4	D	: . :	£	
	Pro-ron	ппенде	TAT	\vdash
4.0	Pre-red	iuisites	IUI	

1.1 Well-tuned hybrid closed loop

FCL. There are two important reasons for this:

1.2 Fast insulin (Lyumjev, Fiasp)

A modelling study (details see

height of peaks

common definition, under 180 mg/dl (10 mmol/l))

V17

2

1

3

4

5

6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 can show in quantitative terms that **faster insulins** 22

23

25

26

24

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

function of the full closed loop.

It is very important to have an eye on the time a cannula (or pod) is in use (many find 48 hrs to be

the **limit**), and whether hard-to-explain glucose rises happen at ever increasing "fake" iob (even before a 48 hr routine replacement). (See <u>case study 1.1:</u> You easily lose 25% TIR that day)

are on a very moderate to low carb diet. (See also case study 1.2).

It is advisable to first establish a well-tuned hybrid closed loop before considering the transition to

the loop will give insulin mimicking YOUR successful hybrid closed loop mode.

would create a highly unstable system, hard to re-calibrate better later.

will result in significantly **lower** glucose **peaks** than slower insulins

The UAM full closed loop requires a highly personalized (individual) tuning of settings, so

The UAM full closed loop comes with new parameters to be set and tuned. It would be

If the user does not bolus for meals, clearly a very fast insulin is needed so, upon realization of a

starting meal-related glucose rise, the loop has any chance to eventually keep glucose in range (by

https://androidaps.readthedocs.io/en/latest/Usage/FullClosedLoop.html#fast-insulin-lyumjev-fiasp)

tolerate a couple of minutes delayed first meal bolus while not incurring unacceptable

In conclusion, do not attempt FCL with other insulin than Lyumjev or Fiasp, unless, maybe, if you

1.3 Good Tolerance (of Lyumjev or of Fiasp) because occlusions threaten the

minimize the effect on glucose peak from different carb load (meal sizes).

problematic to set and tune several new parameters before the basics were tuned "right".

Errors could easily be balanced with counter-errors. This can work in single scenarios, but

It is absolutely contra-indicated to attempt FCL coming from leaking pods and associated erratic

sensitivity swings that may or may not have been somewhat controlled and tolerable by

dynamicISF or other measures when you were Hybrid Closed Looping,

38	
39	1.4 Excellent CGM
40 41	You do not give a mealsize-related bolus any longer. That leaves <u>all</u> insulination jobs to the algo!
42	As glucose values are the very basis for this, please inform yourself well about how your CGM
43	1) principally performs 2) whether and how this may depend on data flow and intermediate apps
44	you use 3) specifically, how and where any smoothing is done, and what this might imply for the
45	ISF boosting method you will be using See for instance here:
46	https://androidaps.readthedocs.io/en/latest/Usage/Smoothing-Blood-Glucose-Data-in-xDrip.html
47	In AADC Drafaranage/OpenADC CMD/outs/CE/Chappe source data for parabole fit area must
48	In AAPS Preferences/OpenAPS SMB/autoISF/Glucose source data for parabola fit, you must
49	select between 4 options relating to your CGM (1 or 5 minute values, raw or smoothened).
50 51	Around meals, a stable Bluetooth connectivity is absolutely essential, too, so CGM, loop, and
52	pump can do their job without losing more valueable time. (See case study 1.4).
52 53	pump can do their job without losing more valueable time. (See <u>case study 1.4</u>).
54	Then, but even more importantly in <i>all other</i> day and night <i>times</i> , the CGM should not produce any
55	artefacts (jumpy values; see <u>case study 1.3</u>) that the loop could misinterpret as sign of a starting
56	meal. Note that also calibrations could produce jumps.
57	mean rete that also camprations scala produce jamps.
58	But, in any case, a CGM with more scatter will make the loop lose more time, and lead to higher
59	peaks and lower %TIR.
60	
61	The best way currently is to use Dexcom G5 or G6 , and to ensure via overlapping right and left
62	arm sensor and transmitter utilization, that always good quality values can be used by the loop.
63	Other ways are possible, but come with a lot of monitoring effort (via watch) and occasional time-
64	outs for the loop.
65	
66	Also FS Libre 3 is useable. Observe info in the general section about autoISF
67	(https://github.com/ga-zelle/autoISF) regarding how it should be implemented:
68	
69 70	Hint for users with 1-minute CGM (Libre 3) (status of 05 Dec. 2023)
70 71	In AAPS 3.2.0.2 the glucose history only uses data at 5 minute intervals and ignores the
72	ones in between. That has awkward consequences for the graph display and for the
73	exponential smoothing method: Each minute a new reading is received the 5-minute pattern
74	moves foreward by 1 minute and a completely fresh and new subset of glucose values and
75	times is used.

76 Alternatives of determining the glucose acceleration based on the 1 minute data are still un-77 der evaluation. Once a promising method can be found and validated then an interim re-78 lease will be provided. 79 80 As a libre user you have 3 alternatives: 81 82 AAPS gets values from xDrip+ where you smooth and reduce the 1-minute data to 5-mi-83 nute data before sending to AAPS 84 85 you should stick to the 5 minute mode in your Libre setup if possible 86 87 AAPS gets values from Juggluco every minute but AAPS uses only the 5-minute subset 88 for looping and for parabola fitting 89 1.5 Meal-related limitations 90 91 92 Setting up a full closed loop is relatively easy for people whose diet does not consist mainly of 93 components with rapid high effect on blood glucose (more see 94 https://androidaps.readthedocs.io/en/latest/Usage/FullClosedLoop.html#meal-related-limitations) 95 96 Meals do not have to be low on carb (provided you use a fast insulin for your FCL) 97 98 Fat or protein rich diets, or slow digestion/gastroparesis, make things easier rather than harder for 99 the full closed loop because late carbs nicely cover for inevitable "tails" of late action from SMBs 100 needed around peak time. 101 102 Erratic consumption of snacks with fast resorbing carbs can be a problem. 103 In autoISF you can reduce this problem to some extent via two or three keystrokes on your 104 AAPS main screen. While certainly being a deviation from the FCL idea(I), this would be 105 one of the exceptional situations where you better do a quick "nudging" step from your "FCL 106 cockpit". Details see in section 5.2 /TT dialogue field / (4). 107 108 1.6 Lifestyle-related limitations 109 110 Technically stable system 111 112 113 Full closed looping requires a 24/7 technically stable system, especially regarding reliable **CGM** 114 signals, but also **Bluetooth stability** with the **pump** (see <u>case study 1.4</u>), and avoiding (or at least 115 early recognition of) occlusion. This could require attention to details like keeping all components

116 well charged and in close proximity; making cannula (or pod) changes always early enough to lower the risk of occlusion (see case study 1.1); having always potentially needed parts with you. 117 118 Depending on your system, your experience with it, but also on your acceptance and general 119 lifestyle, these aspects may or may not limit you. 120 121 Preparing for exercise 122 123 To prepare for exercise (sports, heavy work), the normal protocol with a pump or hybrid closed loop 124 is to take actions that reduce insulin on board prior to exercise 125 With your full closed loop, the algorithm is tuned to detect meals and to give you insulin to counter glucose rises automatically. Setting a high temp, target and lower % profile right away (effective al-126 127 ready around meal start) could be a problem. 128 Unusual activity levels therefore likely require disciplined preparation (especially if you want to 129 keep the need to snack during sports low) 130 In autoISF you can reduce this problem to some extent via two or three keystrokes on your 131 AAPS main screen. While certainly being a deviation from the FCL idea(I), this would be 132 one of the exceptional situations where you better "flick a lever" from your "FCL cockpit" to 133 have temporarily adjusted settings for the planned exercise. Details see in section 6.2-6.3 134 135 Extra hurdles to establish FCL for kids 136 137 To establish and maintain a FCL for kids brings about some extra challenges if: 138 Lyumjev is not available or well tolerated 139 Hourly basal rate is very low, providing a poor basis for big SMBs 140 Diet is rich in sweet components. With the typical low blood volume of a small body, strong 141 tendency towards very high bg spikes! Going through marked changes of insulin sensitivity or of circadian pattern makes it diffi-142 cult to keep the FCL appropriately tuned. 143 This problem is about the same in Hybrid Closed Looping. However, now you might 144

appropriate temp. changed profiles, that serve also as a basis for your autoISF FCL. 146 147 Discipline is poor regarding keeping Bluettoth connectivity and infusion sites perfectly run-148

ning

expect miracles from the FCL. This is not going to happen. You still should try to set

Between kid and supervising parent it must be guranteed, especially in the initial weeks, that an eye is kept on whether the FCL is working about as to be expected.

More see section 7.

151 152 153

149

150

145

1.7 Time re

1.7 Time required for setting-up

Lastly, before enjoying a functioning full closed loop you need to have a period of a some weeks with some free time and "free head" for set-up —. Can you get, in the time you are willing to invest, to a result that you consider good-enough is really the question. Depending on your "habits", and which — if any - compromises (like doing cannula/pod changes more often, never starting meals when bg sits high …) are you willing to make (and everyday able to stick to), for the ease of not having to deal with assessing meals and bolussing for them?

While setting up your personal FCL using autoISF is a substantial project, there is no need to implement it fully in one step. There is nothing wrong to go in your well running Hybrid Closed Loop mostly, while switching to FCL only for dinners, for instance, or only for weekend lunches, as a start. Once you found feasible settings, you can expand to other meal times, and lastly towards figuring out your best strategies for challenges outside of meal windows, as we shall discuss in sections 5. and 6.

There are alternatives to using autoISF for FCL, as well. See section 13. for more info.

Notably <u>13.1</u> FCL using AAPS Master and Automations could be a much easier and more error-tolerant way of stepping into FCL. In a clinical study with 16 participants about 80% TIR was achieved without much tuning effort (source: see in <u>section 13.1</u>).

To close the circle to where we had started (<u>section 1.1</u>): A very time consuming pre-requisite might actually be to first sort out your Hybrid Closed Loop, so your profile parameters are set "right", and your data really can serve as a blueprint for what, now, you would like your loop to do in FCL mode (- see warnings at start of <u>section 4</u>).

Note that if you had used dynamic parameters or special Automations ("loops inside the loop") this might have balanced some principal errors, but leaves you now without a good starting point as you must get rid of these over-patches…

You will see also success stories of loopers who just jump into using more powerful tools, in kind of a trial and error mode, and frequently add the latest add-on, or self-constructed patch (often in form of an Automation) to counter-balance problems.

So, yes, you can also continue in that spirit. Resulting solutions may be good-enough. But they tend to be unstable and not well-understood. That is a poor basis for managing arising problems (-> fine tuning), and for adjusting to special situations (-> which setting to

temporarily change). But it certainly is an alternative avenue for the impatient, less

analytically, and more adventurous inclined.

193	In any case, PLEASE always observe the safety settings/instructions coming with the DIY
194	dev- variant of software you select.
195	
196	One key safety measure every AAPS user going towards FCL should have in place is to set an iob
197	threshold (size a bit below what you used as a bolus for bigger meals in HCL) above which no
198	more SMBs can be given by your FCL.
199	This is an integrated feature of autoISF (from 3.0 version onwards), but can easily be implemented
200	in any AAPS variant via an Automation (as discussed for instance here;
201	$\underline{https://androidaps.readthedocs.io/en/latest/Usage/FullClosedLoop.html \#iob-threshold}).$
202	How is it done in iAPS ?? IFTTT ??