# **EV Power - Lab 4 Project Report**

## **Example Solution 1**

### Part 0: libraries

```
library(sf)
Linking to GEOS 3.13.0, GDAL 3.8.5, PROJ 9.5.1; sf use s2() is TRUE
library(rnaturalearth)
library(rnaturalearthhires)
library(tidyverse)
— Attaching core tidyverse packages -
                                                       - tidyverse 2.0.0
✓ dplyr 1.1.4 ✓ readr
                              2.1.5

✓ purrr 1.1.0

— Conflicts -
                                                  - tidyverse_conflicts()
* dplyr::filter() masks stats::filter()
              masks stats::lag()
* dplyr::lag()
i Use the conflicted package (<http://conflicted.r-lib.org/>) to force all
conflicts to become errors
library(stringr)
```

### Part 1: Defining Research Question

Chosen Question: What type of renewable energy is most dominant in each state in 2023?

### Part 2: Data Preparation and Cleaning

```
renew_use_2023 <- read_csv("./data/renew-use-2023.csv")</pre>
```

```
Rows: 260 Columns: 3

— Column specification

Delimiter: ","
chr (3): State, Energy_Source, Renewable_Use_2023

i Use `spec()` to retrieve the full column specification for this data.
i Specify the column types or set `show_col_types = FALSE` to quiet this message.
```

```
renew_use_2023 <- renew_use_2023 |>
    rename(state = State, energy_source = Energy_Source, renew_use =
Renewable_Use_2023) |>
    mutate(renewable_unit = str_extract(renew_use, "\b[MGk]Wh\\b"), renew_use
= as.numeric(str_extract(renew_use, "\\d+\\.?\\d*")))
head(renew_use_2023)
```

## Part 3: Joining / Pivoting Datasets for Analysis

```
dominant_renew_2023 <- renew_use_2023 |>
    group_by(state) |>
    slice_max(order_by = renew_use, n = 1, with_ties = FALSE) |>
    ungroup()
head(dominant_renew_2023)
```

### **Part 4: Mapping Visualization**

```
us states <- ne states(
  country = "United States of America",
  returnclass = "sf") |>
 filter(!name en %in% c("American Samoa", "Guam", "Northern Mariana
Islands","Puerto Rico","United States Virgin Islands")) |>
 mutate(state_key = tolower(name_en))
state key <- tibble(</pre>
  state full = tolower(state.name),
  state_abbr = tolower(state.abb))
dom_2023_std <- dominant_renew_2023 |>
 mutate(state_raw = tolower(state)) |>
 left_join(state_key, by = c("state_raw" = "state_abbr")) |>
 mutate(state_key = coalesce(state_full, state_raw)) |>
 select(-state_full)
states_joined <- us_states |>
 left_join(dom_2023_std, by = "state_key")
ggplot() +
 geom_sf(data = states_joined, aes(fill = energy_source), color = "white",
linewidth = 0.2) + scale_fill_brewer(palette = "Set3", na.value = "grey90") +
  theme minimal() +
  theme(legend.position = "bottom",
                                           # move legend below map
    legend.key.height = unit(0.4, "cm"), # shrink legend boxes
    legend.text = element_text(size = 8),
    panel.background = element blank(),
    axis.text = element_blank(),
    axis.ticks = element blank(),
    panel.grid = element_blank())+
  labs(
    title = "Dominant Renewable Energy Source by State (2023)",
    fill = "Energy Source")
```

### Dominant Renewable Energy Source by State (2023)



# Part 5: Analysis

I created a map where each US State is color coded to its most dominant form of renewable energy in 2023. I noticed that renewable energy is dominant by region with a lot of biomass being used in the east and hydropwer used in the north.