

# Stat 131 (Mathematical Statistics III)

## Lesson 2.2 Neyman-Pearson Lemma and Most Powerful Test

### Learning Outcome

At the end of the lesson students should be able to

1. explain the importance of the Neyman-Pearson Lemma in constructing tests; and
2. construct most powerful tests using the Neyman-Pearson Lemma.

### Introduction

Let us begin by considering a *sharp* or *simple* null hypothesis where there is just one value of  $\theta$  possible under  $H_0$ . The alternative may be simple or composite. Here is an example of a simple-versus-simple test:

$$H_0 : \mu = 5 \text{ versus } H_1 : \mu = 6$$

Here is an example of a simple-versus-composite test.

$$H_0 : \mu = 5 \text{ versus } H_1 : \mu > 5$$

Note that there are an infinite number of values of  $\theta$  specified in a composite alternative hypothesis. In this example,  $H_1$  consists of any value of  $\theta$  larger than 5.

For a level  $\alpha$  simple-versus-simple test, our main goal is to find the most powerful rejection region, that is, the rejection region that maximizes the probability of rejecting  $H_0$  when  $H_0$  is false (or  $H_1$  is true). The Neyman-Pearson Lemma tells us how to find this **most powerful test**.

## Neyman-Pearson Lemma

Suppose that  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  is an iid sample from  $f_Y(y; \theta)$ , and let  $L(\theta)$  denote the likelihood function. Consider the following simple-versus-simple hypothesis test:

$$H_0 : \theta = \theta_0 \text{ versus } H_1 : \theta = \theta_1$$

The level  $\alpha$  test that maximizes the power when  $H_1 : \theta = \theta_1$  is true uses the rejection region RR

$$RR = \left\{ \mathbf{y} : \frac{L(\theta_0)}{L(\theta_1)} < k \right\}$$

where  $k$  is so chosen so that

$$P(\text{Reject } H_0 | H_0 \text{ is true}) = \alpha$$

This is called the **most-powerful** level  $\alpha$  test for  $H_0$  versus  $H_1$ .

### Example 2.2.1

Suppose that  $Y$  is a single observation (i.e., an iid sample of size  $n = 1$ ) from an exponential distribution with mean  $\theta$ . Using this single observation, we would like to test

$$H_0 : \theta = 2$$

versus

$$H_1 : \theta = 3$$

Use the Neyman-Pearson Lemma to find the most powerful level  $\alpha = 0.10$  test.

### Solution

Because sample size is  $n = 1$ , the likelihood function  $L(\theta)$  is simply

$$L(\theta) = f_Y(y; \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-y/\theta}.$$

To use the Neyman-Pearson Lemma, we first form the ratio

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{L(\theta_0)}{L(\theta_1)} &= \frac{L(2)}{L(3)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{2} e^{-y/2}}{\frac{1}{3} e^{-y/3}} \\ &= \frac{3}{2} e^{-y/6} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the Neyman-Pearson Lemma says that the most-powerful level  $\alpha = 0.10$  test is created by choosing  $k$  such that

$$P\left(\frac{3}{2}e^{-Y/6} < k \mid \theta = 2\right) = 0.10$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{3}{2}e^{-Y/6} < k &\iff e^{-Y/6} < \frac{2}{3}k \\ &\iff -\frac{Y}{6} < \ln\left(\frac{2}{3}k\right) \\ &\iff Y > -6\ln\left(\frac{2}{3}k\right) \\ &\iff Y > k^*, \text{ where } k^* = -6\ln\left(\frac{2}{3}k\right)\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have changed the problem to now choosing  $k^*$  so that

$$P(Y > k^*) = 0.10$$

Recall that when  $\theta = 2$  (that is when  $H_0$  is true), then  $Y \sim \text{Exp}(2)$  and therefore we need to solve the following integral to find  $k^*$ .

$$0.10 = P(Y > k^*) = \int_{k^*}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2}e^{-y/2} dy$$

Using the R code **qexp(0.10,1/2,lower.tail=FALSE)** we obtain  $k^* = 4.60517$ .

Therefore, the most powerful level  $\alpha = 0.10$  test uses the rejection region

$$RR = \{y : y > 4.60517\}$$

That is, we reject  $H_0 : \theta = 2$  in favor of  $H_1 : \theta = 3$  whenever  $Y > 4.60517$ .

**Question:** What is the power this test when  $H_1$  is true?

Answer

$$\begin{aligned}K(3) &= P(Y > 4.60517 \mid \theta = 3) \\ &= \int_{4.60517}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3}e^{-y/3} dy \\ &\approx 0.215\end{aligned}$$

The following R command **pexp(4.605, 1/3, lower.tail=FALSE)** was used to get this probability.

**Remark**

Note that even though we have found the most powerful level  $\alpha = 0.10$  test of  $H_0$  versus  $H_1$ , the test is not all that powerful- we have only about a 21.5 percent chance of correcting rejecting  $H_0$  when  $H_1$  is true. Of course, this should not be surprising, given that we have just a single observation  $Y$ . We are trying to make a decision with very little information about  $\theta$ .

### Example 2.2.2

Suppose that  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_{10}$  is an iid sample of  $\text{Poisson}(\theta)$  observations and that we want to test

$$H_0 : \theta = 1$$

versus

$$H_1 : \theta = 2$$

Find the most-powerful level  $\alpha = 0.05$  test.

### Solution

The likelihood function for  $\theta$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^{10} \frac{\theta^{y_i} e^{-\theta}}{y_i!} \\ &= \frac{\theta^u e^{-10\theta}}{\prod_{i=1}^{10} y_i!}, \text{ where } u = \sum_{i=1}^{10} y_i \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{L(\theta_0)}{L(\theta_1)} &= \frac{L(1)}{L(2)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{1^u e^{-10(1)}}{\prod_{i=1}^{10} y_i!}}{\frac{2^u e^{-10(2)}}{\prod_{i=1}^{10} y_i!}} \\ &= \frac{1^u e^{-10(1)}}{2^u e^{-10(2)}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^u e^{-10}} \end{aligned}$$

The Neyman-Pearson Lemma says that the most-powerful level  $\alpha = 0.05$  test is created by choosing  $k$  such that

$$P\left(\frac{1}{2^U e^{-10}} < k | \theta = 1\right) = 0.05$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2^U e^{-10}} < k &\iff 2^U e^{-10} > \frac{1}{k} \\ &\iff 2^U > \frac{e^{10}}{k} \\ &\iff U \ln(2) > 10 - \ln(k) \\ &\iff U > \frac{10 - \ln(k)}{\ln(2)} \\ &\iff U > k^*, \text{ where } k^* = \frac{10 - \ln(k)}{\ln(2)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have changed the problem to now choosing  $k^*$  so that

$$P(U > k^* | \theta = 1) = 0.05$$

Note that when  $H_0 : \theta = 1$  is true, the sufficient statistic  $U = \sum_{i=1}^{10} Y_i \sim \text{Poisson}(10)$ . Further, note that  $k^*$  is not an integer, we need to solve the equation

$$\alpha = P(U > k^* | \theta = 1) = P(U > m | \theta = 1)$$

where  $m = [k^*] + 1$  and  $[\cdot]$  denotes the greatest integer function. The entries in the following table was obtained using the R code **ppois(m-1, 10, lower.tail=FALSE)**.

m	$\alpha$
14	0.1355
15	0.0835
16	0.0487
17	0.0270
18	0.0143

Thus, an approximate level  $\alpha = 0.05$  most powerful test based on the Neyman-Pearson Lemma has the following rejection region  $RR = \{u : u \geq 16\}$ .

**Question:** What is the power of the approximate level  $\alpha = 0.05$  test when  $H_1$  is true?

Answer:

When  $H_1 : \theta = 2$  is true, then  $U \sim \text{Poisson}(20)$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
K(2) &= P(U \geq 16 | \theta = 2) \\
&= \sum_{j=16}^{\infty} \frac{20^j e^{-20}}{j!} \\
&\approx 0.7789
\end{aligned}$$

This probability was obtained using the R function `ppois(16, 20, lower.tail=FALSE)`.

**Remark:**

Notice that in *Example 2.2.2* the rejection region for the most powerful level  $\alpha$  test of  $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$  versus  $H_1 : \theta = \theta_1$  always depends on a sufficient statistic  $U$ . This is generally true.

## Learning Task/Activity

Instruction: Answer the following as indicated.

A random sample of size 20 from an exponential distribution with parameter  $\theta$  is used to test the null hypothesis  $H_0 : \theta = 2$  against the alternative hypothesis  $H_1 : \theta = 3$ .

- a. Use the Neyman–Pearson lemma to find the most powerful critical region of size approximately 0.05.
- b. Find the power of the test in (a).