

South Texas Project Electric Generating Station P.O. Box 289 Wadsworth, Texas 77483

July 7, 2011

NOC-AE-11002693

File No.: G25 10 CFR 50.73 STI: 32893768

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attention: Document Control Desk One White Flint North 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738

South Texas Project
Unit 2
Docket No. STN 50-499
Revision 2 of Licensee Event Report 2010-005
Startup Feed Pump 24 Breaker Failure and Unit 2 Reactor Trip

References:

1. Letter dated January 3, 2011, from L. W. Peter, STPNOC, to NRC Document Control Desk, "Licensee Event Report 2010-005 Startup Feed Pump 24 Breaker Failure and Unit 2 Reactor Trip," (NOC-AE-10002630) (ML110070064)

2. Letter dated April 4, 2011, from L. W. Peter, STPNOC, to NRC Document Control Desk, "Revision 1 of Licensee Event Report 2010-005 Startup Feed Pump 24 Breaker Failure and Unit 2 Reactor Trip," (NOC-AE-10002659) (ML111010019)

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73, STP Nuclear Operating Company (STPNOC) submits the attached Unit 2 Licensee Event Report (LER) 2010-005 Revision 2 to address the Unit 2 Reactor trip that occurred on November 3, 2010.

This condition is considered reportable under 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A), any event or condition that resulted in manual or automatic actuation of any of the systems listed in paragraph (a)(2)(iv)(B) of this section.

This event did not have an adverse effect on the health and safety of the public.

The attached LER is the planned LER supplement described in Ref. 2 above including a correction to the reporting criteria where criterion 20.2203(a)(3)(i) was inadvertently checked. This LER supplement provides the results of the respective Root Cause Evaluation and revises the appropriate reporting criteria accordingly.

There are no commitments contained in this LER.

If there are any questions on this submittal, please contact either J. A. Loya at (361) 972-8005 or me at (361) 972-7158.

L. W. Peter

Plant General Manager

JAL

Attachment: Revision 2 of Licensee Event Report 2010-005

IEZZ

cc: (paper copy)

Regional Administrator, Region IV U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 612 East Lamar Blvd, Suite 400 Arlington, Texas 76011-4125

Balwant K. Singal Senior Project Manager U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission One White Flint North (MS 8 B1) 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852

Senior Resident Inspector
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P. O. Box 289, Mail Code: MN116
Wadsworth, TX 77483

C. M. Canady City of Austin Electric Utility Department 721 Barton Springs Road Austin, TX 78704 (electronic copy)

A. H. Gutterman, Esquire Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

Balwant K. Singal U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

John Ragan Catherine Callaway Jim von Suskil NRG South Texas LP

Ed Alarcon Kevin Pollo Richard Pena City Public Service

Peter Nemeth Crain Caton & James, P.C.

C. Mele City of Austin

Richard A. Ratliff Texas Department of State Health Services

Alice Rogers Texas Department of State Health Services

NRC FOF (10-2010)	C FORM 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION 2010)						APPROVED BY OMB: NO, 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 10/31/2013 Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 80 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden						
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)							estimate to the FOIA/Privacy Section (T-5 F53). U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington. DC 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to						
` <i>'</i>						and Re Budget	Infecollects.resourcefore.gov. and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs. NEOB-10202. (3150-1104), Office of Management and Budget. Washington. DC 20503. If a means used to impose an information						
(See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block)							collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to the information collection.						
1. FACIL So		E exas Unit	2			_	2. DO	CKET NUME	BER		3. PAGE		
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						0500049	<del>)</del> 9		1 OF 5	i	
4. TITLE			Startı	ıp Feed Pu	ımp 24 B	reaker F	-ailure	and Ur	nit 2 Reacto	or Trip			
5. EVE	NT DATE	Ξ	6. LER N	NUMBER		7. REPO	ORT DAT	ΓE	8. OTHER FAC	CILITIES INVO	OLVED		
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIA NUMBER	AL REV NO.	MONTH	DAY YEAR		FACILITY NAME		DOCKET NUMBER		
						<u> </u>			N/A		N/A		
11	03	2010	2010	005	2	07	07	2011	N/A		N/A		
9. OPER	ATING M	ODE	11. THIS	REPORT IS SUE	3MITTED PUF	RSUANT TO	THE RE	QUIREMEN	NTS OF 10CFR§:	(Check all tha	t apply)		
	1		20.2201(b) 20.22			2203(a)(3)(i)		50.73(a)(2)(i)(C) 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A)		50.73(a)(2)(vii)			
			202201 (0)202201		2203(a)(3)(ii)		50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)						
		•	1= -			2203(a)(4)	50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B)			50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)			
10. POW	ER LEVE	L		3(a)(2)(i)	36(c)(1)(i)(A)	☐ 50.73(a)(2)(iii)			50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A)				
	100%	6	=	13(a)(2)(ii) 13(a)(2)(iii)	_	36(c)(1)(li)(A)		=	1.73(a)(2)(iv)(A)	50.73(a)(2)(x)			
						36(c)(2) 46(a(3)(ii)				73.71(a)(4) 73.71(a)(5)			
			20.220			73(a)(2)(i)(A)			1.73(a)(2)(v)(C)		OTHER		
			20.220		73(a)(2)(i)(B)			1.73(a)(2)(v)(D)	Specify in Abstract below Or in NRC Form 366A				
	,		<u> </u>	12	LICENSEE C	CONTACT F	OR THIS	LER					
FACILITY				- RAM - I - I - I - I - I - I - I - I - I -				<del></del>			MBER (Include Ar	rea Code)	
Joe Lo	ya, Lic	censing Er		E LIVE FOR EA	CU COMPON	CAT CAR II	25 DE6	יאו מייים ואוי		361-972-8	<del>)</del> 05		
CAUSE	SYSTE			MANÚ-	REPORTABL					MANU-	REPORTA	BLE TO EPIX	
	-	-		FACTURER	EPIX			14.4 - 414	<u> </u>	FACTURER	-		
X	SJ		PI EMENTA	GE L RESPONSE EX	Y		-	15. EXPEC	TEN	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	
├ YES	(if yes, c			SUBMISSION DA		₹. NO	SUBMISSION DATE					TEAN	
·	• •	•					<b>_</b>	DAIL		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) On November 3, 2010, the Startup Feed Pump (SUFP) 24 was being started to support a scheduled Partial Discharge													
Analysis as a preventive maintenance activity. At 1021 hours the SUFP was started but then after approximately 4 seconds the pump breaker tripped open. Computer data shows that approximately 8 seconds after the pump breaker tripped the													
voltage on Standby Bus 2H (which supplies power to the SUFP) spiked low to near zero volts and the Unit 2 reactor tripped on													
Reactor Coolant Pump (RCP) Undervoltage (the 2C RCP is also powered by Standby Bus 2H). Standby Diesel Generator 23 started and began supplying Engineered Safety Features (ESF) Bus E2C due to Loss of Offsite Power to the ESF bus.													
Standby Bus 2F experienced a momentary voltage drop of approximately 1 second (Standby Buses 2F and 2H are both fed by													
the X winding of the Unit 2 Auxiliary Transformer) resulting in some A train loads being secured. Following the reactor trip, the plant was stabilized in MODE 3 at Normal Operating Pressure and Temperature.													
				ŕ	_			•					
									cle explosion sult in a fire.				
				ne plant was				iu not 165	un ma me.	THE Unusu	di Everit ve	15	
i													
1													
There v	vere no	personne	l injuries	, no offsite ra	ıdiological	releases,	, and n	o damag	e to safety-re	ated equip	oment.		
This LE	ER is th	ne planned	LER sup	plement des	cribed in F	Revision 1	l includ	ding a cor	e to safety-re rrection to the vides the resi	e reporting	criteria whe		

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LE	6. LER NUMBER			
Cardh Tarra Hair O	05000499	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV. NO	2 OF 5	
South Texas Unit 2		2010	005	02		

## I. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

#### A. REPORTABLE EVENT CLASSIFICATION

This event is reportable pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A), any event or condition that resulted in manual or automatic actuation of any of the systems listed in paragraph (a)(2)(iv)(B) of this section.

#### B. PLANT OPERATING CONDITIONS PRIOR TO EVENT

South Texas Project (STP) Unit 2 was in Mode 1 at 100% power.

# C. STATUS OF STRUCTURES, SYSTEMS, AND COMPONENTS THAT WERE INOPERABLE AT THE START OF THE EVENT AND THAT CONTRIBUTED TO THE EVENT

No other structures, systems, or components were inoperable at the start of the event that contributed to the event.

#### D. NARRATIVE SUMMARY OF THE EVENT

On November 3, 2010, the Startup Feed Pump (SUFP) 24 was being started to support a scheduled Partial Discharge Analysis as a preventive maintenance activity. Following the pre-job brief, a Licensed Operator Training (LOT) Trainee made a plant announcement and then placed the hand switch to Start at 1021 hours. The SUFP started but then after approximately 4 seconds the pump breaker tripped open. Computer data shows that approximately 8 seconds after the pump breaker tripped the voltage on Standby Bus 2H (which supplies power to the SUFP) spiked low to near zero volts and the Unit 2 reactor tripped on Reactor Coolant Pump (RCP) Undervoltage (the 2C RCP is also powered by Standby Bus 2H). Standby Diesel Generator (SDG) 23 started and began supplying Engineered Safety Features (ESF) Bus E2C due to Loss of Offsite Power (LOOP) to the ESF bus. Standby Bus 2F experienced a momentary voltage drop of approximately 1 second duration (Standby Buses 2F and 2H are both fed by the X winding of the Unit 2 Auxiliary Transformer) resulting in some A train loads being secured, however the low voltage condition cleared on Bus 2F prior to the point at which associated time delay relays would have started Standby Diesel Generator 21 (ESF Train A). Following the reactor trip, the plant was stabilized in MODE 3 at Normal Operating Pressure and Temperature.

At 1038 hours an Unusual Event was declared for Unit 2 due to the breaker cubicle explosion associated with the SUFP breaker failure (ENS Event Number 46387). The breaker malfunction did not result in a fire. The Unusual Event was terminated at 1240 hours when the plant was stabilized in MODE 3.

An Operator in the Turbine Generator Building (TGB) reported substantial damage had occurred to the SUFP Breaker. The front door and access panels for Cubicle 1A in 13.8 KV Standby Bus 2H had been blown open or deformed.

#### LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

CONTINUATION SHEET

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LE	3. PAGE		
Could Tours Heit C	05000499	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV. NO	3 OF 5
South Texas Unit 2		2010	005	02	

All protective relay's red flags were actuated on the SUFP motor breaker cubicle except for the lower unit of the 46 current balance relay. Most of the relay flags were probably caused by shock/vibration from the breaker explosion except for the 86 Lockout Relay, which requires rotary motion to actuate. It is unclear whether the 86 Lockout Relay was actuated by shock/vibration causing one of the associated protective relays (50/51, 51G, 87, 46) to momentarily close an output contact resulting in the electrical actuation of the 86 relay or whether the 86 relay was actuated when one or more of the protective relays was actuated by a valid signal.

The three arc chute assemblies (one per phase) showed signs of damage and were dark with black soot. The three blow-out coil return straps showed substantial damage. These straps are approximately 1 inch wide by 1/8 inch thick. When a breaker trips and the contacts open to interrupt the current, the resulting arcs from the arcing contacts transfer to the blow-out coil assembly, which includes the blow-out coil return straps. The coils produce a magnetic field which helps to push the arcs into the arc chutes where the arcs are dissipated and cooled. The three return straps had each been melted through which indicates multiple arcs had existed across the breaker contacts during the event.

#### E. METHOD OF DISCOVERY

The breaker failure, reactor trip, and automatic actuation of the systems listed below were self-revealing.

### II. EVENT-DRIVEN INFORMATION

#### Α. SAFETY SYSTEMS THAT RESPONDED

All required safety systems responded as expected including the following actuations:

- 1. Reactor Coolant Pump Undervoltage Reactor Trip
- 2. Reactor Protection System P-16, Turbine Trip
- 3. Feedwater Isolation Actuation
- 4. CRE HVAC Emergency Recirculation (C Train LOOP)
- 5. Reactor Containment Fan Coolers (C Train LOOP)
- 6. Auxiliary Feedwater Actuation (All AFW pumps actuated)
- 7. Primary Pressure Control (Pressurizer Spray and Heaters actuated as required)
- 8. Secondary Pressure Control Actuation (Steam Dumps Actuated)

#### B. **DURATION OF SAFETY SYSTEM INOPERABILITY**

N/A

#### NRC FORM 366A LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION **CONTINUATION SHEET** 6. LER NUMBER 1. FACILITY NAME 2. DOCKET 3. PAGE YEAR SEQUENTIAL BEV NO NUMBER 4 OF 5 05000499 South Texas Unit 2 2010 005 02

## C. SAFETY CONSEQUENCES AND IMPLICATIONS OF THE EVENT

There was no impact to radiological safety, safety of the public, or safety of station personnel during this event.

The Incremental Conditional Core Damage Probability (ICCDP) for the Reactor Trip in Unit 2 on November 3, 2010 is 2.82E-07. The resulting Incremental Conditional Large Early Release Probability (LERP) given a turbine trip is 7.21E-09.

## III. CAUSE OF THE EVENT

The root cause of the breaker failure in 13.8 KV Standby Switchgear 2H / Cubicle 1A (SUFP 24) and the resulting Unit 2 reactor trip is that the arc failed to extinguish when the breaker opened due to moisture induced contamination shorting out the arc runner spacer between the first and second blow out coils in the upper arc chute assembly.

This caused the arc current to bypass the second coil rather than running through the coil so a magnetic field was not created in the second coil that would have driven the arc further into the arc chute.

This caused damage to the arc chutes and caused plasma (i.e., ionized air) in the cubicle. Eventually the plasma caused a conduction path in the cubicle for the three phases to ground.

The grounding of the three phases caused the voltage on the associated buses to drop to zero and resulted in an explosion (pressure wave) that caused physical damage to the cubicle. The voltage drop caused the Unit 2 reactor to trip on Reactor Coolant Pump (RCP) undervoltage.

## IV. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

- 1. Revise Preventive Maintenance (PMs) for the 13.8 KV and 4160 V breakers to:
  - (1) Include AC Hi-Pot testing of the whole breaker including arc chutes;
  - (2) Include resistance measurements of the whole arc path through the arc runners and blow out coils [Digital Low Resistance Ohm (DLRO) or ductor testing];
  - (3) Specify that velocity testing of the breaker opening is preferred over the currently performed speed testing.
  - (4) Perform "as found" velocity or speed testing at the beginning of the PMs rather than just performing the tests at the end of the PMs.
- 2. Revise procedures 0PMP05-NA-0001, 0PMP05-NA-0005, and 0PMP05-NA-0009 to include the following:
  - (1) Address and match the changes made to the 13.8 KV and 4160 V PMs (as discussed above);
  - (2) Ensure that the DLRO/ductor testing includes the whole arc path through the arc runners and blow out coils [currently just checks resistance of breaker contacts];

NRC FORM 366A	LICENSEE EVENT I CONTINUATION		( <b>R</b> ) U.S. N	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION			
1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LEF	3. PAGE				
South Texas Unit 2	05000499	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV. NO	5 OF 5		
South Texas Onit 2	05000499	2010	005	02			

- (3) Address possible misassembly of arc chutes as discussed in the root cause report.
- 3. Evaluate the adequacy of the cubicle heaters in the 2H/1A cubicles (SUFPs 14 and 24.

# V. PREVIOUS SIMILAR EVENTS

There have been no similar events within the last three years.

VI. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

None.