

# Mathematics GR6657 Algebraic Number Theory

## Assignment # 5

Benjamin Church

April 6, 2018

1. Let  $G$  be a group. Consider the map  $\Psi : \mathbb{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  given by,

$$\Psi : \sum_{g \in G} n_g g \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} n_g$$

Take  $I_G = \ker \Psi$ . Consider the map,

$$\Phi : G \rightarrow I_G/I_G^2 \quad \text{given by} \quad g \mapsto (g - 1) \pmod{I_G^2}$$

First, we need to show that  $\Phi$  is a homomorphism,

$$g_1 g_2 \mapsto (g_1 g_2 - 1) = (g_1 - 1)(g_2 - 1) + (g_1 - 1) + (g_2 - 1) \equiv (g_1 - 1) + (g_2 - 1) \pmod{I_G^2}$$

since  $(g_1 - 1)(g_2 - 1) \in I_G^2$ . Given an element,

$$\sum_{i=1}^r n_i g_i \quad \text{such that} \quad \sum_{i=1}^r n_i = 0$$

we can write,

$$\sum_{i=1}^r n_i g_i = \sum_{i=1}^r (n_i g_i - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^r n_i = \sum_{i=1}^r (n_i g_i - 1)$$

and we know that,

$$\Phi : \prod_{i=1}^r g_i^{n_i} \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^r n_i (g_i - 1)$$

so  $\Phi$  is surjective. Furthermore,  $I_G/I_G^2$  is an abelian group so the map  $\Phi : G \rightarrow I_G/I_G^2$  factors through  $G^{\text{ab}} = G/[G, G]$ . Take the map  $\Phi^{\text{ab}} : G^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow I_G/I_G^2$ . We construct an inverse map by  $\Xi : I_G \rightarrow G^{\text{ab}}$  given by  $\Xi : (g - 1) \mapsto g$  which is well defined because both groups are abelian so the map is invariant under reordering. Consider any product,

$$(g_1 - 1)(g_2 - 1) = (g_1 g_2 - 1) - (g_1 - 1) - (g_2 - 1) \mapsto g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1} g_2^{-1} \in [G, G]$$

so  $\Xi$  is trivial on  $I_G^2$ . Equivalently, we see that the kernel of  $\Phi$  sending elements into  $I_G^2$  is generated by commutators so  $\Phi^{\text{ab}}$  is injective. Continuing, we find that  $\Xi$  factors through the quotient as a map,

$$\Xi : I_G/I_G^2 \mapsto G^{\text{ab}} \quad \text{acting as} \quad \Xi : (g - 1) \mapsto g$$

which is clearly and inverse of  $\Phi^{\text{ab}}$ . Therefore,  $\Phi^{\text{ab}}$  is an isomorphism.

2. Let  $G$  be a finite group. Set  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[G]$  and consider the map,

$$\Psi : \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda, B) \rightarrow \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B \quad \text{given by} \quad \Psi : \varphi \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} g \otimes \varphi(g)$$

First, suppose that,

$$\Psi(\varphi) = \sum_{g \in G} g \otimes \varphi(g) = 0$$

then each term is zero and thus  $\varphi(g) = 0$  which means that  $\varphi = 0$ . Therefore,  $\Phi$  is injective. Furthermore, for  $g \in G$  and  $h \in B$ , consider the map,

$$\delta_g^h(x) = \begin{cases} h & x = g \\ 0 & x \neq g \end{cases}$$

This is a morphism of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules  $\mathbb{Z}[G] \rightarrow B$ . Furthermore,

$$\Psi : \delta_g^h \mapsto \sum_{x \in G} g \otimes \delta_g^h(x) = g \otimes h$$

which implies that,

$$\Psi : \sum_{g,h} n_{g,h} \delta_g^h \mapsto \sum_{g,h} n_{g,h} g \otimes h$$

and thus  $\Psi$  is surjective. It remains to check that  $\Phi$  is a morphism of  $\Lambda$ -modules.

$$\Psi(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2) = \sum_{g \in G} g \otimes (\varphi_1(g) + \varphi_2(g)) = \sum_{g \in G} g \otimes \varphi_1(g) + \sum_{g \in G} g \otimes \varphi_2(g) = \Psi(\varphi_1) + \Psi(\varphi_2)$$

Furthermore,

$$\Phi(h \cdot \varphi) = \sum_{g \in G} g \otimes \varphi(h^{-1}g) = \sum_{g' \in G} hg' \otimes \varphi(g') = h \cdot \sum_{g' \in G} g' \otimes \varphi(g') = h \cdot \Phi(\varphi)$$

so  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism of  $\Lambda$  modules.

3.

**Theorem 0.1** (Tate). *Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $C$  be a  $G$ -module. Suppose that all (not necessarily proper) subgroups  $H$  of  $G$  satisfy  $G^1(H, C) = 0$  and  $H^2(H, C)$  is cyclic of order  $|H|$ . Then, there is an isomorphism,*

$$\hat{H}^r(G, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \hat{H}^{r+2}(G, C)$$

*depending only on the choice of a generator for  $H^2(G, C)$ .*

*Proof.* I will follow Milne's notes on Class Field Theory (p. 81 - 82). First we choose a generator  $\gamma$  of the cyclic group  $H^2(G, C)$  which when restricted to any subgroup  $H$  must also generate  $H^2(H, C)$ . Given a cocycle  $\varphi \in C_2(G, C) = \text{Hom}_G(\mathbb{Z}[G \times G], C)$  which goes to the generator of  $H^2(G, C)$  when homology is taken we can define a  $G$ -module,

$$C(\varphi) = C \oplus \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} [x_{\sigma}] \mathbb{Z}$$

Where  $G$  acts on the free group by its action on the basis symbols,

$$\sigma \cdot x_\tau = x_{\sigma \circ \tau} - x_\sigma + \varphi(\sigma, \tau)$$

An easy computation shows that this defines an action of  $G$  on  $C(\varphi)$ . We now need to show that,

$$H^1(H, C(\varphi)) = H^2(H, C(\varphi)) = 0$$

with the action defined above. We have a short exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow I_G \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

since  $I_G$  is the kernel of the map  $\mathbb{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  and is thus generated by elements of the form  $\sigma - 1$  for  $\sigma \in G \setminus \{1\}$ . We know that  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$  is an induced module and thus  $H^r(G, \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$  for all  $r \geq 1$ . We can define a map  $\alpha : C(\varphi) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G]$  such that,  $\alpha(c) = 0$  for  $c \in C$  and  $\alpha(x_\sigma) = \sigma - 1$ . Which gives rise to a short exact sequence of  $G$ -modules,

$$0 \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow C(\varphi) \xrightarrow{\alpha} I_G \longrightarrow 0$$

This short exact sequence of  $G$ -modules gives rise to a long exact sequence of homology,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^0(H, C) & \rightarrow & H^0(H, C(\varphi)) & \rightarrow & H^0(H, I_G) & \rightarrow & H^1(H, C) \rightarrow H^1(H, C(\varphi)) \rightarrow H^1(H, I_G) \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & & & \rightarrow H^2(H, C) \rightarrow H^2(H, C(\varphi)) \rightarrow H^2(H, I_G) \longrightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

However, we know that  $H^1(H, C) = 0$  and  $H^2(H, I_G) \cong H^1(H, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . Therefore, we have the exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(H, C(\varphi)) \longrightarrow H^1(H, I_G) \longrightarrow H^2(H, C) \longrightarrow H^2(H, C(\varphi)) \longrightarrow 0$$

We use this sequence to argue that  $H^1(G, C(\varphi)) = H^2(G, C(\varphi)) = 0$  and therefore that all the cohomology groups vanish because  $G$  is finite.

As we have already seen, there are exact sequences,

$$0 \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow C(\varphi) \xrightarrow{\alpha} I_G \longrightarrow 0$$

and,

$$0 \longrightarrow I_G \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

which together give a sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow C(\varphi) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}[G] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

---

<sup>1</sup> $\mathbb{Z}[G] \cong \text{Ind}_1^G(\mathbb{Z})$  so by Shapiro's Lemma,  $H^r(G, \text{Ind}_1^G(\mathbb{Z})) \cong H^r(1, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$

which remains exact by a homology computation. However, we know that  $H^r(G, C(\varphi)) = 0$  and  $H^r(G, \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$  so the map  $H^r(G, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{r+2}(G, C)$  is an isomorphism by proposition 1.13 in Milne.

□