Erlang in Production



"I wish I'd known that when I started"
Or
"This is nothing like the brochure :-("

Who the Hell are you?

- ShoreTel Sky
 - http://shoretelsky.com
- "Enterprise Grade" VoIP
- Elevator Pitch

Our Systems

- >9000 endpoints per server
- >150 calls per minute per server (peak)
- Real-time call control and reporting
- People are used to their computer crashing, but not their phone - very low downtime tolerance

Erlang?

- Simple, powerful syntax!
- Highly concurrent!
- Fault Tolerant!
- Hot code loading!
- We love it!
- I want to help *you* love it



Syntax

```
-module(quicksort).
-export([qsort/1]).

qsort([]) -> [];
qsort([Pivot|Rest]) ->
    qsort([ X || X <- Rest, X < Pivot])
    ++ [Pivot]
    ++ qsort([ Y || Y <- Rest, Y >= Pivot]).
```

Highly Concurrent

- Tens of thousands of processes (threads) are no problem
- Each only costs you 1236 bytes of memory
- Primitives for send/receive:

```
NewPid = spawn(?MODULE, f, []),
NewPid ! {message, Message}
...
f() ->
  receive
    {message, M} -> io:fwrite("~p", [M])
  end.
```

Fault Tolerant

Crashes are localised

Built in restart/recovery system

Compare with C/C++:)

Hot Code Loading

• Umm...I'll get to this later:)

Our Erlang Journey

- "Discovered" it at LCA 2007
- Hacked together a dynamic TFTP server
- Hacked together a soft-phone for automated testing
- Now used as the backbone of our call tracking and billing system
- We're rewriting entire core system using Erlang

Overview - I wish we'd known that...

- Dialyzer should be mandatory
- The VM can crash
- Message queues "just work"...except when they don't
- The OTP is invaluable
- Integration as a UNIX-style service is lacking
- Hot code loading is...interesting
- System monitoring is vital

Dialyzer

 Bringing (some) static type-safety to a dynamically typed language

One run over your code will show you why you need it

How to crash the VM

- Out-Of-Memory
 - Non tail-recursive loops
 - Queue overflow
- Linked-in Drivers or NIFs



Good:

```
main_loop() ->
   do_something(),
   wait_for_input(),
   main_loop(). % Tail-call
```

Bad:

```
main_loop() ->
  do_something(),
  wait_for_input(),
  main_loop(),
  ok. % Oops
```

Also Bad:

```
loop() ->
  A = do_something(),
  case A of
   done -> 1;
  continue -> 1 + loop() % Also oops
  end.
```

Bad(!): $foo(X) \rightarrow$ try case f(X) of continue -> foo(A); done -> ok end catch % try-catch must maintain the stack -> doom() end

• Good:

Queue Overflow

- Message queues are simple and powerful
- ...and can get you in very deep trouble
- How do you do it?
 - Outright overload
 - Selective receive

Simple overload

```
% This is called by lots of threads:
log msg(Msg) ->
  logger ! {log, Msg}.
% But is all handled by one thread:
logger() ->
  receive
    {log, Msg} -> format and write(Msg);
    -> ok
  end,
  logger().
```

Selective Receive

```
receiver() ->
 % This is O(n):
 receive
     particular message -> do lots of work()
 end,
 % This is O(1):
 receive
    OtherStuff -> do other work(OtherStuff)
 end,
 receiver().
```

Selective Receive

- May not be obvious in your code:
 - mnesia:transaction/1
- Can take hours or even days to cause problems (monitor your system!)
- Somewhat mitigated as of R14 with new reference optimisation

New Reference Optimisation

```
R = make_ref(),
server ! {R, MyRequest},
receive
  {R, Resp} -> process_response(Resp)
end
```

New Reference Optimisation

```
% Compiler marks the queue here
R = make_ref(),
server ! {R, MyRequest},
% And only has to check from that mark
receive
   {R, Resp} -> process_response(Resp)
end
```

The Open Telephony Platform (OTP)

- Architectural framework for writing robust long running applications
- Forces you to consider process interaction, failure modes, crash behaviour etc
- Possibly overkill for "small" projects
- Definitely mandatory for anything else
- Learn it!

The OTP - Solving problems you didn't know you had

Making a "call" to another process.
 First Try:

```
server_proc ! {request, ReqData},
receive
  {response, RespData} -> RespData
end.
```

 But how can you be sure it's the right response?

```
Ref = make_ref(),
server_proc ! {request, Ref, ReqData},
receive
   {response, Ref, RespData} -> RespData
end,
```

But what if the server process doesn't exist?

```
case whereis(server_proc) of
  undefined -> {error, noproc};
  Pid ->
    Ref = make_ref(),
    Pid ! {request, Ref, ReqData},
    receive
        {response, Ref, RespData} -> {ok, RespData}
    end
end
```

 But what if the server process dies after the call?

```
case whereis(server_proc) of
  undefined -> {error, noproc};
  Pid ->
    Ref = make_ref(),
    Pid ! {request, Ref, ReqData},
    receive
        {response, Ref, RespData} -> {ok, RespData}
        after 5000 -> {error, timeout}
    end
end
```

 It'd be nice not to have to wait 5 seconds if the process crashed...

• But What if the remote node doesn't support erlang:monitor? (C/Java nodes don't).

• Enough! 12+ Lines of code for a simple "call" is already far too much.

```
gen_server:call(server_proc, {request, ReqData})
```

More OTP Stuff

- Supervision Trees
- Event Handlers (subscribe-notify)
- FSMs

Erlang as a UNIX Service

- Erlang has an embedded heritage
- Turn on the device and walk away
- But this can cause trouble in the UNIX world...



Erlang as a UNIX Service

- Usual startup:
 - erl -noshell -detached -boot myapp.boot
 - Always returns 0 success!
- But...what if some part of startup fails?
- Also, –detached means no console output
- No feedback => Unhappy sysadmins

.pid Files

- No .pid file cannot easily find VM process on busy machines. Especially if it moves!
- Naive solution: Just write it from your Erlang code...
- But what if your code never runs?
- That's when you might need the .pid file most of all!

heart to Manage VM Crashes

- heart is a built in VM monitoring program
- A nice idea, but can make shutdown of broken VMs difficult
 - kill -stop is helpful
- Great for embedded systems
- Not so much for UNIX services

Log Rotation

- Log rotation is...unusual?
- No way to handle SIGHUP
- All these quirks together make packaging (.deb, .rpm etc) challenging.

Our Solution: erld

- Same basic principle as GNU screen
- Wraps erl and holds its terminal
- Programatically detaches from console
- Logs console output
- Intercepts SIGHUP for log rotation
- Returns useful error codes
- Manages crashes/restarts
- Open source (GPL)! https://github.com/ShoreTel-Inc/erld

Hot Code Loading

- Great idea!
- Ericsson use it to get insane (reported) uptimes on their AXD 301 switch
- But very few other big projects use it on more than a single module basis. Why not?

Hot Code Loading

- It's really, really hard!
- There's no good tools to help (unless you count rebar)
- The documentation is patchy (but improving)
- There's no easy way to integrate with common package management systems
- It's hard to test

System monitoring

 Erlang's VM has lots of great ways to monitor different parts of your system...

But that's only useful if you use them

And if you know what you're looking for

Some Key Monitoring Points

- Number of processes
 - length(erlang:processes())
- Queue length (esp. for busy processes)
 - erlang:process_info(Pid, message queue len)
- Total Memory Use
 - erlang:memory/0,1

Take-Home Messages

- Understand tail-calls
- Keep your message queues short
- Be careful of selective receives
- You will need to work to get your Erlang project to behave as a UNIX service
- Hot code loading is far harder than you think
- Monitor your system
- Use the OTP
- Use Dialyzer

Questions?

bduggan@shoretel.com

Thanks!

• The End.