

Nonvanishing 1-forms on varieties admitting a good minimal model.

December 5, 2024

1 Talk

We start with a theorem of Popa and Schnell that I will state via the contrapositive of the usual fashion:

Theorem 1.0.1 (Popa-Schnell '14). If X is a smooth projective variety carrying a 1-form $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ with no zeros then $\kappa(X) \leq n - 1$.

This shows that having a 1-form with no zeros constrains the geometry of X . However, we expect there should be a much more stringent restriction on those varieties with $\kappa(X) \leq n - 1$ that actually do carry a 1-form with no zeros.

Question: How do you produce 1-forms, they always arises by pulling back along a map $f : X \rightarrow A$ (say to the Albanese). The 1-form will have no zeros if f is smooth. So we might guess that every nonvanishing 1-form arises from the pullback along a smooth map to an abelian variety.

Example 1.0.2. This is not true: let $X = E \times C$ where C is any curve of genus $g \geq 2$ such that E is not an isogeny factor of $\text{Jac}(C)$. Then the only smooth map to an abelian variety is $f : X \rightarrow E$. However $\pi_1\omega_E + \pi_2\omega_C$ are all nonvanishing for any nonzero ω_E and any ω_C . The only one pulled back from f are of the form ω_E . Therefore, we have to be careful. It seems that having a nonvanishing 1-form ω implied that some smooth map to an abelian variety exists but ω may not be pulled back along it. Indeed, you have to deform ω (by taking $\omega_C \rightarrow 0$ in this case) to get it as a pullback from a smooth map.

However, this is still not enough.

Example 1.0.3. Let E_1, E_2 are nonisogenous elliptic curves. Let X be the blowup of $E_1 \times E_2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ along $E_1 \times \{0\} \times \{0\}$ and $\{0\} \times E_2 \times \{\infty\}$. Then the pullback of $\pi_1\omega_1 + \pi_2\omega_2$ to X has no zeros. However, there is no “diagonal map” to an elliptic curve since E_1, E_2 are not isogenous. Indeed, the only smooth maps to abelian varieties are (up to composition with an isogeny) the projections $X \rightarrow E_i$ and both are not smooth since they have reducible fiber along the exceptional.

Therefore the best we could do is the following conjecture of Hao and Schreieder:

Conjecture 1.0.4 (Hao-Schreieder '21, A). Let X be a smooth projective variety and $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ a 1-form with no zeros. Then there is a diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \dashrightarrow & X' \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & A & \end{array}$$

where $X \dashrightarrow X'$ is a birational modification and $X' \rightarrow A$ is a smooth map to an abelian variety.

Furthermore, they conjecture that when $\kappa(X) \geq 0$ we can choose $X' \rightarrow A$ to be isotrivial

Remark. When I say “isotrivial” I mean the stronger assumption than $X \rightarrow Y$ is an analytic / étale fiber bundle, I mean it is trivial by a *finite* étale cover $Y' \rightarrow Y$. This is always true for constant families of curves of genus $g \geq 1$ over a regular base. But it already fails for smooth conic bundles over a surface (e.g. any nontrivial Brauer class on a K3).

Conjecture 1.0.5 (Hao-Schreieder '21, B). With the assumptions as above, if moreover, $\kappa(X) \geq 0$ then there is a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \dashrightarrow & X' \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & A & \end{array}$$

where $X \dashrightarrow X'$ is a birational modification and $X' \rightarrow A$ is a smooth isotrivial map (meaning it is an analytic fiber bundle and moreover is trivialized by an isogeny $A' \rightarrow A$).

It turns out our work will also have applications to the case where, instead of assuming there is a nonvanishing 1-form, we assume that we are given a map $f : X \rightarrow A$ that is close to smooth.

Conjecture 1.0.6 (Meng-Popa '21, C). Let $f : X \rightarrow A$ be an algebraic fiber space, with X a smooth projective variety and $\kappa(X) \geq 0$ (equivalently by their work $\kappa(F) \geq 0$ for the general fiber). If f is smooth away from codimension 2 in A then there is a birational modification

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \dashrightarrow & X' \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & A & \end{array}$$

so that $X' \rightarrow A$ is a smooth isotrivial fiber bundle. Equivalently $X \rightarrow A$ is birationally trivialized after an isogeny $A' \rightarrow A$.

From the example, we can see that we had to blow up to make a birational modification necessary. Therefore, Nathan, Hao, and I conjectured that:

Conjecture 1.0.7 (Chen-C-Hao '23, D). If X has a nonvanishing 1-form and moreover X is minimal then there is a smooth isotrivial map $X \rightarrow A$.

Theorem 1.0.8 (C '24). These conjectures hold under the assumption that X admits a good minimal model (exists $X \dashrightarrow X'$ such that $K_{X'}$ is semiample, in particular we must have $\kappa(X) \geq 0$).

Corollary 1.0.9. Suppose $\kappa(X) \geq 0$ if moreover one of

- (a) $\dim X - \kappa(X) \leq 4$
- (b) $f : X \rightarrow \text{Alb}_X$ has generic fiber (over its image) of dimension ≤ 3

then the conjectures hold.

Notice that because we had to assume $\kappa(X) \geq 0$ to get a minimal model, our theorem says nothing about Conjecture A when X is uniruled. Our main technical theorem partially rectifies this issue.

Theorem 1.0.10. Let X be a smooth projective variety equipped with a map $f : X \rightarrow A$ to an abelian variety satisfying and there are 1-forms $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g \in H^0(A, \Omega_A)$ such that $f^*\omega_1, \dots, f^*\omega_g$ are independent pointwise. Assume the base Y of the MRC fibration $X \dashrightarrow Y$ admits a good minimal model. Then there exists a quotient with connected kernel $q : A \rightarrow B$ to an abelian variety B of dimension $\geq g$ and a birational map $Y \dashrightarrow Z \times^G B'$ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & f & & \\ & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowright & \\ X & \dashrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & A \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\ & & Z \times^G B' & \longrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

commute. Here, $B' \rightarrow B$ is an isogeny with kernel G , and Z is a smooth projective variety with a G -action.

1.1 Proof of the Main Result

There are two main ingredients in the proof. Here is a sketch of the argument:

- (a) the Iitaka fiber $F \rightarrow Y \dashrightarrow S$ has image in A an abelian variety B of dimension $\geq g$
- (b) choose a good minimal model Y' of Y then the Iitaka fibration

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y' & \xrightarrow{f} & B \times S \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ S & & \end{array}$$

over the locus $U \subset S$ where the fibers are at worst klt is a (weak) Calabi-Yau fibration. In this case prove that: $Y'_U \cong Z \times^G B'$ for an isogeny $B' \rightarrow B$ with kernel G where $Z = f^{-1}(0) \cap Y'_U$

- (c) choose a G -equivariant smooth compactification $Z \hookrightarrow \bar{Z}$ thus Y'_U is an open set of $\bar{Z} \times^G B'$ which is a smooth variety with an obvious smooth isotrivial map

$$\bar{Z} \times^G B' \rightarrow B$$

hence giving our diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & f & & \\ & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowright & \\ X & \dashrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & A \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\ & & Z \times^G B' & \longrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

1.2 Step (a)

Suppose that Y itself admits g poinwise independent 1-forms. Consider the following diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \widetilde{Y} & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Alb}_X & \longrightarrow & B \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & S & \dashrightarrow & Q & & \end{array}$$

where $Q = \mathrm{coker}(\mathrm{Alb}_F \rightarrow A)$ (recall that since $\kappa(F) = 0$ Kawamata proves that $F \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Alb}_F$) since $\widetilde{Y} \rightarrow B$ contracts the general fiber of $\widetilde{Y} \rightarrow S$ by definition, rigidity shows that there is a rational map $S \dashrightarrow Q$. Hence the map $Y \rightarrow Q$ factors birationally through the Iitaka fibration. Now we use the full power of Popa-Schnell

Theorem 1.2.1 (PS '14). Let $f : X \rightarrow A$ be a morphism from a smooth projective variety to an abelian variety. If $H^0(X, \omega_X^{\otimes n} \otimes f^* \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \neq 0$ for some ample $\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}(A)$ and some $n > 0$ then every $Z(f^* \omega) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\omega \in H^0(A, \Omega_A)$.

Let $W \subset H^0(A, \Omega_A)$ be spanned by the $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g$. Then the above theoem shows that $W \cap H^0(Q, \Omega_Q) = \{0\}$ so $\dim Q + g \leq \dim A$ proving the claim.

But since $X \dashrightarrow Y$ is a rational map, its' not actually clear that $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g$ are independent everywhere on Y . We need a slight improvement of PS14.

Theorem 1.2.2. Let $f : X \rightarrow A$ be in \mathbf{Var}_A . Consider the sheaf of k -forms killed by $-\wedge f^* \omega$ for all $\omega \in H^0(A, \Omega_A)$

$$P\Omega_X^k := \ker(\Omega_X^k \rightarrow \Omega_X^{k+1} \otimes H^0(A, \Omega_A^\vee))$$

Suppose there is a line bundle $\mathcal{N} \hookrightarrow P\Omega_X^k$ and an ample $\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}(A)$ so that $H^0(X, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes d} \otimes f^* \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \neq 0$ for some $d \geq 1$. Then f does not satisfy $(*)_1$ i.e. every $\omega \in H^0(A, \Omega_A)$ has nonempty $Z(f^* \omega) \neq \emptyset$.

I claim that $X \rightarrow Q$ will satisfy the assumption of this result. Indeed, $m^* \omega_Y \hookrightarrow P\Omega_X^{\dim Y}$ and is positive for $Y \rightarrow Q$ because this factors through Iitaka.

1.3 Step (b)

Theorem 1.3.1. Let $g : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow S$ be a flat projective family of pairs over a locally noetherian reduced base scheme S of pure characteristic zero whose fibers satisfy

- (a) (X_s, Δ_s) are klt pairs (in particular the fibers are integral with $K_{X_s} + \Delta_s$ a \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor)
- (b) $K_{X_s} + \Delta_{X_s} \equiv_{\mathrm{num}} 0$

equipped with a surjective S -morphism $g : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ where $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ is a polarized abelian scheme. Let $Z = f^{-1}(0_A)$. Then there is an isogeny $\pi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & \\ & & & & \\ Z \times_S \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{\quad \tilde{\sigma} \quad} & X \times_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B} \\ & \searrow \sigma & \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ & & X & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{A} \end{array}$$

the unique map $\tilde{\sigma} : Z \times \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\sim} X \times_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B}$ induced by the action is an isomorphism. Hence there is an S -isomorphism $X \cong Z \times_S^G \mathcal{B}$ where $G = \ker(\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A})$.