

HW 2

Aaryan Bhagat

Student ID 862468325

①

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\textcircled{O} \quad A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

Column Space

$$x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} + 2x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Column Space

$$x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

$$(x_1 + 2x_2) \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Null Space

$$Ax = 0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Null Space

$$\begin{aligned} 2(x_1 + 2x_2) &= 0 \rightarrow ① \\ 3(x_1 + 2x_2) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

A- Hence Null Space

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 + 2x_2 \\ 3x_1 + 4x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2+ \\ t \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x_1 = -2x_2 \rightarrow ①$$

$$x_1 = -\frac{4}{3}x_2 \rightarrow ②$$

Solution not possible

We can get the same result
using Rank Nullity Theorem

$$A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + x_3 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \in \mathbb{R}^2 \quad [\text{Column Space}] \\ \Rightarrow x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + (2x_1 + x_2) & \end{aligned}$$

Null Space

$$A\alpha = 0$$

$$x_1 + 2x_3 = 0 \rightarrow ①$$

$$x_2 + x_3 = 0 \rightarrow ②$$

Using ① and ② we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2t \\ -t \\ t \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow t \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$② \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Column Space

$$x_1 \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_4 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x_1 \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (-1) \times (x_2 + x_3 + x_4)$$

$\therefore \text{Column Rank} = 3$

Nullity

$\dim(N(A)) = 1$ [Rank Nullity Theorem]

$$Ax = 0$$

$$-x_4 + x_2 = 0 \quad x_1 - x_3 = 0 \quad x_3 - x_4 = 0 \quad x_4 - x_2 = 0$$

$$x_2 + (-x_3) = 0 \quad \cancel{x_1 - x_3} \quad x_4 - x_4 = 0$$

$$\therefore N(A) = \begin{bmatrix} t \\ +t \\ t \\ t \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow t \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ +1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Null space of A^T

$\therefore \text{Rank}(A) = \text{Rank}(A^T) = 3$

Using Rank Nullity Theorem

$\therefore \text{Rank}(A^T) + \dim(N(A^T)) = 6$

$\dim(N(A^T)) = 3$

Now

$$-x_1 A^T \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ x_5 \\ x_6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ x_5 \\ x_6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -x_1 + x_3 + x_6 &= 0 \\ x_1 + x_2 - x_4 &= 0 \end{aligned} \Rightarrow$$

$$-x_2 - x_3 + x_5 = 0$$

$$x_4 - x_5 - x_6 = 0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a+b \\ c-a \\ a \\ c+b \\ c \\ b \end{bmatrix} = N(A^T)$$

(b) Non Zero Vector in $N(A)$

$$N(A) = t \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

let $t=2$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

(c) $k = \dim(N(A^T)) = 3$

We have

$$N(A^T) = \left[\begin{array}{c} a+b \\ c-a \\ a \\ c+b \\ c \\ b \end{array} \right] \Rightarrow a \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + b \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + c \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

are 3 independent vectors

③ Subspaces S and T of \mathbb{R}^{20}

$$\dim(S) = 5$$

$$\dim(T) = 10$$

(i) $S \neq T$

Since S and T are subspaces of \mathbb{R}^{20}

$$\dim(S+T) \leq \dim(\mathbb{R}^{20})$$

$$\therefore \dim(S+T) \leq 20$$

To prove $S+T$ is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^{20}

$$\text{Subspace } S+T : \left\{ \vec{u} + \vec{w} \mid \vec{u} \in S \text{ and } \vec{w} \in T \right\} \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

Since $\vec{0} \in S$ and $\vec{0} \in T$

Since $\vec{0} \in S$ and $\vec{0} \in T$

$$\therefore \vec{0} + \vec{0} = \vec{0} \in S+T$$

$$\text{Since } \vec{x} \stackrel{S}{\in} \Rightarrow \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{20}$$

Similarly

$$\vec{y} \in T \Rightarrow \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{20}$$

$$\vec{x} + \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{20} \text{ (Subspace property)}$$

But we also know $\vec{x} + \vec{y} \in S+T$ [From ①]

$$\dim(S+T) = \dim(S) + \dim(T) - \dim(S \cap T) \Rightarrow (11)$$

From (11) we can get that

$$\dim(S \cap T) \leq \min(\dim(S), \dim(T))$$

$$\therefore \dim(S \cap T) \leq 5$$

Hence min value of (11) is

$$5+10-5 = 10$$

$$\therefore 10 \leq \dim(S+T) \quad \text{---}$$

Min value of $\dim(S \cap T)$ can be 0 because then
{It is possible that no vector is common between S and T hence $S \cap T = \{0\}$ }
 $\dim(S + T) = 15$ which is still ≤ 20

Hence done

$$0 \leq \dim(S \cap T) \leq 5 \rightarrow \text{Answer for (i)}$$

$$10 \leq \dim(S + T) \leq 15 \rightarrow \text{Answer for (ii)}$$

For $S^\perp \{v \in S^\perp \mid \langle v, w \rangle = 0 \forall w \in S\}$

(iv) \leftarrow We know $\dim(S) + \dim(S^\perp) = \dim(\mathbb{R}^3)$ [The original vector space]

$$\therefore 5 + \dim(S^\perp) = 20$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim(S^\perp) = 15$$

For proof of (iv) we can show that ~~12~~ Bases(S) \oplus Bases(S^\perp)

= Bases(\mathbb{R}^3) [Here it is \mathbb{R}^3 but it can be for any vector space]

(4)

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & ? & ? \\ -1 & ? & ? \\ 2 & ? & ? \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Rank}(A_1) = 1$$

Assume Bases for $A_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ (Using Row Rank)

$$\begin{aligned} Cx_1 &= 2 \\ Cx_2 &= -1 \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2 \\ Cx_3 &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

Hence matrix will then become

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 3 \\ -1 & ? & ? \\ 2 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since Row Rank (A_1) = Column Rank (A_1) = 1

Assume Bases for $A_1 = \{(y_1, y_2, y_3)\}$ (Using Row Rank)

$$\begin{aligned} Cy_1 &= 2 \Rightarrow y_1 = y_2 \rightarrow \textcircled{1} \\ Cy_2 &= 2 \\ Cy_3 &= 3 \end{aligned}$$

Hence matrix will then become

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 3 \\ -1 & -1 & ? \\ 2 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Assuming its the scalar β such that
 $\beta(y_1, y_2, y_3) = (1, 1, ?)$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta y_1 &= 1 \\ \beta y_2 &= 1 \end{aligned} \quad \left. \right\} \text{From } ①$$

$$\beta y_3 = ?$$

Using ⑪

$$\frac{\beta y_1}{\beta y_3} = \frac{1}{?} = \frac{2}{3}$$

$$\therefore ? = \frac{3}{2}$$

Hence matrix is

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 3 \\ -1 & -1 & \frac{3}{2} \\ 2 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} ? & 3 & ? \\ 2 & 1 & 4 \\ ? & -1 & ? \end{bmatrix}$$

Assume Bases for $A_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ [Column Rank = 1]

Let c be a constant such that $c \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\begin{aligned} cx_1 &= 3 \\ cx_2 &= 1 \\ cx_3 &= -1 \end{aligned} \Rightarrow \begin{aligned} x_2 &= -x_3 \rightarrow \textcircled{I} \\ x_1 &= -3x_3 \rightarrow \textcircled{II} \end{aligned}$$

Hence matrix will then become [Using \textcircled{I} and \textcircled{II}]

$$A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 3 & ? \\ 2 & 1 & 4 \\ -2 & -1 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Assume Bases of $A_2 = \{(y_1, y_2, y_3)\}$ [Column Rank = Row Rank = 1]

let β be a constant such that $\beta(y_1, y_2, y_3) = (2 \ 1 \ 4)$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta y_1 &= 2 \\ \beta y_2 &= 1 \\ \beta y_3 &= 4 \end{aligned} \Rightarrow \frac{y_1}{y_3} = \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow \textcircled{III}$$

$$\beta y_3 = 4$$

Let α be a constant such that

$$\alpha y_1 = 6$$

$$\alpha y_2 = 3$$

$$\alpha y_3 = ?$$

Using ⑪

$$\frac{y_1}{y_3} = \frac{6}{?} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$?= 12$$

Hence the matrix is

$$A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 3 & 12 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 \\ -2 & -1 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

⑤

$$A = I + uv^\top$$

Given

A is non singular

Vectors u, v has dimensionality m

To prove

$$A = I + \alpha uv^\top \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$AA^{-1} = I \quad \text{The form of } A \Rightarrow \left\{ I + \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} & \\ & \end{bmatrix} \right\} \rightarrow ①$$

$$(I + uv^T)(I + \alpha uv^T) = I$$

First of all finding conditions for nullity

$$Ax = 0$$

$$(I + uv^T)x = 0 \rightarrow ①$$

$$x + uv^Tx = 0 \rightarrow ②$$

From ①

$$x + \underbrace{uv^T}_{(xm \cdot xm)} x = 0$$

Scalar

$$x + u\alpha x = 0$$

$$\therefore x = -\alpha u$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Null}(A) = \{x \mid x = -\alpha u\}$$

$$\therefore \dim(\text{Null}(A)) = 1$$

From ①

~~$$\det(A) = 0 \Rightarrow \det(I + uv^T) = 0$$~~

$$\det(Ax) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \det(A) \det(x) = 0$$

Using ⑪

$$x + uv^T x = 0$$

Since $x = \alpha u$

$$\alpha u + uv^T \alpha u = 0$$

$$\alpha u \left(1 + \underbrace{v^T u}_\text{Scalar}\right) = 0 \Rightarrow (1 + v^T u) \alpha u = 0 \Rightarrow (1 + v^T u) \alpha = 0$$

Hence $Ax = 0$ is now $(1 + v^T u)x = 0$

\therefore For $\det(A) = 0$, $v^T u = -1$

If we assume $v^T u = -1$ and put it in ⑪ along with $x = \alpha u$
We get $Ax = 0 \quad \forall x \in \alpha u$

Hence we get $\det(A) = 0$ implying A is singular

$\therefore \det(A) = 0 \Leftrightarrow A \text{ is singular} \Leftrightarrow v^T u = -1$

⑥

Base β Lower Limit = L

Precision p Upper Limit = U

(a) $\beta = 10$

$$2365.27 \Rightarrow 2.36527 \times 10^3$$

$$0.0000512 \Rightarrow 5.12 \times 10^{-5}$$

$$\therefore L = -5$$

$$U = 3$$

$$P = 6$$

(b) $\beta = 10$

$$2365.27 \Rightarrow 2.36527 \times 10^3$$

$$0.0000512 \Rightarrow 0.00512 \times 10^{-2}$$

$$\therefore L = -2$$

$$U = 3$$

$$P = 6$$

7 Single precision $t_1 = 2^4$

Double precision $t_2 = 53$

~~Manjusha~~ ~~base~~ Number $x = \pm(m/\beta^t) \beta^e \left[\begin{array}{l} m \in \mathbb{Z}, e \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \beta^t \leq m \leq \beta^{t+1} - 1 \end{array} \right]$

Adjacent Pair of single precision

$$\left(\frac{m}{\beta^t} \times \beta^e \right) \text{ and } \left(\frac{m+1}{\beta^t} \right) \beta^e$$

$$\text{Distance between these two} = \frac{(m+1)\beta^e}{\beta^t} - \frac{m\beta^e}{\beta^t} \Rightarrow \beta^{e-t}$$

No. of double precision (including endpoints)

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\beta^{e-t_2} - 1}{\beta^{e-t_1}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta^{t_2-t_1} - 1 \Rightarrow \beta^{2^9} - 1$$

(8)

$$\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+x^2}} = s$$

↓

$$s = \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{x^2}} \right)^{-1} \rightarrow b$$

Very large value of x will make x^2+1 overflow hence
accurate division will not be possible, the machine will think

$$\frac{\text{finite number}}{\text{infinite number}} = 0$$

Which clearly here is wrong

For (b)

It will turn out to be

$$\left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{\text{infinite}}} \right)^{-1} = 1.0$$

Below is a python implementation in which I have taken a very large x such that x^2 overflows in

python

```
[→ python
Python 3.11.6 (main, Dec 29 2023, 12:58:00) [Clang 15.0.0 (clang-1500.1.0.2.5)] on darwin
Type "help", "copyright", "credits" or "license" for more information.
[>>> float i
  File "<stdin>", line 1
    float i
      ^
SyntaxError: invalid syntax
[>>> import sys
[>>> f = sys.float_info.max
[>>> print(f)
1.7976931348623157e+308
[>>> float i = 1.7976931348623157e+308
  File "<stdin>", line 1
    float i = 1.7976931348623157e+308
      ^
SyntaxError: invalid syntax
[>>> i = 1.7976931348623157e+308
[>>> print(i)
1.7976931348623156e+308
[>>> print(i/(1 + i*i))
0.0
[>>> print(1/(sqrt(1 + (1/(i*i)))))
[...
KeyboardInterrupt
[>>> print(1/(sqrt(1 + (1/(i*i)))))

Traceback (most recent call last):
  File "<stdin>", line 1, in <module>
NameError: name 'sqrt' is not defined
[>>> from math import sqrt
[>>> print(1/(sqrt(1 + (1/(i*i)))))

1.0
[>>> print(1/(sqrt(1 + (1/(i*i)))))

1.0
>>>
```

Q9

- The difference between 2 formulas is that when a will overflow the second one will still work correctly but not the first one.
- Both the formulas have the problem of catastrophic cancellation.
- I wrote a cpp program to solve this, fixing catastrophic cancellation and handling the edge cases of when a or b or c becomes 0.
- If roots are not real my function directly gives “roots are not real” output, otherwise it gives the answers
- The cases of 10^{154} or 10^{-155} are not working in my code as this is where the variables which store these numbers overflow or underflow. So does the subsequent computation.
- However some python libraries like sympy can solve them, they treat the representation of mathematical equations differently.

```
#include<iostream>
#include<vector>
#include<algorithm>
#include<cmath>
#include<cfloat>
using namespace std;

int sign(float a)
```

```

{
    if(a < 0)
    {
        return -1;
    }
    if(a > 0)
    {
        return 1;
    }
    else
    {
        return 0;
    }
}

vector<float> naive(float a, float b, float c)
{
    float D = b * b - 4 * a * c;
    vector<float> answer;
    if(D>=0)
    {
        float root_1 = (-b + sqrt(D)) / (2 * a);
        float root_2 = (-b - sqrt(D)) / (2 * a);
        answer.push_back(root_1);
        answer.push_back(root_2);
    }
    return answer;
}

vector<float> advanced(float a, float b, float c)
{
    vector<float> answer;
    // Solving catastrophic cancellation of -b +- sqrt(D)
    // Solving catastrophic cancellation in Discriminant D
    float v1 = 4*a*c;
    float v2 = fma(-(4*a), c, v1);
    float v3 = fma(b, b, -v1);
    float corrected_D = sqrt(v3 + v2);
    if(corrected_D >= 0)
    {
        float r1 = (-b - sign(b) * corrected_D) / (2*a);
        float r2 = c / (r1 * a);
        answer.push_back(r1);
        answer.push_back(r2);
    }
}

```

```

    }

    return answer;
}

int main()
{
    vector<float> answer;

    cout << "Input format:" << endl << "Write values for a, b, c individually, press
enter after writing value for each variable" << endl;

    float a, b, c;
    cin >> a;
    cin >> b;
    cin >> c;
    cout << "Written values (in float) are" << endl << "a is " << a << endl << "b is "
<< b << endl << "c is " << c << endl;

    // Taking case of edge cases of one of the a,b or c == 0
    // NOTE: Unreliable function isnan
    if(isnan(a) || isnan(b) || isnan(c))
    {
        cout << "one of the a or b or c is NaN" << endl;
        exit(1);
    }
    else if(a == 0)
    {
        cout << "EDGE CASES ARE TREATED DIFFERENTLY" << endl;
        if((c == 0) && (b == 0))
        {
            cout << "all coefficients 0" << endl;
            exit(1);
        }
        float r1 = -c / b;
        answer.push_back(r1);
        cout << answer[0] << endl;
        return 0;
    }
    else if(b == 0)
    {
        cout << "EDGE CASES ARE TREATED DIFFERENTLY" << endl;
        int sign_output = sign(a) * sign(c);
        if(sign_output <= 0)
        {
            float r1 = sqrt(-c/a);
            float r2 = -r1;
            answer.push_back(r1);
            answer.push_back(r2);
        }
        else
        {
            cout << "No real roots" << endl;
            exit(1);
        }
    }
}

```

```

        answer.push_back(r1);
        answer.push_back(r2);
        cout << answer[0] << " " << answer[1] << endl;
    }
    else
    {
        cout << "roots are complex" << endl;
    }
    return 0;
}

else if(c == 0)
{
    cout << "EDGE CASES ARE TREATED DIFFERENTLY" << endl;
    float r1 = 0;
    float r2 = -b/a;
    answer.push_back(r1);
    answer.push_back(r2);
    cout << answer[0] << " " << answer[1] << endl;
    return 0;
}

cout << "First, the naive function" << endl;
answer = naive(a, b, c);
if(answer.size() == 0)
{
    cout << "Roots are not real" << endl;
}
else
{
    cout << answer[0] << " " << answer[1] << endl;
}

cout << "Now trying the advance function" << endl;
answer = advanced(a, b, c);
if(answer.size() == 0)
{
    cout << "Roots are not real" << endl;
}
else
{
    cout << answer[0] << " " << answer[1] << endl;
}
return 0;
}

```

