CS141: Intermediate Data Structures and Algorithms



Greedy Algorithms

Yan Gu



How to be greedy?

- Only care about the immediate reward for any decision make!
- I have a few homework assignments to do, which one should I start first?
 - (For simplicity, we assume you can always get full score using a certain time)
 - A. Work on the one with the earliest deadline!
 - B. Work on the one that worth the highest points!
 - C. Work on the easiest one that requires the least time!
 - D. Work on the hardest one that requires the most time!
 - Etc.

Which one do you like most?

How to be greedy?

• Today is Oct 5th.

141 programming II

Due Oct 13th

2 points

Takes 5 days

141 written II
Due Oct 20th
5 points
Takes 8 days

Homework in course A
Due Oct 18th
3 points
Takes 4 days

Homework in course B
Due Oct 8th
1 points
Takes 3 days

(If there's another set of assignments with deadline/points, the performance of each greedy strategy may be different.)

A. Deadline first: 6pts in total

Oct 5 - 7: HW in course B, 1pt!

Oct 8 - 12: 141 programming II, 2pts!

Oct 13 - 16: HW in course A, 3pts!

(missed the deadline of 141 written II on 20th)

B. Highest score first: 8pts in total

Oct 5 - 12: 141 written II, 5pts!

(missed the deadline of HW in course B on 8th)

(missed the deadline of 141 programming II on 13th)

Oct 13 - 16: HW in course A, 3 pts!

C. Shortest first: 4pts in total

Oct 5 - 7: HW in course B, 1pt!

Oct 8 - 11: HW in course A, 3 pts!

(missed the deadline of 141 programming II on 13th)

(missed the deadline of 141 written II on 20th)

D. Longest first: 8pts in total

Oct 5 - 12: 141 written II, 5pts!

(missed the deadline of HW in course B on 8th)

(missed the deadline of 141 programming II on 13th)

Oct 13 - 16: HW in course A, 3pts!

How to be greedy?

• Today is Oct 5th.

141 programming II

Due Oct 13th

2 points

Takes 5 days

141 written II
Due Oct 20th
5 points
Takes 8 days

Homework in course A
Due Oct 18th
3 points
Takes 4 days

Homework in course B
Due Oct 8th
1 points
Takes 3 days

A. Deadline first: 6pts in total

B. Highest score first: 8pts in total

C. Shortest first: 4pts in total

D. Longest first: 8pts in total

One better solution than all above: 9pts in total

Oct 5 - 7: HW in course B, 1pt!

Oct 8 - 11: HW in course B, 3pts!

(missed the deadline of 141 programming II on 13th)

Oct 12 - 19: 141 written II, 5pts!

(If there's another set of assignments with deadline/points, the performance of each greedy strategy may be different.)

Optimization Problems

- A class of problems in which we are asked to
 - Find a set (or a sequence) of "items"
 - That satisfy some constraints and simultaneously optimize (i.e., maximize or minimize) some objective function
 - A sequence of tasks with workload/deadline/reward, maximize reward while finish before deadline
 - Items: tasks; constraints: finish before deadline; optimize: total reward
 - A set of products with weight/value, put into a bag of a certain weight limit and, maximize value
 - Items: products; constraints: weight limit; optimize: total value
 - A file in computer, encode/compress it to minimize the length
 - Items: codes; constrains: original file recoverable; optimize: code length
 - Shortest-paths, minimum spanning tree, etc.

Being greedy?

- Only care about the immediate reward!
 - When making a decision, always choose the "best" based on a certain criterion
- May lose the overall earnings in a long-term...
 - Conclusion: Plan ahead when you work on homework assignments!
 - (and don't give up programming assignments of 141)
 - Greedy solution is not necessarily optimal!
- Sometimes greedy may also be good enough?
 - When you can prove it!

Example: Buying Gifts

- Yihan is going to buy candies for 141 students
- Her budget is s dollars
- There are n candies in store, with price p[i] each
- She wants all candies to be different
- She wants to buy as many candies as possible





- Lowest price first!
- Consider the budget s = 30
- Can buy 6 items in total



Other solutions with 6 candies?



Other solutions with 6 candies?



Buying gifts: the first decision

- Buying the \$1 candy is never a bad idea
- If you don't buy it in an optimal solution, you can always substitute any chosen candy with the cheapest one!
 - So why don't we buy the cheapest candy? It never hurts!



Buying gifts: a greedy algorithm

- If possible, but the cheapest available candy
- Repeat until no candies left or leftover money is insufficient



Prove the optimality of a greedy algorithm

- To prove optimality of a greedy strategy, we have to prove the following two properties
- 1. Greedy Choice: The greedy choice is part of the optimal answer
- 2. Optimal Substructure: The optimal solution to the big problem contains the optimal solution to the sub-problem
 - After making the first choice,
 - The final best solution is first choice + best solution for the rest of (compatible) input
 - We can solve the same optimization problem recursively!

Buying gifts: revisit the greedy choice

- The cheapest candy is always in ONE OF the optimal solutions
 - If not, I can always substitute any chosen candy to the cheapest one, and this is still an optimal solution!



Prove the optimality of a greedy algorithm

- To prove optimality of a greedy strategy, we have to prove the following two properties
- 2. Optimal Substructure: The optimal solution to the big problem contains the optimal solution to the sub-problem
 - After making the first choice,
 - The final best solution is first choice + best solution for the rest of (compatible) input
 - We can solve the same optimization problem recursively!

Buying gifts: optimal substructure

 Global optimal solution is the cheapest candy + ONE OF the optimal solutions for the subproblem without the cheapest candy



Buying gifts: optimal substructure

- Global optimal solution is the cheapest candy + ONE OF the optimal solutions for the subproblem without the cheapest candy
 - Assume to the contrary that the optimal solution is \$1 candy + another solution



Buying gifts: optimal substructure

- Global optimal solution is the cheapest candy + ONE OF the optimal solutions for the subproblem without the cheapest candy
 - Assume to the contrary that the optimal solution is \$1 candy + another solution
 - Then \$1 candy + optimal solution for the rest is no worse



Prove the optimality of a greedy algorithm

- To prove optimality of a greedy strategy, we have to prove the following two properties
- 2. **ptimal Substructure**: The optimal solution to the big problem contains the optimal solution to the sub-problem
 - After making the first choice,
 - The final best solution is first choice + best solution for the rest of (compatible) input
 - We can solve the same optimization problem recursively!

Buying gifts: a greedy algorithm

- If possible, but the cheapest available candy // greedy choice
- Repeat until no candies left or run out of money // optimal substructure



Example: Kayaking!





- n 141 students go kayaking
- Each kayak can take 1 or 2 person(s)
 - Same price
 - Same weight limit w
- Given the weight of all students a[i]
- What's the smallest number of kayaks needed?





- a[] = 1, 3, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 16, 18, 19
- w = 20

- a[] = 1, 3, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 16, 18, 19
- w = 20

Solution 1:

- Start with the lightest student a[1], pair it with the heaviest s.t. they can be in one kayak
- (1, 19)
- (3, 16), (18)
- (5, 12)
- (6, 10)
- (8)
- 6 in total

- a[] = 1, 3, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 16, 18, 19
- w = 20

Solution 2:

- Start with the heaviest student a[n], pair it with the heavies s.t. they can be in one kayak
- (19, 1)
- (18)
- (16, 3)
- (12, 8)
- (10, 6)
- (5)
- 6 in total

- Actually, both will give you an optimal solution!
- Why????
- Take solution 1 as an example
 - Start with the lightest student a[1], pair it with the heaviest s.t. they can be in one kayak

Prove the optimality of a greedy algorithm

- To prove optimality of a greedy strategy, we have to prove the following two properties
- 1. Greedy Choice: The greedy choice is part of the answer
- 2. Optimal Substructure: The optimal solution to the big problem contains the optimal solution to the sub-problem
 - After making the first choice,
 - The final best solution is first choice + best solution for the rest of (compatible) input
 - We can solve the same optimization problem recursively!

Kayaking: greedy choice

- The greedy choice is part of the answer
- Start with the lightest student A_1 with weight a_1 , pair it with the heaviest student (say X with weight x) s.t. they can be in one kayak
- Is (A_1, X) always part of ONE OF the optimal solutions?
- If not ... assume A_1 is paired with student Y with weight y < x (why?)
 - X is either along, or paired with student Z
 - Then we can swap X and Y
 - $(A_1, Y), (X, Z) \rightarrow (A_1, X), (Y, Z)$ or $(A_1, Y), (X) \rightarrow (A_1, X), (Y)$
 - We know $a_1 + x \le w$
 - $y + z < x + z \le w$, so kayak (Y, Z) is also valid
 - Hence, for any optimal solution, we can modify it and make (A_1, X) part of it

Kayaking: greedy choice

- The greedy choice is part of the answer
- Or ..., if we cannot find anyone to pair with A_1 , then the kayak (A_1) must be in the optimal solution

Prove the optimality of a greedy algorithm

- To prove optimality of a greedy strategy, we have to prove the following two properties
- 2. Optimal Substructure: The optimal solution to the big problem contains the optimal solution to the sub-problem
 - After making the first choice,
 - The final best solution is first choice + best solution for the rest of (compatible) input
 - We can solve the same optimization problem recursively!

Kayaking: optimal substructure

- Optimal Substructure: The optimal solution to the big problem contains the optimal solution to the sub-problem
- After we pair A_1 with X, what happens?
- Claim: the optimal solution of the problem with choosing (A_1, X) is
 - (A_1, X) + optimal solution of assigning boats to the rest n-2 students
 - Why? Assume to the contrary it is not, it is (A_1, X) + solution B, where B is worse than the "optimal solution of assigning boats to the rest n-2 students".
 - Why don't we substitute B with the "optimal solution of assigning boats to the rest n-2 students"?
- Similar for the case when we cannot pair A_1 with anyone

Prove the optimality of a greedy algorithm

- To prove optimality of a greedy strategy, we have to prove the following two properties
- 2. **ptimal Substructure**: The optimal solution to the big problem contains the optimal solution to the sub-problem
 - After making the first choice,
 - The final best solution is first choice + best solution for the rest of (compatible) input
 - We can solve the same optimization problem recursively!

Recap

- The greedy strategy is widely used in optimization problems
 - When making a decision, always choose the "best" based on a certain criterion
 - Easy to design and implement, but not necessarily optimal
- To prove the optimality of your greedy algorithm, you need to show
 - Your greedy choice is always part of ONE OF the optimal solutions
 - Optimal substructure so you can recursively apply greedy choice and get the entire solution
- Programming HW 2 is ready, and Problems B, C, and D can be solved using greedy algorithms
 - Can try them now!

About UCRPC

- Many of you have attended the competition, and did pretty well
 - Send me an email (ygu@ucr.edu) about your name and I will give you bonus candies
- It seems many of you enjoy algorithms and programming
 - We have a competition programming club that has weekly practice
 - 2h training on Saturday, 1h lecture/solution, free pizza
 - Join #comp-programming at CS@UCR slack channel for more weekly info
 - The goal for the club is to prepare for ACM ICPC (International Collegiate Programming Contest), one of the most well-renown competitions
- In addition, if you have asked and answered questions in the first few lectures, please come and write down your names