

# **Protocol Audit Report**

Version 1.0

Protocol Audit Report March 25, 2025

# **Protocol Audit Report**

Peter Berekvolgyi

March 25, 2025

# **PasswordStore Audit Report**

Prepared by: Peter Berekvolgyi Lead Auditors: - Peter Berekvolgyi

# **Table of Contents**

- PasswordStore Audit Report
- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
  - Scope
  - Roles
- Executive Summary
  - Issues found
- Findings
  - High
    - \* [H-1] Storing the password on-chain makes it visible to anyone and no longer private
    - \* [H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword has no access controls, meaning a nonowner could change the password
  - Informational
    - \* [I-1] The PasswordStore::getPassword natspec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing the natspec to be incorrect

Protocol Audit Report

# **Protocol Summary**

PasswordStore is a protocol dedicated to storage and retrieval of a user's passwords. The protocol is designed to be used by a single user, and is not designed to be used by multiple users. Only the owner should be able to set and access this password.

### Disclaimer

Peter Berekvolgyi makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

# **Risk Classification**

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
Likelihood	High	Н	H/M	М
	Medium	H/M	М	M/L
	Low	М	M/L	L

We use the CodeHawks severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

# **Audit Details**

### The findings described in this document correspond the following commit hash:

```
1 7d55682ddc4301a7b13ae9413095feffd9924566
```

# Scope

**Protocol Audit Report** 

```
1 src/
2 --- PasswordStore.sol
```

#### **Roles**

• Owner: Is the only one who should be able to set and access the password.

For this contract, only the owner should be able to interact with the contract.

# **Executive Summary**

### **Issues found**

Severity	Number of issues found		
High	2		
Medium	0		
Low	0		
Info	1		
Gas Optimizations	0		
Total	3		

# **Findings**

# High

# [H-1] Storing the password on-chain makes it visible to anyone and no longer private

### **Description**

All data stored on chain is public and visible to anyone. The PasswordStore::s\_password variable is intended to be hidden and only accessible by the owner through the PasswordStore:: getPassword function.

I show one such method of reading any data off chain below.

#### **Impact**

Anyone is able to read the private password, severely breaking the functionality of the protocol.

#### **Proof of Concept**

The below test case shows how anyone could read the password directly from the blockchain. We use foundry's cast tool to read directly from the storage of the contract, without being the owner.

Create a locally running chain

```
1 make anvil
```

Deploy the contract to the chain

```
1 make deploy
```

Run the storage tool

We use 1 because that's the storage slot of s\_password in the contract.

```
1 cast storage <ADDRESS_HERE> 1 --rpc-url http://127.0.0.1:8545
```

You'll get an output that looks like this:

You can then parse that hex to a string with:

And get an output of:

```
1 myPassword
```

#### **Recommended Mitigation**

Due to this, the overall architecture of the contract should be rethought. One could encrypt the password off-chain, and then store the encrypted password on-chain. This would require the user to remember another password off-chain to decrypt the stored password. However, you're also likely want to remove the view function as you wouldn't want the user to accidentally send a transaction with this decryption key.

# [H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword has no access controls, meaning a non-owner could change the password

#### **Description**

The PasswordStore::setPassword function is set to be an external function, however the purpose of the smart contract and function's natspec indicate that This function allows only the owner to set a **new** password.

```
1 function setPassword(string memory newPassword) external {
2 @> // @Audit - There are no Access Controls.
3     s_password = newPassword;
4     emit SetNewPassword();
5 }
```

#### **Impact**

Anyone can set/change the stored password, severely breaking the contract's intended functionality

### **Proof of Concept**

Add the following to the PasswordStore.t.sol test file:

Code

```
function test_anyone_can_set_password(address randomAddress) public {
    vm.assume(randomAddress != owner);
    vm.startPrank(randomAddress);
    string memory expectedPassword = "myNewPassword";
    passwordStore.setPassword(expectedPassword);

vm.startPrank(owner);
    string memory actualPassword = passwordStore.getPassword();
    assertEq(actualPassword, expectedPassword);
}
```

#### **Recommended Mitigation**

Add an access control conditional to PasswordStore::setPassword.

```
1 if(msg.sender != s_owner){
2    revert PasswordStore__NotOwner();
3 }
```

#### **Informational**

[I-1] The PasswordStore: getPassword natspec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing the natspec to be incorrect

#### **Description**

```
1 /*
2 * @notice This allows only the owner to retrieve the password.
```

```
3 @> * @param newPassword The new password to set.
4 */
5 function getPassword() external view returns (string memory) {
```

The natspec for the function PasswordStore::getPassword indicates it should have a parameter with the signature getPassword(string). However, the actual function signature is getPassword().

### **Impact**

The natspec is incorrect.

# **Recommended Mitigation**

Remove the incorrect natspec line.

```
1 - * @param newPassword The new password to set.
```