

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/337544734>

# COMPARISON OF FLOYD-WARSHALL AND MILLS ALGORITHMS FOR SOLVING ALL PAIRS SHORTEST PATH PROBLEM. CASE STUDY: SUNYANI MUNICIPALITY

Article · May 2018

CITATION

1

READS

878

3 authors:



[Daniel Ashong](#)

University of Cape Coast

6 PUBLICATIONS 6 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



[Kwaku Darkwah](#)

Kwame Nkrumah University Of Science and Technology

20 PUBLICATIONS 34 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



[Helena Naa Korkor Tetteh](#)

Independent Researcher

8 PUBLICATIONS 7 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

## COMPARISON OF FLOYD-WARSHALL AND MILLS ALGORITHMS FOR SOLVING ALL PAIRS SHORTEST PATH PROBLEM. CASE STUDY: SUNYANI MUNICIPALITY

Daniel Ashong<sup>1</sup>, K. F. Darkwah<sup>2</sup>, Helena Naa Kokor Tetteh<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Tutor, Department of Mathematics and ICT, Berekum College of Education, Ghana.

<sup>2</sup>Senior Lecturer, Department of Mathematics, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana.

<sup>3</sup>Tutor, Department of Mathematics and ICT, Berekum College of Education, Ghana.

---

**ABSTRACT:** *The Floyd-Warshall and Mill algorithm were used to determine the all pair shortest paths within the Sunyani Municipality so as to compare which of the algorithms runs faster on the computer. The two algorithms were used on a network of 80 nodes with respective edge distances in matrix format as inputs. Matlab codes were generated to run the algorithms. All the two algorithms were able to compute the all pair shortest paths. The running time for the Floyd-Warshall and Mills are 1057.22 and 444.53 ( in seconds ) respectively. The two algorithms computed the shortest path from node 1 (Ohunukurom) to node 80 (Addae Boreso) to be 17.3000 km. Based on this study, it is convenient to conclude that Mills decomposition algorithm runs more faster on a computer than the Floyd-Warshall algorithm.*

**KEYWORDS:** Node, Algorithm, Shortest path, Running time, Infinite, Computations

---

## INTRODUCTION

In recent times the world has become a global village and for that matter information can quickly be shared throughout the world. It has become important to provide information which will make travelling in our communities easier. Individuals from different fields of academia have contributed to this development. More importantly, mathematicians have come out with different algorithms to address this problem. The mathematical algorithms serve as the tools for mathematicians. The mathematician needs efficient tools to work with, that is the tool should be able to execute the task in the required or reduced time frame. This means the mathematician should be time conscious when developing or choosing an algorithm to solve a problem. Time management is important in all fields of work, especially in the mathematical world.

According to Kleinschmith (2010), ‘if you want to succeed, if you want to live a life to be proud of, then you need to make the most of your time’. This means to make it to the top, today’s mathematician needs outstanding time management skills.

Time management is the act or process of exercising conscious control over the amount of time spent on specific activities, especially to increase efficiency or productivity. Time management may be aided by a range of skills, tools, and techniques used to manage time when accomplishing specific tasks, projects and goals. This set encompasses a wide scope of activities, and these include planning, allocating, setting goals, delegation, analysis of time spent, monitoring, organizing, scheduling, and prioritizing. Initially, time management referred to just business or work activities, but eventually the term broadened to include personal activities as well. A time management system is a designed combination of processes, tools,

techniques, and methods. Usually time management is a necessity in any project development as it determines the project completion time and scope. (Wikipedia, 2011). This means the time factor is very important in choosing a tool and technique or an algorithm to work with.

According to (Mills, 1966), the methods of solving shortest path problems are classified into two groups: the tree method and the matrix method. The objective of this study is to investigate two of the matrix methods (Floyd-Warshall algorithm and Mills decomposition algorithm) to establish which method has the fastest running time on the computer.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

### Introduction to Mathematical Networks

According to Hillier and Lieberman (2000), network arises in numerous settings and in a variety of guises. Transportation, electrical, and communication networks pervade our daily lives. Networks representations are widely used for problems in such diverse areas as production, distribution, project planning, facility location, resource management and financial planning to name just a few examples. It is also important to note that, a network representation provides such a powerful visual and conceptual aid for portraying the relationships between the components of systems that is used in virtually every field of Scientific, Social, and Economic endeavour. One of the most exciting developments in Operation Research (OR) in recent years has been the unusually rapid advance in both the methodology and application of network optimization models. A number of algorithmic breakthroughs have had a major impact, as have ideas from computer science concerning data structures and efficient data manipulation. Consequently algorithms and software now are available and are being used to solve huge problems on a routine basis that would have been completely intractable two or three decades ago.

Hillier and Lieberman again in the same year gave brief description about networks. According to them, a network consists of points and a set of lines connecting certain pairs of the points. The points are called nodes (or vertices) while the lines are called arcs (or links or edges or branches). In a network the arcs corresponds to the roads in the system. Arcs are labelled by naming the nodes at the either end. Let the distance between two nodes  $i$  and  $j$  be  $d_{ij}$ , where  $i$  is the starting or source node and  $j$  is the end or destination node. Comparing with this study for example, the villages and towns are the nodes, while the roads are the arcs. They also pointed out that, basically there are two types of networks.

(a) The directed arcs network: when the flow or movement through an arc is allowed in only one direction. The direction is indicated by adding an arrow head at the end of the line representing the arc.

(b) The undirected arcs network: when the flow through an arc is allowed in either direction. It is important to note that undirected network can be converted to directed network and vice versa. When two nodes are not connected by an arc, it means there is no direct connection between those two nodes and it is represented as very large or infinite ( $\infty$ ) distance.

Rabbani (2008), presented a distribution network design problem in a multi-product supply chain system that involves locating production plants and distribution warehouses as well as determining the best strategy for distributing the product from plants to warehouses and from

the warehouses to customers. The goal is to select the optimum numbers, locations and capacities of plants and warehouses to open, so that all customer demands of all product types are satisfied at minimum total costs of the distribution network. Unlike most of the previous researches, the study considered a multi-product supply chain system. A mixed-integer mathematical programming model for designing a supply chain distribution network was developed. Finally, the study presented a real-case study to investigate designing a pharmaceutical supply chain distribution network.

Takahashi (2002), also presented a study which develops a mathematical framework for solving dynamic optimization problems with adaptive networks (AN's) based on Hopfield networks. The dynamic optimization problem (DOP) includes a dynamic travelling salesman problem (TSP), in which the distance between any pair of cities in the conventional TSP is extended into a time variable. Compared to previous deterministic networks, such as the Hopfield network, the adaptive network has the most distinguished feature: it can change its states, continually reacting to inputs from the outside environment. From the scientific viewpoint, the framework demonstrates mathematically rigorously that the adaptive network produces as final states locally minimum solutions to the DOP. From the engineering viewpoint, it provides a mathematical basis for developing engineering devices, such as very large scale integration (VLSI), that can solve real world DOP's efficiently.

According to Wu (1974), the continuous dynamic network loading problem aims to find, on a congested network, temporal arc volumes, arc travel times, and path travel times given time-dependent path flow rates for a given time period. This problem may be considered as a sub-problem of a temporal (dynamic) traffic assignment problem. These problems were studied and formulated as a system of functional equations. Based on the system, the FIFO (First In, First Out) condition is respected under a reasonable assumption. For computational purposes, a polynomial approximation was developed, which is almost equivalent to the original formulation on a set of finite discrete points. The approximation formulation is a finite dimensional system of equations which is solved as an optimization problem. The optimization problem may or may not be smooth depending on the structure of arc travel times.

### **Solving Shortest Path Problem Algorithms**

Dijkstra (1956; 1959), published a graph search algorithm that solves the single-source shortest path problem for graph with non-negative edge path cost, producing a shortest path tree. The algorithm is often used in routing. An equivalent algorithm was also developed by Moore (1957). For a given source vertex (node) in the graph, the algorithm finds the path with lowest cost (the shortest path) between that vertex and every other vertex. It can also be used for finding cost of shortest path from a single vertex to a single destination vertex by stopping the algorithm once the shortest path to the destination has been determined. For example, if the vertices of the graph represent cities and edge path costs represent driving distances between pairs of cities connected by a direct road, Dijkstra's algorithm can be used to find the shortest route between one city and all other cities. As a result, the shortest path algorithm is widely used in network routing protocols, most notably IS-IS and OSPF (Open Shortest Path First). The all-pairs shortest path problem is an important problem in graph theory and has applications in communications, transportation, and electronic problems.

Drefus (1968), treated five discrete shortest-path problems as follows: 1. Determining the shortest path between two specified nodes of a network; 2. Determining the shortest paths between all pairs of nodes of a network; 3. Determining the second, third, etc., shortest path;

4. Determining the fastest path through a network with travelling times depending on the departure time; 5. Finding the shortest path between specified endpoints that passes through specified intermediate nodes. Existing good algorithms are identified while some others are modified to yield efficient procedures. Also, certain misrepresentations and errors in the literature are demonstrated. Drefus in the same year, stated that corresponding to almost any shortest-path algorithm, some special network structures exist for which the algorithm is efficient and one procedure is considered significantly superior to another when these bounds differ by a multiplicative factor involving  $N$ , the number of nodes. When the resulting formulas differ by only a multiplicative constant, the user's choice of algorithm should be determined by problem structure, computer configuration, and programming language.

According to Algorithmist (2005), the Floyd-Warshall algorithm is an efficient algorithm for finding all-pairs shortest paths on a graph. That is, it is guaranteed to find the shortest path between every pair of vertices in a graph. The graph may have negative weight edges, but no negative weight cycles (for then the shortest path is undefined). The algorithm can also be used to detect the presence of negative cycles. The graph has negative cycles if at the end of the algorithm, the distance from a vertex ( $v$ ) to itself is negative. Also the Floyd-Warshall algorithm is an application of dynamic programming. From Roy (1959), in computer science the Floyd-Warshall algorithm is a graph analysis algorithm for finding shortest paths in a weighted graph (with positive or negative edge weights). A single execution of the algorithm will find the lengths of the shortest path between all pairs of vertices though it does not return details of the paths themselves.

Sung-Chul et al. (2006), focused on the All-Pairs Shortest Path problem (APSP), which finds the length of the shortest path for all source- destination pairs in a (positively) weighted graph. It belongs to the most fundamental problems in graph theory and almost all dynamic programming problems can be equivalently viewed as problems seeking the shortest path in a directed graph. The All-Pairs Shortest Path (APSP) is solved by the well-known Floyd-Warshall (FW) algorithm, which computes the solution in place from the weight matrix of the graph using triple loop similar to matrix-matrix multiplication (MMM).

To optimize cache performance, Venkataraman et al. (2003), introduced a tiled version of the Floyd-Warshall algorithm. Further improvements were also made by Park et al., (2004), which showed that tiling can be done recursively up to some chosen base case and combined with a Z-Morton data layout to increase performance. The degree of tiling and the base case size were found by search using adaptive library framework.

Hu (1968), presented the decomposition algorithm for solving the shortest path in a network. According to him, given an  $n$ -node network with lengths associated with arcs: the problem is to find the shortest paths between every pairs of nodes in the network. If the network has less than  $n(n - 1)$  arcs, then it is possible to treat parts of the network at a time and then get the shortest paths between every pair of nodes. This decomposition algorithm saves the amount of computations as well as the storage requirement of a computer.

There are a number of methods for finding the shortest routes between all pairs of points in a network. However, it is difficult to apply these methods to large networks, partly because such an application requires a very large amount of fast-access storage capacity. The decomposition algorithm is designed to facilitate the analysis of such network; the basic idea is to decompose the network into parts, apply one of the existing (matrix) methods to each part separately, and then to reunite the parts. In addition to reducing greatly the required amount of fast-access

storage that is required, the algorithm generally appreciably reduces the required computer time, provided the network is not too small (Mills, 1966).

It is also important to consider the space required for the computations of the algorithms on the computer as presented by Munro and Ramirez (1981). Let  $k$  be the number of levels and assumes each level contains  $m$  nodes. To find the shortest route from source to a sink may be found in a complete level graph using the number of  $(m + k)$  storage locations and a factor of only  $(\log k)$  basic operations than space inefficient methods. In this case the run time of the algorithm is at most half of conventional approaches.

Wang (1990), also stated that multiple pairs shortest path problem (MPSP) arises in many applications where the shortest paths and distances between only some specific pairs of origin-destination (OD) nodes in a network are desired. The traditional repeated single-source shortest path (SSSP) and all pairs shortest paths (APSP) algorithms often do unnecessary computation to solve the MPSP problem. The study proposed a new shortest path algorithm to save computational work when solving the MPSP problem. This method is especially suitable for applications with fixed network topology but changeable arc lengths and desired OD pairs. Preliminary computational experiments demonstrate our algorithm's superiority on airline network problems over other APSP and SSSP algorithms.

Bellman and Ford (1958), presented another method which is in its basic structure very similar to Dijkstra's algorithm, but instead of greedily selecting the minimum-weight node not yet processed to relax, it simply relaxes *all* the edges, and does this  $|V| - 1$  times, where  $|V|$  is the number of vertices in the graph. The repetitions allow minimum distances to accurately propagate throughout the graph, since, in the absence of negative cycles, the shortest path can only visit each node at most once. Unlike the greedy approach, which depends on certain structural assumptions derived from positive weights, this straightforward approach extends to the general case.

Bellman-Ford runs in  $O(|V| \cdot |E|)$  time, where  $|V|$  and  $|E|$  are the number of vertices and edges respectively.

Andreas and Weisstein (1999), stated that the problem of finding the shortest path (a.k.a. graph geodesic) connecting two specific vertices ( $u, v$ ) of a directed or undirected graph. The length of the graph geodesic between these points  $d(u, v)$  is called the graph distance between  $u$  and  $v$ . Common algorithms for solving the shortest path problem include the Bellman-Ford algorithm and Dijkstra's algorithm.

The so-called reaching algorithm can solve the shortest path problem on an  $m$ -edge graph in  $O(m)$  steps for a cyclic digraph although it allows edges to be traversed opposite their direction and given a negative length.

According to Johnson (2005), the shortest capacitated path problem is a well known problem in the networking area, having a wide range of applications. In the shortest capacitated path problem, a traffic flow occurs from a source node to a destination node in a certain direction subject to a cost constraint. In this paper, a new approach for dealing with this problem is proposed. The proposed algorithm uses a special way to build valid solutions and an improvement technique to adjust the path. Some numerical experiments are performed using randomly generated networks having 25-200 nodes. Empirical results are compared with the



results obtained using genetic algorithms which is an established technique for solving networking problems.

Li et al., (1996), also introduce the neural networks for solving fuzzy shortest path problems. The penalization of the neural networks is realized after transforming into crisp shortest path model. The procedure and efficiency of the approach were numerically simulated. Smith and Boland (2010), tackled a generalization of the weight constrained shortest path problem in a directed network (WCSPP) in which replenishment arcs, that reset the accumulated weight along the path to zero, appear in the network. Such situations arise, for example, in airline crew pairing applications, where the weight represents duty hours, and replenishment arcs represent crew overnight rests, and also in aircraft routing, where the weight represents time elapsed, or flight time, and replenishment arcs represent maintenance events. In the study, they introduce the weight constrained shortest path problem with replenishment (WCSPP-R), develop pre-processing methods, extend existing WCSPP algorithms, and present new algorithms that exploit the inter-replenishment path structure. They provided the results of computational experiments investigating the benefits of pre-processing and comparing several variants of each algorithm, on both randomly generated data, and data derived from airline crew scheduling applications.

Mohammed (2008), presented a paper which investigates the application of particle swarm optimization (PSO) to solve shortest path (SP) routing problems. A modified priority-based encoding and incorporating a heuristic operator for reducing the possibility of loop-formation in the path construction process is proposed for particle representation in PSO. Simulation experiments have been carried out on different network topologies for networks consisting of 15 - 70 nodes. It is noted that the proposed PSO-based approach can find the optimal path with good success rates and also can find closer sub-optimal paths with high certainty for all the tested networks. It is observed that the performance of the proposed algorithm surpasses those of recently reported genetic algorithm based approaches for this problem.

Pires (2008), stated that Dynamic programming has provided a powerful approach to optimization problems, but its applicability has been somehow limited because of the large computational requirements of the standard computational algorithm. In recent years a number of new procedures with reduced computational requirements have been developed. The study presents a association of a modified Hopfield neural network, which is a computing model capable of solving a large class of optimization problems, with a Genetic Algorithm, that to make possible cover nonlinear and extensive search spaces, which guarantees the convergence of the system to the equilibrium points that represent solutions for the optimization problems. Experimental results are presented and discussed.

Modal (2003), in his paper presented an optimal algorithm to solve the all-pairs shortest path problem on permutation graphs with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges which runs in  $O(n^2)$  time. Using this algorithm, the average distance of a permutation graph can also be computed in  $O(n^2)$  time. Frederickson (2001), studied an algorithm for generating a succinct encoding of all-pairs shortest path information in an  $n$ -vertex directed planar  $G$  with  $O(n)$  edges is presented. The edges have real-valued costs, but the graph contains no negative cycles. The time complexity is given in terms of a topological embedding measure defined in the paper. The algorithm uses a decomposition of the graph into outer-planar sub-graphs satisfying certain separator properties, and a linear-time algorithm is presented to find this decomposition.

Erden and Coskun (2006), also performed a few tests for determining optimum and faster path in the networks. Determining the shortest or least cost route is one of the essential tasks that most organizations must perform. Necessary software based on CAD has been developed to help transportation planning and rescue examinations in the scope of this research. Two analyses have been performed by using Dijkstra algorithm. The first one is the shortest path which only takes into account the length between any two nodes. The second is the fastest path by introducing certain speeds into the paths between the nodes in certain times. A case study has been carried out for a selected region in Istanbul to check the performance of the software. After checking the performance of the software, running time of used algorithm was examined. According to the established networks, which have different nodes, the behaviors of algorithm running time were determined separately. Finally, the most appropriate curve was fitted by using Curve experiments.

Awasthi et al.,(2007), Estimated fastest paths on large network is a crucial problem for dynamic route guidance systems. This presents a data mining based approach for approximating fastest paths on urban road networks. The traffic data consists of input flows at the entry nodes, system state of the network or the number of cars present in various roads of the networks, and the paths joining the various origins and the destinations of the network. To find out the relationship between the input flows, arc states and the fastest paths of the network, they developed a data mining approach called hybrid clustering. The objective of hybrid clustering is to develop IF-THEN based decision rules for determining fastest paths. Whenever a driver wants to know the fastest path between a given origin-destination pair, he/she sends a query into the path database indicating his/her current position and the destination. The database then matches the query data against the database parameters. If matching is found, then the database provides the fastest path to the driver using the corresponding decision rule, otherwise, the shortest path is provided as the fastest path.

Xiao (2009), stated that shortest path queries (SPQ) are essential in many graph analysis and mining tasks. However, answering shortest path queries on-the-fly on large graphs is costly. To online answer shortest path queries, we may materialize and index shortest paths. However, a straightforward index of all shortest paths in a graph of  $N$  vertices takes  $O(N^2)$  space. In the study, they tackled the problem of indexing shortest paths and online answering shortest path queries. As many large real graphs are shown richly symmetric, the central idea of our approach is to use graph symmetry to reduce the index size while retaining the correctness and the efficiency of shortest path query answering. Technically, the study developed a framework to index a large graph at the orbit level instead of the vertex level so that the number of breadth-first search trees materialized is reduced from  $O(N)$  to  $O(|\Delta|)$ , where  $|\Delta| \leq N$  is the number of orbits in the graph. They explore orbit adjacency and local symmetry to obtain compact breadth-first-search trees (compact BFS-trees). An extensive empirical study using both synthetic data and real data shows that compact BFS-trees can be built efficiently and the space cost can be reduced substantially. Moreover, online shortest path query answering can be achieved using compact BFS-trees.

## Research gap

Based on the literature review, it is clear that in computing large size matrices time is a key factor as well as space to accommodate such huge computations. This study will provide the concrete base to the academic debate on the right algorithm to use when computing large matrix sizes. In relating matrices to towns and cities, as they become more complex and develop, it



will result in large and complex matrices. This study therefore provides the theoretical bases for the town and city planners in charge of modern and well layout cities.

### Problem Statements

- According to Hu (1968) and Mills (1966), when the number of nodes in a network is too large the computer find it difficult to handle it effectively using some of the well-known algorithms such as Floyd-Warshall algorithm. According to them, the decomposition algorithm is faster and saves the amount of computations as well as the storage requirement of a computer. However the Floyd-Warshall has continued to enjoy popularity in application. It is necessary, therefore to verify which of the two algorithms for all pairs shortest path is more efficient.
- There is no known existing software for providing the shortest routes or paths in the Sunyani Municipality. It is also important to provide software to solve the road traffic problem in the Sunyani Municipality.

### Objectives of the Study

The objective of the study is:

- To compare Floyd-Warshall and Mills algorithms to determine which of the two matrix algorithms has the fastest running time for its computation on the computer.

The specific objectives are to:

- Generate a network matrix from the Sunyani Municipality road network map.
- Develop Matlab software programme for both algorithms to compute the all pairs shortest paths in the Sunyani Municipality.
- Run the programme to determine the running time for computing shortest path using the two algorithms.

The study is significant because it will come out with more efficient matrix algorithm for computing the shortest path, help reduce the travelling time and release road traffic in the Sunyani Municipality, help conserve fuel, since the algorithm gives the shortest paths or routes and will also serve as the basis of developing area Navigation system for the Municipality.

## METHODS/ALGORITHMS

### Floyd-Warshall Algorithm

The Floyd-Warshall algorithm is an application of Dynamic Programming.

Let  $dist(k, i, j)$  be the length of the shortest path from  $i$  and  $j$  that uses only the vertices  $1, 2, 3, \dots, k$  as intermediate vertices in  $N \times N$  graph matrix. The following recurrence:

Step 1;  $k = 0$  is our base case, thus  $dist(0, i, j)$  is the length of the edge from vertex  $i$  to vertex  $j$  if it exists and infinite ( $\infty$ ) otherwise.

Step 2; using  $dist(0, i, j)$ , it then computes  $dist(1, i, j)$  for all pairs of nodes  $i$  and  $j$ .

Step 3; using  $dist(1, i, j)$ , it then computes  $dist(2, i, j)$ , for all pairs of nodes  $i$  and  $j$ . it then repeats the process until it obtains  $dist(k, i, j)$  for all node pairs  $i$  and  $j$  when it terminates. The algorithm computes;

$dist(k, i, j) = \min(dist(k-1, i, k) + dist(k-1, k, j), dist(k-1, i, j))$ ; for any vertex  $i$  and vertex  $j$ .

### Mills Decomposition Algorithm

The Algorithm has the following five steps:

Step 1: Form networks V and W from the initial network

Step 2: For V, find all the (local) shortest routes and their lengths ( by applying a matrix method).

Step 3: For W, find all the (local) shortest routes and their lengths.

Step 4: Test the construction of V and W by checking whether  $d_x^v = d_x^w$  for all pairs of nodes in X. Universal equality implies that the test is satisfied, in which case go on to step (5) If the test is not satisfied, reconstruct enlarged networks V and W and start again at step (2).

Step 5: Find the lengths of the shortest routes from each node  $y$  to each node  $z$  (and vice versa) by using the following formulas:

$$d_{yz} = \min_x (d_{yx}^v + d_{xz}^w),$$

$$d_{zy} = \min_x (d_{zx}^w + d_{xy}^v)$$

## DATA

### Matrix formation

The data of inter-towns and suburbs were entered manually into an edge distance matrix of size eighty by eighty using Microsoft excel. The edge distances of the nodes (suburbs) which are directly connected were allocated from the measurements obtained from the map. The nodes which were not directly connected have the edge distance entered as 'inf', representing infinite ( $\infty$ ) distance.

Two square matrices were further formed out of the (80 x 80) matrix. The resultant matrices generated were of sizes (37 X 37) and (54 X 54) respectively. The two matrices have overlap of eleven (11) nodes.

The three matrices used for the Floyd-Warshall and Mills algorithms were:

- (i) 80 x 80 matrix named DA
- (ii) 37 x 37 matrix named DV
- (iii) 54 x 54 matrix named DW.

Portions of the three matrices are shown below in table 1, table 2, table 3 respectively.

**Table 1: 80 x 80 Matrix DA serves as input data for the Floyd-Warshall algorithm**

Nodes(DA )	1	2	3	4	...	...	...	77	78	79	80
1	0	inf	2.5	3	...	...	...	inf	inf	inf	inf
2	inf	0	0.8	inf	...	...	...	inf	inf	inf	inf
3	2.5	0.8	0	1.0	...	...	...	inf	inf	inf	inf
4	3.0	inf	1.0	0	...	...	...	inf	inf	inf	inf
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
77	inf	inf	inf	inf	...	...	...	0	2.2	3.2	inf
78	inf	inf	inf	inf	...	...	...	2.2	0	1.6	inf
79	inf	inf	inf	inf	...	...	...	3.2	1.6	0	inf
80	inf	inf	inf	inf	...	...	...	inf	inf	inf	0

**Table 2: 37 x 37 Matrix DV serves as input data for Mills algorithm (node 1 to 37)**

Node(D)	1	2	3	4	...	...	...	34	35	36	37
1	0	inf	2.5	3	...	...	...	inf	inf	inf	inf
2	inf	0	0.8	inf	...	...	...	inf	inf	inf	inf
3	2.5	0.8	0	1.0	...	...	...	inf	inf	inf	inf
4	3.0	inf	1.0	0	...	...	...	inf	inf	inf	inf
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
34	inf	inf	inf	inf	...	...	...	0	inf	inf	inf
35	inf	inf	inf	inf	...	...	...	inf	0	1.6	inf
36	inf	inf	inf	inf	...	...	...	inf	1.6	0	1.6
37	inf	inf	inf	inf	...	...	...	inf	inf	1.6	0

**Table 3: 54 x 54 Matrix DW serves as input data for Mills algorithm (node 27 to 80)**

Nodes(DW)	27	28	29	30	...	...	...	77	78	79	80
27	0	inf	1.1	inf	...	...	...	inf	inf	inf	inf
28	inf	0	2.4	1.4	...	...	...	inf	inf	inf	inf
29	1.1	2.4	0	inf	...	...	...	3.0	1.3	inf	inf
30	inf	1.4	inf	0	...	...	...	inf	inf	inf	inf
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
77	inf	inf	3.0	inf	...	...	...	0	2.2	3.2	inf
78	inf	inf	1.3	inf	...	...	...	2.2	0	1.6	inf
79	inf	inf	inf	inf	...	...	...	3.2	1.6	0	inf
80	inf	inf	inf	inf	...	...	...	inf	inf	inf	0

### Computational Experience

Matlab program software was used for the coding of the Floyd-Warshall and Mills algorithms

The codes for Floyd-Warshall and Mills algorithms were developed and ran on the Intel(R) Celeron(R) M Processor, 32 GB Operating system, 1 GB RAM, 2.9 GHz speed, Windows Vista Advent laptop computer. The code runs successfully on the windows vista.

The steps involved in the computations of the shortest path for Floyd-Warshall algorithm, Mills algorithm and the matlab code are provided below.

#### Computation using Floyd-Warshall Algorithm

The 80 x 80 edge distance matrix DA was used as an input for the Floyd-Warshall algorithm coded in matlab. The execution time was noted at the end of the program run. The algorithm steps for the Floyd-Warshall algorithm is shown below.

Let  $dist(k, i, j)$  be the length of the shortest path from  $i$  and  $j$  that uses only the vertices 1,2,3,...,  $k$  as intermediate vertices. The following recurrence:

Step 1;  $k = 0$  is our base case, thus  $dist(0, i, j) = DA(i, j)$  is the length of the edge from vertex  $i$  to vertex  $j$  if it exists and infinite ( $\infty$ ) otherwise.

Step 2; using  $dist(0, i, j)$ , it then computes  $dist(1, i, j)$  for all pairs of nodes  $i$  and  $j$ .

Step 3; using  $dist(1, i, j)$ , it then computes  $dist(2, i, j)$ , for all pairs of nodes  $i$  and  $j$ . it then repeats the process until it obtains  $dist(k, i, j)$  for all node pairs  $i$  and  $j$  when it terminates. The algorithm computes the shortest paths as;

$$dist(k, i, j) = \min(dist(k-1, i, j), dist(k-1, i, k) + dist(k-1, k, j)).$$

The calculated shortest paths (in kilometres) obtained from the run of the Floyd-Warshall algorithm is summarised in table 4 below.

The run time of the program was 1057.22 seconds.

**Table 4: Result table for Floyd-Warshall algorithm code (node 1 to 80)**

Nodes (DA)	1	2	3	4	...	...	...	77	78	79	80
1	0	3.3	2.5	3.0	...	...	...	17.7	16.3	17.9	17.3
2	3.3	0	0.8	1.8	...	...	...	16.5	15.1	16.7	16.1
3	2.5	0.8	0	1.0	...	...	...	15.7	14.3	15.9	15.3
4	3.0	1.8	1.0	0	...	...	...	14.7	13.3	14.9	14.3
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
77	17.7	16.5	15.7	14.7	...	...	...	0	2.2	3.2	4.0
78	16.3	15.1	14.3	13.3	...	...	...	2.2	0	1.6	6.2
79	17.9	16.7	15.9	14.9	...	...	...	3.2	1.6	0	7.2
80	17.3	16.1	15.3	14.3	...	...	...	4.0	6.2	7.2	0

### Computation using Mills Decomposition Algorithm

The 37 x 37 edge distance matrix DV and the 54 x 54 distance edge matrix DW were used as two input matrices for the Mills Decomposition algorithm. The union of matrices DV and DW gives the 80 x 80 matrix DA.

The Mills Algorithm has the following five steps:

Step 1: Form networks V and W from the initial network

Step 2: For V, find all the (local) shortest routes and their lengths ( by applying Floyd-Warshall algorithm as above).

Step 3: For W, find all the (local) shortest routes and their lengths.

Step 4: Test the construction of V and W by checking whether  $d_x^v = d_x^w$  for all pairs of nodes in X. Universal equality implies that the test is satisfied, in which case go on to step (5). If the test is not satisfied, reconstruct enlarged networks V and W and start again at step (2).

Step 5: Find the lengths of the shortest routes from each node  $y \in V$  to each node  $z \in W$  with  $x \in (V \cap W)$  by using the following formulas:

$$d_{yz} = \min_x (d_{yx}^v + d_{xz}^w),$$

$$d_{zy} = \min_x (d_{zx}^w + d_{xy}^v).$$



The matrix table 5 below shows the computed shortest paths distances from nodes 1 to 37 (Matrix Dv) during the run of the Mills algorithm. This provides the solution to matrix Dv of the Mills algorithm.

**Table 5: Result table for Mills algorithm code (for nodes 1 to 37)**

Nodes (D1)	1	2	3	4	...	...	...	34	35	36	37
1	0	3.3	2.5	3	...	...	...	12.5	13.2	13.6	13.3
2	3.3	0	0.8	1.8	...	...	...	11.3	12	12.4	12.1
3	2.5	0.8	0	1	...	...	...	10.5	11.2	11.6	11.3
4	3	1.8	1	0	...	...	...	9.5	10.2	10.6	10.3
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
34	12.5	11.3	10.5	9.5	...	...	...	0	2.5	2.9	4.4
35	13.2	12	11.2	10.2	...	...	...	2.5	0	1.6	1.9
36	13.6	12.4	11.6	10.6	...	...	...	2.9	1.6	0	1.6
37	13.3	12.1	11.3	10.3	...	...	...	4.4	1.9	1.6	0

The summary results table 6 below gives the computed shortest paths for the second matrix (Dw) of the Mills algorithm. This shows the shortest paths from node 27 to 80 (Matrix Dw) during the run of the Mills algorithm.

**Table 6: Results table for Mills algorithm code (node 27 to 80)**

Nodes (R1)	27	28	29	30	...	...	...	77	78	79	80
27	0	3.5	1.1	4.9	...	...	...	4.1	2.4	4.0	8.1
28	3.5	0	2.4	1.4	...	...	...	5.4	3.7	5.3	5.7
29	1.1	2.4	0	3.8	...	...	...	3.0	1.3	2.9	7.0
30	4.9	1.4	3.8	0	...	...	...	5.6	5.1	6.7	5.2
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
77	4.1	5.4	3.0	5.6	...	...	...	0	2.2	3.2	4.0
78	2.4	3.7	1.3	5.1	...	...	...	2.2	0	1.6	6.2
79	4.0	5.3	2.9	6.7	...	...	...	3.2	1.6	0	7.2
80	8.1	5.7	7.0	5.2	...	...	...	4.0	6.2	7.2	0

The summary results table 7 below provide the shortest paths after reuniting the results tables 5 and table 6. The final results obtained from the run of Mills algorithm was the same as that of the Floyd-Warshall algorithm. The run time for Mills algorithm was 444.53 seconds.

**Table 7: showing the shortest paths after re- uniting the Mills results tables.**

Nodes (M)	1	2	3	4	...	...	...	77	78	79	80
1	0	3.3	2.5	3.0	...	...	...	17.7	16.3	17.9	17.3
2	3.3	0	0.8	1.8	...	...	...	16.5	15.1	16.7	16.1
3	2.5	0.8	0	1.0	...	...	...	15.7	14.3	15.9	15.3
4	3.0	1.8	1.0	0	...	...	...	14.7	13.3	14.9	14.3
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	.	.
77	17.7	16.5	15.7	14.7	...	...	...	0	2.2	3.2	4.0
78	16.3	15.1	14.3	13.3	...	...	...	2.2	0	1.6	6.2
79	17.9	16.7	15.9	14.9	...	...	...	3.2	1.6	0	7.2
80	17.3	16.1	15.3	14.3	...	...	...	4.0	6.2	7.2	0

## DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The running times (Elapsed times) for the Floyd-Warshall algorithm and the Mills algorithm are 1057.22 seconds and 444.53 seconds respectively. Both Floyd-Warshall and Mills algorithms were also able to compute the all pair shortest paths to be of the same matrix. The Mills algorithm uses Floyd-Warshall algorithm as subroutine (looping).

From the Table 4,  $37 \times 37 = 1369$  number of elements were encountered. From Table 5,  $54 \times 54 = 2916$  number of elements were encountered. It is clear that the Mills algorithm uses fewer number of elements ( $1369 + 2916 = 4285$ ). The Floyd-Warshall algorithm uses  $80 \times 80 = 6400$  number of elements. Thus Mills algorithm encounters less number of elements in its computations.

Mills (1966) decomposition algorithm provided a method of breaking the original matrix into overlapping sub-matrices and the formula for recombining the results of the sub-matrices. In this thesis a complete algorithm is provided to include the recombination of the solutions to the sub-matrices.

The algorithms also provide precedence table accompanying the calculated shortest paths for navigation.

From the above results, it is more convenient and efficient in terms of time to use the Mills decomposition algorithm when solving network problems with large number of nodes.

## CONCLUSION

The Floyd-Warshall and Mills algorithms for solving all pairs shortest paths problem have been successfully applied to the Sunyani Municipality road network. Matlab codes were written to determine the running time for the two algorithms. When the network was solved using the Floyd-Warshall algorithm the running time was 1057.22 seconds; when the network was solved by the Mills algorithm the total running time was 444.53 seconds. The network was further partitioned into two equal halves and the running time was 417.27 seconds. When the network was partitioned into three equal parts the running time was found to be 51.81 seconds and four partitions also gave the running time to be 24.94 seconds. This means as the number of partitions increases, the running time also decreases. Base on the results of this study the assertion made by Hu (1968) and Mills (1966) that the decomposition algorithm runs faster than solving the whole network is confirmed.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Base on the study, the following recommendations are made.

This work should serve as basis for further research in shortest path.

Finally, since Sunyani Municipality was used as case study, the researcher recommend that the Municipal Assembly, feeder and urban roads , contractors and others should make use of this study and the project code in their operations; such as road constructions and expansion of electricity in the Municipality.

## REFERENCES

- Algorithmist (2005). Floyd-Warshall Algorithm. GNU. <http://www.algorithmist.com>. (Accessed on 22/12/2010)
- Amponsah, S. K. and Darkwa, F. K. (2008). Lecture notes. Operations Research. Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology. Kumasi pp. 16 – 22.
- Andreas, L. and Weintin, E. W. (2010). Graph algorithms with applications. Reese. <http://www.bruce-shapiro.com/math382/projects/reese>. (Accessed on 14/02/2011)
- Arendts, D. (2009). Vectorized Floyd-Warshall. file Exchange. <http://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange>. (Accessed on 21/01/2010).
- Awasthi, A., lechevallier, Y., Parent, M. and Proth, J. M. (2007). ACM. <http://www.portal.acm.org/citation.cfm>. (Accessed on 02/10/2010).
- Bellman, R. and Ford, H. (1958). On a Routing Problem in Quarterly of Applied Mathematics. Vol. 16. No. 1. pp. 87-90.
- Dijkstra, E. (1956). Dijkstra's algorithm. Wikipedia. [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dijkstra's\\_algorithm](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dijkstra's_algorithm). (Accessed on 20/08/2010).
- Dreyfus, S. E. (1968). An Appraisal of Some Shortest-Path Algorithms. Informs. Vol. 17. No.3. pp.395-412. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/168375>. (Accessed on 02/06/2010).
- Erden, T. and Coskun M. Z. (2006). Analyzing shortest and fastest paths with GIS and determing algorithm running time. ITU. <http://www.spingerlink.com/index>. (Accessed on 15/09/2010).

- Frederickson, G. N. (2001). Fast algorithms for shortest paths in planar graph, with applications. ACM. <http://www.portal.acm.org>. (Accessed on 05/02/2011)
- Hahn, B. and Valentine, D. T. (2007). Essential Matlab for Engineers and Scientists. 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition. Elsevier. Oxford.
- Hiller, F. S. and Lieberman, G. J. (2000). Advance Praise for Introduction to Operation Research. 7<sup>th</sup> Edition. McGraw Hill. New York. pp. 5-43.
- Hu, T. C. (1968). A Decomposition Algorithm for Shortest Paths in a Network. *Inform.* Vol. 16. No.1. pp. 91-102. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/168405>. (Accessed on 02/06/2010).
- Johnson, L. E. (2005). A Multiple Pairs Shortest Path Algorithm. Georgia Institute of Technology. Atlanta. Georgia
- Kleinschmith, L. (2010). Speed Up, your shortcut to productivity and success. German Efficiency. Huamburg.
- Lee, Y. (2007). Shortest Path in a Grid. A life on the web. <http://www.sougatasantra.wordpress.com>. (Accessed on 17/01/2011).
- Li, Y., Gen, M. and Ida, K. (1996). Solving fuzzy shortest path problems by neural networks. Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering. Institute of Technology. Ashikaga. Japan.
- Mill, G. (1966). A Decomposition Algorithm for the Shortest-Route Problem. *Inform.* Vol. 14 No.2. pp. 279-291. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/168255>. (Accessed on 02/06/2010).
- Mohammed, A. W. (2008). A new particle swarm optimization base for solving shortest paths tree problem algorithm. *Scholar*. <http://www.ieeexplore.ieee.org>. (Accessed on 15/09/2010).
- Mondal, S., Madhumangal, P. and Tapan, K. (2003). An Optimal Algorithm to Solve the All-Pairs Shortest Paths Problem on Permutation Graphs. Department of Applied Mathematics with Oenology and Computer Programming. Vidyasagar University. Midnapore. India.
- Mondou, J. F., Crainic, T. G., and Nguyen, S. (1991). Shortest Path Algorithms: A Computational Study with the C Programming Language. Vol. 18. 767-786.
- Noon, C. E., Daly, M. and Zhan. F. B. (1996). Beyond Assessment of Resources: Procurement of Woody Biomass Fuels. Nashville University. Tennessee. pp.565-569.
- Pires M. G. (2008). Solving shortest path problem using Hopfield Networks and Genetic algorithm. *IEEE xplore*. <http://www.ieeexplore.ieee.org>. (Accessed on 15/09/2010).
- Rabbani, S. (2008). Maximum mutual links-Kth Shortest Path Restoration Protocol. *ECE*. <http://www.ece.queensu.ca/people>. (Accessed on 15/09/2010).
- Roy, B. (1959). Floyd-Warshall Algorithm. Wikipedia. <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Floyd-warshall>. (Accessed on 22/12/2010).
- Sung-Chul, H., Franchetti, F. and Puschel, M. (2006). Program Generation for the All-Pairs Shortest Path Problem. Electrical and Computer Engineering. Carnegie Mellon University. Pittsburgh.
- Wang, Z. (1990). A Shortest Augmenting Path Algorithm for the Semi-Assignment Problem. Southern Methodist University. Dallas. Texas.
- Warshall, S. (1962). Floyd-Warshall algorithm. Wikipedia. [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Floyd-Warshall\\_algorithm](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Floyd-Warshall_algorithm). (Accessed on 15/9/2010).
- Wu, Q. (1974). Using K-Shortest paths algorithm. <http://www.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc>. (Accessed on 15/09/2010)
- Xiao, X. (2009). Hierarchic Genetic Algorithm for Designated Multi-nodes Routing. Second international symposium. *Scholar*. <http://www.computer.org>. (Accessed on 15/09/2010).

- Yen, J. Y. (1970). On Hu's Decomposition Algorithm for shortest Paths in a Network. University of Santa Clara. California.
- Zhan, F. B. (1996). Three Fastest Shortest Path Algorithms on Real Road Networks: Data Structures and Procedures. Department of Geography and Planning. Southwest Texas State University. San Marcos.
- Zuubier, E. (2004). Solving All Pairs Shortest Path Problem. Bing. <http://www.mathb.com.uwe>. (Accessed on 21/01/2011).