1 Holomorphic functions

Before we start, let us very briefly recall the complex numbers. We define the *complex numbers*, denoted \mathbb{C} , to be the set of pairs (x, y) of real numbers together with the following two binary operations, called the "addition" and the "multiplication" of \mathbb{C} , defined by

$$(x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2) := (x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2)$$

and

$$(x_1, y_1) \cdot (x_2, y_2) := (x_1x_2 - y_1y_2, x_1y_2 + y_1x_2)$$

for all real numbers x_i, y_i (i=1,2). Using the laws of distributivity and commutativity in \mathbb{R} , one easily verifies that the operations $+, \cdot$ introduced above are also distributive and commutative. Furthermore, one checks that $(\mathbb{C}, +)$ and $(\mathbb{C} - \{(0,0)\}, \cdot)$ form abelian groups with neutral elements (0,0) and (1,0) respectively. F.e. let $z = (x,y) \neq 0$, then the multiplicative inverse of (x,y) is given by

$$z^{-1} = \left(\frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}, \frac{-y}{x^2 + y^2}\right).$$

So C is in fact a field. Moreover, the map

$$\phi: \mathbb{R} \ni x \mapsto (x,0) \in \mathbb{C}$$

is an injection of $\mathbb R$ into $\mathbb C$, i.e. it is an injective map which is "structure preserving" in the sense that

$$\phi(x_1 + x_2) = \phi(x_1) + \phi(x_2)$$
 and $\phi(x_1x_2) = \phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)$

for all x_1, x_2 in \mathbb{R} . So we may identify \mathbb{R} as a subfield of \mathbb{C} , which we will do in what follows. Now, if we introduce the vector i=(0,1), then \mathbb{C} as a real vector space of dimension two will have 1=(1,0) and i as a basis so that every z in \mathbb{C} can be uniquely written as z=x+yi where x,y are real numbers. Also, we introduce the *complex conjugate* \bar{z} of the complex number z=x+yi as the number x-yi. Note that $z\bar{z}=x^2+y^2$. We let $|z|:=\sqrt{z\bar{z}}=\sqrt{x^2+y^2}$ and get the Euclidean distance of the vector z=(x,y) from the origin. This is an absolute value. In particular, we have

$$|z_1 z_2| = |z_1||z_2|$$

for all z_1, z_2 in \mathbb{C} . Finally, we will denote ∂_x, ∂_y the partial derivatives with respect to x, y.

Let us now come to the central object of study.

Definition 1.1. Given a function

$$f:U\subset \mathbb{C}\to \mathbb{C}$$

where $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ is open, we say that f is holomorphic iff at every point z_0 in U the function f is complex-differentiable, i.e. if there is a point in \mathbb{C} , written $f'(z_0)$, such that

$$\frac{f(z_0+h)-f(z_0)}{h} \to f'(z_0)$$

whenever $h \to 0$ $(h \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\})$.

Obviously, polynomials over \mathbb{C} , i.e. functions of the form

$$p(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_n z^n$$

with coefficients a_i in $\mathbb C$, are holomorphic over the whole complex plane $\mathbb C$. Note that complex differentiability is a quite strong notion of differentiability, since we are considering limits $h=h_1+ih_2\to 0$ (h_1,h_2 real) where we have $h_1\to 0$ and $h_2\to 0$ independently. Letting in particular $h=h_1+i\cdot 0\to 0$, we see that $\partial_x f(z_0)=f'(z_0)$ and letting $h=0+ih_2\to 0$, we get $-i\partial_y f(z_0)=f'(z_0)$. From this it follows that

$$\partial_{\bar{z}}f(z_0) = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

where we let $\partial_{\bar{z}} := \partial_x + i\partial_y$. Writing f = u + iv where u, v are functions $U \to \mathbb{R}$, we see that (1.1) is equivalent to the Cauchy-Riemann-equations

$$\partial_x u(z_0) = \partial_y v(z_0)$$
 and $\partial_x v(z_0) = -\partial_y u(z_0)$

being fulfilled.

Let us now recall that a function $F:U\subset\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{R}^2$ is differentiable at a point v_0 in U iff there is a real 2×2 matrix A and a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{R}^2 such that

$$\frac{\|F(v_0+h) - F(v_0) - Ah\|}{\|h\|} \to 0$$

as $h \to 0$ ($h \neq 0$). Since all norms in \mathbb{R}^2 are equivalent, the above notion of differentiability is independent of the choice of $\|\cdot\|$. Also, as one verifies, A is the Jacobian matrix given by $(\partial_j F_i(v_0))_{1 < i,j < 2}$.

Using our absolute value $|\cdot|$ on $\mathbb C$ defined above, which is of course a norm on $\mathbb C$ viewed, and considering again our holomorphic function $f:U\subset\mathbb C\to\mathbb C$, we have for every z_0 in U that

$$|(f(z_0+h)-f(z_0))/h-f'(z_0)|=|f(z_0+h)-f(z_0)-f'(z_0)h|/|h|\to 0$$

as $h \to 0$. So we see in particular that complex-differentiability implies differentiability. If we write down the matrix of our linear map $h \to f'(z_0)h = \partial_x f(x_0)h$ with respect to our (ordered) basis 1, i of \mathbb{C} , we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_x u(z_0) & -\partial_x v(z_0) \\ \partial_x v(z_0) & \partial_x u(z_0) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.2}$$

Lemma. Given a function $f:U\subset\mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{C}$, where U is an open subset of \mathbb{C} , then following are equivalent:

- $1. \ f$ is complex-differentiable.
- 2. f is differentiable and $\partial_{\bar{z}} f(z) = 0$ for all z in U.

Proof. We have already seen that 1. implies 2. Assume therefore that 2. holds and choose z_0 in U. Writing down the Jacobian of f with respect to our basis 1, i of \mathbb{C} , we get

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} \partial_x u(z_0) & \partial_y u(z_0) \\ \partial_x v(z_0) & \partial_y v(z_0) \end{array}\right).$$

Because of $\partial_{\bar{z}} f(z) = 0$, we may use the Cauchy-Riemann-equations on the second column of our matrix and get the matrix (1.2). From this 1. follows easily.

If we have reviewed the facts about complex analysis we need, we will use them to look more closely at some properties of the Riemann zeta function.