

South Texas Project Electric Generating Station P.O. Box 289 Wadsworth, Texas 77483

October 5, 2000 NOC-AE-00000936 File No.: G26 10CFR50.73 STI: 31176451

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attention: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555-0001

South Texas Project
Unit 2
Docket No. STN 50-499
Licensee Event Report 00-004
Circuit Breaker Trip

Pursuant to 10CFR50.73, South Texas Project submits the attached Unit 2 Licensee Event Report 00-004 regarding a circuit breaker found to have been inoperable longer than the Technical Specification allowed time. This event did not have an adverse effect on the health and safety of the public.

Licensee commitments are listed in the Corrective Action section of the attachment. If there are any questions on this submittal, please contact either Mr. S. M. Head at (361) 972-7136 or me at (361) 972-7800.

G. L. Parkey

Plant General Manager

kaw

Attachment: LER 00-004 (South Texas, Unit 2)

TEDD

NOC-AE-00000936 File No.: G26

Page 2

cc:

Ellis W. Merschoff Regional Administrator, Region IV U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400 Arlington, Texas 76011-8064

John A. Nakoski
Addressee Only
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Project Manager, Mail Stop OWFN/7-D-1
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Tae (T. J.) Kim Addressee Only U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Project Manager, Mail Stop OWFN/7-D-1 Washington, DC 20555

Cornelius F. O'Keefe c/o U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P. O. Box 910 Bay City, TX 77404-0910

A. H. Gutterman, Esquire Morgan, Lewis & Bockius 1800 M. Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5869

M. T. Hardt/W. C. Gunst City Public Service P. O. Box 1771 San Antonio, TX 78296

A. Ramirez/C. M. Canady City of Austin Electric Utility Department 721 Barton Springs Road Austin, TX 78704 Jon C. Wood Matthews & Branscomb 112 East Pecan, Suite 1100 San Antonio, Texas 78205-3692

Institute of Nuclear Power Operations - Records Center 700 Galleria Parkway Atlanta, GA 30339-5957

Richard A. Ratliff Bureau of Radiation Control Texas Department of Health 1100 West 49th Street Austin, TX 78756-3189

D. G. Tees/R. L. Balcom Houston Lighting & Power Co. P. O. Box 1700 Houston, TX 77251

C, A, Johnson/R. P. Powers AEP - Central Power and Light Company P. O. Box 289, Mail Code: N5012 Wadsworth, TX 77483

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attention: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

NRC FOR	M 366		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION						APP	ROVED BY	OMB NO. 3150-0	104	EXPI	RES 06/30/2001	
(6-1998)			SEE EVE (See reverse for digits/characte	required num	ber of	LER)			reque and Reco Wush Offici collect	est: 50 hrs. Reported back to industrial Management ungton, DC 2055 e of Management discussion does not discussion does not discussion di discussion discussion discu	response to comply ried lessons learned ustry. Forward cont it Branch (T-6 F33 55-0001, and to the int and Budget, Wa splay a currently valued a person is not re	are incorpora- nments regar), U.S. Nucl Paperwork Re ashington, Do id OMB cont	ated into the ding burde ear Regula eduction Pro 20503. rol number.	e licensing process in estimate to the tory Commission, bject (3150-0104), If an information the NRC may not	
FACILITY NAME (1) South Texas Unit 2							DOCKET NUMBER (2)				PAGE (3)				
								05000 499				1 of 5			
TITLE (4)											_				
Circuit	Brea	ker Trip													
EVENT DATE (5) LER NUMBER (6) REPORT DATE (7)						ATE (7)	OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)					(8)			
	DAY	YEAR		EQUENTIAL R	EVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEA	R	FACILITY N			DOCKET NUMBER 05000		
08	29	2000	2000 0	0 4	00	10	05	200	0	FACILITY N	LITY NAME		DOCKET NUMBER 05000		
OPERAT MODE	1000		THI 20.2201(b)		SUBM	20.2203(a)(то тн	E RE	X 50.73(a)	TS OF 10 CFR §	: (Check or) (11))(2)(viii)	
POWER		-	20.2203(a)(1) 20.2203(a)(2)(i)			20.2203(a)(3)(i)			50.73(a)(2)(ii) 50.73(a)(2)(iii)			50.73(a)(2)(x)			
	POWER LEVEL (10) 100%						20.2203(a)(3)(ii)					73.71			
Minkston.	i h	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20.2203(a)(2)(ii)			20.2203(a)(4)				50.73(a)(2)(iv)			OTHER		
		306	20.2203(a)(2)(iii)			50.36(c)(1)				50.73(a)(2)(v)		Sp	Specify in Abstract below or in		
	3		20.2203(a)	(2)(iv)		50.36(c)(2)	Ÿ-			50.73(a)((2)(vii)	73.71 OTHER Specify in Ab NRC Form 36	6A		
					LICE	NSEE CONT	ACTE	OR THI	SLE	R (12)					
NAME										TELEPHONI	E NUMBER (Inc.	lude Area C	(ode)		
Scott H	lead -	- Licensi	ng Supervis	sor							(361	972-71	36		
			COMPLETE	ONE LINE F	OR EA	СН СОМРО	NENT I	FAILUR	E DI	ESCRIBED IN	THIS REPORT	Γ (13)			
CAUSE S		SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTU	RER	REPORTABLI TO EPIX	E	CAU	SE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFA	CTURER	REPORTABLE TO EPIX	
							€() (E)								
YES SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)							ve -	EXPECTED MON SUBMISSION			MONTH	DAY	YEAR		

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)

(If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).

On August 29, 2000, at 0038 hours (CDT), Unit 2 was at 100% power when it experienced a loss of power to one train due to tripping of the feeder breaker for a Class 1E 480V motor control center. The incident occurred while restoring the associated standby diesel generator to service, causing the circuit breaker to trip due to an apparent overload condition. The circuit breaker was found to have an incorrect current transformer installed, and a new breaker with the correct current transformer was installed by 1737 hours on August 29, 2000. The failed breaker had been in operation since the last Unit 2 refueling outage in October 1999. Equipment powered from the motor control center was determined to have been inoperable since October 23, 1999, because opening of the breaker would have interrupted the ability of the equipment to perform its design function. The duration of the inoperability exceeded the Technical Specification allowed outage time, which is reportable to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i). The root cause for this event was less than adequate work instructions in the work order used to change out the current transformers in 1992. Corrective actions include replacing the current transformer for this circuit breaker, revising the breaker maintenance procedure to perform a primary injection test if the breaker has been overhauled, discussing lessons learned with Electrical planners and Electrical Maintenance personnel, and incorporating the lessons learned in continuing training for Electrical Maintenance personnel.

NRC FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (6-1998) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION FACILITY NAME (1) DOCKET LER NUMBER (6) PAGE (3) YEAR South Texas Unit 2 05000 499 2 of 5 NUMBER 2000 --004 00

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

On August 29, 2000, at 0038 CDT, Unit 2 was at 100% power when it experienced a loss of power to one train due to tripping of a Class 1E 480V motor control center. The incident occurred while restoring the associated standby diesel generator to service, causing the circuit breaker to open on over-current input, de-energizing the loads fed from this motor control center. The circuit breaker trip caused loss of power to motor control center E2C1 that began a 2-hour action statement due to the loss of the energized train "C" battery charger. The trip unit was reset and the breaker re-closed approximately 30 minutes after the trip. The motor control center loads were re-energized one by one with no anomalies encountered. The breaker remained close until approximately 1737 hours on August 29, 2000, when it was replaced with a new breaker with the correct transformer. All systems functioned as designed and there were no adverse effects on the health and safety of the public as a result of this condition. The event was reported to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission at 1213 hours (EDT) on September 6, 2000.

Troubleshooting actions tested the tripped breaker by applying secondary and primary injection to determine the as-found conditions. The secondary injection test found the values to be within tolerance. However, the primary injection values failed to meet tolerances. After further investigation it was found that the current transformers on the back of the breaker were 300 amp instead of 600 amp as indicated on the breaker's nameplate. With the incorrect current transformer installed the circuit breaker's trip setpoint was essentially reduced by half.

The tripped circuit breaker was a Westinghouse DS-206, 800-amp frame, which had been refurbished by Cutler-Hammer on June 30, 1999. The test data sheet returned by the vendor indicated that the circuit breaker contained a 300A current transformer. However, data from the nameplate was not shown on the vendor correspondence that might have identified the discrepancy between 300A and 600A. This circuit breaker was installed in load center E2C cubicle on October 23, 1999. Testing was performed in accordance with STP procedures, which directs the performer to test the breaker by primary injection only if required by a surveillance procedure; otherwise only secondary injection testing is performed. This breaker did not require a primary injection test. When the breaker was tested by secondary injection, as required during installation, the wrong current transformer was not identified.

A historical review was performed to locate the documentation and identify the date that the current transformer was changed on the circuit breaker involved in this event. The review indicated that the circuit breaker was installed in a spare circuit breaker cubicle in 1992. Prior to installing this circuit breaker, the 600A current transformer in the circuit breaker was replaced with a 300A current transformer to match the drawing configuration for the spare cubicle. However, the nameplate was not changed to reflect the new information. The nameplate for this circuit breaker was changed on September 18, 2000.

Some safety related equipment powered from the motor control center was determined to have been inoperable since October 23, 1999, because opening of the breaker would have interrupted the ability of the equipment to perform its design function. The duration of the inoperability exceeded the Technical Specification allowed outage time for some safety systems including:

NRC FORM 366A (6-1998) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION FACILITY NAME (1) DOCKET LER NUMBER (6) PAGE (3) South Texas Unit 2 05000 499 PEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER NUMBER NUMBER 3 of 5

2000 ---

004

00

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

- 1 of 3 trains of Essential Chilled Water (TS 3/4.7.14): inoperable essential chilled waster pump 21C
- 1 of 3 trains of Control Room Envelope HVAC (TS 3/4.7.7)-inoperable makeup fan 21C
- 1 of 3 trains Standby Diesel Generators (TS 3/4.8.1)-inoperable emergency supply fan 21C (support system for SDG 23)

This condition is reportable to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i).

CAUSE OF EVENT

The root cause for this event was less than adequate work instructions in the work order used to change out the current transformers in 1992. When the current transformer was replaced in the circuit breaker, the nameplate on the circuit breaker was not updated to reflect the correct information.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

The following corrective actions have been or will be taken:

- 1. The correct current transformer was installed for this circuit breaker on August 30, 2000.
- The breaker maintenance procedure will be revised to perform a primary injection test if the breaker has been overhauled. This action will be competed by December 15, 2000.
- Electrical Maintenance will incorporate lessons learned from this event in their continuing training. This
 will be completed by December 15, 2000.
- Lessons learned from this event will be discussed with the Electrical planners and Electrical Maintenance personnel. This action will be completed by October 11, 2000.

ANALYSIS OF EVENT

When the circuit breaker trip caused a loss of power to motor control center E2C1, a chemical and volume control system letdown isolation occurred due to loss of power to valve FV-0011. This required intervention by control room personnel to reestablish letdown. There were no adverse consequences as a result of this event and there is no safety significance to this event. This event did not involve any personnel injury, radiation exposure, offsite dose release, or damage to equipment important to safety.

The South Texas Project Probabilistic Risk Assessment model was used to evaluate the effects of this event. Several cases were modeled to calculate the core damage frequency value associated with the failure of the equipment. The overall risk to public from this event, as determined by changes in core damage frequency, is less than 1 x 10⁻⁰⁶ per year. Failure of the feeder breaker to MCC E2C1 at a current less than the design current affects the equipment powered from MCC E2C1. In the South Texas Project PRA, the major equipment supplied by this MCC are:

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET		PAGE (3)			
South Texas Unit 2	05000 499	YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER			4 of 5	
		2000				

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

Standby Diesel Generator 23 Emergency Supply Fan

Essential Chilled Water Train C Pump

EAB Train C Main Area Return Fan

AFW Train C Flow Control and Containment Isolation MOVs

One of two RHR Train B and Train C Suction Isolation MOVs

Steam Generator C PORV

Centrifugal Charging Pump A Room Cooling Fans

One of two Class 1E DC Train C Battery Chargers

When all active loads are assumed to fail with no recovery, core damage frequency increases to $2.6 \times 10^{-0.5}$ per year (an increase of $1.9 \times 10^{-0.5}$ per year over the actual plant core damage frequency monitored by the STP computer program RASCAL.

Recovery of electrical auxiliary building HVAC return fan is substantiated by the following postulations:

- Loss of the EAB HVAC system has no effect on plant operation for at least twenty-four hours after loss if the abnormal procedure is followed.
- After twelve hours, operator action to reset, repair or replace the MCC feeder breaker will allow the EAB HVAC return fan to operate normally.

Recovery of residual heat removal and auxiliary feedwater is substantiated by the following postulations:

- The RHR system is not required for several hours following the SGTR and requires successful auxiliary feedwater system operation and successful operator response.
- The delay in the need for RHR allows time for the operators to successfully recover from failure of the MCC feeder breaker prior to RHR initiation.
- If the feeder breaker has not tripped prior to the need for RHR, the MOV stroke time is very much less than the long-time over-current setpoint.
- Because of the short stroke times, the AFW OCIV, MOV-0085, and the flow control valve FV-7523 will be open prior to failure of the MCC feeder breaker.
- Operator action to control AFW flow to the 2C steam generator locally at the valve or by cycling the AFW pump will mitigate the loss of power to the flow control valve if the feeder breaker trips after 400-600 seconds.

Recovery of standby diesel generator emergency ventilation is substantiated by the following postulations:

 The standby diesel generator will operate normally in response to a loss of offsite power initiating event or post trip loss of offsite power condition.

Recovery of essential chilled water is substantiated by the following postulations:

- The primary loads, EAB and control room HVAC, are backed up by smoke purge operation.
- Loss of these systems requires at least 24 hours to affect equipment response.
- The safety injection pump room coolers also require essential chilled water.
- Operator action to start or restart the Train C essential chilled water pump will restore cooling to these pump rooms prior to equipment failure.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET		PAGE (3)		
South Texas Unit 2	05000 499	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	5 of 5
		2000 - 004 - 00			

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

Recovery of the train C steam generator power operated relief valve is substantiated by the following postulations:

- A SG PORV is capable of one and one-half strokes using the stored energy in the attached accumulator.
- Procedure guidance on PORV operation with no power to the hydraulic pump motor is provided in the plant emergency procedures and is included in operator training.
- The core damage sequences which include failure of the PORV on SG C are primarily a result of a steam generator tube rupture or a small LOCA initiating event. For both initiators, all remaining SG PORVs are also failed.
- The PRA currently does not include operator action to recover from SG PORV failure because the frequency of core damage from these sequences less than 1 x 10⁻⁰⁷ per year.
- Operator action to restore power to the motor-control center will restore power to the SG PORV
 hydraulic motor and thus normal operation to the SG PORV. Operator action consists of limiting the
 number of strokes, and restoring power to the hydraulic motor. While this action is being
 implemented, any SG safety valve can provide decay heat removal

Because of the three train plant design at STP, the active planned maintenance program controls, and the type of equipment failures induced by the premature opening of the MCC feeder breaker, the overall change in core damage frequency, is less than 1×10^{-06} per year.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

There are approximately 200 Westinghouse "DS" circuit breakers on site in safety and non-safety related applications. All of these circuit breakers were originally bought under a safety-related purchase order and are interchangeable. The historical review found that several breakers were swapped and modified during start-up under the Configuration Control Package program. In most instances the Configuration Control Program instructions did not include the correction of the nameplate on the breaker. There was one other circuit breaker found where the 600A current transformer was replaced with a 300 A current transformer, but the nameplate was not changed. The generic implications of this event are bounded to all 480-volt load centers on site. Documentation associated with the Westinghouse DS circuit breakers was reviewed to verify that this condition does not exist elsewhere.

An additional action is being done as an added step to ensure the correct current transformer is installed in circuit breakers. A step will be added to the overhaul preventive maintenance work instructions to ensure that primary injection tests are performed prior to circuit breakers that have been overhauled.