## Software Security

## Question 1 Software Vulnerabilities

(

For the following code, assume an attacker can control the value of basket passed into search\_basket. The value of n is constrained to correctly reflect the number of cats in basket.

The code includes several security vulnerabilities. Circle three such vulnerabilities in the code and briefly explain each of the three on the next page.

```
struct cat {
       char name [1024];
       int age;
4
  };
   /* Searches through a basket of cats of size at most 32.
      Returns the number of kittens or -1 if there are no kittens */
  int search_basket(struct cat basket[], size_t n) {
       struct cat kittens [32];
10
       char kitten_names [1024], cmd [1024];
11
       int i, total kittens = 0, names size = 0;
12
       if (n > 32) return -1;
13
14
       \label{eq:formula} \mbox{for } (\ i \ = \ 0\,; \ \ i \ <= \ n\,; \ \ i ++) \ \{
15
16
            if (basket[i].age < 12) {
17
                size t len = strlen(basket[i].name);
                snprintf(kitten_names + names_size, len, "%s ", basket[i].name);
18
19
                kittens [total_kittens] = basket[i];
20
                names_size += len;
                total_kittens += 1;
21
           }
22
23
       }
24
25
       if (total kittens > 5) {
26
                const char *fmt = "adopt-kittens --num kittens %d --names %s";
27
                snprintf(cmd, sizeof cmd, fmt, total kittens, kitten names);
28
                system (cmd):
29
30
       return total_kittens;
```

## Reminders:

- snprintf(buf, len, fmt, ...) works like printf, but instead writes to buf, and won't write more than len 1 characters. It terminates the characters written with a '\0'.
- system runs the shell command given by its first argument.

2. Explanation:  3. Explanation:  Describe how an attacker could exploit these vulnerabilities to obtain a shell:	1.	Explanation:
	2.	Explanation:
Describe how an attacker could exploit these vulnerabilities to obtain a shell:	3.	Explanation:
	)esc	eribe how an attacker could exploit these vulnerabilities to obtain a shell:

	k the following statements as True or False and justify your solution. Please feel free iscuss with students around you.
1.	Stack canaries completely prevent a buffer overflow from overwriting the return instruction pointer.
2.	A format-string vulnerability can allow an attacker to overwrite values below the stack pointer
3.	An attacker exploits a buffer overflow to redirect program execution to their input. This attack no longer works if the data execution prevention/executable space protection/NX bit is set.
4.	If you have a non-executable stack and heap, buffer overflows are no longer exploitable.
5.	If you use a memory-safe language, some buffer overflow attacks are still possible.
6.	ASLR, stack canaries, and NX bits all combined are insufficient to prevent exploitation of all buffer overflow attacks.
Sho	rt answer!
1.	What vulnerability would arise if the canary was above the return address?
2.	What vulnerability would arise if the stack canary was between the return address and the saved frame pointer?
3.	Assume ASLR is enabled. What vulnerability would arise if the instruction <b>jmp *esp</b> exists in memory?

()

 ${\bf Question} \,\, {\bf 2} \quad {\bf \textit{C Memory Defenses}}$ 

	on 3 TCB (Trusted Computing Base) () ecture, we discussed the importance of a TCB and the thought that goes into deng it. Answer these following questions about the TCB:
1.	What is a TCB?
2.	What can we do to reduce the size of the TCB?
3.	What components are included in the (physical analog of) TCB for the following security goals:
	(a) Preventing break-ins to your apartment
	(b) Locking up your bike
	(c) Preventing people from riding BART for free
	(d) Making sure no explosives are present on an airplane