

# Extraction of Goals from Premier League Match Reports using Natural Language Processing Techniques

Student Project

presented by  
Jochen Hülß & Matthias Rabus  
Matriculation Number 1376749 & 1207834

submitted to the  
Chair of Information Systems V  
Prof. Dr. Christian Bizer  
University Mannheim

Mai 2013

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Project Summary</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Application Domain and Goals . . . . .	1
1.2	Structure and size of the data set . . . . .	2
1.3	Preprocessing . . . . .	3
1.4	Actual Web Mining . . . . .	6
1.5	Results and Evaluation . . . . .	6
1.5.1	First Iteration: Naïve Bayes . . . . .	6
1.5.2	Second Iteration: SVM . . . . .	6
1.5.3	Third Iteration: Nearest-Neighbor . . . . .	6
1.5.4	Fourth Iteration: Weighted Neareast Neighbor . . . . .	7
1.5.5	Application on sample Report . . . . .	7
1.6	Conclusion . . . . .	7

# List of Figures

1.1	Manual Pattern for "Rooney's volley from Mata's corner." . . . .	4
1.2	Jape Grammer Rule for "Rooney's volley from Mata's corner." . .	5
1.3	Performance of Naïve Bayes classifier . . . . .	6
1.4	Performance of Support Vector Machine classifier . . . . .	6
1.5	Performance of Nearest-Neighbor method . . . . .	7
1.6	Performance of Weighted Nearest-Neighbor method . . . . .	7
1.7	Application of different investigated classification models on a sample report with 24 sentences (8 positive, 12 negative) . . . . .	7

# Chapter 1

## Project Summary

### 1.1 Application Domain and Goals

In recent years our society underwent a remarkable change in terms of the ubiquitous availability of electronically-written text. The reader might think of the rise of e-ink interfaces and the subsequent shift from print media to electronic books, papers, magazines and so forth. Apart from editorial content, a massive development of informal information sources has been taken place.

On the one hand, the blogosphere puts the right of speech on an entirely new level. On the other hand, numerous possibilities have been emerging to customers reviewing, recommending and, thus, influencing the perception of products and services in a written way. Automatically retrieving structured information from these various sources of written text is yet a challenging task (Cellier et al., 2010, p.1). However, robust models in this domain can even help gaining a more transparent overview in emergency situations such as disaster relief by analyzing Twitter feeds.

Due these plentiful reasons, the domains of text mining and Information Extraction (IE) have drawn their attention to us. Both domains tied together enable the automatic identification of selected types of entities, relations, or events in free text (Grishman, 2005, p.545). Our research interest and also project goal is two-fold. Firstly, we want to find and apply patterns extracting a particular event from an unknown textual source. Secondly, the robustness of the patterns found should be assessed by classifying an unlabeled source. According to Weiss et al. (2005) the first task can be referred to as Information Retrieval because we provide "clues" that determine the matching documents. They also argue that the second task is considered as a Document Classification one.

More specifically, we want to exemplify written football match reports from England's Premiere League to investigate whether the event of a goal can be mined for automatically. With the help of manually-found seeds, our hypothesis is that a model classifying a document according to the occurrence of a goal with an accuracy of at least 75% is achievable based on automatically-labeled training data.

The research report is comprised of a description of the obtained data set [1.2], our various preprocessing steps [1.3], the applied web data mining methods [1.4] as well as an evaluation of our results [1.5].

## 1.2 Structure and size of the data set

Since many NLP tools work best with English language, we would like to increase our chance of success by using football reports of the English Premier League. The BBC sports department offers match reports for every game of the currently ongoing season 2012/2013. The amount of reports is sufficient for the purpose of this project. A Premier League season consists of 38 matchdays with 10 games each. BBC provides one report per game. The BBC sports department is a good datasource because the reports are neutrally-written and have no preference for any team. Furthermore, the used language has got a higher level and should be, compared to spoken word, easier to analyse.

The first step is gathering the data from the BBC homepage. Crawling the data will be described more detailed in the following section 1.3 about preprocessing. These data was the input for the following classification process. Thus we wanted to classify each sentence wheter it contained a goal or not, we had to generate a sentence-wise input first. The result of our crawling process was one HTML file per report. Like every HTML file, our results had a nested tree structure including some Javascript and CSS, as well. A problem occured was that the text fragments themselves contained HTML tags, for example references to other reports. For extracting the plain text from the rest of the HTML documents, we employed XPATH. Then we had to divide each football text into the sentences themselves. This on itself is a challenging task, because a punctuation mark could be a non-sufficient seperator, for example if we consider the name of the stadium "St. James Park". The extracted sentences will be a sufficient input for the further research.

### 1.3 Preprocessing

As outlined in the previous section, the project started with gathering the data. We used RapidMiner to crawl the data for us. RapidMiner is an open source data mining tool developed by rapid-i.com. RapidMiner allows the user to define a so called process, which is a combination of certain operators. The operators are connected by defined in- and outputs and can be parameterized to perform specific actions. RapidMiner provides an operator to crawl the world wide web. BBC's Premier League result page is structured as follows: It has a main site from which every game report is linked. The reports are grouped by the day of the match. The first task of the crawler is to find the links to the game reports within the results page and then download each linked page into a separate HTML file. Then the text has to be extracted from the HTML files. Therefore we use RapidMiner as well. The RapidMiner process takes all HTML files and extracts the plain text. This is achieved with the Cut Document-operator and an XPath-query. The extracted text is then written to one file for all reports because the Write as Text-operator can not write into multiple files.

Afterwards we had to do two steps in parallel. First we had to separate the text file that contained the whole text from all reports and second we had to manually generate patterns that described goals. For both tasks some helpful methods were implemented in Java. To use the text for our classifier, it had to be splitted into sentences and each sentence had to be written into a separate file. Accomplishing this task we used a build-in Java class: BreakIterator. The class provides a method that can cut a given text into sentences given a specific language, English in this case. The previous preprocessing step created some additional lines of text, for example for every new processed file, that also have been removed within this step. As mentioned before, the results of the text splitting were saved and written into separate text files for further use, but also processed to find some sentences containing goals and some counter examples.

If we want to train a classifier to distinguish the sentences for us, we will first have to create examples. Thus, there are rarely two similar sentences describing a goal, you can find certain patterns if you analyze sentences using Natural Language Processing (NLP) tools. "Natural Language Processing is a theoretically motivated range of computational techniques for analyzing and representing naturally occurring texts at one or more levels of linguistic analysis for the purpose of achieving human-like language processing for a range of tasks or applications." (Liddy, 2001, p.1) To construct sufficient patterns, we needed two elements of Natural Language Processing: Named Entity recognition (NER) and Part-of-Speech tagging (POS).

POS assigns to each word its part of speech tag. It determines if it is a verb, noun, adjective, etc (Voutilainen, 2005, p.219). Whereas, NER determines if a word is an entity, for example a name, a place or a city. The Stanford NLP Group provides a useful Java library with many build-in language processing tools, including NER and POS tagging. Using this library, we were able to print out a sentence including named entities and part-of-speech tags. We did this for four match reports to manually detect patterns. In total, we were able to extract 14 generic patterns indicating the scoring of a goal. One of the inferred patterns is displayed in Figure 1.1.

`[PERSON POS * NN IN * corner ]`

Figure 1.1: Manual Pattern for "Rooney's volley from Mata's corner."

As the next preprocessing step, the manual patterns had to be applied on our entire corpus of football match reports in order to find more positive training data for the subsequent classification in an automated way. For this task a search engine capable of querying for n-grams consisting of POS tags, recognized named entities, free tokens, and an arbitrary number of wildcards is needed. A n-gram is a sequence containing n literals.

A comprehensive search engine for n-grams has been developed by Sekine and Dalwani (2010). Their published work depicts a search engine capable of querying up to 7-grams with POS tags, named entities, and free tokens. The user interface is conveniently web-based. However, their search engine is not publicly accessible and restricted to Wikipedia articles. Moreover, their solution lacks a support of an arbitrary number of wildcards.

A thorough analysis of alternatives, also taking an own implementation into consideration, lead us the way to GATE, the "General Architecture for Text Extraction". Cunningham et al. (2002, p.1) states about their tool that "[t]he GATE architecture has enabled us not only to develop a number of successful applications for various language processing tasks (such as Information Extraction), but also to build and annotate corpora and carry out evaluations on the applications generated." A more detailed look on GATE unveiled its powerful and extensive baseline function set. By default, GATE provides a pipeline of text processing resources for common NLP tasks. For instance, a tokeniser, a sentence splitter, a POS tagger, a named entity gazetteer, and an orthomatcher discovering relations between entities and assigning new annotations to tokens (Cunningham et al., 2002, p.5). Each processing module can be separately added to a processing pipeline and then applied on language resources such as plain text, html, pdf, etc. employing GATE's GUI.

Apart from standard components, GATE exposes interfaces to extend its processing resources to user's requirements. One of these is the Java Annotation Patterns Engine (JAPE). JAPE was also introduced by Cunningham et al. (1999). According to them, "[a] JAPE grammar consists of a set of phases, each of which consists of a set of pattern/action rules, and which run sequentially". Sequential pattern mining (Agrawal and Srikant, 1995) incorporates the concept that sequences of elements can repeatedly occur in a data set and thus form patterns. This idea is essential for IE and makes JAPE grammar rules a viable solution to automatically label unknown language resources derived from manually-inferred patterns. "Patterns can be specified by describing a specific text string, or annotations previously created by modules such as the tokeniser, [POS tagger], gazetteer, or document format analysis" (Cunningham et al., 2002, p.5). A sample JAPE grammar rule depicting the manual pattern of Figure 1.1 is shown in Figure 1.2.

```

Rule: Goal3
(
  ({ Person.rule == PersonFinal }|
   { Lookup.majorType == country_adj }|
   { Token.category == NNP })
  { Token.category == POS }
  ({ Token.length > 1 })*
  ({ Token.category == NN }| { Token.category == NNS })
  { Token.category == IN }
  ({ Token.length > 1 })*
  { Token.string =~ corner }
)
:goalSequence —>
:goalSequence.Goal = { kind=Goal, rule=Goal3 }

```

Figure 1.2: Jape Grammar Rule for "Rooney's volley from Mata's corner."

The final preprocessing step became necessary due to GATE's restricted export capabilities. GATE outputs its annotations only as XML tags. These indicate the starting and end character position of a positively-labeled sentence within the entire text corpus. I.e., we were bound to parse this XML tree with respect to the goal entity. This purpose served the Java API for XML Processing and some routines to write each positive and a corresponding number of negative sentences into a file. After all, we faced an evenly-distributed set of automatically-labeled sentences.



## 1.4 Actual Web Mining

After generating the input for our model we had to find a good model for our preprocessed data.

Matze. Verbindung zur Vorlesung. Web mining techniken (classification: svm, naive bayes, knn). Rapidminer prozess: tokenize, weigh by info gain, validation, apply model

## 1.5 Results and Evaluation

Jochen. ZDF zu Test Set. Resultate von Precision und Recall der Classification. Diskussion. Positive und negative Einflüsse auf ergebnisse. Analog fuer ungela-belte Daten

### 1.5.1 First Iteration: Naïve Bayes

Accuracy: 64.58%

	true neg	true pos	class precision
pred. neg	411	190	68.39%
pred. pos	347	568	62.08%
class recall	54.22%	74.93%	

Figure 1.3: Performance of Naïve Bayes classifier

### 1.5.2 Second Iteration: SVM

Accuracy: 70.59%

	true neg	true pos	class precision
pred. neg	654	342	65.66%
pred. pos	104	416	80.00%
class recall	86.28%	54.88%	

Figure 1.4: Performance of Support Vector Machine classifier

### 1.5.3 Third Iteration: Nearest-Neighbor

Accuracy: 75.00%

	true neg	true pos	class precision
pred. neg	469	90	83.90%
pred. pos	289	668	69.80%
class recall	61.87%	88.13%	

Figure 1.5: Performance of Nearest-Neighbor method

#### 1.5.4 Fourth Iteration: Weighted Neareast Neighbor

Accuracy: 80.88%

	true neg	true pos	class precision
pred. neg	594	126	82.50%
pred. pos	164	632	79.40%
class recall	78.36%	83.38%	

Figure 1.6: Performance of Weighted Nearest-Neighbor method

#### 1.5.5 Application on sample Report

Classifier	Positive		Negative		Accuracy
	Precision	Recall	Precision	Recall	
Naïve Bayes	0.33	0.75	0.67	0.25	50.0%
SVM	0.33	0.75	0.67	0.25	50.0%
KNN 50 Basic	0.64	0.92	0.88	0.75	81.3%
KNN 50	0.71	0.63	0.82	0.88	75.0%

Figure 1.7: Application of different investigated classification models on a sample report with 24 sentences (8 positive, 12 negative)

## 1.6 Conclusion

Wrap-up.

# Bibliography

- Agrawal, R. and Srikant, R. (1995). Mining sequential patterns. In *Proceedings of the Eleventh International Conference on Data Engineering, ICDE '95*, pages 3–14, Washington, DC, USA. IEEE Computer Society.
- Cellier, P., Charnois, T., Plantevit, M., and Crmilleux, B. (2010). Recursive sequence mining to discover named entity relations. In Cohen, P., Adams, N., and Berthold, M., editors, *Advances in Intelligent Data Analysis IX*, volume 6065 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 30–41. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Cunningham, H., Cunningham, H., Maynard, D., Maynard, D., Tablan, V., and Tablan, V. (1999). Jape: a java annotation patterns engine.
- Cunningham, H., Maynard, D., Bontcheva, K., and Tablan, V. (2002). Gate: A framework and graphical development environment for robust nlp tools and applications. In *Proceedings of the 40th Meeting of the ACL*.
- Grishman, R. (2005). Information extraction. In *The Oxford Handbook of Computational Linguistics*, pages 545–559. Oxford University Press.
- Liddy, E. D. (2001). *Natural Language Processing*. Encyclopedia of Library and Information Science. 2 edition.
- Sekine, S. and Dalwani, K. (2010). Ngram search engine with patterns combining token, pos, chunk and ne information. In *LREC'10*, pages –1–1.
- Voutilainen, A. (2005). Part-of-speech tagging. In *The Oxford Handbook of Computational Linguistics*, pages 219–232. Oxford University Press.
- Weiss, S., Indurkha, N., and Zhang, T. (2005). *Text Mining: Predictive Methods for Analyzing Unstructured Information*. Springer-Verlag New York.