Increasing profit from fees of cryptocurrencies

Besart Dollma Noa Oved Advisor: Itay Tsabary

Technion - Israel Institute of Technology

October, 2018

Increasing profit from fees of cryptocurrencies

Cryptocurrencies

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

mplementatior

esults



Solutions

mplementation

Results

Conclusions

① Cryptocurrencies

2 The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

3 Solutions

4 Implementation

6 Results

1 Cryptocurrencies

- 2 The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems
- Solutions
- 4 Implementation
- 6 Results
- 6 Conclusions

Cryptocurrencies

Cryptocurrencies Blockchain Transactions MemPool

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

olutions

mplementation

Results



We will focus on Bitcoin.

Cryptocurrencies Cryptocurrencies

Blockchain Transactions MemPool

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

mplementation

Results

 Each block typically contains a hash pointer as a link to a previous block, a timestamp and transaction data.



Cryptocurrencies

Cryptocurrencies
Blockchain
Transactions
MemPool

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

Implementation

Results

- On average, every 10 minutes a new block is added to the blockchain.
- Block size is 1 MB.

Resistant to data modification.

Cryptocurrencies

Cryptocurrencies
Blockchain
Transactions
MemPool

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

Implementation

Results

• Among other things, transactions contain:

ID	672e2c74d410d0a5b689925155098c9a39
Fee	0.00015820 BTC
Size	224 bytes
Depends	[]

Cryptocurrencies

Blockchain
Transactions

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

Implementation

Results

MemPool

• We will denote the size of the mempool by n. • $n \approx 16000$.

the network.

held data.

Mempool ('Memory'+'Pool') is a pool of memorized,

 The data that is being stored on the Mempool are unconfirmed transactions that are currently stuck on

4日 4 4 日 4 日 4 日 4 日 4 日 4

Cryptocurrencies

Blockchain Transactions MemPool Miners

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

mplementation

Results

- In cryptocurrency networks, mining is a validation of transactions
- For this effort, successful miners obtain new cryptocurrency as a reward.
- For each transcation the miner includes in a block, he collects its fee.
- The miner's motivation is to maximize the sum of the fees of the transactions that he includes in the block. This needs to be done under the size and dependency constraints.

Cryptocurrencies

2 The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

problem
The dependency

Solutions

Implementation

esults

Conclusions

Definition

We are given a knapsack (block) of size W and n transactions $\{a_1, a_2, ..., a_n\}$.

Each transaction a_i has size $s_i > 0$ and fee $f_i \ge 0$.

We are to find $I \subseteq [n]$ such that:

$$I = \arg\max_{J \subseteq [n]} \{ \sum_{j \in J} f_j \}$$

such that

$$\sum_{j\in J} s_j \leq W$$

The dependency knapsack problem

Increasing profit from fees of cryptocurrencies

Cryptocurrencies

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

The knapsack problem The dependency

knapsack problém

Solutions

Implementation

lesults

Conclusions

Definition

We are given a knapsack (block) of size W and n transactions $\{a_1, a_2, ..., a_n\}$ as mentioned in the knapsack problem.

Each transaction a_i has in addition to its size and fee also a set of transaction which it depends upon.

The knapsack and knapsack problems

The dependency

knapsack problem

Solutions

Definition cont.

The goal is to find $\bar{V} \subseteq V$ such that:

$$ar{V} = \arg\max_{J \subseteq V} \{ \sum_{v \in J} f(v) \}$$

such that

$$\sum_{v \in J} s(v) \leq W$$

and the dependency constraints are preserved $\forall v \in \bar{V}$.

knapsack problem

No circular dependencies exist.

- We will treat the input as a directed acyclic graph (DAG) G = (V, E).
- Each node v represents a transaction that has fee f(v)and size s(v).
- Each edge (i, j) represents that transaction j is dependent upon transaction i.
- Transaction *i* can be selected iff transaction *m* is selected $\forall (m, j) \in E$.

• The knapsack size is W = 11.




Figure: Example of Knapsack with dependencies

 Every transaction is dependent upon A, hence A must be selected.

The knapsack and knapsack problems

The dependency knapsack problém

• The knapsack size is W = 11.




Figure: Example of Knapsack with dependencies

 Every transaction is dependent upon A, hence A must be selected. Optimal solution is $\{A, C\}$.

The knapsack and knapsack problems

The dependency knapsack problem



Cryptocurrencies

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

The knapsack problem
The dependency

knapsack problém

Solutions

Implementation

esults

- The decision problem form of the knapsack problem is NP-complete.
- The dependency knapsack problem is a generalization of the knapsack problem ⇒ also NP-Complete.
- Thus there is no known algorithm both correct and polynomial for any of the problems.

Solutions

Cryptocurrencies

2 The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

3 Solutions

- Trivial approach.
- Check all the subsets $J \subseteq [n]$.
- Optimal solution.
- Runtime is $\mathcal{O}(2^n)$, hence exponential.
- We remind that $n \approx 16000$, therefore infeasible.

Increasing profit from fees of cryptocurrencies

Cryptocurrencies

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

Knapsack solvers Exhaustive Search

Programming Greedy

approximation $(1 + \varepsilon)$ approximation

Dependency knapsa solvers

pproximation

Implementation

Results

- Assume $s_1, s_2, ..., s_n, W \in \mathbb{N}$. It holds on real data.
- For each $k \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ and for each $w \in \{0, 1, ..., W\}$ define:
- $F(k, w) \triangleq$ the maximal profit when choosing from transactions $\{a_1, ..., a_k\}$ and the size of the block is w.
- It holds:

$$F(k, w) = \begin{cases} 0 & k = 0 \text{ or } w = 0 \\ F(k - 1, w) & w, k > 0 \text{ and } s_k > w \\ \xi & w, k > 0 \text{ and } s_k \le w \end{cases}$$

$$\xi = \max\{F(k-1, w), f_k + F(k-1, w - s_k)\}$$

- Optimal solution.
- Runtime is $\mathcal{O}(nW)$, hence pseudo-polynomial.

Increasing profit from fees of cryptocurrencies

Cryptocurrencies

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

Exhaustive Search

Dynamic

Programming Greedy approximation $(1 + \varepsilon)$

àpproximation Dependency knaps solvers

ncremental greedy pproximation

Implementat

Results

- Sort the transactions by the $\frac{f_i}{s_i}$ ratio in descending order.
- Iterate in this order and add transactions to the block until the next transaction can't be added.
- Not optimal but a 2-approx.
- Runtime is $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$.

from fees of cryptocurrencies

Cryptocurrencies

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

Knapsack solvers
Exhaustive Search

Programming Greedy approximation

approximatio(1+arepsilon)approximatio

approximation Dependency knap: solvers

ncremental greedy approximation

molementation

ппристистка

Results

- Let $0 \le \varepsilon \le 1$.
- Denote by greedySol the value of the greedy approximation and let

$$a = \varepsilon \cdot greedySol$$

Denote

$$V_a = \{a_i \mid f_i < a\}$$
$$V_a^C = \{a_i \mid f_i \ge a\}$$

- For each $J \subseteq V_a^C$ such that $|J| \leq \frac{2}{\varepsilon}$:
 - Run the greedy approximation on V_a with block size $W' = W \sum_{i \in J} s_i$ and denote the solution by I_i .
- Output $I_i \cup J$ with maximal profit.

Increasing profit from fees of cryptocurrencies

Cryptocurrencies

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

inapsack solvers Exhaustive Search Dynamic

Programming Greedy approximation

 $(1 + \varepsilon)$ approximation
Dependency knaps:

Incremental greedy approximation

Implementation

Results

- Not optimal but a $(1+\varepsilon)$ approx.
- Runtime is $\mathcal{O}(n^{1+\frac{2}{\varepsilon}} \cdot \log n)$.
- If $\varepsilon \to 0$ we receive the exhaustive search. Indeed it holds that $\frac{2}{\varepsilon} \to \infty$ (non-polynomial).
- If $\varepsilon \to 1$ we receive the greedy approximation. However the runtime is longer.

Increasing profit from fees of cryptocurrencies

Cryptocurrencies

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

(napsack solvers Exhaustive Search

Programming Greedy

(1+arepsilon)approximation

Dependency knapsac solvers ncremental greedy

oproximation .

impiementat

Results

Cryptocurrencies

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

Knapsack solvers Dependency knapsack solvers

> Greedy approximation

 $(1 + \varepsilon)$ approximation

. .

- We will discuss only the two approximations.
- The idea behind the algorithms remains the same.
- The algorithms are adjusted to supply the dependency constraints.

Cryptocurrencies

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

Knapsack solvers
Dependency knapsack solvers

pproximation

 $(1 + \varepsilon)$ approximation Incremental greed

approximation .

.

Results

Conclusions

Definition

For each transaction v (node in the graph G)

 $Ancestor(v) \triangleq \{j \mid \text{there exists a path from } j \text{ to } v \text{ in } G\}$

Note

Pay attention that $v \in Ancestor(v)$.

- **1** Calculate the sets Ancestor(v) for all $v \in V$.
- 2 Pick Ancestor(v) with the maximal

$$\frac{\sum_{j \in Ancestor(v)} f(j)}{\sum_{j \in Ancestor(v)} s(j)}$$

ratio and add the transactions of the set to the knapsack.

- 3 Remove the transactions we just added to the knapsack from other sets and continue from 2 until we can't fit anything in the block.
- Runtime is $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$.

The knapsack and knapsack problems

Greedy

approximation

• The knapsack size is W = 11.




Figure: Example of Knapsack with dependencies

• We remind that the optimal solution is $\{A, C\}$.

Increasing profit from fees of cryptocurrencies

Cryptocurrencies

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

Dependency knapsacl solvers Greedy approximation

approximation $(1+\varepsilon)$ approximation incremental greedy

molementation

Results

• Ancestor(D) = {D, B, A} and Ratio(D) = $\frac{140}{19} \approx 7.36$.

Increasing profit from fees of cryptocurrencies

Cryptocurrencies

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

Knapsack solvers Dependency knapsack solvers

Greedy approximation

approximation
Incremental greedy

mplementation

Results

- Ancestor(D) = {D, B, A} and Ratio(D) = $\frac{140}{19} \approx 7.36$.
- Ancestor(B) = {B, A} and Ratio(B) = $\frac{70}{10}$ = 7.

Increasing profit from fees of cryptocurrencies

Cryptocurrencies

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

Knapsack solvers Dependency knapsack solvers

Greedy approximation $(1 + \varepsilon)$

approximation
Incremental greedy

mplementation

Results

- Ancestor(D) = {D, B, A} and Ratio(D) = $\frac{140}{19} \approx 7.36$.
- Ancestor(B) = {B, A} and Ratio(B) = $\frac{70}{10}$ = 7.
- Ancestor(C) = {C, A} and Ratio(C) = $\frac{75}{11} \approx 6.81$.

Increasing profit from fees of cryptocurrencies

Cryptocurrencies

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

Knapsack solvers
Dependency knapsack
solvers

Greedy approximation

 $(1 + \varepsilon)$ approximation
Incremental greedy

mplementation

Results

- Ancestor(D) = {D, B, A} and Ratio(D) = $\frac{140}{19} \approx 7.36$.
- Ancestor(B) = {B, A} and Ratio(B) = $\frac{70}{10}$ = 7.
- Ancestor(C) = {C, A} and Ratio(C) = $\frac{75}{11} \approx 6.81$.
- Ancestor(A) = {A} and Ratio(A) = $\frac{40}{6} \approx 6.66$.

Increasing profit from fees of cryptocurrencies

Cryptocurrencies

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

Knapsack solvers Dependency knapsack solvers

Greedy approximation

 $(1 + \varepsilon)$ approximation
Incremental greedy
approximation

mplementation

Results

- Ancestor(D) = {D, B, A} and Ratio(D) = $\frac{140}{19} \approx 7.36$.
- Ancestor(B) = {B, A} and Ratio(B) = $\frac{70}{10}$ = 7.
- Ancestor(C) = {C, A} and Ratio(C) = $\frac{75}{11} \approx 6.81$.
- Ancestor(A) = {A} and Ratio(A) = $\frac{40}{6} \approx 6.66$.
- The greedy approximation will eliminate Ancestor(D) because the size of the set is 19 and the knapsack size is 11.

Increasing profit from fees of cryptocurrencies

Cryptocurrencies

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

Knapsack solvers Dependency knapsack solvers

Greedy approximation $(1 + \varepsilon)$

approximation
Incremental greedy

Implementation

Results

- Ancestor(D) = {D, B, A} and Ratio(D) = $\frac{140}{19} \approx 7.36$.
- Ancestor(B) = {B, A} and Ratio(B) = $\frac{70}{10}$ = 7.
- Ancestor(C) = {C, A} and Ratio(C) = $\frac{75}{11} \approx 6.81$.
- Ancestor(A) = {A} and Ratio(A) = $\frac{40}{6} \approx 6.66$.
- The greedy approximation will eliminate Ancestor(D) because the size of the set is 19 and the knapsack size is 11.
- The algorithm will then add Ancestor(B) to the solution and remove {B, A} from the other sets.

Increasing profit from fees of cryptocurrencies

Cryptocurrencies

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

Knapsack solvers Dependency knapsack solvers

Greedy approximation

approximation
Incremental greedy
approximation

Implementation

Results

- Ancestor(D) = {D, B, A} and Ratio(D) = $\frac{140}{19} \approx 7.36$.
- Ancestor(B) = {B, A} and Ratio(B) = $\frac{70}{10}$ = 7.
- Ancestor(C) = {C, A} and Ratio(C) = $\frac{75}{11} \approx 6.81$.
- Ancestor(A) = {A} and Ratio(A) = $\frac{40}{6} \approx 6.66$.
- The greedy approximation will eliminate Ancestor(D) because the size of the set is 19 and the knapsack size is 11.
- The algorithm will then add Ancestor(B) to the solution and remove {B, A} from the other sets.
- The algorithm will output $\{A, B\}$. Output value is 70.

Increasing profit from fees of cryptocurrencies

Cryptocurrencies

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

Knapsack solvers Dependency knapsack solvers

Greedy approximation $(1 + \varepsilon)$

approximation Incremental greedy approximation

Implementation

Results

• Ancestor(D) = {D, B, A} and Ratio(D) =
$$\frac{140}{19} \approx 7.36$$
.

- Ancestor(B) = {B, A} and Ratio(B) = $\frac{70}{10}$ = 7.
- Ancestor(C) = {C, A} and Ratio(C) = $\frac{75}{11} \approx 6.81$.
- Ancestor(A) = {A} and Ratio(A) = $\frac{40}{5} \approx 6.66$.
- The greedy approximation will eliminate *Ancestor(D)* because the size of the set is 19 and the knapsack size is 11.
- The algorithm will then add Ancestor(B) to the solution and remove $\{B, A\}$ from the other sets.
- The algorithm will output {A, B}. Output value is 70.
- Not optimal.

The knapsack and knapsack problems

Greedy approximation

- Similar to the $(1+\varepsilon)$ approximation for the knapsack problem.
- Adjusted to the dependency knapsack problem using Ancestor(·) sets.
- Runtime is $\mathcal{O}(n^{3+\frac{2}{\varepsilon}})$.

Cryptocurrencies

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

Knapsack solvers Dependency knapsack solvers

approximation $(1 + \varepsilon)$

approximation
Incremental greedy

Implementation

Results

Example

- Let $\varepsilon = 0.1$
- $a = \varepsilon \cdot greedySol = 7$

•

$$V_a^C = \{a_i \mid f_i \ge a\} = \{A, B, C, D\}$$

- Therefore we will check all $J \subseteq V_a^C$ such that $|J| \le \frac{2}{\varepsilon} = 20$, in particular $\{A, C\}$.
- In this example the algorithm outputs the optimal solution.

Increasing profit from fees of cryptocurrencies

Cryptocurrencies

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

Knapsack solvers Dependency knapsack solvers Greedy

 $(1+\varepsilon)$ approximation

Implementation

Results

The knapsack and knapsack problems

Incremental greedy

approximation

- Suppose at time t we have the solution of the dependency knapsack problem.
- Now suppose that at time t+1 some transactions were added to the mempool but no transactions were removed.
- Suppose also that the added transactions may be dependent on transactions from time t but not vice verse.

Can we solve the dependency knapsack at time t+1 using the solution at time t and reduce the runtime?

An incremental solution to the greedy approximation

Goal:

Improve the running time.

- Ancestor(v) remains identical for all the transactions which were also at time t.
- The solution value can't improve the solution value of the greedy approximation.
- In most cases we got the same solution value.

Increasing profit from fees of cryptocurrencies

Cryptocurrencies

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

Knapsack solvers
Dependency knapsac
solvers
Incremental greedy
approximation

Implementation

Results

Algorithm

- **1** Calculate Ancestor(v) for all transactions v.
- 2 Denote by

$$\alpha = \max_{v} \frac{\sum_{j \in Ancestor(v)} f(j)}{\sum_{j \in Ancestor(v)} s(j)}$$

the maximal ratio of fee over size of the transactions that weren't in the previous solution.

3 Add to the solution all the $Ancestor(\cdot)$ sets from the previous solution with a ratio bigger than α and remove them from the mempool. Use the greedy approximation algorithm on the transactions left on the mempool with the new size of the block.

Increasing profit from fees of cryptocurrencies

Cryptocurrencies

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

Dependency knapsac solvers Incremental greedy approximation

Implementation

Results

Solutions

Implementation

Results

- ① Cryptocurrencies
- The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems
- Solutions
- 4 Implementation
- 6 Results
- 6 Conclusions

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

Implementation

Results

- We implemented the algorithms using Python.
- Graphs aren't build in, hence we used the networkx package.
- Everything can be found in our github.
- Caching was disabled through out the tests.

Solutions

Implem

Results

The knapsack problem The dependency knapsack probler Real data

Conclusions

① Cryptocurrencies

2 The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

3 Solutions

4 Implementation

6 Results

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

Impleme

Results The knapsack

problem The dependency knapsack problem Real data

he incrementa olution

Conclucione

- Exhaustive search and dynamic programming are infeasible
 - 1 For n = 20 the exhaustive search runs on average 8 sec.
 - 2 Dynamic programming:
 - If n = 1000, W = 1000 runs on average 1 sec.
 - If n = 25, W = 1 MB (block size) runs on average 25 sec.

olution

- Picking the right arepsilon for the (1+arepsilon) approximation
 - **1** Right ε means a better solution of the $(1+\varepsilon)$ approximation than the greedy approximation.
 - 2 The right ε is usually very small. This makes $\frac{2}{\varepsilon}$ very big \Rightarrow long runtime (exponential on $\frac{2}{\varepsilon}$).
 - 3 Hence, if $|V_a^C| > 20$ we reduce it to 20 it by moving transactions to V_a .
 - $\P \Rightarrow$ The algorithm isn't a $(1+\varepsilon)$ approx.
 - We studied different ways to pick which transactions to leave in V_a^C.

problem
The dependency knapsack problem

rear data The incremen olution

Conclusions

- How do dependencies influence the runtime of the algorithms?
- The greedy approximation for the knapsack runs $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot \log n)$.
- The greedy approximation for the dependency knapsack runs $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$.

Experiment

For each n=1,11,21,...,141 we generated each time n random transactions a_i such that $1 \leq s_i, f_i \leq 100$. W=10000. The dependencies are also generated randomly such that there are no circular dependencies. The result's data points are calculated as the average of 10 runs.

Figure: Runtime in seconds as a function of n

Number of transactions

Increasing profit from fees of cryptocurrencies

Cryptocurrencies

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

Implementation

Results

The dependency knapsack problem

Real data Fhe incremental colution

- The data was sampled using the Bitcoin API.
- Every day, the first sample t = 1 returns the whole mempool.
- Other samples t > 1 through out the day return two sections:
 - An added section that contains the transactions that are added in the mempool after the last sample at t-1.
 - The added transactions can be dependent upon transactions that were in the mempool at time t-1 but not vice verse.
 - A removed section that contains the transactions that are removed from the mempool after the last sample at t-1.
 - The removed section is usually empty.

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

Implem

Results

The knapsack problem The dependency knapsack problem Real data The incremental



The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

....p.c....c

Results

The knapsack

The dependency knapsack problem Real data

he increment

. . .

- For $\varepsilon > 0.03$ the two approximations yield the same solution almost every day because $V_a^C = \emptyset$.
- We explore the greedy approximation and $(1 + \varepsilon)$ approximation for $\varepsilon = 0.03$ through out different days.
- The data was sampled at different days at time sample t=1.
- Furthermore, if $|V_a^C| > 10$ it is reduced to 10 using the fee criterion.

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problem

Solution

Implementation

Results

problem
The depende

Real data
The incrementa




Figure: n at t = 1 per day

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solution

Implementation

Results

problem
The dependent
knapsack prob

Real data
The incrementa




Figure: $|V_a^C|$ per day when $\varepsilon=0.03$

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solution

Implementation

Results

problem
The dependence

Real data
The incremental




Figure: Runtime in seconds per day



The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solution

Implementation

Results

problem
The dependency knapsack problem

Real data
The incrementa




Figure: The solution value per day

 The real data provides the constraints needed in order to use the incremental solution, since most of the time there are no removed transactions but only added ones.

Experiment

This data was sampled on 28/08/2017.

Increasing profit from fees of cryptocurrencies

Cryptocurrencies

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

Impleme

Results

The knapsack problem The dependency knapsack problem Real data

The incremen[.] solution

Real Data

.....




Figure: Runtime in seconds as a function of t

Real Data




Figure: Solution value as a function of t

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

mplementation

Results

The knapsack problem The dependency knapsack problem

he increment olution

Real Data

Solutions

Implementation

Results

Conclusions

① Cryptocurrencies

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

4 Implementation

6 Results

The knapsack and dependency knapsack problems

Solutions

Implementation

Results

- The exhaustive search algorithm and the dynamic programming algorithms are not feasible for big n and big W.
- The dependency knapsack problem is harder to solve than the knapsack problem as we saw.

Solutions

Implementation

lesults

- The $(1 + \varepsilon)$ approximation is not feasible for the dependency knapsack problem.
- Reason: the greedy approximation value \gg fees of transactions $\Rightarrow \varepsilon \ll 1$ which means that $\frac{2}{\varepsilon}$ is big
- ⇒ The algorithm becomes infesiable in terms of time and memory.
- On real data, the incremental solution algorithm gives the same results as the greedy approximation algorithm but it is faster most of the time.