CI.I	000	G	2005
Stat	458	Spring	ZUZ 3

Lab 4 Rubric

A. Strang

Stat 256 Spring 2025	Lab 4 Itubile	A. Strang
EVALUATOR NAME:	SID:	
GROUP #:		
REPRESENTATIVE NAME:	SID: _	
GROUP #:		
Evaluation Instructions: Please ask your the tives must explain what their group did to answ you their lab notebook.		
Note: the evaluation standard here is only par genuine effort to engage with the problem. If a ask them what they did to work on it, what so these conversations as an opportunity to discu shown you their work, please share briefly any of any advice you might have, or conclusions you	a group could not finish a seconces they looked at, and was the lab with your peers.	ction relevant to your question, hat ideas they had. Please use After the representatives have ave. In particular, please share
You may take brief notes in the space beneath a your peers. Do not fill out the bubble evaluation group.	-	• 0
Representative Instructions: As a represent clearly. Evaluators should not give you credit for		
Question 1: Evaluator: "Please explain your g in Q 2.4."	group's efforts to understand	the limits of the Kalman filter
Question 2: Evaluator: "Compare and contract (the Harmonic oscillator and Lorenz, Q 2.2 and		ess noise under the two models

Numerical Evaluation: Do not complete until back at your home table.			
Question 1 [5 points]. Boundaries of inference. To your best judgment, select the option below that characterizes the efforts of the lab group you reviewed to complete Q 2.4:			
(5 points] Essentially complete, clearly explained, and almost entirely accurate. Extraordinary demonstrated effort may make up for errors.			
○ [4 points] Mostly complete, well explained, and mostly accurate. Demonstrated genuine effort towards completion.			
○ [2.5 points] Partially completed, some confusion in explanation, or contains serious conceptual errors without genuine effort to interrogate them. Cursory or shallow attempt.			
\bigcirc [0 points] Incomplete or no demonstration of sincere or cogent effort.			
Question 2 [5 points]. Recovering deterministic processes. To your best judgment, select the option below that characterizes the efforts of the lab group you reviewed to complete, and compare, Q 2.2 and 3.2:			
(5 points] Essentially complete, clearly explained, and almost entirely accurate. Extraordinary demonstrated effort may make up for errors.			
○ [4 points] Mostly complete, well explained, and mostly accurate. Demonstrated genuine effort towards completion.			
(2.5 points] Partially completed, some confusion in explanation, or contains serious conceptual errors without genuine effort to interrogate them. Cursory or shallow attempt.			
\bigcirc [0 points] Incomplete or no demonstration of sincere or cogent effort.			
Question 3 [3 points]. Overall completion and comprehension. To your best judgment, select the option below that characterizes the overall efforts of the lab group:			
\bigcirc [3 points] Demonstrated genuine effort and comprehension on the full lab.			
\bigcirc [2 points] Demonstrated genuine effort and comprehension on most of the lab. Some minor gaps.			
○ [1 points] Demonstrated genuine effort and comprehension on parts of the lab, but, some major gaps.			
\bigcirc [0 points] Seriously incomplete and no demonstration of sincere or cogent effort.			
\bigcirc [N/A] No basis for judgment beyond Q1 and Q2.			
:			