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{a}$ which gives a closed immersion. Finally, it is clear that the sheaf of ideals corresponding to this Z is exactly \mathcal{J} since it is the kernel of the map $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{J}$. \square

Definition Let X be a scheme then a *locally principal closed subscheme* of S is a closed subscheme $Z \subset X$ such that the sheaf of ideals \mathcal{I}_Z is locally generated by a single element.

Definition An *effective Cartier divisor* on X is a closed subscheme $D \subset X$ whose ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_D \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ is an invertible \mathcal{O}_X -module.

Definition Let X be a scheme and $D \subset X$ a closed subscheme then the following are equivalent,

- (a). D is an effective Cartier divisor on X
- (b). for each $x \in D$ there exists an affine open neighborhood $x \in U \subset X$ with $U = \text{Spec}(A)$ such that $U \cap D = \text{Spec}(A/(f))$ for $f \in A$ a nonzerodivisor.

Proof. Assume that D is an effective Cartier divisor then for each $x \in X$ there exists an affine open $x \in U \subset X$ such that $\mathcal{I}_D|_U \cong \mathcal{O}_X|_U$. Since \mathcal{I}_D is quasi-coherent, we may further shrink U such that $\mathcal{I}_D|_U = \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}$ for some ideal of A where $U = \text{Spec}(A)$. The isomorphism $A \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ is uniquely determined by the image of $1 \in \mathfrak{a} \subset A$ say $1 \mapsto f$ then $\mathfrak{a} = (f)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{I}_D|_U = \widetilde{(f)}$ meaning that locally $D \cap U = \text{Supp}_A(A/(f)) = \text{Spec}(A/(f))$. Furthermore, suppose that $\exists g \in A$ such that $fg = 0$. Consider the preimage $\tilde{g} \mapsto g$ under the isomorphism $A \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}$ and thus $\tilde{g} = 1\tilde{g} \mapsto fg = 0$ so \tilde{g} is in the kernel of the map so $g = 0$ implying that f cannot be a zero divisor.

Conversely, we have $U \cap D = \text{Spec}(A/(f))$ then locally the map $D \rightarrow X$ is given by the ring map $A \rightarrow A/(f)$ so $\mathcal{I}_D|_U = \widetilde{(f)}$. Since f is a non-zero divisor, the map $f : A \rightarrow (f)$ is an isomorphism proving that \mathcal{I}_D is an invertible sheaf since $\mathcal{O}_X|_U = \tilde{A}$. \square

Definition Let X be a scheme. Given effective Cartier divisors D_1 and D_2 on X we set $D = D_1 + D_2$ to be the closed subscheme of X corresponding to the quasi-coherent sheaf of ideals $\mathcal{I}_{D_1} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{D_2} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$.

Proposition 19.2. The sum of effective Cartier divisors is an effective Cartier divisor.

Proof. The product of non-zero divisors is a non-zero divisor and thus the product of these ideals is locally invertible. \square

Definition Let X be a scheme and $D \subset X$ an effective Cartier divisor with an ideal sheaf \mathcal{I}_D . Recall that \mathcal{I}_D is an invertible \mathcal{O}_X -module so we may define,

- (a). The invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ associated to D is defined by,

$$\mathcal{O}_X(D) = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{I}_D, \mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{I}_D^{\otimes -1}$$

- (b). The canonical section, $1_D \in \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ is the inclusion morphism $\mathcal{I}_D \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$.

(c). We write $\mathcal{O}_X(-D) = \mathcal{O}_X(D)^{\otimes -1} = \mathcal{I}_D$.

(d). Given a second effective Cartier divisor $D' \subset X$ we define,

$$\mathcal{O}_X(D - D') = \mathcal{O}_X(D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(-D')$$

Lemma 19.3. Let X be a scheme and $D, C \subset X$ be effective Cartier divisors with $C \subset D$ and let $D' = D + C$. Then there exists a short exact sequence of \mathcal{O}_X -modules,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-D)|_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D'} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_D \longrightarrow 0$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{I} be the ideal sheaf of $D \rightarrow D'$. Then there is a short exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D'} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_D \longrightarrow 0$$

Now I claim that $\mathcal{O}_X(-D)|_C = \mathcal{I}_D|_C = \mathcal{I}$. \square

Lemma 19.4. Let X be a scheme and $D_1, D_2 \subset X$ be effective Cartier divisors on X . Let $D = D_1 + D_2$. Then there is a unique isomorphism,

$$\mathcal{O}_X(D_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(D_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D)$$

which maps $1_{D_1} \otimes 1_{D_2} \rightarrow 1_D$.

Proof. By definition $\mathcal{I}_D = \mathcal{I}_{D_1} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{D_2}$. Consider the map,

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{I}_{D_1}, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{I}_{D_2}, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{I}_D, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

via $f_1 \otimes f_2 \mapsto f_1 \cdot f_2$. Clearly, this map sends $1_{D_1} \otimes 1_{D_2}$ to 1_D . Thus, it is sufficient to prove that this map is the unique isomorphism. Because these sheaves are invertible, on stalks, this map becomes the isomorphism,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}}((f_1), \mathcal{O}_{X,x}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}}((f_2), \mathcal{O}_{X,x}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}}((f_1 f_2), \mathcal{O}_{X,x})$$

This is unique because each side is abstractly isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_X x$ and the map abstractly the identity since it sends $(f_1 \mapsto 1) \otimes (f_2 \mapsto 1) \mapsto (f_1 f_2 \mapsto 1)$. \square

Definition Let X be a locally ringed space and \mathcal{L} an invertible sheaf on X . A global section $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ is called a regular section in the map $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ via $f \mapsto fs$ is injective.

Lemma 19.5. Let X be a locally ringed space and $f \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Then the following are equivalent,

- (a). f is a regular section
- (b). for any $x \in X$ the image $f \in \mathcal{O}_X x$ is a non-zero divisor.

If X is a scheme there are also equivalent to,

- (a). for any affine open $\mathrm{Spec}(A) = U \subset X$ the image $f \in A$ is a non-zero divisor

Proof. The sheaf map $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ given by $f \mapsto fs$ is injective iff on stalks $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is injective i.e. $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is a non-zero divisor.

Now let X be a scheme. If on each affine open $\text{Spec}(A) = U \subset X$ the image $f \in A$ is a non zero divisor then, since affine opens form a base for the topology on X , then $f_x \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is a non zero divisor since otherwise it would be a zero divisor on some open neighborhood containing an affine open. Conversely, if $f|_U$ is a zero divisor on some affine open then for each $x \in U$ the image $f_x \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is a zero divisor. \square

Remark. Let \mathcal{L} be an invertible \mathcal{O}_X -module and $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ is a global section. We may realize s as an \mathcal{O}_X -module map $s : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$. Its dual then gives a map $s : \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$.

Definition Let X be a scheme and \mathcal{L} an invertible sheaf on X . Let $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ be a global section. The *zero scheme* of s is the closed subscheme $Z(s) \subset X$ defined by the quasi-coherent sheaf of ideals $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ defined by $s : \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$.

Remark. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of locally ringed spaces and \mathcal{F} a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules. A global section $s \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{F})$ can be realized as a morphism $s : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$. Applying the functor f^* gives a morphism $f^*s : f^*\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f^*\mathcal{F}$ which is equivalent to a section $f^*s : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow f^*\mathcal{F}$ since $f^*\mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_X$.

Lemma 19.6. Let X be a scheme and \mathcal{L} an invertible sheaf on X and $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ a global section. Then,

- (a). Consider the closed immersions $\iota : Z \rightarrow X$ such that $\iota^*s \in \Gamma(Z, \iota^*\mathcal{L})$ is zero, ordered by inclusion. The zero scheme $Z(s)$ is the maximal element of this order set.
- (b). The zero scheme $Z(s)$ is a locally principal closed subscheme.
- (c). $Z(s)$ is an effective Cartier divisor iff s is a regular section of \mathcal{L} .

Proof. Suppose that $\iota : Z \rightarrow X$ is a closed subscheme such that $\iota^*s \in \Gamma(Z, \iota^*\mathcal{L})$ is zero. It suffices to show that $\mathcal{I}_{Z(s)} \subset \mathcal{I}_Z$. Consider any morphism $f : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ of \mathcal{O}_X -modules. Then $\iota^*f : \iota^*\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z$ takes $\iota^*s \mapsto \iota^*f(s)$ which is zero since $\iota^*s = 0$ thus $f(s) \mapsto 0$ under $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \iota_*\mathcal{O}_Z$ so $\mathcal{I}_{Z(s)} \subset \mathcal{I}_Z$.

Since \mathcal{L} is invertible, there is an affine open cover such that $\mathcal{L}|_U \cong \mathcal{O}_X|_U$ on each open $\text{Spec}(A) = U \subset X$. Thus, $\mathcal{L}|_U = \widetilde{M}$ for some A -module M such that $M \cong A$ as A -modules i.e. M is free of rank 1. Then consider the map $s : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ which restricts to the map $s|_U : A \rightarrow M$ given by $a \mapsto as|_U$ whose dual is $s|_U : \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ takes $(f : M \rightarrow A) \mapsto f(s|_U)$. Since M is free of rank 1 we may write $s|_U = s_A m$ for $s_A \in A$ and $m \in M$ the basis element. Then every A -module map $f : M \rightarrow A$ is determined by the image of $m \mapsto f(m)$ so $f(s|_U) = s_A f(m)$. In particular, there exists an isomorphism $M \rightarrow A$ which has $f(m) = 1$ so $\text{Hom}_A(M, A) \cong A$ via $f \mapsto f(m)$ so $\text{Im}(s|_U) = \{s_A f(m) \mid f \in \text{Hom}_A(M, A)\} = (s_A) \subset A$. Thus the sheaf of ideals of

$Z(s)$ is locally generated by a single element.

Furthermore, $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ is a regular section iff $s|_U$ is regular for each affine open U i.e. the map $a \mapsto as_A$ is injective meaning $A \cong (s_A)$. Thus, since locally the sheaf of ideals of $Z(s)$ is (s_A) , the section s is regular iff $Z(s)$ is an effective Cartier divisor. \square

Theorem 19.7. Let X be a scheme.

- (a). If $D \subset X$ is an effective Cartier divisor then the canonical section 1_D of $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ is regular.
- (b). Conversely, if s is a regular section of the invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} then there exists a unique effective Cartier divisor $D = Z(s) \subset X$ and a unique isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_X(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ sending $1_D \mapsto s$.

The construction $D \mapsto (\mathcal{O}_X(D), 1_D)$ and $(\mathcal{L}, s) \mapsto Z(s)$ are inverse giving a bijective correspondence between effective Cartier divisors on X and isomorphism classes of pairs (\mathcal{L}, s) where \mathcal{L} is an invertible sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules and $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ is a regular global section.

Proof. Let $D \subset X$ be an effective Cartier divisor and consider the canonical section 1_D of $\mathcal{O}_X(D) = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{I}_D, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Consider the map $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ given by $f \mapsto f \cdot 1_D$. On stalks, we know that the ideal $(\mathcal{I}_D)_x \cong \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ so $(\mathcal{I}_D)_x = (f)$ where $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is the preimage of 1. Given any section $g \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ if $g_x(1_D)_x = 0$ then $g \cdot f = 0$ meaning that either $g_x = 0$ or f is a zero divisor. However, since \mathcal{I}_D is invertible, f cannot be invertible (its isomorphic to 1 so it cannot be a zero divisor) thus $g_x = 0$. Therefore this map $1_D : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ is injective at the stalks and therefore injective.

Now suppose that \mathcal{L} is an invertible sheaf and $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ a regular section. Consider $D = Z(s) \subset X$. Since s is regular, we have shown that $Z(s)$ is an effective Cartier divisor. Furthermore, $\mathcal{I}_D = \text{Im}(s : \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -1}$ where s is regular so this is injective. Thus, $\mathcal{O}_X(D) = \mathcal{I}_D^{\otimes -1} = \mathcal{L}$. Finally, given an effective Cartier divisor we know that $(\mathcal{O}_X(D), 1_D)$ is an invertible sheaf with a regular section. Consider $Z(s)$ which is the closed subscheme uniquely defined by the sheaf of ideals given by the image of $1_D : \mathcal{O}_X(D)^{\otimes -1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ which is exactly the inclusion map $\mathcal{I}_D \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ since $\mathcal{O}_X(D) = \mathcal{I}_D^{\otimes -1}$. Therefore, we find that $Z(s) \cong Z$. \square

20 GAGA

Theorem 20.1. There is a unique functor from schemes of finite type over \mathbb{C} to topological spaces given by $X \mapsto X^{\text{an}}$ where $X^{\text{an}} = (X(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{T})$ where $X(\mathbb{C}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}), X)$ are the \mathbb{C} -rational points and \mathcal{T} is the analytic topology such that,

- (a). $(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^n)^{\text{an}} = \mathbb{C}^n$ with its standard topology

- (b). closed immersions are mapped to closed embeddings
- (c). open immersions are mapped to open embeddings

Remark. These requirements already fix the topology on $X(\mathbb{C})$ because if X is finite type over \mathbb{C} then in particular X is quasi-compact. Thus, we write,

$$X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$$

for U_i affine open. However, U_i is finite type over \mathbb{C} and thus $U_i = \text{Spec}(A)$ with A a finitely generated \mathbb{C} -algebra and thus $A = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_{k_i}]/I$ which implies that $U_i \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k_i}$ is a closed immersion. Then,

$$X(\mathbb{C}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i(\mathbb{C}) \quad U_i(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{k_i}$$

Remark. This will rely on the fact that for $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ the map $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous in the standard topology.

Remark. We chose to take $k = \mathbb{C}$ here but any topological field will work. However, if k is not algebraically closed then $X(k)$ will not be all closed points of X .

Lemma 20.2. Let X be an affine scheme of finite type over \mathbb{C} then,

- (a). if $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ is a closed immersion then $X(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is closed (in the standard topology).
- (b). If $X \hookrightarrow A_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ and $X \hookrightarrow A_{\mathbb{C}}^m$ are two closed immersions then the induced topology on $X(\mathbb{C})$ is the same.

Proof. Let $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ be a closed immersion then $X = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I)$ then,

$$X(\mathbb{C}) = \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \forall f \in I : f(\vec{x}) = 0\} = \bigcap_{f \in I} f^{-1}(0)$$

which is closed because $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous. Now suppose that $X \hookrightarrow A_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ and $X \hookrightarrow A_{\mathbb{C}}^m$ are two closed immersions then there is a closed immersion $X \hookrightarrow A_{\mathbb{C}}^n \times_{\mathbb{C}} A_{\mathbb{C}}^m$. Then we have closed embeddings,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathbb{C}^{n+m} \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ X(\mathbb{C}) & & \mathbb{C}^n \\ & \searrow & \end{array}$$

which gives that the induced topologies are the same. □

Theorem 20.3. Given a functor from the category of affine schemes of finite type over k to topological spaces which respects closed and open immersions then the functor can be extended to all schemes of finite type over k .

Definition Let X be a scheme of finite type over \mathbb{C} then we define a singular cohomology theory for X via,

$$H_{\text{Betti}}^*(X) = H_{\text{sing}}^*(X^{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Z}) = H^*(X^{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Z})$$

Lemma 20.4. If X is finite type over \mathbb{C} then

- (a). X is separated $\iff X(\mathbb{C})$ is Hausdorff
- (b). X is proper $\iff X$ is compact Hausdorff.

Theorem 20.5 (Grothendieck). If X is a smooth variety over \mathbb{C} then

$$H_{\text{dR}}^*(X/\mathbb{C}) = H_{\text{Betti}}^*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$$

Theorem 20.6. Suppose that X_0 is a smooth variety over \mathbb{Q} and $X = X_0 \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q})} \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$ such that X is a smooth variety. Then,

$$H_{\text{dR}}^*(X_0/\mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} = H_{\text{dR}}^*(X/\mathbb{C}) = H_{\text{sing}}^*(X^{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$$

The complex numbers giving this map of \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces gives the *periods*.

21 April 3

$\Delta : C \rightarrow C \times C$ is an effective Cartier divisor i.e. the ideal sheaf \mathcal{I} is locally generated by a single nonzero element i.e. \mathcal{I} is an invertible $\mathcal{O}_{C \times C}$ -module. Then,

$$\mathcal{O}_{C \times C}(\Delta) := \mathcal{I}^{\otimes -1} = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{C \times C}}(I, \mathcal{O}_{C \times C})$$

Remark. If $g(C) > 0$ then $\mathcal{O}_{C \times C}(\Delta)$ is not in $\text{Pic}(C) \oplus \text{Pic}(C)$.

Example 21.1. If C is a smooth projective curve of positive genus over k (algebraically closed) then consider,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & C & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ C \times c_0 & \longrightarrow & C \times C & \longrightarrow & C \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ & & C & & \end{array}$$

Theorem 21.2. If X is a variety then

$$\text{Pic}(X \times \mathbb{P}^1) = \text{Pic}(X) \oplus \mathbb{Z}$$

Lemma 21.3. If C is a smooth projective curve over algebraically closed k then,

$$\dim_k \Gamma(C, \mathcal{O}_C(c_0)) = \begin{cases} 1 & g(C) > 0 \\ 2 & g(C) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Definition Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a nonconstant morphism of smooth projective curves over k algebraically closed. There is a map,

$$f^* : \text{Div}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Div}(X)$$

via,

$$f^*\left(\sum n_i [y_i]\right) = \sum n_i f^*[y_i]$$

where,

$$f^*[y] = \sum_{x \mapsto y} e_x \cdot [x]$$

where e_x is the ramification index of $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ meaning the power $\varpi_y \mapsto u \cdot \varpi_x^e$ where ϖ is the uniformizer of the DVR.

Proposition 21.4.

$$\sum_{x \mapsto y} e_x = [k(X) : k(Y)]$$

Definition The degree of f is $[k(X) : k(Y)]$ such that,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Div}(Y) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \text{Div}(X) \\ \deg \downarrow & & \downarrow \deg \\ \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\deg(f)} & \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proposition 21.5. $f^* : \text{Div}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Div}(X)$ preserves rational equivalence i.e. $f^* \text{div}(\cdot)Y(g) = \text{div}(\cdot)X(g \circ f)$. where $g \circ f \in k(X)$ and $g \in k(Y) \xrightarrow{f} k(X)$.

Remark. If $g \in k(X)$ is nonconstant then $\text{div}(\cdot)X(g) = g^*([0] - [\infty])$ viewing $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$. Then $\deg \text{div}(\cdot)X(g) = \deg g - \deg g = 0$.

22 Sheaves of Derivations

Definition Let X be a scheme over a field k then,

$$H_{\text{dR}}^*(X/k) = H^*(X, \Omega_{X/k}^\bullet)$$

where $\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet$ is a complex of sheaves of k -modules. The objects of the complex of \mathcal{O}_X -modules but the maps are only k -linear. In algebraic geometry, the de-Rham complex is not exact. Therefore, we need to take an injective resolution,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{X/k}^1 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{X/k}^2 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{X/k}^3 & \longrightarrow & \dots \\
& & \downarrow \alpha^0 & & \downarrow \alpha^1 & & \downarrow \alpha^2 & & \downarrow \alpha^3 & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}^0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}^1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}^2 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}^3 & \longrightarrow & \dots
\end{array}$$

such that $H^n(\alpha^\bullet) : H^n(\mathcal{I}^\bullet) \rightarrow H^n(\mathcal{J}^\bullet)$ is an isomorphism for each n i.e. α^\bullet is a quasi-isomorphism. Then,

$$H^i(X, \Omega_{X/k}^\bullet) = H^i(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}^\bullet))$$

Remark. There is a Hodge to de-Rham spectral sequence,

$$E_1^{p,q} = H^q(X, \Omega_{X/k}^p) \implies H_{\text{dR}}^{p+q}(X/k)$$

Example 22.1. Consider the scheme $X = \mathbb{P}_k^1$ then $\Omega_{X/k}^1 = \mathcal{O}_X(-2)$. Now \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J} .

23 April 16

Lemma 23.1. If k is a field and $F \supset F' \supset k$ is a tower of fields such that F and F' are finitely generated extensions such that

$$\text{trdeg}_k(F) = \text{trdeg}_k(F')$$

then F/F' is finite.

Theorem 23.2. Let $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a left exact functor between abelian categories and $\mathcal{I}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^\bullet$ a quasi-isomorphism between bounded below complexes of injective objects of \mathcal{A} . Then $F(\mathcal{I}^\bullet) \rightarrow F(\mathcal{J}^\bullet)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

Theorem 23.3. There is an exact sequence of complexes,

$$\mathcal{I}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\alpha^\bullet} \mathcal{J}^\bullet$$

Then $F(C(\alpha)^\bullet) = C(F(\alpha)^\bullet)$. Therefore, it suffices to show that $H^n(F(C(\alpha)^\bullet)) = 0$ given that $H^n(C(\alpha)^\bullet) = 0$. Thus we only need to show that if \mathcal{I} is a bounded below acyclic complex of injective objects in \mathcal{A} then $H^n(F(\mathcal{I}^\bullet)) = 0$. However, since it is a complex of injectives the complex splits. Since F is additive, the complex remains split after applying F and thus remains acyclic.

23.1 Normalization

Definition An integral scheme X is *normal* iff for all affine opens $U = \text{Spec}(A)$ the ring A is a normal domain i.e. A is integrally closed in $\text{Frac}(A)$.

Proposition 23.4. For any variety X there is a unique birational finite morphism $\nu : X^\nu \rightarrow X$ such that X^ν is normal. Additionally, if $U = \text{Spec}(A)$ is an affine open then $\nu^{-1}(U) = \text{Spec}(A^\nu)$ where $A \subset A^\nu \subset \text{Frac}(A)$ is the integral closure of A in $\text{Frac}(A)$.

Proposition 23.5. If A is a finite type domain over a field then A^ν/A is finite.

Proposition 23.6. If $X \rightarrow Y$ is finite and Y is projective then X is projective.

24 Serre Duality

Remark. Let X be a projective variety over a field k of dimension d .

Theorem 24.1. $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $i > d$ and any abelian sheaf \mathcal{F} .

Proof. Grothendieck proved this for any Noetherian topological space of dimension d . For \mathcal{F} quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module this also follows from the fact that X has an open cover of $d + 1$ affines. \square

Theorem 24.2. The functor $H^d(X, -)$ is right exact.

Proof. Let,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_3 \longrightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence of sheaves on X then consider the long exact cohomology sequence

$$H^d(X, \mathcal{F}_1) \longrightarrow H^d(X, \mathcal{F}_2) \longrightarrow H^d(X, \mathcal{F}_3) \longrightarrow H^{d+1}(X, \mathcal{F}_1)$$

However $H^{d+1}(X, \mathcal{F}_1) = 0$ by Grothendieck's theorem. \square

Theorem 24.3. The functors $H^q(X, -)$ commute with direct sums.

Theorem 24.4. $\mathfrak{QCoH}((\) \mathcal{O}_X)$ is a Grothendieck abelian category meaning that filtered colimits are exact.

Theorem 24.5. If \mathcal{A} is a Grothendieck abelian category and $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ is contravariant, right exact, and transforms direct sums into products. Then F is representable i.e. there exists $A_{\text{univ}} \in \mathcal{A}$ such that, naturally,

$$F(A) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, A_{\text{univ}})$$

The identification maps $\varphi : A \rightarrow A_{\text{univ}}$ to $\xi = F(\varphi)(\xi_{\text{univ}})$ where $\xi_{\text{univ}} \in F(A_{\text{univ}})$ corresponds to $\text{id}_{A_{\text{univ}}} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A_{\text{univ}}, A_{\text{univ}})$.

Now apply to the functor $F : \mathfrak{QCoH}((\) \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto H^d(X, \mathcal{F})^\vee = \text{Hom}_k(H^d(X, \mathcal{F}), k)$$

Conclusion, there exists $\omega_X \in \mathfrak{QCoH}((\) \mathcal{O}_X)$ and a linear map $t : H^d(X, \omega_X) \rightarrow k$ such that for any quasi-coherent \mathcal{F} we have,

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \omega_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^d(X, \mathcal{F})^\vee$$

via $\varphi \mapsto t \circ H^d(X, \varphi)$. If \mathcal{F} is coherent then $\dim_k H^d(X, \mathcal{F}) < \infty$ so we get a perfect pairing,

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \omega_X) \times H^d(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow k$$

sending $(\varphi, \eta) \mapsto t(\varphi(\eta)) = t(\varphi(\eta))$. For example,

$$H^0(X, \omega_X) \times H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow k$$

is a perfect pairing.

Theorem 24.6. Let X be a smooth projective curve over $k = \bar{k}$ then,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N}) = H^0(X, \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{\times -1})$$

If \mathcal{L} has degree $\neq 0$ (viewed as an element of $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$) then $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}) = 0$.

Theorem 24.7. The pair $(\mathcal{O}_X(-n-1), t)$ on \mathbb{P}_k^n is the dualizing pair and moreover for any \mathcal{F} coherent you get a perfect paring,

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}}^i(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}(-n-1)) \times H^{n-i}(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow k$$

for $i = 0, \dots, n$. If \mathcal{F} is finite locally free, then this becomes,

$$H^i(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{F}^\vee(-n-1)) \times H^{n-i}(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow k$$

is a perfect pairing.

25 April 23

Theorem 25.1. Let X be a smooth projective curve over k , algebraically closed and $\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ then,

$$\chi(X, \mathcal{L}) = \dim_k H^0(X, \mathcal{L}) - \dim_k H^1(X, \mathcal{L}) = \deg \mathcal{L} + \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

where $\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 1 - g$.

Proof. We can write $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ for some divisor,

$$D = \sum_{x \in X} n_x [x]$$

It suffices to show that the theorem holds for $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ for some divisor D iff it holds for $\mathcal{O}_X(D + [p])$. Consider the short exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D + p) \longrightarrow (\iota_p)_* \mathcal{O}_{X,p} \longrightarrow 0$$

Then we are done by induction because $\chi(X, (\iota_p)_* \mathcal{O}_{X,p}) = 1$. □

Lemma 25.2. By Coherent duality,

$$\dim_k H^1(X, \mathcal{L}) = \dim_k \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{L}, \omega_X) = \dim_k H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -1} \otimes \omega_X)$$

Theorem 25.3 (Riemann-Roch). Let X be a smooth projective curve over k , algebraically closed and $\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ then,

$$\dim_k H^0(X, \mathcal{L}) = \dim_k H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -1} \otimes \omega_X) - \dim_k H^0(X, \omega_X) + 1$$

Proposition 25.4. $\deg(\omega_X) = 2g - 2$

Proof. By Riemann-Roch, we have,

$$\chi(X, \omega_X) = \deg(\omega_X) + 1 - g$$

However,

$$\dim_k H^0(X, \omega_X) = \dim_k H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = g$$

and

$$\dim_k H^1(X, \omega_X) = \dim_k H^1(X, \omega_X^{\otimes -1} \otimes \omega_X) = \dim_k H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 1$$

Therefore,

$$\chi(X, \omega_X) = g - 1$$

□

26 April 26

Theorem 26.1. For a smooth projective curve X , we have $\omega_X = \Omega_X^1$.

Theorem 26.2. If $g : T \rightarrow W$ is a morphism of S -schemes then there is a cononical map $g^* \Omega_{W/S}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{T/S}^1$. The corresponding diagram of rings,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xleftarrow{\varphi} & C \\ & \nwarrow \quad \nearrow & \\ & A & \end{array}$$

gives the map,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{C/A} \otimes_C B &\rightarrow \Omega_{B/A} \\ (b_1 db_2) \otimes c &\mapsto c\varphi(b_1) d\varphi(b_2) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 26.3 (Riemann-Hurewitz). If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a nonconstant morphism of smooth projective curves over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero then,

$$2g_X - 2 = \deg(f) \cdot (2g_Y - 2) + b$$

where b is the total ramification,

$$b = \sum_{x \in X(k)} (e_x - 1)$$

Proof. By RR $\deg \omega_X = 2g_X - 2$ and $\deg f^* \omega_Y = \deg(f) \cdot (2g_Y - 2)$. There is a morphism $df : f^* \Omega_Y^1 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1$ of invertible \mathcal{O}_X -modules. In characteristic zero $df \neq 0$ if f is noncontant. To see this, consider the map of stalks at the generic point,

$$(\Omega_{k(Y)/k} \otimes_{k(Y)} k(X)) \rightarrow \Omega_{k(X)/k}$$

In characteristic zero these extensions are seperable so this is nonzero.

It follows that $\Omega_X^1 \cong (f^*\Omega_Y)(R)$ where the ramification divisor is,

$$R = \sum_{df_x=0} v_x(df)[x]$$

Then we have,

$$2g_X - 2 = \deg(f) \cdot (2g_Y - 2) + \deg(R)$$

Take a closed point $x \in X$ with image $y \in Y$. Consider,

$$f_x^\# : \mathcal{O}_{Y,y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$$

which is local map of DVRs because X and Y are smooth of dimension 1. Now let $\varpi_y \in \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ and $\varpi_x \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ be uniformizers then $f_x^\#(\varpi_y) = u \cdot \varpi_x^{e_x}$ with $u \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^\times$. Furthermore,

$$\Omega_{X,x} = \mathcal{O}_{X,x} d\varpi_x$$

using the fact that $\kappa(x) = k$. Then the local generator $f^*d\varpi_y$ of $f^*\Omega_Y$ maps to,

$$d(u\varpi_x^{e_x}) = \varpi_x^{e_x} du + e_x \varpi_x^{e_x-1} u d\varpi_x = (\varpi_x^{e_x} du/d\varpi_x + e_x \varpi_x^{e_x-1} u) d\varpi_x$$

Therefore,

$$\deg(R) \geq \sum_{x \in X(k)} (e_x - 1)$$

which equality in characteristic zero or tame in characteristic p . \square

Lemma 26.4. If $K/L/k$ is an extension of fields with K/L algebraic separable then,

$$\Omega_{L/k}^1 \otimes_L K = \Omega_{K/k}^1$$

Definition A morphism of smooth projective curves $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is *unramified* if $e_x = 1$ for each $x \in X$.

Example 26.5. $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}_C^1) = \{1\}$ meaning there is no unramified nontrivial finite cover $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C^1$ because RH would give,

$$2g_X - 2 = -2 \deg(g) < -2$$

but the genus cannot be negative.

27 Morphisms To Projective Space

Remark. Let S be a graded ring then recall that,

$$X = \text{Proj}(S) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(S) \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ is homogeneous and } S_+ \not\subset \mathfrak{p}\}$$

with closed sets,

$$V(\mathfrak{a}) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj}(S) \mid \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}\}$$

and principal open sets,

$$D(f) = \{p \in \text{Proj}(S) \mid f \notin \mathfrak{p}\}$$

for homogeneous $f \in S_+$. Furthermore the principal opens form an open cover of $\text{Proj}(S)$ since,

$$(D(f), \mathcal{O}_X|_{D(f)}) = \text{Spec}(S_{(f)})$$

where $S_{(f)}$ is the degree zero part of S_f .

Given a graded S -module M we can produce a \mathcal{O}_S -module \widetilde{M} which satisfies the property $\widetilde{M}|_{D(f)} = \widetilde{M_{(f)}}$ in the affine scheme sense. In particular, for $M = S$ we have $\mathcal{O}_X = \widetilde{S}$.

Now, twisting $S(n)_k = S_{k+n}$ we get the twisting sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X(n) = \widetilde{S(n)}$ and for any \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{F} we have $\mathcal{F}(n) = \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(n)$.

Proposition 27.1. Let S be generated by S_1 as a S_0 -algebra. Then, $\mathcal{O}_X(n)$ is invertible and $\mathcal{O}_X(n+m) = \mathcal{O}_X(n) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(m)$.

Proof. Take $f \in S_1$. We know that $\mathcal{O}_X(n)|_{D(f)} = \widetilde{S(n)_{(f)}}$ on $\text{Spec}(S_{(f)})$. However, $S(n)_{(f)}$ are the degree n elements in S_f so we have an isomorphism $S_{(f)} \rightarrow S(n)_{(f)}$ via $s \mapsto f^n s$ so $S(n)_{(f)}$ is a free rank one $S_{(f)}$ -module. Furthermore, since S_1 generates S as a S_0 -algebra then $D(f)$ for $f \in S_1$ cover X and thus $\mathcal{O}_X(n)$ is a line bundle.

The second fact follows from $\widetilde{M}(n) = \widetilde{M(n)}$ which follows from the fact that $M \otimes_S S(n) = M(n)$ and $\widetilde{M} \otimes_S \widetilde{N} = \widetilde{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widetilde{N}$. \square

Definition We have $\mathbb{P}_A^N = \text{Proj}(A[x_0, \dots, x_N])$ for a ring A .

Definition Given a twisting sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ on a scheme X (usually via a projective embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_A^N$) we define the graded ring,

$$\Gamma_*(X, \mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}(n))$$

Proposition 27.2. On $X = \mathbb{P}_A^N$ we have $\Gamma_*(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = A[x_0, \dots, x_N]$. Furthermore,

$$\Gamma_*(X, \mathcal{F}(m)) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}(m+n)) = \Gamma_*(X, \mathcal{F})(m)$$

which implies that $\Gamma_*(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n)) = A[x_0, \dots, x_N](n)$.

Proposition 27.3. On \mathbb{P}_A^N the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ is generated by its global sections $x_0, \dots, x_N \in \Gamma(\mathbb{P}_A^N, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1))$. Thus, for any morphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_A^N$ the sheaf $\mathcal{L} = \varphi^* \mathcal{O}_X(1)$ is invertible and is generated by global sections $s_i = \varphi^*(x_i)$.

Definition If φ is an immersion, we call the resulting line bundle $\mathcal{L} = \varphi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ *very ample* on X over $\text{Spec}(A)$.

Theorem 27.4. Let X be a scheme over A and \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf on X with global sections $s_0, \dots, s_N \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ which generate \mathcal{L} . Then there exists a morphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_A^N$ such that $\mathcal{L} = \varphi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ and $s_i = \varphi^*(x_i)$.

Proof. Since \mathcal{L} is invertible on sufficiently small opens $\mathcal{L}|_U \cong \mathcal{O}_X|_U$ and thus \mathcal{L}_x is local for each $x \in X$ which maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_x \mathcal{L}_x = \mathfrak{m}_x \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}} \mathcal{L}_x$. Consider the open sets,

$$D(s_i) = \{x \in X \mid (s_i)_x \notin \mathfrak{m}_x \mathcal{L}_x\}$$

Then there is a ring map $A[x_0, \dots, x_N]_{(x_i)} \rightarrow \Gamma(D(s_i), \mathcal{O}_X)$ via,

$$\frac{x_j}{x_i} \mapsto \frac{s_j}{s_i}$$

This is defined because $s_i \in \Gamma(D(s_i), \mathcal{L})$ is invertible and since \mathcal{L} is locally free of rank 1 the quotient can be viewed as a well-defined section of \mathcal{O}_X via the map $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ by $f \mapsto fs$ giving $s_j = f_j s$ and $s_i = f_i s$ and $f_i \in \Gamma(D(s_i), \mathcal{O}_X)^\times$ so,

$$\frac{s_j}{s_i} = \frac{f_j s}{f_i s} = \frac{f_j}{f_i} \in \Gamma(D(s_i), \mathcal{O}_X)$$

This ring map defines a morphism $D(s_i) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A[x_0, \dots, x_N]_{(x_i)}) = U_i \subset \mathbb{P}_A^N$. These morphisms glue to give the required map $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_A^N$. \square

Definition A line bundle \mathcal{L} on X is *ample* if for any coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} there exists a positive integer $n(\mathcal{F})$ such that $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ is generated by global sections for all $n \geq n(\mathcal{F})$.

Proposition 27.5. Let \mathcal{L} be a line bundle on X then the following are equivalent,

- (a). \mathcal{L} is ample
- (b). $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}$ is ample for *all* positive m
- (c). $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}$ is ample for *some* positive m

Proof. If \mathcal{L} is ample then $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} (\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m})^{\otimes n} = \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes nm}$ is generated by global sections for any $nm \geq n(\mathcal{F})$.

It suffices to show that if $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}$ is ample for some m then \mathcal{L} is ample. Given a coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} consider the coherent sheaf $(\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^k)$ and then take $n(\mathcal{F}, k)$ such that,

$$(\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^k) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} (\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m})^n = \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes (nm+k)}$$

is generated by global sections for $n \geq n(\mathcal{F})$. Take $n(\mathcal{F}) = m \cdot \max\{n(\mathcal{F}, k) + 1 \mid k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}\}$. Then for $n \geq n(\mathcal{F})$ we can write, by the division algorithm $n = qm + k$ for $0 \leq k < m$ and $mq \geq m \cdot n(\mathcal{F}, k)$ so $q \geq n(\mathcal{F}, k)$ which implies that,

$$\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^n = (\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^k) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} (\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m})^q$$

is generated by global sections so \mathcal{L} is ample. \square

Theorem 27.6. Let X be finite type over a Noetherian A . A line bundle \mathcal{L} on X is ample iff there exists a positive integer n such that $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ is very ample for $\text{Spec}(A)$.

Theorem 27.7 (Serre). Let A be Noetherian and X proper over A . Let \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf on X . Then the following are equivalent,

- (a). \mathcal{L} is ample
- (b). for each coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on X there exists an integer $n(\mathcal{F})$ such that for any $n \geq n(\mathcal{F})$ and $i > 0$ we have $H^i(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}) = 0$.

27.1 Linear Systems

28 Induced Subscheme Structure

Definition Given a closed subset $V \subset \text{Spec}(A)$ we define the ideal,

$$I_V = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in V} \mathfrak{p}$$

Then clearly $V(I_V) = V$ and I_V is a radical ideal so if $V(\mathfrak{a}) = V$ then $\mathfrak{a} \subset I_V$.

Definition Let X be a scheme and $Z \subset X$ a closed subset. We define the reduced induced subscheme structure on Z via (DO)