Git remote branches

Reuven M. Lerner, PhD reuven@lerner.co.il http://lerner.co.il/

Cloning

- So we have a repository!
- Let's say we want to duplicate it
- How do we do that? We clone it!

"git clone"

```
$ git clone simple new-simple
Cloning into new-simple...
done.
```

\$ cd new-simple

```
$ git log --pretty=oneline -5
d942bd054e726d64bf2485e1720f42528e668c66 Added a comment
516c684ca66d28b8e2be74a1f60a0918f73ac134 Fixed
1bff9773fcd762afd75008e654054467a6b29361 Added comment in master
0105700f3cd79322343e96d44ccf6b0a4def5ee7 Added a comment
f6a023d6bf108639d75e7f7f79f033d56bd582bc cherry
```

Truly a clone!

- We have duplicated our repository
- It contains not only the same files, but the same history, including all commits
- It is a clone in every sense ...
- ... but it also remembers where it came from!

origin

```
$ cat simple/.git/config
[core]
 repositoryformatversion = 0
 filemode = true
 bare = false
 logallrefupdates = true
 ignorecase = true
$ cat new-simple/.git/config
[core]
 repositoryformatversion = 0
 filemode = true
 bare = false
 logallrefupdates = true
 ignorecase = true
[remote "origin"]
 fetch = +refs/heads/*:refs/remotes/origin/*
 url = /Users/reuven/Consulting/Courses/Git/Programs/simple
```

Update the clone

```
$ git checkout master
Previous HEAD position was d942bd0... Added a comment
Branch master set up to track remote branch master from
origin.
Switched to a new branch 'master'
$ cat >> hello.rb
# Added a line in the clone
$ git commit -a -m 'Added line'
[master e86308d] Added line
1 files changed, 1 insertions(+), 0 deletions(-)
```

Examine our status

```
$ git status
# On branch master
# Your branch is ahead of 'origin/master' by 1 commit.
#
nothing to commit (working directory clean)
```

Remote branches

- Git normally works with local branches
- But we can work with remote branches, as well
 - branches in other repositories
- "git status" tries to tell us where we stand relative to a remote branch

Remote repositories

- Remember before, I told you that the most important thing in Git is a commit?
- When you have two repositories, the main thing you're doing is exchanging commits.
- Each repository can say to the other, "Hey, send me all of the commits that you're missing."
- Sounds like a merge, right? Exactly! Working with a remote repository is nothing more than a merge — but the branch from which we merge is elsewhere

Remote protocols

- One complicating factor with remote branches is that you need to somehow access the remote server
- Git uses a number of protocols to do this. Two of the most common are HTTPS (i.e., secure HTTP) and SSH (i.e., same as used under Unix)

Remote HTTPS

 If the remote server uses HTTPS, then you'll use a URL to connect to it

https://reuven@bitbucket.org/reuven/foo

- In order to log in, you'll need to enter a username and password
- You can specify the password in the URL, although that's not very secure:

https://reuven:password@bitbucket.org/reuven/foo

Credential caches

- Tired of re-typing your password each time you use an HTTP/HTTPS repository?
- Use the Git credential cache!

git config --global credential.helper cache

- This stores your password for 15 minutes in memory
- You can also set up other credentials that stick around longer, depending on OS

SSH protocol

- SSH is both secure and standard
- You're more likely to use SSH. But to do so, you'll need an ssh key pair. The pair contains one private key and one public key:
 - private key: Never, ever share this with anyone.
 - public key: Share this with whomever you want

Generating keys: Unix

- Under Linux and OS X, you can generate a keypair with the "ssh-keygen" program, included in your operating system
- Just invoke:

ssh-keygen

- By default, the files will be installed as ~/.ssh/id_rsa and ~/.ssh/id_rsa.pub. You may change the names, if you like.
- You may also wish to use a passphrase, to restrict usage of your key.
 This is almost certainly a good idea.
- Your keys will be located under ~/.ssh/. This directory is only readable (and writable) by your user. Don't change those permissions!

Generating keys: Windows

- Windows doesn't have any ssh key-generating software by default
- If you installed Git, then you have the ssh-keygen program (available via git-bash)
- If you installed Git-GUI, then you should go to the "help" menu and click on "show SSH key." You can click on "generate key" to have it create a new SSH key for you.
- This will show the public key, and let you copy it (e.g., to e-mail it to a Git administrator)

Windows via git-bash

From the git-bash prompt, you can also write:

ssh-keygen -b 4096

 https://help.github.com/articles/generating-a-newssh-key-and-adding-it-to-the-ssh-agent/

What now?

- Your Git administrator will need your public key (*not* your private key!) in order to give you permission to use the Git server.
- Whether your Git administrator has you e-mail the public key, or enter it in a Web site, depends on the site
- You should never be afraid to share your public key. You should be very afraid to share your private key.

Use a new key?

- Some people use the same ssh key for everything they do.
- It's probably a good idea to use a new, separate key pair for working with a Git repository.
- That way, if someone steals your private key, you don't need to lock down everything you use.

Remote repositories

- Git can handle any number of remote repositories
- Each is known as a "remote", and has a "remote" section in .git/config, giving it a unique name
- The main remote is traditionally known as "origin", but can be given any name
- The remote has a URL (specifying a protocol) and an indication of the refs (i.e., branches) on that remote

Cloning

- So we have a repository!
- Let's say we want to duplicate it
- How do we do that? We clone it!

Remote example

```
[remote "origin"]
url = ssh://reuven@lerner.co.il:8080/git-class
fetch = +refs/heads/*:refs/remotes/class/*
```

Cloning a remote

 If you're using ssh, just give the user@hostname:/ pathname of the remote

```
git clone git@example.com:/home/git/foo
```

 Once you do this, your local version will have the remote's origin set correctly

Adding a remote

 You can connect to a remote with "git remote add", giving the nickname ("foo") and the URL:

git remote add foo reuven@github.com:/foo

Adding a remote branch

If you're in branch "develop", you can do

git push origin develop

- and that will create a remote branch by the same name ("develop")!
- When someone does a "git pull", they'll then get the name of the remote branch. This doesn't mean you have a local branch "develop". But if you create such a branch, it'll track the remote automatically.

Checking your remotes

```
$ git remote show origin
* remote origin
  Fetch URL: ssh://reuven@new.lerner.co.il:29418/testrml
  Push URL: ssh://reuven@new.lerner.co.il:29418/testrml
  HEAD branch: master
  Remote branch:
    master tracked
  Local branch configured for 'git pull':
    master merges with remote master
  Local ref configured for 'git push':
    master pushes to master (fast-forwardable)
```

Remote commands

 "fetch" tells Git to retrieve all of the commits from a remote branch that it is missing:

git fetch origin master

- However, this doesn't perform a merge! It merely ensures that the remote's commits exist locally
- "pull" and "push" are the main Git commands that have to do with remotes, and what you'll use each day

fetch and pull

- "git fetch" tells Git to go to the remote repository and grab all of the commits we're missing
- But it doesn't then merge them in!
- "git pull" fetches them, but it also merges them.
- You will likely "git pull" very often
- You can also use "git pull --rebase" to merge using rebase, rather than a simple merge

What if you just fetch?

- You get additional commits
- These commits extend your current tree
- Now the "origin/master" pointer points to a commit past your local "master" pointer. In theory, you can then just fast-forward to get there

"git pull" warning

- If you "git pull", Git tries to make life easier for you
 — by merging the commits from the remote branch, without asking you first!
- It will merge into the current branch, which might (or might not) be what you want

push

- By contrast, "git push" tells Git that you want to send to the remote server all of the commits that you have, and which it lacks.
- It might feel like you're "committing to a central server," but you're not! You're sharing your commits with a central repository.
- You cannot push if the remote has commits that you're missing. In other words: pull, then push

How to push

The usual syntax is:

git push origin master

- That says, "Take all of my commits, and merge them into the 'master' branch on the remote host known as 'origin'."
- Sometimes, you can get away with saying "git push" without specifying an origin or branch. If Git complains, specify them!

Keep things shorter

 Or, you can tell Git that when you say "git push", it should infer that the current branch should be used, and the current remote:

[push]

default = current

Push our changes!

```
$ git push
Counting objects: 5, done.
Delta compression using up to 2 threads.
Compressing objects: 100% (3/3), done.
Writing objects: 100% (3/3), 309 bytes, done.
Total 3 (delta 2), reused 0 (delta 0)
Unpacking objects: 100% (3/3), done.
To /Users/reuven/Consulting/Courses/Git/Programs/simple ce0e1f3..e86308d HEAD -> master
```

This is important!

- Pull means, "get all of the commits from the remote branch, and merge them into my current branch"
- Push means, "take all of the commits from my current branch, and merge them to the remote branch."
- You must always pull before you push! Git will warn you if you try to do otherwise. (And don't use the —force option to avoid this warning...)

Check our origin

```
$ git log --pretty=oneline -5
e86308d1a2135e46932d68d26150bec1088037ee Added line
ce0e1f3d123f27c72083b5b1f887f3f7ba8f3f5f Added a print statement
326d7237bc52cf2a0d047e8c48e675f2b4d757f9 Added a bad comment
d942bd054e726d64bf2485e1720f42528e668c66 Added a comment
516c684ca66d28b8e2be74a1f60a0918f73ac134 Fixed
```

Add to the origin

```
$ git commit new-file.txt -m 'Added new-file'
[master 6dc1dc7] Added new-file
1 files changed, 1 insertions(+), 0 deletions(-)
create mode 100644 new-file.txt
```

Now the clone can pull

```
$ git pull
remote: Counting objects: 4, done.
remote: Compressing objects: 100% (2/2), done.
remote: Total 3 (delta 1), reused 0 (delta 0)
Unpacking objects: 100% (3/3), done.
From /Users/reuven/Consulting/Courses/Git/Programs/simple
   e86308d..6dc1dc7 master -> origin/master
Updating e86308d..6dc1dc7
Fast-forward
 new-file.txt | 1 +
 1 files changed, 1 insertions(+), 0 deletions(-)
 create mode 100644 new-file.txt
```

Pushing and pulling

- Pulling is the equivalent of retrieving the commits and merging them into your current branch
- Pushing does the same in the other direction but you can only push if you're up to date with the master
 - Otherwise, the push will be refused

Pushing and pulling

- So:
 - Only push after you have done a "git pull" (or "git pull --g") and run your tests
 - Only pull after you have committed your latest changes — otherwise, it can be messy

Pulling

• You pull from an origin and a branch:

git pull ORIGIN BRANCH

For example:

git pull origin master

git pull myserver development

Pulling with rebase

- Normally, "git pull" fetches the remote's commits, and merges them into your current branch.
- But it's often a good idea to use rebase, such that you can fast-forward on your next push. To do that, say

git pull ---rebase

Pushing

You can push to an origin (default branch):

git push origin master

You can push to an origin (other branch):

git push myserver development

Tracking branches

- When you say just "git pull" or "git push", Git tries to find and use the "tracking branch".
- That is, a remote branch that's associated with your current local branch

43

Creating a tracking branch

 Use the —tracking option when creating a new branch:

git branch -- track feature1 origin/feature1

 Now, when you use "git checkout feature1", Git will know the source you should use

Tracking an existing branch

 If you have a local branch, and want it to track a remote one, the syntax is somewhat different:

git branch -u upstream/foo

- This tells Git that the current branch should track the branch "foo" on the remote "upstream"
- If you want another branch to track, add its name:

git branch -u upstream/foo foo

Multiple remotes

- You can pull from, or push to, multiple remotes
- Typically, you'll be pulling from one remote (the tracking branch for the current branch) and pushing to multiple remotes
- Just make sure to say "git push REMOTE BRANCH", and you'll be fine

Why multiple remotes?

- Multiple "central" servers
- Different servers to which you're deploying
- Production vs. development
- The "lieutenants" way of doing things (a la Linux)

Listing remotes

```
$ git branch -r  # show remote branches
 origin/foo
 origin/master
* master
 remotes/origin/foo
 remotes/origin/master
```

Tags and remotes

- git push" doesn't normally push tags!
- If you want to push a tag, use the same syntax you would use with remote branches:

git push origin v1.4

You can also say

git push origin -- tags

which will push all tags to the server

Not a central server!

- Remember that every repository is separate so we're not talking here about a "server" and "client"
- However, if everyone agrees to use a central repository, that helps things
- Pushing to (and pulling from) an agreed-upon repository is very common

GitHub

- You can put together your own central Git server and repository
- But many people say: Why do so?
- GitHub offers such services, for pay (or free for open-source projects)
- Many, many features above and beyond that

Pull requests

- GitHub allows you to work in the regular way, with pushing and pulling
- You can also work with "pull requests," in which each user has his or her own repository. The user then sends a "pull request" to the manager, asking that one or more commits be pulled into the main repository.
- Many open-source projects use GitHub (and pull requests)

Other options

- BitBucket: A competitor to GitHub; much smaller, but also lower prices and a different pricing model
- Gitlab: An open-source project that has many of GitHub's features, but can be installed on your own server. A hosted, paid version (with additional features) is also available
- Gerrit: Open-source system in which pushing to the server doesn't perform a merge, but rather puts the commit on the side, awaiting approval