**100** Performance

88 Accessibility

96 Best Practices

100

Performance

Values are estimated and may vary. The performance score is calculated directly from these metrics. See calculator.

▲ 0–49   ■ 50–89   ● 90–100

METRICS   Expand view

● First Contentful Paint

0.4 s

● Largest Contentful Paint

0.5 s

● Total Blocking Time

30 ms

● Cumulative Layout Shift

0.001

○ **Speed Index**

0.7 s

[View Treemap]

📢 Later this year, insights will replace performance audits. Learn more and provide feedback here.

[Try insights]

Show audits relevant to: **All** FCP LCP TBT CLS

DIAGNOSTICS

🔺 Reduce unused CSS — Est savings of 13 KiB	⌄
🔺 Page prevented back/forward cache restoration — 1 failure reason	⌄
🟧 Serve images in next-gen formats — Est savings of 29 KiB	⌄
🟧 Serve static assets with an efficient cache policy — 12 resources found	⌄
🟧 Ensure text remains visible during webfont load	⌄
🟧 Eliminate render-blocking resources — Est savings of 0 ms	⌄
🟧 Avoid serving legacy JavaScript to modern browsers — Est savings of 0 KiB	⌄
🟧 Avoid `document.write()`	⌄
🟧 Reduce unused JavaScript — Est savings of 373 KiB	⌄
🟧 Avoid an excessive DOM size — 1,362 elements	⌄
○ Avoid large layout shifts — 2 layout shifts found	⌄
○ Minimize third-party usage — Third-party code blocked the main thread for 30 ms	⌄
○ Avoid long main-thread tasks — 4 long tasks found	⌄
○ Avoid chaining critical requests — 8 chains found	⌄

○ Largest Contentful Paint element — 490 ms ⌄

More information about the performance of your application. These numbers don't [directly affect](#) the Performance score.

PASSED AUDITS (23)   Show

88

Accessibility

These checks highlight opportunities to [improve the accessibility of your web app](#). Automatic detection can only detect a subset of issues and does not guarantee the accessibility of your web app, so [manual testing](#) is also encouraged.

CONTRAST

⚠ Background and foreground colors do not have a sufficient contrast ratio. ⌄

⚠ Links rely on color to be distinguishable. ⌄

These are opportunities to improve the legibility of your content.

NAMES AND LABELS

⚠ Links do not have a discernible name ⌄

These are opportunities to improve the semantics of the controls in your application. This may enhance the experience for users of assistive technology, like a screen reader.

NAVIGATION

⚠ Heading elements are not in a sequentially-descending order ⌄

These are opportunities to improve keyboard navigation in your application.

AUDIO AND VIDEO

○ `<video>` elements contain a `<track>` element with `[kind="captions"]` ⌄

These are opportunities to provide alternative content for audio and video. This may improve the experience for users with hearing or vision impairments.

ADDITIONAL ITEMS TO MANUALLY CHECK (10)   Show

These items address areas which an automated testing tool cannot cover. Learn more in our guide on conducting an accessibility review.

PASSED AUDITS (24)   Show

NOT APPLICABLE (28)   Show

96

Best Practices

GENERAL

▲ Issues were logged in the `Issues` panel in Chrome Devtools ⌄

○ Detected JavaScript libraries ⌄

TRUST AND SAFETY

○ Ensure CSP is effective against XSS attacks ⌄

○ Use a strong HSTS policy ⌄

○ Ensure proper origin isolation with COOP ⌄

○ Mitigate clickjacking with XFO or CSP ⌄

PASSED AUDITS (13)   Show

NOT APPLICABLE (2)   Show

📅 Captured at Nov 27, 2025, 12:23   💻 Emulated Desktop with Lighthouse   👥 Single page session
AM GMT+3   12.6.0
🕐 Initial page load   📶 Custom throttling   🌐 Using Chromium 138.0.0.0 with
devtools

Generated by **Lighthouse** 12.6.0 | File an issue