y(11² 4 × 2)

 $= \frac{\sum \left(\chi_{i} \bar{\chi} - \bar{\chi}^{2}\right) y_{i} - \sum \left(\chi_{i} \bar{\chi} - \bar{\chi}^{2}\right) \bar{y}}{2}$

2(x, x̄ - x²) y; - (ğ(Σ); x̄-n x²)

- Say x

ε (x;-x)(y;-ȳ) x̄

y; ~ N(βω+βx; , σς)

$Problema~1~({\rm Casella~\&~Berger},~2002)$

Sea Y una variable aleatoria tal que $Y \sim N(\beta_0 + \beta_1 X, \sigma^2)$. Recuerde que el estimador de β_0 es $\hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{Y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}$.

(a) Muestre que el estimador $\hat{\beta}_0$ puede ser expresado como $\hat{\beta}_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i Y_i$, con

$$c_i = \frac{1}{n} - \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})\bar{x}}{c}$$

(b) Verifique que

$$E[\hat{\beta}_0] = \beta_0$$
 y $\operatorname{Var} \hat{\beta}_0 = \sigma^2 \left[\frac{1}{nS_{rr}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 \right]$

$$E[\hat{\beta}_0] = \beta_0 \quad \text{y} \quad \text{Var } \hat{\beta}_0 = \sigma^2 \left[\frac{1}{nS_{xx}} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \right]$$

$$E[\hat{\beta}_0] = \beta_0 \quad \text{y} \quad \operatorname{Var} \hat{\beta}_0 = \sigma^2 \left[\frac{1}{nS_{xx}} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} = \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} - \hat{\mathbf{p}} \} \\ & \{ \hat{\mathbf{p}} \}$$

$$\frac{S_{X}}{S_{XY}} = \frac{\frac{1}{X_{1} - X_{2}}}{S_{XY}} \ge \left[\left((X_{1} - \bar{X})^{2} + X_{1} - \bar{X} - \bar{X}^{2} \right) \right]$$

$$\tilde{x} - \frac{\tilde{x}}{\tilde{s}_{W}} \left(\tilde{s}_{W} + \tilde{x} \cdot \tilde{n} - \tilde{n} \tilde{x}^{2} \right)$$

$$= 0$$

$$\tilde{s} = \tilde{s}_{W} \left(\tilde{s}_{W} + \tilde{x} \cdot \tilde{n} - \tilde{n} \tilde{x}^{2} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{A}) \circ \mathsf{E}[\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{C}|\mathbf{J})] \sim \mathcal{E}_0 \, \mathsf{E}(\mathbf{J}_1) \\ & = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{X}) \\ & = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{X}) \\ & = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}) \\ & = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}) \\ & = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}) \\ & = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}) \\ & = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}) \\ & = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}) \\ & = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}) \\ & = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}) \\ & = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}) \\ & = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}) \\ & = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}) \\ & = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}) \\ & = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}) \\ & = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf$$

$$. \qquad . \beta_{\bullet} \sim N \left(\beta_{i,j} \ \sigma^{\star} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N}}{n \ S_{NX}} \right) \right)$$

Se ha observado que los campos de atracción se forman con mayor frecuencia si los núcleos están cercanos. En un experimento se colocaron 20 núcleos a distancias diferentes y se midió la incidencia de campos de atracción (Y) para las diferentes distancias (X). Lamentablemente se borro parte del análisis de regresión y se le solicita completarlo.

(a) Complete la tabla ANOVA que se entrega a continuación

20 datos

Fuente	Grados de Libertad	Sumas Cuadradas		Medias Cuadradas		Estadístico F_0
Predictor	1 7.	2.0559	(1) =	4,0550	(a)	301.08 (s) MC
Residuos	18 77=19	0,122	(U)	0,000	l (5)	
Total	19 (n-1)	a,is83	(6)	1		
					CCE	

(6)= (4) + (4)

SCE = (1)

8 - 1/1+ 2/4 +1/2

(b) ¿Qué porcentaje de la variable total está siendo explicada por el modelo?

$$\frac{\hat{R}^{2}}{SCt} = \frac{SCR_{0}}{SCt} \quad \text{as} \quad \frac{\Xi\left((\hat{I}_{1}^{2} \div \bar{I}_{2}^{2}\right)^{4}}{2\left(\hat{I}_{1}^{2} \div \hat{I}_{1}^{2}\right)^{6}} = 4 - \frac{SCE}{SCt} \stackrel{?}{\sim} 4 - \frac{Q\cdot 1229}{2\cdot 1985} = -Q4', 36\%$$