

Lecture 6

Principal component analysis

Suppose we have a matrix $\tilde{X} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times p}$ whose rows are centered observations $x_1 - \bar{x}, \dots, x_n - \bar{x}$ and whose columns correspond to features:¹

$$\tilde{X} = \begin{pmatrix} (x_1 - \bar{x})^\top \\ (x_2 - \bar{x})^\top \\ \vdots \\ (x_n - \bar{x})^\top \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.1)$$

If $v \in \mathbb{C}^p$ is a unit vector, the matrix $\tilde{X}\bar{v}$ is a list of scalar projections of \tilde{X} 's rows onto v .

$$\tilde{X}\bar{v} = \begin{pmatrix} (x_1 - \bar{x})^\top \bar{v} \\ (x_2 - \bar{x})^\top \bar{v} \\ \vdots \\ (x_n - \bar{x})^\top \bar{v} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle (x_1 - \bar{x}), v \rangle \\ \langle (x_2 - \bar{x}), v \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle (x_n - \bar{x}), v \rangle \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.2)$$

Each inner product can be interpreted as having a factor of $\cos \theta$, where θ is the angle between the two vectors. Then $\tilde{X}\bar{v}$ is larger when θ tends to be small, viz. when v points in the prevailing direction of deviation from \bar{x} . We can find v_1 capturing the direction of greatest variation, v_2 an orthogonal direction with second-greatest variation, etc. using the SVD. Define the covariance matrix $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times p}$ as follows:

$$Q = \frac{1}{m-1} (\tilde{X})^* \tilde{X} \quad (6.3)$$

This can be seen as the sample average value of xx^* .²

- Diagonal entry Q_{jj} ³ is the average squared distance that feature p lands from its average value. This called the variance of feature j .
- Off-diagonal entry Q_{jk} is what you expect on the average when you multiply feature j by the complex conjugate of feature k . It captures the correlation between feature j and feature k . If $Q_{jk} \neq 0$, then features j and k tend to move together.
 - If Q_k is positive, that means that features j and k tend to deviate in the same direction. When the former goes up, the latter goes up.

¹Studying PCA in the context of complex observation would be considered exotic in the practice of statistics, but real-world PCA works just as well if you skip all the conjugation.

²Except the denominator is $m-1$ instead of m for statistical reasons. This is called Bessel's correction.

³ Q_{-1} ?

- If Q_k is negative, then features j and k tend to deviate in opposite directions. When the former goes up, the latter goes up.
- If Q_k is pure imaginary, then features j and k always move together, but at some angle in the complex plane.⁴ When the former goes east, the latter goes north or south.

Let λ_i be the i th greatest eigenvalue of Q , and v_i a unit eigenvector that satisfies $Qv_i = \lambda_i v_i$.

- v_i is the i th **principal component** of \tilde{X} . Projection onto v_1 gets more scalar variance out of \tilde{X} than any other direction. If a scatter plot of the rows of \tilde{X} looks like an ellipse in two dimensions, then v_1 is the semi-major axis and v_2 is the semi-minor axis.
- λ_i is the variance of \tilde{X} after projection onto the direction v_i . If a scatter plot of the rows of \tilde{X} looks like an ellipse in two dimensions, then $\sqrt{\lambda_1}$ is proportional to the length of the semi-major axis, and $\sqrt{\lambda_2}$ is proportional to the length of the semi-minor axis.

Scatter plots of empirical data come in all shapes and sizes, but after you project \tilde{X} onto its leading principal components (by taking inner products e.g. $x_i^\top \bar{v}_j$), the scatter plots all look quite the same, at least in these ways:

- The points are centered at the origin.
- The point cloud is longest along the axis of the foremost principal component.

6.1 Example: measuring an impedance by hand

Suppose that you are abducted by aliens. You are presented with an unknown linear circuit component, an AC voltage source, an oscilloscope, and a graphing calculator capable of linear algebra. The aliens will set you free, but only if you can tell them what the impedance Z is at a frequency ω .

You beg them to let you into your lab at Berkeley where you have a instrument that measures impedance, but they say no. Here is how you might get a pretty good guess:

1. Wire up your oscilloscope to plot voltage and current while you use your AC voltage source to induce a voltage $v(t) = V \cos(\omega t)$ over the mystery component.
2. Write down the current waveform as $i(t) = I \cos(\omega t + \phi)$.
3. Now you have a pair of phasors $x = (\tilde{V}, \tilde{I})$, where $\tilde{V} = V$ and $\tilde{I} = Ie^{j\phi}$.
4. Instead of immediately reporting the ratio $Z = \tilde{V}/\tilde{I}$, collect more data at a range of voltages to be extra sure.
5. Now you have a long list of points $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ where $x_i = (\tilde{V}_i, \tilde{I}_i)$.
6. Consult the aliens, who are able to visualize data in 4 spatial dimensions, and confirm that your data points do indeed look like a line in \mathbb{C}^2 .
7. Perform PCA on your data to find the first principal component (a, b) . When \tilde{V} moves in a direction of a , \tilde{I} moves in the direction b .
8. Report the slope a/b as your impedance estimate.

⁴The interpretation of this case, I admit, is quite bizarre. I believe it is never part of the statistical form of PCA, which works with real data only.