CENG 222

Statistical Methods for Computer Engineering

Spring '2016-2017

Assignment 4

Deadline: May 26, 23:59 Submission: via COW

Student Information

Full Name : Berkant Bayraktar

Id Number: 2098796

Answer 9.8

a)

Confidence interval for the mean when σ is known : $\overline{X} \pm z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$

$$\sigma=5$$
 , $n=64$

$$1 - \alpha = 0.95$$
$$\alpha/2 = 0.025$$

$$\overline{X} \pm z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} = 42 \pm z_{0.025} \frac{5}{\sqrt{64}}$$

$$= 42 \pm 1.96 \frac{5}{\sqrt{64}}$$

$$= [40.775, 43.225]$$

b)

Standardize and use Table A4. For a Normal $(\mu = 900, \sigma = 200)$ variable X,

$$P(40.775 \le X \le 43.225) = P(\frac{40.775 - \mu}{\sigma} \le \frac{X - \mu}{\sigma} \le \frac{43.225 - \mu}{\sigma})$$

$$= P(\frac{40.775 - 40}{5} \le Z \le \frac{43.225 - 40}{5}$$

$$= P(0.155 \le Z \le 0.645)$$

$$= \Phi(0.645) - \Phi(0.155) = 0.7406 - 0.5616 = \mathbf{0.1790}$$

Answer 9.16

a)

We have $\eta_1=250$, $\eta_2=300$, $\hat{p}_1=10/250=0.04$ and $\hat{p}_2=18/300=0.06.$ For the confidence interval,we have

center =
$$\hat{p}_1$$
- \hat{p}_2 = -0.02

and

$$margin = z_{0.02/2} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_1(1-\hat{p}_1)}{\eta_1} + \frac{\hat{p}_2(1-\hat{p}_2)}{\eta_2}}$$
$$= (2.326) \sqrt{\frac{(0.04)(0.96)}{(250)} + \frac{(0.06)(0.94)}{(300)}}$$
$$= 0.043$$

Then

$$-0.02 \pm 0.043 = [-0.063, 0.023]$$

b)

We are 98% sure that the real value of the difference of proportions p_1 and p_2 is between -0.063 and 0.023. There is **no** significant difference between the quality of the two lots.

Answer 10.3

I chose to divide the observations into 10 bins, as follows:

| Bin | Observed Counts |
|--------------|-----------------|
| (<-2.0) | 4 |
| (-2.0, -1.5) | 4 |
| (-1.5, -1.0) | 15 |
| (-1.0, -0.5) | 9 |
| (-0.5, 0.0) | 22 |
| (0.0, 0.5) | 15 |
| (0.5, 1.0) | 12 |
| (1.0, 1.5) | 11 |
| (1.5, 2.0) | 7 |
| (>2.0) | 1 |

a)

The corresponding standard normal probabilities and the expected number of observations (with n=100) are the following:

| Bin | Observed | Standart Normal | Expected | Observed-Expected | Chi-Square |
|--------------|----------|-----------------|----------|-------------------|------------|
| | Counts | Probability | Counts | Observed-Expected | Cin-Square |
| (<-2.0) | 4 | 0.023 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 1.26 |
| (-2.0, -1.5) | 4 | 0.044 | 4.4 | -0.4 | 0.04 |
| (-1.5, -1.0) | 15 | 0.092 | 9.2 | 5.8 | 3.66 |
| (-1.0, -0.5) | 9 | 0.150 | 15.0 | -6.0 | 2.40 |
| (-0.5, 0.0) | 22 | 0.191 | 19.1 | 2.9 | 0.44 |
| (0.0, 0.5) | 15 | 0.191 | 19.1 | -4.1 | 0.88 |
| (0.5, 1.0) | 12 | 0.150 | 15.0 | -3.0 | 0.60 |
| (1.0, 1.5) | 11 | 0.092 | 9.2 | 1.8 | 0.36 |
| (1.5, 2.0) | 7 | 0.044 | 4.4 | 2.6 | 1.53 |
| (>2.0) | 1 | 0.023 | 2.3 | -1.3 | 0.73 |

The chi-square statistic is the sum of of the values in the last column, and is equal to 11.89. Since the data are divided into 10 bins and we have estimated two parameters, the calculated value may be tested against the chi-square distribution with 10 - 1 - 2 = 7 degrees of freedom.

$$P = P{\chi^2 \ge 11.89} = between 0.1 and 0.2$$

We conclude that there is no evidence against a Standard Normal distribution of collected sample.

b)

The corresponding uniform probabilities and the expected number of observations (with n=100) are the following:

| Bin | Observed Counts | Uniform Probability | Expected Counts | Observed - Expected | Chi-Square |
|--------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------|
| (<-2.0) | 4 | 0.166 | 16.6 | -12.6 | 9.56 |
| (-2.0, -1.5) | 4 | 0.083 | 8.3 | -4.3 | 2.23 |
| (-1.5, -1.0) | 15 | 0.083 | 8.3 | 6.7 | 5.40 |
| (-1.0, -0.5) | 9 | 0.083 | 8.3 | 0.7 | 0.06 |
| (-0.5, 0.0) | 22 | 0.083 | 8.3 | 13.7 | 22.61 |
| (0.0, 0.5) | 15 | 0.083 | 8.3 | 6.7 | 5.40 |
| (0.5, 1.0) | 12 | 0.083 | 8.3 | 3.7 | 1.65 |
| (1.0, 1.5) | 11 | 0.083 | 8.3 | 2.7 | 0.88 |
| (1.5, 2.0) | 7 | 0.083 | 8.3 | -1.3 | 0.20 |
| (>2.0) | 1 | 0.166 | 16.6 | -15.6 | 14.67 |

The chi-square statistic is the sum of of the values in the last column, and is equal to 62.66. Since the data are divided into 10 bins, the calculated value may be tested against the chi-square distribution with 10 - 1 = 9 degrees of freedom.

There is significant evidence that the distribution is **not** Uniform.

c)

Yes, accepting both null hypotheses in (a) and (b) is theoretically possible although they are contradicting to each other. Because, when we apply the χ^2 goodness-of-fit test , we can only show that the hypotheses is wrong. If we can't find significant evidence to reject the hypotheses , this means that the hypotheses may be true.