Empirical Bayes, James-Stein

Outline

- 1) Empirical Bayes
- 2) Jones Stein Paredox
- 3) Stein's Lemma
- 4) Stein's unbiased risk estimator (SURE)

Empirical Bayes

situation in hierarchical Bayes models:

$$X_{i}I_{5}, \theta \stackrel{ind}{\sim} \rho_{\theta_{i}}(x)$$
 $i=1,...,d$

Hybrid approach: treat & as fixed

$$\frac{\text{Ex.}}{C_i} = \frac{O_i \sim N(0, \tau^2)}{C_i} \qquad \frac{f_{ixed}}{C_i}, \text{ unknown}$$

$$\frac{X_i \circ \sim N(\theta_i, 1)}{C_i} \qquad i=1,...,d$$

Bayes estimator if we knew to is

$$J(x) = (1-\zeta) \times_i, \zeta = \frac{1}{1+\epsilon^2}$$

sufficient To estimate ζ , use $\chi \sim N_d(0, \varsigma^{-1}Id) = \left(\frac{\varsigma}{2\pi}\right)^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\chi|^2/2}$ $||x||^2 \sim 5^{-1} \chi_d^2 \Rightarrow \hat{3}_{MLE}^{-1} = \frac{d}{||x||^2}$

Plug in:
$$\delta_i(X) = (1 - 4/||x||^2) X_i$$

If I large, should be near-optimal

James & Stein proposed instead (d = 3).

$$\mathcal{J}_{5s,i}(X) = \left(1 - \frac{d-a}{||X||^2}\right) X_i$$

Emp Boyes Motivation:
$$\frac{d-\lambda}{11\times11^2}$$
 is UMVUE of 5

Prof: If
$$Y \sim \chi_d^2$$
, $n \ge 3$ then

Proof:
$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{y}\right] = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{y^{2}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{q})} \cdot y^{2} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{q})} \cdot y^{2} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{q})} \cdot y^{2} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{1}{\gamma$$

$$=\frac{2^{(d-2)/3}\Gamma(\frac{d-2}{2})}{2^{1/2}\Gamma(\frac{d/2}{2})}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2^{(d-2)/2}\Gamma(\frac{d-2}{2})}\frac{(\frac{d-2}{2})}{2^{(d-2)/2}\Gamma(\frac{d-2}{2})}\frac{1}{2^{(d-2)/2}\Gamma(\frac{d-2}{2})}$$

Now, use
$$\Gamma(x) = (x-1) \Gamma(x-1) \quad \forall x>0$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{(1-3)^{3}} = \frac{1}{1-3}$$

$$\zeta \|x\|^2 \sim \chi_d^2 \Rightarrow \zeta = \frac{1}{\|x\|^2} = \frac{1}{d-2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{S} = \frac{d-2}{1/\times 11^2}$$
 UMVNE

James - Stein Paradox

Back to non-Bayesian Gaussian sez. model:

Xind Na (O, OZTa), DER (fixed), 02>0

Enoun

Shocking result of James & Stein (1956):

For $d \ge 3$, the sample mean $X = \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i$ is inadmissible as an estimator of O under squared error loss:

For $\int_{TS}(X) = \left(1 - \frac{(d-2) \frac{6^{3}}{1|\overline{X}||^{2}}}{||\overline{X}||^{2}}\right) \overline{X}$ Y 0 ∈ 1Rd (!!!) $MSE(\theta, \xi_s) < MSE(\theta, x)$

X is UMVU, Minimax, objective Byes, Note: Might as well take n=1 (Suff. reduction) $\Rightarrow (1-\frac{d-2}{1/x||e})X$ Note this result holds without assumption of Bayes model on O: true for O=(500,-10", 4)

Nothing special about 0: for any 00 = Rd $\delta(x) = \theta_0 + \left(1 - \frac{\lambda - \lambda}{\|x - \theta_0\|^2}\right)(x - \theta_0)$ also dominates x

Deep implication: shrinkage makes sense even without Bayes justification.

Linear strinkage w/o Bayesian assumptions Gaussian seq. mdel: X ~ Nd(O, Id), fixed DER Let $J(X) = (1-\xi)X$, ζ is tuning parameter $R(\theta; \xi) = \|\theta - \mathbb{E} \xi_{\epsilon}(x)\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \operatorname{Var}((1-\xi)X_i)$ (MSE) = 5 110112 + 2(1-5)3 bias variance What is optimal 3? $\frac{d}{ds} R(0; \delta) = 25||9||^2 - 2(1-5)d$ \Rightarrow minimizer = $5*(\theta) = \frac{d}{d + ||\theta||^2} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1|\theta||^2}{d}}$ 5 always >0, but ->0 as 0 -> 0 What if we estimate 5*(0)? How does adaptivity of \(\xi^*(x) \) affect MSE?

Stein's Lemma

Useful tool for computing lestimating risk in Gaussian estimation problems

Theorem (Stein's Lemma, universate):

Suppose X~ N(0,02)

 $h(x): \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ differentiable, $\mathbb{E}|h(x)| < \infty$

Then $\mathbb{E}[(x-\theta)h(x)] = \sigma^2 \mathbb{E}[\dot{h}(x)]$

Cov (X, h(x))

Proof Note we can assume what h(0) = 0 (Why?)

First assume $\theta = 0$, $\sigma^2 = 1$:

Note $\mathbb{E}\left[Xh(X)\right] = \int_{0}^{\infty} xh(x)g(x)dx + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x)g(x)dx$

 $\int_{0}^{\infty} x h(x) \phi(x) dx = \int_{0}^{\infty} x \left[\int_{0}^{x} h(y) dy \right] \phi(x) dx$

 $= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty 1 \{y < x \} \times h(y) \phi(x) dx dy$

 $= \int_0^\infty h(y) \left[\int_y^\infty \times \phi(x) dx \right] dy$

 $= \int_0^\infty \dot{h}(y) \phi(y) dy$

In the last step we have used:

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \right] = -x \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$$

Similar argument shows $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x) \phi(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x) \phi(x) dx$
 $\Rightarrow \text{Result holds for } \Theta = 0, \ \sigma^2 = 1$

General Θ , σ^2 :

Write $X = \Theta + \sigma Z$, $Z \sim N(0,1)$
 $\mathbb{E}[(x-\Theta)h(x)] = \sigma \mathbb{E}[Zh(\Theta+\sigma Z)]$
 $= \sigma^2 \mathbb{E}[h(\Theta+\sigma Z)]$
 $= \sigma^2 \mathbb{E}[h(\Theta+\sigma Z)]$

Multivariate Stein's Lemma

Def
$$h : \mathbb{R}^{d} \to \mathbb{R}^{d}$$
, $Dh \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$
 $(Dh(x))_{i,j} = \frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}(x)$
Def (Frobenius norm): $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$
 $\|A\|_{F} = \left(\sum_{i,j} A_{i,j}^{2}\right)^{1/2}$
Theorem (Stein's Lemma, Multivariate):
 $X \sim N_{d}(\theta, \sigma^{2}I_{d}) \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$
 $h : \mathbb{R}^{d} \to \mathbb{R}^{d} \quad diffable, \quad \mathbb{E} \|Dh(x)\|_{F} < \infty$
Then $\mathbb{E}\left[(x - \theta)^{1}h(x)\right] = \sigma^{2}\mathbb{E} + r(Dh(x))$
 $= \sigma^{2}\mathbb{E} \quad \frac{2h_{i}}{2x_{i}}(x)$
 $\mathbb{E}\left[(x_{i} - \theta_{i})h_{i}(x)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[(x_{i} - \theta_{i})h_{i}(x) \mid x_{-i}\right]\right]$
 $= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma^{2}\frac{2h_{i}}{2x_{i}}(x) \mid x_{i}\right]\right]$
 $= \sigma^{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{2h_{i}}{2x_{i}}(x) \mid x_{i}\right]$

Steins Unbiased Risk Estimator (SURE)

Can use Stein's Lemma to get unbiased estimator of the MSE of any $\delta(x)$: apply Stein's Lemma with $h(x) = X - \delta(x)$

Assume o=1:

$$R(O; \sigma) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[|| X - \Theta - h(x)||^{2} \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\theta} || X - \Theta ||^{2} + \mathbb{E}_{\theta} || h(x)||^{2} - 2 \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[(x - \theta)' h(x) \right]$$

$$= d + \mathbb{E}_{\theta} || h(x)||^{2} - 2 \mathbb{E}_{\theta} + r(Dh(x))$$

Can also compute MSE via R = E0?

$$E_X: \quad \delta(x) = X \implies h(x) = 0, \quad Dh'(x) = 0$$

$$R = d = R(0; \delta) \quad \forall 0$$

$$E_{X}$$
: $J_{S}(X) = (1-5) X$ for fixed $S_{S}(X) = (1-5) X$ for fixed $S_{S}(X) = S_{S}(X) = S_{S$

$$2_{2s}(X) = \left(1 - \frac{\|X\|_{s}}{q-3}\right) X$$

$$\Rightarrow h(x) = \frac{d-2}{\|x\|^2} x$$

$$\|h(x)\|^2 = (d-2)^2 \|x\|^2$$

$$\frac{\partial h_i}{\partial x_i}(X) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{(a-2)X_i}{\sum_{i} X_i^2}$$

$$= (J-2) \frac{\|x\|^2 - 2x_2^2}{\|x\|^4}$$

$$\Rightarrow +r(Dh(x)) = \frac{d-2}{||x||^4} \geq ||x||^2 - ax^2$$

$$= (d-2)^{3}/||X||^{3}$$

$$\hat{R} = d + \frac{(d-2)^2}{\|x\|^2} - 2 \frac{(d-2)^2}{\|x\|^2}$$

$$= d - \frac{(d-2)^2}{\|x\|^2}$$

$$R(\theta; \delta_{JS}) = d - (\lambda - 2)^{2} \mathbb{E}_{\theta[\frac{1}{\|\times\|^{2}}]}$$

$$= R(\theta; x)$$

If
$$\theta = 0$$
 then $\mathbb{E}_{\theta}\left[\frac{1}{||x||^2}\right] = d-2$
 $\Rightarrow R(\theta; \delta_{35}) = d - (d-2) = 2$

Possibly $\ll d$!

 $\theta \Rightarrow \infty$ then $\mathbb{E}_{\theta}\left[\frac{1}{||x||^2}\right] \approx \frac{1}{||\theta||^2}$
 $\Rightarrow R(\theta; \delta_{75}) \approx d - \frac{(d-2)^2}{||\theta||^2}$
 $\Rightarrow d$

Smaller and smaller advantage but always better.

Note $\delta_{75}(x)$ also inclassible:

 $\delta_{75}(x) = (1 - \frac{d-2}{||x||^2}) + X$ is strictly better.

Practically more useful version:

 $\delta_{75,2}(x) = \overline{x} + (1 - \frac{d-3}{||x-\overline{x}||^2})(x-\overline{x}_{1d})$

Pominates $\delta(x) = x$ for $d = 4$

Taken to logical extreme, suggestion seems dumb: should everyone @ Berkeley pool their estimates?

Note Ell·112 is improved, but E(Xi-Oi)2 may get worse for individual coordinates.