Paper Title

Introduction

* Study Significance from proposal
  + More literature needed to contextualize study
    - Find a few more articles about comments towards refs

Research Question(s)

* From proposal
* (?) Maybe add one more

Data

* Data collection from proposal

Method

* Data analysis from proposal

Results

* Ignore compound and neutral – irrelevant due to reactionary language
* 1628 data points from reference words
* Positive and negative results were extremely fitting to the hypothesis made
  + When there’s a huge call the effects the game, there will be more reactionary language both positive and negative directed towards refs.
    - Negative language is doubled that of positive language

Conclusion

* Big plays draw outrage
* The positive is a bit weaker
  + Negative is double that
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