Problem 1.

Proof. We prove by induction that for all integers n > 10,

$$n-2 < \frac{n^2 - n}{12}.$$

Base Case: n = 11

$$11 - 2 < \frac{11^2 - 11}{12} \implies 9 < \frac{110}{12} \implies 9 < 9.166$$
 (True).

Inductive Step: Assume for some k > 10 that

$$k-2<\frac{k^2-k}{12}.$$

We show for k + 1:

$$(k+1)-2 < \frac{(k+1)^2 - (k+1)}{12} \implies k-1 < \frac{k^2 + k}{12}.$$

Proof: From the inductive hypothesis,

$$k-2 < \frac{k^2 - k}{12}.$$

Add 1 to both sides:

$$k-1 < \frac{k^2 - k}{12} + 1.$$

Now observe that

$$\frac{k^2 - k}{12} + 1 \le \frac{k^2 + k}{12} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad 1 \le \frac{2k}{12} \implies 6 \le k.$$

Since k > 10, this inequality holds. Therefore,

$$k-1 < \frac{k^2 - k}{12} + 1 \le \frac{k^2 + k}{12},$$

which completes the inductive step.

By mathematical induction, the statement holds for all integers n > 10.

Problem 2.

Proof. We prove by induction that for all integers $n \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{i} > \frac{2n\sqrt{n}}{3}.$$

Base Case: n=1

$$\sum_{i=1}^{1} \sqrt{i} = \sqrt{1} = 1, \quad \frac{2 \cdot 1 \cdot \sqrt{1}}{3} = \frac{2}{3}, \quad 1 > \frac{2}{3}.$$

The base case holds.

Inductive Step: Assume for some $k \ge 1$ that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sqrt{i} > \frac{2k\sqrt{k}}{3}.$$

We show for k + 1:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \sqrt{i} > \frac{2(k+1)\sqrt{k+1}}{3}.$$

Starting from the left side:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \sqrt{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sqrt{i} + \sqrt{k+1} > \frac{2k\sqrt{k}}{3} + \sqrt{k+1}.$$

It suffices to show that:

$$\frac{2k\sqrt{k}}{3} + \sqrt{k+1} \ge \frac{2(k+1)\sqrt{k+1}}{3}.$$

Multiply both sides by 3:

$$2k\sqrt{k} + 3\sqrt{k+1} \ge 2(k+1)\sqrt{k+1}$$
.

Rearrange terms:

$$2k\sqrt{k} \ge 2(k+1)\sqrt{k+1} - 3\sqrt{k+1} = (2k-1)\sqrt{k+1}.$$

Square both sides (valid since all terms are positive for $k \ge 1$):

$$(2k\sqrt{k})^2 \ge ((2k-1)\sqrt{k+1})^2,$$

$$4k^2 \cdot k \ge (2k-1)^2 \cdot (k+1),$$

$$4k^3 \ge (4k^2 - 4k + 1)(k+1).$$

Expand the right side:

$$4k^3 > 4k^3 + 4k^2 - 4k^2 - 4k + k + 1 = 4k^3 - 3k + 1.$$

Subtract $4k^3$ from both sides:

$$0 \ge -3k+1 \iff 3k \ge 1 \iff k \ge \frac{1}{3}$$
.

Since $k \geq 1$, this inequality holds. Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \sqrt{i} > \frac{2(k+1)\sqrt{k+1}}{3},$$

which completes the inductive step.

By mathematical induction, the statement holds for all integers $n \geq 1$.

Problem 3.

Proof. We prove by induction that for all integers $n \geq 0$,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} i^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}.$$

Base Case (n=0):

$$\sum_{i=0}^{0} i^2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{0(0+1)(2\cdot 0+1)}{6} = 0.$$

Thus, the base case holds.

Inductive Step: Assume that for some $k \geq 0$,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} i^2 = \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{6}.$$

We will show that the statement holds for k + 1, that is,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} i^2 = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)(2k+3)}{6}.$$

Starting from the left-hand side:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} i^2 &= \sum_{i=0}^k i^2 + (k+1)^2 \\ &= \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{6} + (k+1)^2 \\ &= (k+1) \left[\frac{k(2k+1)}{6} + (k+1) \right] \\ &= (k+1) \left[\frac{k(2k+1) + 6(k+1)}{6} \right] \\ &= (k+1) \left[\frac{2k^2 + k + 6k + 6}{6} \right] \\ &= (k+1) \left[\frac{2k^2 + 7k + 6}{6} \right] \\ &= \frac{(k+1)(k+2)(2k+3)}{6}. \end{split}$$

This completes the inductive step.

By mathematical induction, the statement holds for all integers $n \geq 0$.

Problem 4.

Proof. We prove by **strong induction** that every integer n > 1 is either prime or can be written as a product of prime numbers.

Base Case: (n=2) The number 2 is prime by definition, as its only positive divisors are 1 and 2. A single prime is trivially considered a product of primes. Thus, the statement holds for n=2.

Inductive Step: Assume the inductive hypothesis: that for some integer $k \geq 2$, every integer j with $2 \leq j \leq k$ is a product of primes (i.e., is prime itself or can be factored into primes). We must show that the integer k+1 is also a product of primes.

We consider two cases:

- Case 1: If k + 1 is prime, then it is trivially a product of primes (itself), and we are done.
- Case 2: If k + 1 is composite, then by the definition of a composite number, it has positive divisors other than 1 and itself. Therefore, it can be written as:

$$k+1 = a \cdot b$$

where a and b are integers satisfying 1 < a, b < k + 1.

Since $2 \le a \le k$ and $2 \le b \le k$, the strong induction hypothesis applies to both a and b. Hence, both are products of primes:

$$a = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m,$$

$$b = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_n,$$

where each p_i and q_j is a prime number.

Substituting these products, we find:

$$k+1 = a \cdot b = (p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m)(q_1 q_2 \cdots q_n).$$

This is clearly a product of prime numbers.

In both cases, k+1 is a product of primes. By the principle of strong mathematical induction, every integer n > 1 is a product of primes.

Problem 6.

Proof. We prove by induction that for all positive integers n,

$$F_1 + F_3 + \dots + F_{2n-1} = F_{2n},$$

where F_i denotes the i^{th} Fibonacci number, defined by $F_1=1, F_2=1,$ and $F_n=F_{n-1}+F_{n-2}$ for $n\geq 3$.

Base Case: (n = 1)

$$F_1 = 1$$
 and $F_2 = 1$, so $F_1 = F_2$.

Thus, the base case holds.

Inductive Hypothesis: Assume for some $k \ge 1$ that

$$F_1 + F_3 + \cdots + F_{2k-1} = F_{2k}$$
.

Inductive Step: We now show that the statement holds for n = k + 1, i.e.,

$$F_1 + F_3 + \dots + F_{2k-1} + F_{2k+1} = F_{2k+2}.$$

Starting from the left-hand side:

$$\begin{split} F_1+F_3+\cdots+F_{2k-1}+F_{2k+1}&=(F_1+F_3+\cdots+F_{2k-1})+F_{2k+1}\\ &=F_{2k}+F_{2k+1}\quad\text{(by the inductive hypothesis)}\\ &=F_{2k+2}\quad\text{(by the Fibonacci recurrence relation)}. \end{split}$$

This completes the inductive step.

By mathematical induction, the statement holds for all positive integers n.

Problem 7.

Proof. We will prove by induction that $2^n > n^2$ for all natural numbers $n \ge 5$. Base Case: n = 5

$$2^5 = 32$$
 and $5^2 = 25$.

Since 32 > 25, the base case holds.

Inductive Step: Assume the induction hypothesis holds for some integer $k \geq 5$, that is, assume

$$2^k > k^2. (IH)$$

We must now prove that the inequality holds for k+1, i.e.,

$$2^{k+1} > (k+1)^2$$
.

Starting with the left-hand side of the desired inequality:

$$2^{k+1} = 2 \cdot 2^k$$
.

By the induction hypothesis (IH), $2^k > k^2$, so we can substitute:

$$2^{k+1} > 2 \cdot k^2. \tag{1}$$

Our goal is to show that the right-hand side of (1) is greater than $(k+1)^2$. Let us therefore examine the inequality:

$$2k^2 > (k+1)^2$$
.

Expanding the right-hand side gives:

$$2k^2 > k^2 + 2k + 1$$
.

Subtracting k^2 from both sides yields the equivalent inequality:

$$k^2 > 2k + 1. \tag{2}$$

We now show that inequality (2) is true for $k \geq 5$. Consider the function $f(k) = k^2 - 2k - 1$. Its derivative f'(k) = 2k - 2 is positive for k > 1, so f(k) is increasing for $k \geq 5$. Since f(5) = 25 - 10 - 1 = 14 > 0, it follows that $k^2 > 2k + 1$ for all $k \geq 5$. Therefore, inequality (2) holds.

We can now chain the inequalities together. From (1) we have $2^{k+1} > 2k^2$, and from (2) we have $2k^2 > k^2 + 2k + 1 = (k+1)^2$. Hence,

$$2^{k+1} > 2k^2 > (k+1)^2,$$

which completes the inductive step.

By the principle of mathematical induction, $2^n > n^2$ for all natural numbers $n \ge 5$.