

# Exploratory Data Analysis

## Question 1

Which precinct had the closest race between Democrats and Republicans?

## Answer 1

```
#uploading libraries  
library(tidyverse)
```

```
— Attaching core tidyverse packages ————— tidyverse 2.0.0  
—  
✓ dplyr     1.1.4    ✓ readr     2.1.5  
✓ forcats   1.0.1    ✓ stringr   1.5.2  
✓ ggplot2   4.0.0    ✓ tibble    3.3.0  
✓ lubridate 1.9.4    ✓ tidyr    1.3.1  
✓ purrr    1.1.0  
— Conflicts ————— tidyverse_conflicts()  
—  
✖ dplyr::filter() masks stats::filter()  
✖ dplyr::lag()   masks stats::lag()  
i Use the conflicted package (<http://conflicted.r-lib.org/>) to force all  
conflicts to become errors
```

```
prec <- read_csv("data/clean-precinct.csv", show_col_types = FALSE)  
dist <- read_csv("data/clean-district-totals.csv", show_col_types = FALSE)  
  
q1 <- prec |> mutate(margin = abs(DEMVOTE - REPVOTE)) |> arrange(margin)  
head(q1, 5)
```

```
# A tibble: 5 × 11  
COUNTY FIPS SVPREC_KEY ADDIST CDDIST TOTVOTE DEMVOTE REPVOTE USPDEM01  
<dbl> <chr> <chr> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>  
1 1 06001 06001200100 14 12 256 0 0 172  
2 1 06001 06001200100A 14 12 2804 0 0 2461  
3 1 06001 06001200200 14 12 262 0 0 199  
4 1 06001 06001200200A 14 12 1816 0 0 1572  
5 1 06001 06001201400 14 12 283 0 0 217  
# i 2 more variables: USPREP01 <dbl>, margin <dbl>
```

The closest precinct races all had a margin of 2 votes, so the Democratic and Republican candidates almost tied. They were in FIPS 06001, and the difference between the two parties was extremely small.

## Question 2

Which counties had the highest total number of precincts?

## Answer 2

```
q2 <- prec |>
  count(COUNTY, name = "num_precincts") |>
  arrange(desc(num_precincts))
head(q2)
```

```
# A tibble: 6 × 2
  COUNTY num_precincts
    <dbl>      <int>
1     19       6291
2     36       5802
3     37       5380
4     30       4644
5     56       2862
6     33       2730
```

```
tail(q2)
```

```
# A tibble: 6 × 2
  COUNTY num_precincts
    <dbl>      <int>
1     32        43
2     26        38
3     53        34
4      6        33
5     46        33
6      2        19
```

The largest county in the dataset, Counties 19 and 36, have more than 5000 precincts which makes sense since they have a larger population compared to other counties. Some of the small counties, such as County 2, have smaller than around 50 precincts. This goes to show that the precincts differs across the entire state based off of county size.

## Question 3

Which Assembly Districts had the highest and lowest average turnout?

## Answer 3

```
q3 <- prec |>
  group_by(ADDIST) |>
  summarize(avg_turn = mean(TOTVOTE, na.rm = TRUE)) |>
  arrange(desc(avg_turn))

head(q3)
```

```
# A tibble: 6 × 2
  ADDIST avg_turn
  <dbl>     <dbl>
1      0    77396.
2      66   1094.
3      44   1052.
4      46    966.
5      51    941.
6      55    877.
```

```
tail(q3)
```

```
# A tibble: 6 × 2
  ADDIST avg_turn
  <dbl>     <dbl>
1      35    151.
2      36    142.
3      45    142.
4      38    110.
5      27    82.8
6      34    78.9
```

ADDIST 0 shows a very high average turnout and ADDIST 37 or 27 have very low average turnouts. This goes to show the precinct size and voter participation differ in different districts across the state and average turnout varies as well across Assembly Districts.