HW3 Answer

Problem 1

The output is $(B, W', H', output_channels)$, where

$$W'=\left\lfloorrac{W+2*p_1-k_1}{s_1}+1
ight
floor \ H'=\left\lfloorrac{H+2*p_2-k_2}{s_2}+1
ight
floor$$

Note that you should give some explanation to get all points.

Problem 2

We use the gradient descent to update γ and β :

$$\gamma \leftarrow \gamma - \eta \frac{\partial l}{\partial \gamma}$$
 $\beta \leftarrow \beta - \eta \frac{\partial l}{\partial \beta}$

where η is a learning rate and

$$egin{aligned} rac{\partial l}{\partial \gamma} &= \sum_{i=1}^m \left(rac{\partial l}{\partial y_i} rac{\partial y_i}{\partial \gamma}
ight) = \sum_{i=1}^m rac{\partial l}{\partial y_i} \hat{x}_i \ rac{\partial l}{\partial eta} &= \sum_{i=1}^m \left(rac{\partial l}{\partial y_i} rac{\partial y_i}{\partial eta}
ight) = \sum_{i=1}^m rac{\partial l}{\partial y_i} \end{aligned}$$

Note that we sum from 1 to m because we are working with mini-batches. Now, we derive some important term by chain rule:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial l}{\partial \hat{x}_{i}} &= \frac{\partial l}{\partial y_{i}} \frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial \hat{x}_{i}} = \frac{\partial l}{\partial y_{i}} \gamma \\ \frac{\partial l}{\partial \sigma_{B}^{2}} &= \frac{\partial l}{\partial \hat{x}_{i}} \frac{\partial \hat{x}_{i}}{\partial \sigma_{B}^{2}} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\partial l}{\partial \hat{x}_{i}} (x_{i} - \mu_{B}) (\sigma_{B}^{2} + \epsilon)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \\ \frac{\partial l}{\partial \mu_{B}} &= \frac{\partial l}{\partial \hat{x}_{i}} \frac{\partial \hat{x}_{i}}{\partial \mu_{B}} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\partial l}{\partial \hat{x}_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_{B}} (x_{i} - \mu_{B}) (\sigma_{B}^{2} + \epsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\partial l}{\partial \hat{x}_{i}} \left[\frac{\partial (x_{i} - \mu_{B})}{\partial \mu_{B}} (\sigma_{B}^{2} + \epsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (x_{i} - \mu_{B}) \frac{\partial (\sigma_{B}^{2} + \epsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\partial \mu_{B}} \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\partial l}{\partial \hat{x}_{i}} \left[\frac{-1}{\sqrt{\sigma_{B}^{2} + \epsilon}} + (x_{i} - \mu_{B}) \frac{\partial (\sigma_{B}^{2} + \epsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\partial \mu_{B}} \right] \end{split}$$

We know $\sigma_B^2 = rac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - \mu_B)^2$, so the second term in bracket is

$$egin{aligned} (x_i-\mu_B)rac{\partial(\sigma_B^2+\epsilon)^{-rac{1}{2}}}{\partial\mu_B} &= (x_i-\mu_B)rac{-1}{2}(\sigma_B^2+\epsilon)^{-rac{3}{2}}rac{\partial(\sigma_B^2+\epsilon)}{\partial\mu_B} \ &= rac{-1}{2}(x_i-\mu_B)(\sigma_B^2+\epsilon)^{-rac{3}{2}}rac{\partial\left(rac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^m(x_i-\mu_B)^2+\epsilon
ight)}{\partial\mu_B} \ &= rac{-1}{2}(x_i-\mu_B)(\sigma_B^2+\epsilon)^{-rac{3}{2}}\left(rac{-2}{m}\sum_{i=1}^m(x_i-\mu_B)
ight) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$egin{aligned} rac{\partial l}{\partial \mu_B} &= \sum_{i=1}^m rac{\partial l}{\partial \hat{x_i}} rac{-1}{\sqrt{\sigma_B^2 + \epsilon}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^m rac{\partial l}{\partial \hat{x_i}} rac{-1}{2} (x_i - \mu_B) (\sigma_B^2 + \epsilon)^{-rac{3}{2}}}_{rac{\partial l}{\partial \sigma_B^2}} \left(rac{-2}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - \mu_B)
ight) \ &= \sum_{i=1}^m rac{\partial l}{\partial \hat{x_i}} rac{-1}{\sqrt{\sigma_B^2 + \epsilon}} + rac{\partial l}{\partial \sigma_B^2} \left(rac{-2}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - \mu_B)
ight) \end{aligned}$$

HW3 Answer - HackMD

To derive $\frac{\partial l}{\partial x_i}$, we use the chain rule $\frac{\partial l}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial l}{\partial \hat{x}_i} \frac{\partial \hat{x}_i}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial l}{\partial \sigma_B^2} \frac{\partial \sigma_B^2}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial l}{\partial \mu_B} \frac{\partial \mu_B}{\partial x_i}$. Now, calculate the remain term:

$$egin{aligned} rac{\partial \hat{x}_i}{\partial x_i} &= rac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma_B^2 + \epsilon}} \ rac{\partial \mu_B}{\partial x_i} &= rac{1}{m} \ rac{\partial \sigma_B^2}{\partial x_i} &= rac{2(x_i - \mu)}{m} \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$rac{\partial l}{\partial x_i} = rac{\partial l}{\partial \hat{x_i}} rac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma_B^2 + \epsilon}} + rac{\partial l}{\partial \sigma_B^2} rac{2(x_i - \mu)}{m} + rac{1}{m} rac{\partial l}{\partial \mu_B}$$

Problem 3

Note that we use Kronecker delta in our answer

(1)

$$egin{aligned} rac{\partial S_i}{\partial z_j} &= rac{\partial rac{e^{z_i}}{\sum_{k=1}^N e^{z_k}}}{\partial z_j} = rac{\partial e^{z_i}}{\partial z_j} (\sum_{k=1}^N e^{z_k})^{-1} + e^{z_i} rac{\partial}{\partial z_j} (\sum_{k=1}^N e^{z_k})^{-1} \ &= e^{z_i} \delta_{ij} (\sum_{k=1}^N e^{z_k})^{-1} - e^{z_i} (\sum_{k=1}^N e^{z_k})^{-2} e^{z_j} \ &= S_i \delta_{ij} - S_i S_j \end{aligned}$$

(2)

$$egin{aligned} rac{\partial L}{\partial z_i} &= -\sum_j y_j rac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \log \hat{y}_j \ &= -\sum_j y_j rac{1}{\hat{y}_j} rac{\partial \hat{y}_j}{\partial z_i} \ &= -\sum_{j=i} rac{y_j}{\hat{y}_j} rac{\partial \hat{y}_j}{\partial z_i} - \sum_{j
eq i} rac{y_j}{\hat{y}_j} rac{\partial \hat{y}_j}{\partial z_i} \ &= -rac{y_i}{\hat{y}_i} (\hat{y}_i - \hat{y}_i \hat{y}_i) - \sum_{j
eq i} rac{y_j}{\hat{y}_j} (-\hat{y}_i \hat{y}_j) \ &= -y_i + y_i \hat{y}_i + \sum_{j
eq i} y_j \hat{y}_i \ &= -y_i + \hat{y}_i \sum_j y_j = \hat{y}_i - y_i \end{aligned}$$

Problem 4

(1) WLOG (Without Loss of Generality), let $\mu=0$. Since Σ is symmetric positive semi-definite matrix, we can perform eigen decomposition as follows:

$$\Sigma = UDU^T = \sum_{i=1}^m (d_i u_i u_i^T).$$

where \boldsymbol{U} and \boldsymbol{U}^T are orthogonal matrix.

Let n=2m and construct a set of points $x_1,\dots,x_m,\dots,x_{2m}$

where $x_i = \sqrt{d_i}u_i$ and $x_{m+i} = -\sqrt{d_i}u_i \ orall \ 1 \leq i \leq m.$ Then,

$$egin{aligned} rac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n x_i &= \mu = 0 \ rac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i^T &= \sum_{i=1}^m (d_i u_i u_i^T) = UDU^T = \Sigma \end{aligned}$$

Note that lots of students just use the covariance of eigen decomposition to construct $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$. However, It should satisfy the condition that $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \mu$ (2)

Let ϕ_1, \cdots, ϕ_k be the columns of Φ . Then

$$Trace(\Phi^T \Sigma \Phi) = \sum_{i=1}^k \phi_i^T \Sigma \phi_i = \sum_{i=1}^k \phi_i^T (\sum_{j=1}^m (d_j u_j u_j^T)) \phi_i = \sum_{j=1}^m d_j \sum_{i=1}^k \langle u_j, \phi_i
angle^2 = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j d_j$$

where $\langle\cdot,\cdot
angle$ is standard inner product in Euclidean space, $c_j:=\sum_{i=1}^k\langle u_j,\phi_i
angle^2$ for each $j=1,\cdots,m$ and $d_1\geq d_2\geq\cdots\geq d_m\geq 0$

Claim: $0 \leq c_j \leq 1$ and $\sum_{j=1}^m c_j = k$

Clearly, $c_j \geq 0$. Extending ϕ_1, \cdots, ϕ_k to $\phi_1, \cdots, \phi_k, \phi_{k+1}, \cdots, \phi_m$ for \mathbb{R}^m . Then, for each $j=1,\cdots,m$

$$c_j = \sum_{i=1}^k \langle u_j, \phi_i
angle^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \langle u_j, \phi_i
angle^2 = 1$$

Finally, since u_1, \cdots, u_m is an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^m ,

$$\sum_{j=1}^m c_j = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^k ig\langle u_j, \phi_i ig
angle^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^m ig\langle u_j, \phi_i ig
angle^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k \left\lVert \phi_i
ight
Vert_2^2 = k$$

Hence, the minimum value of $\sum_{j=1}^m c_j d_j$ over all choice of $c_1,c_2,\cdots,c_m\in[0,1]$ with $\sum_{j=1}^k c_j=k$ is d_{m-k+1},\cdots,d_m . This is achieved when $c_1,\cdots,c_{m-k}=0$ and $c_{m-k+1}=\cdots=c_m=1$

在證明過程中,所有符號都要有定義,盡量不要直接使用上課的符號 ex. $\hat{x}^{ ext{PCA}}$

5 of 5 12/22/2022, 4:05 PM