Proof of Optimality of Huffman Coding

Recall that the problem is given frequencies f_1, \ldots, f_n to find the optimal prefix-free code that minimizes

$$\sum_{i}^{n} f_{i} \cdot (\text{length of encoding of the } i\text{-th symbol}).$$

This is the same as finding the full binary tree with n leaves, one per symbol in $1, \ldots, n$, that minimizes

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i \cdot (\text{depth of leaf of the } i\text{-th symbol})$$

Recall that we showed in class the following key claim.

Claim 1 (Huffman's Claim). There's an optimal tree where the two smallest frequency symbols mark siblings (which are at the deepest level in the tree).

We proved this via an exchange argument. Then, we went on to prove that Huffman's coding is optimal by induction. We repeat the argument in this note.

Claim 2. Huffman's coding gives an optimal cost prefix-tree tree.

Proof. The proof is by induction on n, the number of symbols. The base case n = 2 is trivial since there's only one full binary tree with 2 leaves.

Inductive Step: We will assume the claim to be true for any sequence of n-1 frequencies and prove that it holds for any n frequencies. Let f_1, \ldots, f_n be any n frequencies. Assume without loss of generality that $f_1 \leq f_2 \leq \ldots \leq f_n$ (by relabeling). By Claim 1, there's an optimal tree T for which the leaves marked with 1 and 2 are siblings. Let's denote the tree that Huffman strategy gives by H. Note that we are not claiming that T = H but rather that T and H have the same cost.

We will now remove both leaves marked by 1 and 2 from T, making their father a new leaf with frequency $f_1 + f_2$. This gives us a new binary tree T' on n-1 leaves with frequencies $f_1 + f_2, f_3, f_4, \ldots, f_n$. We do the same for the Huffman tree giving us a tree H' on n-1 leaves with frequencies $f_1 + f_2, f_3, f_4, \ldots, f_n$. Note that H' is exactly the Huffman tree on frequencies $f_1 + f_2, f_3, f_4, \ldots, f_n$ by definition of Huffman's strategy. By the induction hypothesis,

$$cost(H') = cost(T').$$

Observe further that

$$cost(T') = cost(T) - (f_1 + f_2)$$

since to get T' from T we replaced two nodes with frequencies f_1 and f_2 at some depth d with one node with frequency $f_1 + f_2$ at depth d - 1. This lowers the cost by $f_1 + f_2$. Similarly,

$$cost(H') = cost(H) - (f_1 + f_2).$$

Combining the three equations together we have that

$$cost(H) = cost(H') + f_1 + f_2 = cost(T') + f_1 + f_2 = cost(T).$$