Department of Economics, PSU, 303 Kern Building, University Park, PA, 16802 https://berkidem.com, berkidem@psu.edu

**EDUCATION** 

Ph.D. Economics, Penn State University

2016 - 2022 (Expected)

Advisor: Vijay Krishna

M.A. Economics, Bilkent University

2014-2016

B.A. Economics, Bilkent University

2009-2014

## WORKING PAPERS

#### Coexistence of Centralized and Decentralized Markets

Centralized marketplaces that facilitate trade, such as Airbnb, Amazon, and Uber, have seen a rapid growth in the last decade. In this paper, I introduce a profit-maximizing centralized marketplace into a decentralized market with frictions. I analyze the equilibria of a market choice game where agents choose between the centralized marketplace and decentralized bilateral trade. I use a mechanism design approach to characterize the optimal rules of the profit-maximizing marketplace in this game. In the unique equilibrium, the centralized marketplace and decentralized trade coexist. The thickness of the centralized marketplace is not affected by the decentralized trade. The equilibrium profit of the marketplace is at least half of the baseline profit, independent of the distribution of valuations. The decrease in the profit as a result of decentralized trade only depends on the efficiency of the decentralized market and not on the distribution. Under some conditions, this equilibrium results in higher welfare than either institution on its own.

#### Optimal Marketplace Design

In financial markets as well as online marketplaces, each user can be a buyer or a seller depending on the market conditions and their endowments. Here, I consider the problem of designing a marketplace for such a market with a divisible good to maximize profit. I first focus on Dominant-Strategy Implementable mechanisms and invoke the revelation principle. I show that the designer's profit is the expected virtual surplus. Then, I describe the optimal allocation through an algorithm. After finding the optimal Dominant Strategy Implementable mechanism, I argue that this mechanism is in fact optimal within the class of Bayesian Implementable mechanisms as well. Finally, I consider an extension where the marketplace itself can own some endowments and illustrate the type of inefficiency this can lead.

#### Competitive Equilibria and Mechanism Design in Convex Economies

In this paper, I show that the existence of a solution to a market design problem can be obtained as long as the designer's and the agents' preferences satisfy any sufficiently well-behaved *abstract convexity*, using 'convex' price orders that rank bundles instead of price vectors. Walrasian Equilibrium is obtained as a special case.

### Existence of Stable Matchings without Substitutability

In this paper, I provide two characterizations for the existence of stable matchings in this environment. Moreover, if 'part-time' contracts are allowed, I show that there is always a stable matching. Finally, I introduce a measure of instability in the market, measured as the amount of subsidy needed to 'stabilize' an efficient outcome.

# WORKS IN PROGRESS

Non-Bayesian Persuasion (with Ece Teoman)

Here, we study the Non-Bayesian Persuasion problems where the agents' non-Bayesian belief updates make some posteriors infeasible. Here we show that the standard tool used by most of the information design literature, concavification, may not be feasible. However, it is possible to modify the concavification approach.

Hiding the Picasso's in the Cellar: Sequential Auctions (with Ece Teoman)

We study how a seller can optimally conceal the available quantity to maximize the revenue. We show that introducing any uncertainty increases the expected revenue (compared to the case the quantity available is known with certainty). Then, we find the optimal belief the designer would like the buyers to have. Lastly, we show that the designer cannot improve the revenue in a classical Bayesian persuasion game.

Multi-Agent Hold-Up Problems (with Ece Teoman)

European Winter Meeting of the Econometric Society

In this paper, we study the problem of revenue-maximization where buyers can first choose how much they want to learn about their valuations. Single-buyer version of this problem has been studied in the literature: There, the buyer optimally balances the costs of knowing too much and too little to be exploited by the seller. However, with multiple buyers, knowing 'less than' the other buyers is itself a disadvantage. We study several selling mechanisms and show that in certain cases, obtaining full information is an equilibrium.

2021

| $T \Lambda$     | LKS              |
|-----------------|------------------|
| $\perp \Lambda$ | $\Gamma I I I I$ |

| Daropean winter meeting of the Econometric Society                  | 2021        |
|---|-------------|
| Applied Micro Seminar at Penn State                                 | 2021        |
| Midwest Economic Theory Conference                                  | 2021        |
| EC (ACM Conference on Economics & Computation) (Poster Presentation | tion) 2021  |
| Stony Brook Game Theory Conference (Poster Presentation)            | 2021        |
| Pennsylvania Economic Theory Conference (Poster Presentation)       | 2021        |
| Conference on Mechanism and Institution Design                      | 2020        |
| Research Assistant, PSU (Vijay Krishna)                             | Summer 2021 |
| Research Assistant, PSU (Vijay Krishna)                             | Summer 2020 |
| Research Assistant, PSU (Nima Haghpanah)                            | Summer 2019 |
| Research Assistant, PSU (Henrique Roscoe de Oliveira)               | Summer 2018 |
| Teaching Assistant, PSU (Principles of Economics, Game Theory)      | 2016-2021   |
| Teaching Assistant, PSU (Intermediate Microeconomics, Game Theory)  | 2014-2016   |
|   |             |

#### **OTHER**

WORK

**EXPERIENCE** 

Programming Experiences: Python, Matlab and Mathematica.

Languages: English (Fluent), Turkish (Native), Spanish (Intermediate), Classical Latin (Beginner)