

9. Trouble Shooting

V.2.4

Please note that with autoISF 3.0 you are in an early-dev. environment, where the user interface is **not optimized for safety** of users who stray away from intended ways to use. Good safety features exist, but these are only as good as the development-oriented user understands and implements them. This is not a medical product, refer to disclaimer in [section 0](#)



9.1 How to get back into Hybrid Closed Loop

9.2 Are the pre-conditions for FCL still given?

9.3 Glucose goes too high

9.4 Glucose goes too low

9.5 Glucose goes too high and too low

9.6 Staying out of Trouble

[Available \(related\) case studies:](#)

(none yet)

9.1 How to get back into Hybrid Closed Loop

You can go back to bolussing for meals and making carb inputs again at any time just by

- Going into AAPS Preferences/OpenAPS SMB/autoISF and switch „Enable ISF adaptation by glucose behaviour“ OFF.

You might need to re-install your insulin button via AAPS Preferences/Overview/Buttons

- Pressing on the **violet Full Closed Loop circle** and select the green Hybrid Closed Loop circle (easier, if that user interface element is already included).

This will automatically bring back your buttons “Insulin, Calculator...” you always had at the bottom of your AAPS HCL main screen

Be aware that now it is again up to you to bolus for meals

Note that even if you had an established Hybrid Closed Loop *with autoISF*, the HCL you switch back to would be normal OpenAPS SMB (without autoISF ISF modulation).

That is the safest bet in absence of sufficient data whether tuning the `_weights` in autoISF would have to differ between FCL and HCL mode. According to a n=1 observation they might work with the same setting (see [https://github.com/ga-](https://github.com/ga-zelle/autoISF/blob/A3.2.0.2_ai3.0/To%20prebolus%20or%20not%20to%20prebolus.pdf)

[zelle/autoISF/blob/A3.2.0.2_ai3.0/To%20prebolus%20or%20not%20to%20prebolus.pdf](https://github.com/ga-zelle/autoISF/blob/A3.2.0.2_ai3.0/To%20prebolus%20or%20not%20to%20prebolus.pdf))

but more data are needed, notably also the variance with size of pre-bolus, and kind of meal.

35 It can also be wise, especially in your initial months, to do FCL only for certain meal time slots, and
36 use an **Automation** that shuts down

- 37 • either bgAccel_ISF utilization,
- 38 • or all of autoISF _ISF modulations („Enable ISF adaptation by glucose behaviour“) for the
39 rest of the 24 hour period.

40 In that case, the loop button will automatically adjust its color violet <-> green to show
41 which state your loop operates under (if that user interface is already included)..

42 For instance, it is perfectly possible, without any extra steps involved, to do FCL only for dinners,
43 while breakfast and lunch are done in hybrid closed loop as you are used to.

44

45 9.2 Are the pre-conditions for FCL (still) given?

46

- 47 • Can you pin problems to **Bluetooth** instability (e.g. not always carrying phone with you),
48 lost pump connection, or other technical issues?

- 49 • Has the **CGM quality** deteriorated?

50 It may be worth analyzing data

- 51 ○ without sensor-day-1
- 52 ○ without cannula(pod)-day>2.0

53 to see whether problems have to do with your autoISF settings at all, or with “pre-
54 requisites” not fully met

- 55 • Did you observe the necessary **sequence in your FCL set-up** ?

- 56 ○ Preparing for bigger SMB sizes according to [section 2](#)

- 57 ○ Then finding settings for bgAccel_ISF_weight *first*, then pp_ISF_weight, according
58 to [section 4](#)

59 Note that trying to do too many things at once (like immediate inclusion of options from
60 sections 5 and 6) can make it difficult to judge the core settings.

- 61 • Is the basic **profile** (still) correct ? Was it correct when you started, or could it be the case
62 you started with incorrect settings that were camouflaged by other settings, or by
63 dynamicISF?

64 It may be worth

- 65 ○ going into Open Loop and doing an ISF test at a crucial time of day

66 ○ testing FCL performance with a temp. changed %profile (if you suspect your insulin
67 sensitivity has changed)

68 • Did you set a sensible **iobTH** (via iobMAX and iob_threshold_percent settings in
69 /preferences) ?

70 • Etc (see [section 1](#) pre-requisites).

71

72 9.3 Glucose goes too high

73

74 • Meals are not recognized asap

75 ○ Check regarding Bluetooth (in)stability

76 ○ Experiment with an aperitif, soup a couple of minutes before meal start

77 • First SMB(s) seem a bit delayed

78 ○ Check whether SMB got blocked by the 30% rule (refer to [section 1.3](#)), and what the
79 underlying cause may be

80 ○ Check whether an odd bg target or TT (maybe in context with an Automation)
81 interfered

82 ○ Check pump connection (BT, and physical)

83 ○ Check stability of regular CGM values (notably: was phone in proximity at meal
84 start?)

85 • SMBs are too weak

86 ○ Check acceleration detection (e.g. CGM, BT or smoothing related)

87 ○ Check (real-time) in SMB tab what (“safety”?) setting limits allowed SMB size

88 ○ Check whether your autoISF_max is set too low in AAPS preferences

89 ○ Check (real-time) in SMB tab whether bgAccel_ISF_weight or pp_ISF_weight
90 should be set higher

91 ○ Check potential interference from sensitivity modulations (e.g. exercise mode
92 active)

93 ○ Check in preferences whether, after a FCL pause, you “forgot” to re-activate “Enable
94 ISF adaptation by glucose behavior” , or whether an Automation could have temp.

95 deactivated it, and hence you had received SMBs only from the basic oref(1)
96 SMB+UAM algo, without boost by autoISF

97 ○ In case you are (e.g. due to an on-coming infection) more insulin resistant currently,
98 consider – as in Hybrid Closed Loop – a temp. profile switch to >>100% and do not
99 shift other settings (that would be wrong when your general sensitivity bounced
100 back to your normal)

101 ● Default iobTH (or: modulated iobTH) might be (or: go) too low, and therefore cuts SMBs too
102 early

103 Check whether your FCL *really* operates with the iobTH you think it uses. Observe the
104 Caution notes (e.g. in [section 5.1.4](#)) about needing re-sets to default, after an Automation
105 had lowered the effective iobTH.

106 ● An important observation by pilot users was, that how your glucose and iob curves
107 approach meal start matters a lot regarding how you peak from carbs:
108 Going down (e.g. towards a set EatingSoonTT), building some iob, and curving already
109 towards strong positive acceleration seems very helpful to keep peaks low.

110 ● When all trouble shooting ideas are exhausted, notably all measures to pull powerful SMBs
111 earlier are exhausted, and the tail of insulin activity already pushes you close to a hypo:
112 Then you simply must **find your personal balance** between

113 ○ Accepting *sometimes* trending higher than you would like to, for not going low.

114 ○ Change diet (probably to something with lower amounts of carbs, and higher
115 amount of protein and fibre).

116 ● A pretty benign way to improve bg development after meals could be to just take a
117 walk (notably if starting before/ when glucose seems “stuck” high).

118 ● Some users resort to using a small pre-bolus in their “FCL” (maybe just for to-them-
119 known troublesome types of meals). However, this interferes with how glucose curve
120 and hence detection of rises and triggered SMBs behave. It is therefore not easy to
121 implement with convincing overall benefit.(See discussion in [section 4.1 underneath](#)
122 the bg / autoISF graph).

123

124 9.4 Glucose goes too low

125

126 • Meals are falsely recognized

127 ○ Check whether this occurs outside of usual meal times and can be averted by e.g.
128 setting an odd profile target for that time of day.

129 ○ Check whether your bg_Accel_ISF driven first SMBs are too big and lead to too
130 much iob when the bg rise turns out just a temporary bump.

131 ○ Try to exclude the problematic situation via an Automation that, for a couple of
132 minutes only, sets an odd TT and thus prevents a SMB.

133 ○ To prevent snacks from triggering SMBs as for a meal, use the FCL cockpit for an
134 appropriate temp. setting (low iobTH ;or odd TT for SMBs off; or pre-set “snk”
135 button).

136 • SMBs deliver overall too much insulin

137 ○ Check whether you operate with a too high iobTH.

138 If an Automation might have elevated the effective iobTH, check whether your FCL
139 *really* operates with the default iobTH again afterwards. Observe the Caution notes
140 (e.g. in [section 5.1.4](#)) about needing re-sets.

141 ○ Check (real-time) in SMB tab whether SMB range extension or autoISF_MAX should
142 be set smaller

143 ○ Check (real-time) in SMB tab which of the autoISF ..._weight should be dialled in
144 smaller . Often it will be a too strong dura_ISF. However, that one inheritantly gets
145 stronger with higher and longer lasting highs. Therefore the best remedy is to first
146 try to be more aggressive before, in the glucose rise phase and limit height and
147 duration of the high, then tweak the dura_ISF_weight (downwards).

148 ○ SMB delivery ratio probably can be set smaller. Note in this case, it works across
149 the bord for all SMBs (all time slots),

150 ○ In case you are (e.g. due to a preceding sports day) more insulin sensitive currently,
151 consider – as in Hybrid Closed Loop – a temp. profile switch to <<100% ...
152 and do not shift other settings (that would be wrong when your general sensitivity
153 bounced back to your normal)

154 ○

- 155 • Problems with insulin “tail” after meals
- 156 ○ See 2 bullet points higher up: dura_ISF tuned too strong?
- 157 ○ You may need to take a snack (seeing hypo prediction) or glucose tablets (if already
- 158 in hypo zone). But note that the grams of carbs required that the loop might tell you
- 159 at some point are very likely exaggerated as the loop has no info *) on your carb
- 160 intake (while you may be able to guess how much more, incl. from fats and proteins)
- 161 is still waiting to be absorbed. (*)The loop makes assumptions based on past
- 162 minutes carb deviations, see reference given in [section 4.5](#))
- 163 ○ A valuable information would be whether the problem originates mostly in the bg
- 164 rise phase already. Then setting a lower iobTH might be an easy remedy.
- 165 ○ If the need for additional carbs happens frequently, note down how many grams
- 166 were needed (not counting what you eventually took too much which required extra
- 167 insulin again). Then use your profile IC value to estimate how much insulin *less* the
- 168 SMBs should have delivered, and go with this info into your tuning (regarding the %
- 169 profile in the Automations, or maybe also your set iobTH). This may relate to the
- 170 SMBs given when glucose was high, or also extend regarding the SMBs during the
- 171 glucose rise.
- 172 ○ When all trouble shooting ideas are exhausted, you simply must **find your**
- 173 **personal balance** between
 - 174 ▪ Accepting *sometimes* trending too low and needing a <10 g snack. (There
 - 175 are worse things in life, and if you are weight conscious, eat these grams
 - 176 less at the meal itself. Over time you should learn at which type of meals –
 - 177 probably those *low* in fibre, fat, and protein - this scenario arises)
 - 178 ▪ Accept on average a bit higher glucose peaks, for not going low.
 - 179 ▪ Change diet (probably to something with lower amounts of carbs, and higher
 - 180 amount of protein and fibre).

181

182 9.5 Glucose goes too high and too low

183

184 Frequent roller coasters point to serious problems with your set-up.

- 185 • **Try not do master everything at once.** Is your eating and general life style just so
186 extreme, and your expectation into the capabilities of the system too high / your
187 understanding of its limits too low? Then you could consider giving the FCL another
188 serious try for periods that are less varied (e.g. just one of your daily meal times, only
189 weekends, not days with Xtreme sport ...). Make it work there, then gradually expand.
190 Sections 5. and 6. describe a mind boggling number of ways to deal with special
191 “disturbances”. Just occasionally try one that interests you. Stay connected with others that
192 are in the same boat.

193 Even when basic pre-conditions (see [9.2](#)) seemed given, and you “tried already everything”:

- 194 • Was your autoISF FCL built based on true and experimentally proven ISFs?
- 195 • Did you follow the sequence of tuning steps (sections 2, then 4 ; bgAccel_ISF-weight first?)
- 196 • How often did you consult SMB tab or emulator, to gain an understanding what is/was
197 happening?

198 With the multitude of inter-acting parameters and settings (that already after a short time would be
199 burdened with counter-balancing errors) it is extremely difficult to untangle and correct this. Best
200 idea then might be

- 201 • an entire new start. (There is emphasis all over this paper that with autoISF FCL you are in
202 a development project. So, taking some steps back and starting over from there should be
203 acceptable.)
- 204 • going back into your prior Hybrid Closed Loop (potentially with some benefits from autoISF
205 also there)
- 206 • or resorting to another method as e.g. mentioned in section 13
- 207 • or switching to a simpler loop system as fully supported by your doctor, and wait for
208 improvements the industry will provide over time, too.

209

210

211 9.6 Staying out of Trouble...

212

213 In closing this chapter we like to remind everyone that interfering with a closed loop should be kept
214 at a minimum.

215 Also, „optimizing“ parameters for just *one* specific meal type or other experience is a **flawed „fine-**
216 **tuning“ concept** when you are in FCL, and can easy backfire (see [case report 8.2](#) as an
217 example). What we want is settings that get us „good-enough“ through (nearly) all scenarios in our
218 personal everyday lifes.

219

220 This is a good time to throw in a reminder, where the real world of T1Ds stands, and the consensus
221 in the medical community, regarding desirable %TIR (or HbA1c, as only a minority would have TIR
222 data).

223

224 Weigh for yourself what it is that you try to achieve. For instance, 80%TIR was reached in a
225 study even with a much simpler FCL (AAPS with Automations, Fiasp, no autoISF), and no meal
226 announcements whatsoever.

227

- 228 • **Do the basics right, keep it simple.** Resist the temptation to embark always on the latest
229 craze without knowing how extra features might topple your carefully set balance.
- 230 • Learn to use FCL in some times, and not in others that you believe may be too challenging,
231 or you have already a bad experience with (and no time, interest, skill, to resolve it for now.
232 That is fine, too.).
- 233 • Stay in touch with the community of developers and other users
- 234 • **Relax and enjoy** as/when/while good-enough. „Just eat!“

235