

1 Introduction

Our goal is to understand which groups arise as the fundamental group of a smooth complex variety $\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}))$. This is a daunting task. To limit our scope very slightly, we study the complex representation theory of $\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}))$. Let us consider a representation,

$$\rho : \pi_1(X(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$$

Ordinary Hodge theory provides a description the deformation space of 1-dimensional representations. Indeed, for $r = 1$ we are asking about characters,

$$\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$$

A deformation of this character is given by,

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C})), \mathbb{C}) = H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) = H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \oplus H^0(X, \Omega)$$

Therefore we get a decomposition of the tangent space into two directions: the first represents the deformations of a holomorphic line bundle and the second the deformations of a holomorphic 1-form. Furthermore, we can say that given a character $\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ this defines a local system and hence a line bundle \mathcal{L} and the above decomposition relates to the deformations of \mathcal{L} and of a 1-form.

Non-abelian Hodge theory generalizes this decomposition for the spaces,

$$H^1(\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C})), \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})) := \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C})), \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})) / \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$$

which we interpret as the set \mathbb{C} -points of a moduli space of representations. At a given representation $\rho : \pi_1(X(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$ defining a local system this defines a vector bundle E and non-abelian Hodge theory gives an analogous decomposition of the tangent space at ρ in terms of E ,

$$T_{[\rho]} M_B := H^1(X, \mathrm{End}(E)) \oplus H^0(X, \mathrm{End}(E) \otimes \Omega^1)$$

The first term again parametrizes the deformations of E while the second term involves 1-forms. Notice that unlike the case $r = 1$, this decomposition is not globally a direct sum since the factors depend on E in a nontrivial way. We should think of the right-hand-side as the tangent space to a moduli space of new objects called Higgs bundles which are vector bundles along with an extra 1-form structure. Then we get a correspondence between representations and Higgs bundles. Often people write schematically to show the connection to Hodge theory,

$$“H^1(\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C})), \mathrm{GL}_r) = H^1(X, \mathrm{GL}_r) \oplus H^0(X, \mathrm{GL}_r \otimes \Omega^1)”$$

I would not take this statement or notation very seriously. On tangent spaces this becomes precise as an isomorphism,

$$H^1(\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C})), \mathrm{Ad}\rho) = H^1(X, \mathrm{End}(E)) \oplus H^0(X, \mathrm{End}(E) \otimes \Omega^1)$$

Remark. The identification of $H^1(\pi_1, \mathrm{Ad}\rho)$ with the tangent space at $[\rho]$ of the character variety is as follows. We deform the map $\rho : \pi \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_r$ via,

$$\gamma \mapsto (1 + \epsilon\eta(\gamma)) \cdot \rho(\gamma)$$

where $\eta(\gamma) \in \mathfrak{gl}_r$ and the second term forms a right-invariant vector field. Then we require,

$$\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \mapsto [(1 + \epsilon \eta(\gamma_1)) \cdot \rho(\gamma_1)][(1 + \epsilon \eta(\gamma_2)) \cdot \rho(\gamma_2)] = (1 + \epsilon \eta(\gamma_1 \gamma_2)) \cdot \rho(\gamma_1 \gamma_2)$$

and hence,

$$\eta(\gamma_1 \gamma_2) = \eta(\gamma_1) + \rho(\gamma_1) \eta(\gamma_2) \rho(\gamma_1^{-1})$$

meaning that η is a crossed-hom for the adjoint action. Furthermore, a deformation is induced by the conjugation action if there exists $B \in \mathfrak{gl}_r$ such that,

$$\gamma \mapsto (1 + \epsilon B) \rho(\gamma) (1 + \epsilon B)^{-1} = \rho(\gamma) + \epsilon [B \rho(\gamma) - \rho(\gamma) B] = (1 + \epsilon [B - \rho(\gamma) B \rho(\gamma)^{-1}]) \cdot \rho(\gamma)$$

theremore meaning exactly that η is a principal crossed homomorphism for the adjoint action.

2 Higgs Bundles

In this section we work on a smooth variety X .

Definition 2.0.1. A *Higgs bundle* is a pair (\mathcal{E}, ϕ) where \mathcal{E} is a vector bundle and ϕ is a \mathcal{O}_X -linear map,

$$\phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1$$

such that $\phi \wedge \phi = 0$.

Remark. We should define the notation $\phi \wedge \phi$. Such a linear map is equivalent to a section of,

$$\phi \in \Gamma(X, \text{End}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1)$$

We endow $\text{End}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^\bullet$ with the structure of a sheaf of graded \mathcal{O}_X -algebras under the following operation,

$$(\varphi_1 \otimes \omega_1, \varphi_2 \otimes \omega_2) \mapsto (\varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2) \otimes (\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2)$$

then extended \mathcal{O}_X -linearly. This operation is denoted \wedge . However, do not let this mislead you into thinking that \wedge is antisymmetric since if $\text{rank } \mathcal{E} > 1$ then the composition in $\text{End}(\mathcal{E})$ is noncommutative. Hence $\phi \wedge \phi = 0$ is a nontrivial condition when \mathcal{E} has rank at least 2.

Remark. We refer to $\phi \wedge \phi = 0$ as the *integrability* condition. This is because we call a flat connection integrable. We will now spell out the relationship of Higgs bundles to flat connections.

There are a number of ways to motivate the definition of a Higgs bundle. My favorite is to think of them as degenerations of a flat connection where we send the nonlinear part to zero. In order to make this precise we introduce the notion of a t -connection.

Definition 2.0.2. Let X be an S -scheme. Let \mathcal{E} be a coherent sheaf on X . A t -connection on \mathcal{E} over X/S is a triple (t, \mathcal{E}, ∇) where $t : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^1$ is a global function and ∇ is a S -linear map,

$$\nabla : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_X^1$$

satisfying the t -scaled Liebniz law,

$$\nabla(fs) = tdf \otimes s + f \nabla s$$

Remark. Notice that if $t = 0$ then ∇ is \mathcal{O}_X -linear.

Definition 2.0.3. There is a natural extension of ∇ to,

$$\nabla_p : \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^p \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^{p+1}$$

defined on pure tensors as follows

$$\nabla_p(s \otimes \omega) = ts \otimes d\omega + (-1)^p \nabla s \wedge \omega$$

Then we define the curvature of ∇ ,

$$\omega_\nabla = \nabla_1 \circ \nabla$$

A straightforward calculation shows that,

$$\omega_\nabla : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_X^2$$

is \mathcal{O}_X -linear. We say that ∇ is *flat* or *integrable* if $\omega_\nabla = 0$. In this case ∇ is a differential meaning the de Rham complex,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_X^1 \xrightarrow{\nabla_1} \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_X^2 \xrightarrow{\nabla_2} \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_X^3 \rightarrow \dots$$

is actually a complex.

Remark. Notice in the case that $t = 0$ we saw ∇ is \mathcal{O}_X -linear. Call $\phi := \nabla$. Then notice,

$$\omega_\nabla(s) = \nabla_1 \circ \nabla(s) = \nabla_1 \left(\sum_i s_i \otimes \omega_i \right) = -\phi(s_i) \wedge \omega_i = -(\phi \wedge \phi)(s)$$

where,

$$\phi(s) = \sum_i s_i \otimes \omega_i$$

Therefore, $\phi \wedge \phi = 0$ if and only if the t -connection ∇ is flat.

The previous calculation shows that a t -connection is a gadget that interpolates between a flat connection on X_1 over $t = 1$ and a Higgs bundle on X_0 over $t = 0$. If we take the constant t -scheme $X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ and a constant coherent sheaf $\pi_1^* \mathcal{E}$ then a t -connection is literally just linearly interpolating between a connection on \mathcal{E} and a Higgs bundle structure on \mathcal{E} . This picture is completely functorial so we get a universal interpretation,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{M}_{\text{Dol}}(X) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{\text{Hod}}(X) & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{M}_{\text{dR}}(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{t = 0\} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{A}^1 & \longleftarrow & \{t = 1\} \end{array}$$

so we get a moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Hod}}(X)$ of flat t -connections (t, \mathcal{E}, ∇) with a \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant map,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{Hod}}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \quad (t, \mathcal{E}, \nabla) \mapsto t$$

where the \mathbb{G}_m -acts via,

$$\lambda \cdot (t, \mathcal{E}, \nabla) = (\lambda t, \mathcal{E}, \lambda \nabla)$$

3 Variation of Hodge Structures

We have seen that a Higgs bundle is morally a “linearized connection”. These arise from the somewhat artificial construction of a t -connection. However, they also arise from a much more natural object: a polarized \mathbb{C} -variation of Hodge structures.

Definition 3.0.1. A *complex variation of Hodge structures of weight k* over a algebraic (or analytic) variety S is the data $(V, V^{p,q})$ of,

- (a) a \mathbb{C} -local system V
- (b) analytic subbundles $V^{p,q}$ of $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$ with $p + q = k$

such that,

- (a) for each $s \in S$,

$$V_s = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} V_s^{p,q}$$

- (b) the subbundles,

$$F^p := \bigoplus_{i \geq p} V^{i, k-i}$$

are holomorphic and,

$$\overline{F}^q := \bigoplus_{i \leq k-q} V^{i, k-i}$$

is anti-holomorphic

- (c) let ∇ be the flat connection induced on $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$. Then,

$$\nabla_X F^p \subset F^{p-1} \quad \nabla_X \overline{F}^q \subset \overline{F}^{q-1}$$

for any local vectorfield X .

Remark. In the case of a \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Q} or \mathbb{R} -variation of Hodge structures where we have a real structure on the vectorspace the data of F^p alone is sufficient to determine the Hodge structure since \overline{F}^p is the complex conjugate and $V^{p,q} = F^p \cap \overline{F}^q$. However, without the \mathbb{R} structure we cannot recover \overline{F}^q from \overline{F}^p .

We abuse notation by letting V refer to both the underlying local system and the holomorphic vector bundle $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$ and the underlying C^∞ -vector bundle.

Definition 3.0.2. A *polarization* of a complex variation of Hodge structure $(V, V^{p,q})$ is a Hermitian form $\psi : V \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which is parallel for the flat connection ∇ satisfying the so-called Riemann bilinear relations:

- (a) the decomposition,

$$V = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} V^{p,q}$$

is orthogonal for ψ

- (b) $(-1)^{p-k} \psi$ is positive definite on $V^{p,q}$

Remark. Note that usually a polarization is given in terms of a bilinear form Q such that $\psi(u, v) = Q(u, h(i)\bar{v})$ a definite Hermitian form on each $V^{p,q}$ for which the Hodge decomposition is orthogonal.

Proposition 3.0.3. Let $(V, V^{p,q}, \nabla, \psi)$ be a complex polarized variation of Hodge structures. Then, the maps,

$$\nabla : F^p / F^{p+1} \rightarrow (F^{p-1} / F^p) \otimes \Omega_S^1$$

are linear because if f is a local section of F^p then $\nabla(sf) = s\nabla f + f \otimes ds$ but $f \otimes ds$ is a section of $F^p \otimes \Omega_S^1$ and hence zero in the quotient. Furthermore, since $F^p / F^{p+1} = V^{p,k-p}$ we get linear maps,

$$\theta^p : V^{p,k-p} \rightarrow V^{p-1,k-p+1} \otimes \Omega_S^1$$

which define a Higgs field θ on V . This defines a *graded Higgs bundle*

Remark. The vector bundles $E^{p,q} = F^p / F^{p+q}$ are holomorphic. However, the identification $V^{p,q} = F^p / F^{p+q}$ is not holomorphic in the sense that $F^p / F^{p+q} \hookrightarrow V$ is not necessarily a map of holomorphic vector bundles.

Definition 3.0.4. A graded Higgs bundle $(\bigoplus_{i+j=k} E^{i,j}, \theta)$ is a Higgs bundle on a graded holomorphic vector bundle,

$$E = \bigoplus_{i+j=k} E^{i,j}$$

where the Higgs field θ is the sum of linear maps,

$$\theta^i : E^{i,j} \rightarrow E^{i-1,j+1} \otimes \Omega_S^1$$

One of the main theorems of Hitchin and Simpson shows that this construction can be reversed. The graded Higgs bundle contains all of the information in the polarized variation of Hodge structures.

Theorem 3.0.5 (Simpson, Hitchin). The functor,

$$\{\text{complex polarized VHS } (V, V^{p,q}, \nabla, \psi)\} \xrightarrow{\text{Gr}_F^\bullet} \left\{ \text{graded Higgs bundles } \left(\bigoplus_{i+j=k} E^{i,j}, \theta \right) \right\}$$

is an equivalence of categories onto the subcategory of polystable graded Higgs bundles with trivial chern classes.

4 Stability Conditions

We fix an ample class H on X (or as Simpson does, fix a Kahler class on X).

Definition 4.0.1. The slope of a torsion-free coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} is,

$$\mu(\mathcal{F}) := \frac{c_1(\mathcal{F}) \cdot H^{n-1}}{\text{rank } \mathcal{F}}$$

Definition 4.0.2. A subsheaf $\mathcal{F} \subset E$ of a Higgs bundle (E, ϕ) is ϕ -stable if $\phi(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega_X^1$.

Definition 4.0.3. We say that a Higgs bundle (E, ψ) is,

- (a) (semi)-stable if for all ϕ -stable coherent subsheaf $0 \subsetneq \mathcal{F} \subsetneq E$ then $\mu(\mathcal{F}) < \mu(E)$ (resp. \leq)
- (b) polystable if it is a direct sum of stable Higgs bundles of the same slope.

5 Moduli Spaces

There are three Moduli problems we are concerned with:

- (a) $\mathcal{M}_B(X)$ the moduli of local systems (representations of $\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}))$)
- (b) $\mathcal{M}_{\text{dR}}(X)$ the moduli of vector bundles with flat connection
- (c) $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Dol}}(X)$ the moduli of Higgs bundles

The first two are related by the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence. We saw that there was a degeneration $\mathcal{M}_{\text{dR}} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{Dol}}$ so we should expect that their underlying topological spaces might be related. It will be more convenient to work in terms of the GIT coarse space (the corresponding good moduli spaces of these moduli stacks).

Theorem 5.0.1. There exist homeomorphisms (diffeomorphisms over the smooth loci) of the coarse moduli spaces,

$$M_{\text{Dol}}^{ss} \cong_h M_B^{ss} \cong M_{\text{dR}}$$

where M_B^{ss} coarse space parametrizing semisimple representations and M_{Dol}^{ss} is the coarse space of semistable Higgs bundles. Moreover, this restricts to homeomorphisms,

$$M_{\text{Dol}}^s \cong_h M_B^+ \cong M_{\text{dR}}^+$$

where M_B^+ is the fine character variety of irreducible representations and M_{Dol}^s is the fine moduli space of stable Higgs bundles¹ and M_{dR}^+ is the fine moduli space of irreducible vector bundles with flat connections.

Remark. The second map $M_B \cong M_{\text{dR}}$ is an isomorphism of complex analytic spaces (it is not algebraic) via the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence. However, the maps $M_{\text{Dol}}^{ss} \rightarrow M_B^{ss}$ are not. Transporting the complex structure on M_B^{ss} to M_{Dol}^{ss} gives an additional complex structure J in addition to the natural complex structure I on M_{Dol}^{ss} . These are not equal. In fact, we will show that $IJ = -JI$. Therefore if $K = IJ$ then I, J, K form a representation of the quaternions and hence M_{Dol}^{ss} becomes a hyperkahler manifold.

5.1 The Correspondence

We want to describe a map $M_{\text{dR}} \rightarrow M_{\text{Dol}}$.

Let (E, ∇) be a flat vector bundle. We choose a hermitian metric h on E . Then there is a unique decomposition,

$$\nabla = \nabla_h + \Psi$$

where ∇_h satisfies $\nabla_h h = 0$ and Ψ is self-adjoint for h . Then we get a Higgs bundle,

$$(E, \bar{\partial}_E = \nabla_h^{0,1}, \Phi = \Psi^{1,0})$$

as long as $(\nabla_h^{0,1})^2 = 0$ and $\bar{\partial}_E \Phi = 0$. These both follow from the flatness $\nabla^2 = 0$. Indeed,

$$F_{\nabla_h} + \nabla \Psi + \Psi \wedge \Psi = 0$$

¹These moduli spaces are fine since the stable/irreducible objects have non-scalar automorphisms

Going backward, if we start with $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \phi)$ then we need to recover $\nabla = \nabla_h + \Psi$. Let's assume we can recover the metric h on E that we used in the other direction. Then ∇_h is just the Chern connection and $\Psi^{0,1} = \psi$ but Ψ is self-adjoint so $\Psi = \psi + \psi_h^\dagger$. Therefore,

$$\nabla = \nabla_h + \psi + \psi_h^\dagger$$

Then the integrability condition becomes,

$$F_\nabla = F_{\nabla_h} + [\psi, \psi_h^\dagger] = 0$$

This is called the *Hitchin equation*. We will need to show that there exists a metric that solves this equation.

6 G -Higgs Bundles

What we have defined so far is a GL_r -Higgs bundle since its underlying structure is a vector bundle i.e. a principle GL_r -vector bundle. We can generalize this to any connected reductive group G .

Definition 6.0.1. Let P be a principal G -bundle and $\mathrm{ad}(P) = P \times_{\mathrm{ad}} \mathfrak{g}$ the adjoint bundle. Define an operation $[-, -]$ endowing $\mathrm{ad}(P) \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet$ with the structure of a sheaf of graded \mathcal{O}_X -algebras by,

$$(\xi_1 \otimes \omega_1, \xi_2 \otimes \omega_2) = [\xi_1, \xi_2] \otimes (\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2)$$

Definition 6.0.2. A G -Higgs bundle is a pair (P, Φ) of a principal G -bundle and a section,

$$\Phi \in H^0(X, \mathrm{ad}(P) \otimes \Omega_X^1) \quad \text{such that} \quad [\Phi, \Phi] = 0$$

7 References

8 Harmonic Bundles

We should first disambiguate "vector bundle with flat connection". On a holomorphic vector bundle E we could have two notions of a flat connection: a holomorphic connection which is a map,

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{hol}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{hol}} \otimes (\Omega_X^1)_{\mathrm{hol}}$$

satisfying the flatness condition, or a connection on the underlying smooth vector bundle,

$$\mathcal{E}_{C^\infty} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{C^\infty} \otimes (\Omega_X^1)_{C^\infty}$$

which satisfies an identical flatness condition. It is clear that the former induces the later. Much more surprisingly, this is a bijection between these two types of connections (using flatness in an essential way here!).

Indeed if (E, ∇) is a vector bundle with a flat connection we first claim that E has a canonical holomorphic structure for which ∇ is compatible. Indeed, a holomorphic structure on E is uniquely

determined by an operator $\bar{\partial}_E : \mathcal{A}^0(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{0,1}(E)$ satisfying the Leibnitz law and $(\bar{\partial}_E)^2 = 0$ so we set $\bar{\partial}_E = \nabla^{0,1}$. Since the curvature of ∇ vanishes,

$$(\nabla^{1,0} + \nabla^{0,1})^2 = 0 \implies (\nabla^{1,0})^2 = (\nabla^{0,1})^2 = \nabla^{0,1} \circ \nabla^{1,0} + \nabla^{1,0} \circ \nabla^{0,1} = 0$$

Therefore setting $\bar{\partial}_E = \nabla^{0,1}$ defines a holomorphic structure. Then I claim that $\nabla^{1,0}$ is a holomorphic connection. Indeed, we just need to check that if s is a holomorphic section of E then $\nabla^{1,0}s$ is a holomorphic section of $\Omega_X^1 \otimes E$. However, $\nabla^{1,0} \circ \nabla^{0,1} + \nabla^{0,1} \circ \nabla^{1,0} = 0$ so applying this operator to s and recalling that $\nabla^{0,1}s = 0$ by definition of a holomorphic section we get,

$$\nabla^{0,1} \circ \nabla^{1,0}s = 0$$

proving that $\nabla^{1,0}s$ is holomorphic. Thus (E, ∇) is equivalent to the data of a holomorphic vector bundle with a flat holomorphic connection.

Note that we can package the data (holomorphic structure and Higgs field) of a Higgs bundle into a tripple $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \phi)$ such that,

$$(\bar{\partial}_E + \phi)^2 = 0$$

Definition 8.0.1. A *hermitian bundle* is a pair (E, h) of a complex (smooth) vectorbundle E and a bilinear map $h : E \oplus E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which is fiberwise a hermitian metric.

Definition 8.0.2. Let (E, h) be a hermitian bundle. We say that a connection ∇ on E is *hermitian* or *unitary* if $\nabla h = 0$. Explicitly, this means for any two local sections s_1, s_2 of E ,

$$h(\nabla s_1, s_2) + h(s_1, \nabla s_2) = 0$$

Theorem 8.0.3. If (E, h) is a holomorphic hermitian bundle there is a unique hermitian connection ∇ compatible with the complex structure in the sense that $\nabla^{0,1} = \bar{\partial}_E$. We call ∇ the *Chern connection*.

Theorem 8.0.4. (a) A flat bundle V has a harmonic metric if and only if it is semisimple

(b) A Higgs bundle E has a Hermitian-Yang-Mills metric if and only if it is polystable.

(c) the Hermitian-Yang-Mills metric is harmonic if and only if $\text{char}_1(E) \cdot [\omega]^{\dim X - 1} = 0$ and $\text{char}_2(E) \cdot [\omega]^{\dim X - 2} = 0$.

Let (E, ϕ) be a Higgs bundle. We first choose a hermitian metric h on E which induces a Chern connection ∇_h . The Chern connection will likely not be flat so we modify it by the Higgs field, $\nabla' := \nabla_h + \phi$. Computing the curvature gives,

$$F_{\nabla'} = F_{\nabla_h} + \nabla\phi + \phi \wedge \phi$$

9 Moduli Spaces of Vector Bundles and Higgs Bundles

From now on let S be a base scheme (the only important case this quarter will be $S = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$ but we may talk about $S = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ or $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ next quarter).

Definition 9.0.1. Let X be an S -scheme and r an integer. Then let $\mathcal{M}(X, r)$ be the stack fibered in groupoids over \mathbf{Sch}_S with,

- (a) objects are pairs (T, \mathcal{E}) where T is an S -scheme and \mathcal{E} is a vector bundle over X_T of rank r flat over T (flatness is automatic if $X \rightarrow S$ is flat)
- (b) morphisms are pairs $(f, \alpha) : (T, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow (T', \mathcal{E}')$ of a morphism $f : T \rightarrow T'$ over S and an isomorphism $\alpha : (\text{id} \times f)^* \mathcal{E}' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}$.

Theorem 9.0.2. If $X \rightarrow S$ is proper and of finite presentation then $\mathcal{M}(X, r)$ is an algebraic stack of finite presentation over S with affine diagonal of finite presentation.

Proof. In the stacks project the stack $\mathbf{Coh}_{X/S}$ of all coherent sheaves is considered for $X \rightarrow S$ finitely presented. This is the stack fibered in groupoids over \mathbf{Sch}_S with,

- (a) objects are pairs (T, \mathcal{F}) where T is an S -scheme and \mathcal{F} is a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_{X_T} -module of finite presentation, flat over T , with support proper over T
- (b) morphism are pairs $(f, \alpha) : (T, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (T', \mathcal{F}')$ with $f : T \rightarrow T'$ and $\alpha : (\text{id} \times f)^* \mathcal{F}' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}$.

A few remarks: we just require \mathcal{F} to be of finite presentation rather than coherent (these are equivalent over noetherian test schemes) because it is preserved under base change. The properness condition is necessary for the automorphisms to be finite-dimensional² The stacks project (e.g. Tag 09DS) checks Artin's axioms to prove that $\mathbf{Coh}_{X/S}$ is an algebraic stack over S if $X \rightarrow S$ is separated and of finite presentation.

Now $\mathcal{M}(X, r) \subset \mathbf{Coh}_{X/S}$ is an open substack when $X \rightarrow S$ is additionally universally closed. Indeed, since \mathcal{F} is flat over T local freeness can be checked on fibers hence we just need to show that the locus on T where \mathcal{F} is locally free on the fiber is open and indeed since the locus where \mathcal{F} on $X_T \rightarrow T$ is a vector bundle of rank r is open on X_T the locus on T is open since the $X_T \rightarrow T$ is closed.

Moreover, $\mathbf{Coh}_{X/S} \rightarrow S$ is locally of finite presentation because it is limit preserving (one of Artin's axioms) which one shows via spreading out vector bundles. The diagonal is affine and finitely presented because the isom space,

$$\text{Isom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) : T/S \mapsto \text{Isom}_T(\mathcal{F}_T, \mathcal{G}_T)$$

between finitely presented \mathcal{O}_X -modules is representable by a scheme affine and of finite presentation over T (see Tag 08K9). \square

Now we want to discuss the moduli of vector bundles with connections. We need the notion of a relative connection.

Definition 9.0.3. Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of schemes. Then an S -connection on a vector bundle \mathcal{E} over X is an $f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S$ -linear map,

$$\nabla : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Omega_{X/S}^1 \otimes \mathcal{E}$$

satisfying the Leibnitz rule. The $f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S$ -linearity is automatic from the form of the Leibnitz law and the definition of $\Omega_{X/S}$.

²For example the stack \mathcal{X} of all vector bundles of rank r on \mathbb{A}^1 does not form an algebraic stack because it is limit-preserving since a vector bundle on $(\varprojlim T_i) \times \mathbb{A}^1$ in an inverse system of affine schemes $\{T_i\}$ is defined over some $T_i \times \mathbb{A}^1$ and the morphisms are given by the colimit by spreading out. Therefore, by Tag 0CXI if \mathcal{X} were algebraic then $\Delta_{\mathcal{X}}$ would be representable by locally finite type algebraic spaces hence the isomorphism schemes would be finite dimensional. However, the infinitesimal automorphisms of \mathcal{O}_X on \mathbb{A}^1 is infinite dimensional.

Definition 9.0.4. Let $\mathcal{M}_{\text{dR}}(X, r)$ be the stack fibered in groupoids over \mathbf{Sch}_S with,

- (a) objects are (T, \mathcal{E}, ∇) where T is an S -scheme and \mathcal{E} is a vector bundle over X_T of rank r flat over T and ∇ is a flat T -connection on \mathcal{E}
- (b) morphisms $(f, \alpha) : (T, \mathcal{E}, \nabla) \rightarrow (T', \mathcal{E}', \nabla')$ are pairs $f : T \rightarrow T'$ and $\alpha : (\text{id} \times f)^* \mathcal{E}' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}$ an isomorphism such that,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\text{id} \times f)^* \mathcal{E}' & \xrightarrow{\nabla'} & (\text{id} \times f)^* \mathcal{E}' \otimes \Omega_{X_T/T}^1 \\ \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \alpha \otimes \text{id} \\ \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{X_T/T}^1 \end{array}$$

commutes³

Lemma 9.0.5. Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a morphism of algebraic stacks over S . Suppose that \mathcal{P} is a property of morphisms of algebraic stacks such that,

- (a) \mathcal{P} is preserved under base change and composition
- (b) \mathcal{P} holds for f
- (c) \mathcal{P} holds for $\Delta_{\mathcal{Y}/S}$

then \mathcal{P} holds for $\Delta_{\mathcal{X}/S}$.

Proof. Consider the diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\mathcal{X}/S}} & \mathcal{X} \times_S \mathcal{X} \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow f \times f \\ \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\mathcal{Y}/S}} & \mathcal{Y} \times_S \mathcal{Y} \end{array}$$

Then factoring $\Delta_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ via the graph we see that $\Delta_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ is the composition of the base change of the diagonal of $f \times f$ and the base change of $(\Delta_{\mathcal{Y}/S} \circ f)$ all of which satisfy \mathcal{P} . \square

Proposition 9.0.6. Let $X \rightarrow S$ be proper and of finite presentation with $\Omega_{X/S}^1$ flat over S (e.g. if $X \rightarrow S$ is smooth). Then $\mathcal{M}_{\text{dR}}(X, r)$ is an algebraic stack of finite type over S with affine diagonal.

Proof. We will show that the forgetful map $\mathcal{M}_{\text{dR}}(X, r) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(X, r)$ is affine and finite presentation (in particular representable). Then any smooth presentation of $\mathcal{M}(X, r)$ gives a smooth presentation of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{dR}}(X, r)$ by base change. Then we apply the lemma with \mathcal{P} being “affine and of finite presentation” to the map $\mathcal{M}_{\text{dR}}(X, r) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(X, r)$.

We need to show that for any test scheme $T \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(X, r)$ that $\mathcal{M}_{\text{dR}}(X, r) \times_{\mathcal{M}(X, r)} T \rightarrow T$ is affine and of finite presentation. Indeed the test map fixes a vector bundle \mathcal{E} on X_T of rank r and we need to consider the functor,

$$T'/T \mapsto \{\nabla \text{ integrable } T'\text{-connection on } \mathcal{E}_{T'}\}$$

To understand this, recall that T' -connections on $\mathcal{E}_{T'}$ correspond to sections of the sequence,

³Given a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and a vector bundle (\mathcal{E}, ∇) with connection on Y there is a pullback connection $(f^* \mathcal{E}, f^* \nabla)$ defined as the unique connection such that $(f^* \nabla)(f^* s) = f^*(\nabla s)$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{T'} \otimes \Omega_{X'_T/T'}^1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{X/S}^1(\mathcal{E})_{T'} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{T'} \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\nabla = j - \sigma$. Therefore, the integrable connections correspond to the pullback along,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{T'}(\mathcal{E}_{T'}, \mathcal{P}_{X/S}^1(\mathcal{E})_{T'}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{T'}(\mathcal{E}_{T'}, \mathcal{E}_{T'} \otimes \Omega_{X'_T/T'}^2) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{T'}(\mathcal{E}_{T'}, \mathcal{E}_{T'})$$

of the section $(0, \mathrm{id})$ (note the curvature map is nonlinear). Furthermore, these sheaves are all pulled back along $X_{T'} \rightarrow X_T$. Since the pullback of a section induces the pullback connection and $f^*F_\nabla = F_{f^*\nabla}$ so this is a map of sheaves. Then the functor is the pullback along,

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{P}_{X/S}^1(\mathcal{E})_T) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{X_T/T}^2) \times \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$$

but since these sheaves are finitely presented (since $X \rightarrow S$ is finitely presented), flat over T , and with proper support over T these functors are representable by schemes (Tag 08K6) affine and finitely-presented over T hence so is the pullback of T along this map since the map is also affine and finitely presented. \square

Remark. Taking moduli of relative connections is necessary if we want $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{dR}}$ to even be a stack! Indeed, the functor of all connections on \mathcal{E} does not satisfy the fppf sheaf condition. Indeed this is for the same reason that $T \mapsto H^0(T, \Omega_T)$ is not a sheaf in the fppf topology in positive characteristic (it is a sheaf for the étale and hence smooth topology). Interestingly in characteristic zero it is a sheaf in the h -topology restricted to smooth schemes but not in general. However, more problematically $T \mapsto H^0(T, \Omega_T)$ is never representable. Indeed, the functor is limit preserving so its representing object would have to be finite type but it has exactly one closed point (lets work with the case $S = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$) but it has nontrivial maps from \mathbb{A}^1 so it cannot be represented by an Artin ring.

Now we consider the moduli problem of t -connections. There are two ways one might approach this that boil down to the question: should the k -points of this moduli space allow for t to be a function or should it take on a constant value e.g. if X is a t -scheme over k we could imagine a k -point corresponds to a t -connection over X . This is not what we want because it does equip our moduli space with a fibration over \mathbb{A}^1 . Instead, we will let the t -scheme structure arise from the test scheme so the stack will be fibered over the category of t -schemes i.e. $\mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{A}_S^1}$. Categorically, this amounts to nothing more than changing our base scheme from S to \mathbb{A}_S^1 . Then we can define the moduli problem.

Definition 9.0.7. Let $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Hod}}(X, r)$ be the stack fibered in groupoids over $\mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{A}_S^1}$ with,

- (a) objects are (T, \mathcal{E}, ∇) where T is an \mathbb{A}_S^1 -scheme and \mathcal{E} is a vector bundle over X_T of rank r flat over T and ∇ is a flat t -connection on \mathcal{E} relative to T
- (b) morphisms $(f, \alpha) : (T, \mathcal{E}, \nabla) \rightarrow (T', \mathcal{E}', \nabla')$ are pairs $f : T \rightarrow T'$ and $\alpha : (\mathrm{id} \times f)^*\mathcal{E}' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}$ an isomorphism such that,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathrm{id} \times f)^*\mathcal{E}' & \xrightarrow{\nabla'} & (\mathrm{id} \times f)^*\mathcal{E}' \otimes \Omega_{X_T/T}^1 \\ \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \alpha \otimes \mathrm{id} \\ \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{X_T/T}^1 \end{array}$$

commutes⁴

⁴Given a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and a vector bundle (\mathcal{E}, ∇) with connection on Y there is a pullback connection $(f^*\mathcal{E}, f^*\nabla)$ defined as the unique connection such that $(f^*\nabla)(f^*s) = f^*(\nabla s)$

Remark. Because the t -parameter arises from a map $T \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ and the t -connection is relative to T we treat t like a constant when applying ∇ there is no dt term that appears.

Proposition 9.0.8. Let $X \rightarrow S$ be proper and of finite presentation with $\Omega_{X/S}^1$ flat over S (e.g. if $X \rightarrow S$ is smooth). Then $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Hod}}(X, r)$ is an algebraic stack of finite type over S with affine diagonal.

Proof. As before, we will show that the forgetful map $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Hod}}(X, r) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(X, r) \times \mathbb{A}_S^1$ is affine and finite presentation (in particular representable). We need to show that for any test t -scheme $T \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(X, r)$ that $\mathcal{M}_{\text{dR}}(X, r) \times_{\mathcal{M}(X, r)} T \rightarrow T$ is affine and of finite presentation. Indeed this fixes the data (T, t, \mathcal{E}) where \mathcal{E} is a vector bundle on X_T of rank r and $t : T \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^1$. We need to show that the functor,

$$T'/T \mapsto \{\nabla \text{ integrable } t\text{-connection on } \mathcal{E}_{T'} \text{ over } T'\}$$

is representable. To understand this, check that t -connections on $\mathcal{E}_{T'}$ over T' correspond not to sections of,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{T'} \otimes \Omega_{X'_T/T'}^1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{X/S}^1(\mathcal{E})_{T'} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{T'} \longrightarrow 0$$

but to maps σ such that $\pi \circ \sigma = t$ is the multiplication by t map where we set $\nabla = t \cdot j - \sigma$. Therefore, the integrable connections correspond to the pullback along,

$$\text{Hom}_{T'}(\mathcal{E}_{T'}, \mathcal{P}_{X/S}^1(\mathcal{E})_{T'}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{T'}(\mathcal{E}_{T'}, \mathcal{E}_{T'} \otimes \Omega_{X'_T/T'}^2) \times \text{Hom}_{T'}(\mathcal{E}_{T'}, \mathcal{E}_{T'})$$

of the section $(0, t)$. Furthermore, these sheaves are all pulled back along $X_{T'} \rightarrow X_T$. Since the pullback of a section induces the pullback connection and $f^*F_\nabla = F_{f*\nabla}$ so this is a map of sheaves. Therefore the functor is the pullback along,

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{P}_{X/S}^1(\mathcal{E})_T) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{X_T/T}^2) \times \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$$

but since these sheaves are finitely presented (since $X \rightarrow S$ is finitely presented), flat over T , and with proper support over T these functors are representable by schemes (Tag 08K6) affine and finitely-presented over T hence so is the pullback of T along this map since the map is also affine and finitely presented. \square

Remark. Alternatively, we could describe t -connections as sections of the sequence obtained by pulling back along $\mathcal{E}_{T'} \xrightarrow{t} \mathcal{E}_{T'}$,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{T'} \otimes \Omega_{X'_T/T'}^1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{X/S}^t(\mathcal{E})_{T'} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{T'} \longrightarrow 0$$

where explicitly $\mathcal{P}_{X/S}^t(\mathcal{E})_{T'}$ is the kernel of $\mathcal{P}_{X/S}^1(\mathcal{E})_{T'} \oplus \mathcal{E}_{T'} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{T'}$ via $\pi - t$ so that a section of this new sequence is a map $\sigma : \mathcal{E}_{T'} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{X/S}^1(\mathcal{E})_{T'}$ and a map $\lambda : \mathcal{E}_{T'} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{T'}$ so that (σ, λ) is a section meaning that $\lambda = \text{id}$ and that lands in $\mathcal{P}_{X/S}^t(\mathcal{E})_{T'}$ meaning $\pi \circ \sigma = t$. Under this interpretation we need to consider the pullback of $(0, \text{id})$ along,

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{P}_{X/S}^t(\mathcal{E})_T) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E} \otimes g^*\Omega_{X/S}^2) \times \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$$

but why is the first representable? We need to show that $\mathcal{P}_{X/S}^t(\mathcal{E})$ is finitely presented and flat over S (we already know its support is proper over S). It is not generally true that the kernel of a surjection between finitely-presented modules is finitely-presented. However, this is true if the quotient is flat since then the sequence is locally split (using that finitely presented flat modules are projective).

9.1 Framed Moduli

For representations $\pi \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$ we often instead consider the moduli space of *framed representations* meaning without moding out by conjugation (not taking maps up to isomorphism but rather just the set of group homomorphisms). This has the advantage of having a moduli problem representable by a scheme rather than a stack. Note, when $\pi = \pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}))$ we need to pick a basepoint $x \in X$ since otherwise this group is only defined up to conjugation so the set of maps is not well-defined.

This framing for representations of π_1 corresponds to *framed local systems* meaning local systems \mathcal{L} equipped with an isomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{L}_x \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}^r$. As long as X is connected, this rigidifies the problem since $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}') \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{L}_x, \mathcal{L}'_x)$ is injective because the sheaves are locally constant.

9.2 Coarse Spaces