Multiplicative Weight Update (MWU)

```
Warnup: prediction with expert advice
- For t=1,2,3,...T, we want to predict e \in \{\pm 1\}
    (E.g., will it roin on day +? will stock market go up on day +?)
- There are 1 "experts" that make their prediction on Ce
   (imagine N=poly(T) or less).
 - At the beginning of day t, we see experts predictions, and
  need to choose our oun prediction.
- After our prediction, Ce is revealed (by nature/adversary)
 - Goal: make as many correct predictions as possible!
   (it is unfair - adversary can choose et so that our production
   is wrong for every t!)
   Then, do as well as any expanse.
   Ideal Thm, Vi E[N], (# my mistalces) < O(# expert i's mistakes)
                                         + (some function of N)
   Then, for fixed U, or Too, we're ding as well as
   the best expert up to a constant factor.
```

compare total w of experts Algarithm, Who predict Et=1/et=1. Wiel rie[N] For +1,...,T. Our prediction = "weighted majority of expens" et is revealed. For i E[N], W: 4/Wi/2 if i mode wrong prediction about Be, n.w. Thm, ViE[N], (# my mistalces) <2.41(# expert i's mistaker + log_N). Pf. Let \$\Phi^{(4)} := \sum_{i \in \text{LEIN}} \omega^{(4)} . Then - \$\overline{C}^{(1)} = N. - V; E[N] = C(T41) > W; = 2 (# 1'5 Kistalces) - If we made a nistalce on day t_i $\Phi = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i: \text{ wrong}} W_i^{(t)} + \sum_{i: \text{ correct}} W_i^{(t)} \leq \frac{3}{4} \cdot \overline{\Phi}^{(t)}$ (We were wrong since was larger than). $\Rightarrow \overline{\Phi}^{(T+1)} \leq (3/4)^{(4)} \text{ our histakes} . \overline{\Phi}^{(1)}$ Then "iE[N], (1/2) (# 7's mistalors) $\leq (\frac{3}{4})^{(4)}$ my mistalors). N. Taking logs, - (# i's mistakes) < log_ (3/4) (# my mistakes) + log_ (N) \Rightarrow (# my mistabes) \leq (lg= (4/3) (# i3 histokes + ly=(N))

17

Fall MWU

Full Setting

- For t=1,2,3,...T, we want to predict "Southing"
- There are N "experts" that make their prediction on "southing" (imagine N=poly(T) or less).
- At the beginning of day t, we see experts predictions, and need to choose our own prediction.
 - (Our prediction is described by "distribution" p(+) = (p(,...,pH). (p) = (p),pH). (p) = (p),pH). (p) = (p),pH). (p) = (p)pH (p)pH (p) = (p)pH (p)pH (p) = (p)pH (p)pH
- After our prediction, adversary reveals how well experts did represented by $M_i^{(t)} \in [1,1]$: a.t. a loss-longe value indicates mistakes. Then (our loss on day t) = $\sum_{i \in G(i)} p_i^{(t)} \cdot m_i^{(t)}$.

-Goal: do as well as any expant!

Ideal Thm, Vi E[N], (# my total lors) < O(# expert i's total loss)

- + (some function of N)
- + (small function of T)

Algarithm (E: parameter TBD) Wi←1 A! ∈[N] For +1,...,T. Our prediction p(+)= W(+) m(t) is revealed. For $i \in [N]$, $W_i^{(t+i)} \leftarrow W_i^{(e)} \cdot \exp(-\epsilon \cdot m_i^{(e)})$. $(exp(a) := e^a)$

This Let $\epsilon \leq 1$. For any $i \in [N]$, $\underbrace{\sum_{t \in [T]} \langle p^{(e)}, m^{(e)} \rangle}_{t \in [T]} \leq \underbrace{\sum_{t \in [T]} m^{(e)}}_{i} + \underbrace{\lim_{t \in [T]} \langle p^{(e)}, m^{(e)} \rangle}_{t \in [T]} + \underbrace{\lim_{t \in [T]} \langle p^{(e)}, m^{(e)} \rangle}_{t \in [T]} + \underbrace{\lim_{t \in [T]} \langle p^{(e)}, m^{(e)} \rangle}_{t \in [T]} + \underbrace{\lim_{t \in [T]} \langle p^{(e)}, m^{(e)} \rangle}_{t \in [T]} + \underbrace{\lim_{t \in [T]} \langle p^{(e)}, m^{(e)} \rangle}_{t \in [T]} + \underbrace{\lim_{t \in [T]} \langle p^{(e)}, m^{(e)} \rangle}_{t \in [T]} + \underbrace{\lim_{t \in [T]} \langle p^{(e)}, m^{(e)} \rangle}_{t \in [T]} + \underbrace{\lim_{t \in [T]} \langle p^{(e)}, m^{(e)} \rangle}_{t \in [T]} + \underbrace{\lim_{t \in [T]} \langle p^{(e)}, m^{(e)}, m^{(e)} \rangle}_{t \in [T]} + \underbrace{\lim_{t \in [T]} \langle p^{(e)}, m^{(e)}, m^{(e)}, m^{(e)} \rangle}_{t \in [T]} + \underbrace{\lim_{t \in [T]} \langle p^{(e)}, m^{(e)}, m^{$ my loss

Pf, - \$\Phi^{(1)} = N. $- \underline{\Box}^{(t+1)} \geq \omega_{i}^{(t+1)} = \exp(-\varepsilon \underline{\Xi}_{n_{1}}^{(t+1)}).$ $- \underline{\Box}^{(t+1)} = \underline{\Sigma}_{1 \in \mathbb{I} n_{1}}^{(t+1)} \omega_{i}^{(t+1)} \cdot \exp(-\varepsilon \underline{M}_{1}^{(t+1)}).$ $|\varepsilon[N]^{-1} \leq \times p(-\varepsilon M_{1}^{-1}).$ $\leq \sum_{i} (\omega_{i}^{(e)} \cdot (1 - \varepsilon M_{i}^{(e)} + (\varepsilon M_{i}^{(e)})^{2}))$ $\leq \sum_{i} (\omega_{i}^{(e)} \cdot (1 - \varepsilon M_{i}^{(e)} + (\varepsilon M_{i}^{(e)})^{2}))$ < = = (+) · (1- & m; + e2) = \(\sum_{(4)}^{(4)} \sum_{(4)}^{(4)} - \sum_{(4)}^{(4)} \cdot \sum $= \underline{\underline{\mathcal{F}}}^{(4)}(1+\varepsilon^2) - \underline{\underline{\mathcal{F}}}\underline{\underline{\mathcal{F}}}^{(4)} \cdot \underline{\mathcal{E}} \cdot \underline{p}_{\tau}^{(4)} \cdot \underline{m}_{\tau}^{(4)}$ < \(\Pexp(\xi^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi^2 - \xi < \p^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi^2 - \xi < \p^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi^2 - \xi < \p^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi - \xi < \p^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi - \xi < \p^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi - \xi < \p^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi - \xi < \p^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi - \xi < \p^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi - \xi < \p^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi - \xi < \p^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi - \xi < \p^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi - \xi < \p^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi - \xi < \p^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi - \xi < \p^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi - \xi < \p^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi - \xi < \p^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi - \xi < \p^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi - \xi < \p^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi - \xi < \p^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi - \xi < \p^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi - \xi < \p^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi - \xi < \p^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi - \xi < \p^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi - \xi < \p^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi - \xi < \p^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi - \xi < \p^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi - \xi < \p^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi - \xi < \p^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi - \xi < \p^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi - \xi < \p^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi - \xi < \p^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi - \xi < \p^{(t)}, \mathbb{h}^{(t)} \rangle \) \(\Pexp(\xi < \xi < \x

So, exp(-ε=mi) ≤ ±" exp(êT-ε=<mi)). ⇒ - モ = m; (+) = ln = (1)+ 2ºT- モ = (m(+), p(+)) Д