

David H. Oatley Vice President-Diablo Canyon Operations and Plant Manager Diablo Canyon Power Plant P.O. Box 56 Avila Beach, CA 93424

805.545.6000

June 30, 2000

PG&E Letter DCL-00-097

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555-0001

Docket No. 50-275, OL-DPR-80
Docket No. 50-323, OL-DPR-82
Diablo Canyon Units 1 and 2
<u>Licensee Event Report 1-2000-006-00</u>
Technical Specification 3.4.9.1 Not Met due to an Inadequate Procedure

Dear Commissioners and Staff:

PG&E is submitting the enclosed licensee event report regarding Technical Specification 3.4.9.1, "Reactor Coolant System Pressure/Temperature Limits," not being met due to an inadequate procedure.

This event was not risk significant and did not adversely affect the health and safety of the public.

Sincerely,

R.J. Walter for David H. Oatley

cc: Steven D. Bloom Ellis W. Merschoff David L. Proulx Diablo Distribution INPO

Enclosure

ZTR/2246/A0509122

IE22

						LICE	ENS	E	E E'	VEN	NT RE	POR	r (LE	ER)					
FAC	LITY NA	ME (1)		-							-		DOCKET	NUMBER (2	2)			PAGE (3)	
1	Diabl	o Car	yon L	Jnit 1								0 5	0 0	0 2	2 7	5	1	OF	5
TITL				COVE														L	
	Tech	nical	Specif	ficatio	n 3.	4.9.1	No	t M	et d	ue t	o an Ir	adequ	ate P	rocedu	ure				
	EVEN			LER	NUME	BER (6)				REPO				OTHER F	ACILITIES	INVOLVE	ED (8)		
МО	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUE	VTIAL	NUMBER		ISION MBER	МО	DAY	YEAR		FACILIT	YNAME			DOCK	T NUMBE	R
05	26	2000	2000	- 0	0	6 -	0	0	06	30	2000	Diablo	Car	yon U	nit 2	0 5	0 (	0 3	2 3
	RATING DE (9)	THE	REPORT	SSUBMIT	EDP	URSUANT	TOTH	E REC	UIREM	ENTS (	OF 10 CFR: (	11)		7					
	3			X	1	0 CFF	?	5	0.73	3(A)	(2)(i)(B	)							
	WER EL (10)				O	THE	₹ _												
	0 0	5					-	(SP	ECIFY	IN AB	STRACT B	ELOW AND	IN TEXT	r, NRC FO	RM 366/	4)			
	3 1 1 1	41					-1	ICEN	SEE C	ONTA	CT FOR TH	IS LER (12)		,					
																	CODE	ONE NUM	BER
F	Roge	r Rus	sell -	Senio	R	egula	tory	Se	rvic	es E	ngine	er				1000	05	545	4327
			28110									E DESCRIBE						Lance	
CAUS	SE S	YSTEM	COMPO	DNENT	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	IANUFAC	TURER	210.2	TO E		CAUSE	SYSTEM	CO	MPONENT	M	ANUFACT	URER		RTABLE
			11	1	4									11					
			1 1	TP.	1		Ī							11		1 1	Ĭ		
	- 1	باستان	S	UPPLEMEN	TAL F	REPORT	XPEC	TED (1	4)			1 1		EXPEC	TED		MON	DAY	YR
	T YE	ES (If ye	es, comp		2020				-	DATE	Ξ) [	x] NO	SUBM	ISSION		(15)			
ABS	FRACT	(Limit to 14	00 spaces.	i.e. approx	imatel	v 15 single	a-space	d lype	written I	ines.) (			-					4	

On May 25, 2000, with Unit 1 in Mode 3 (Hot Standby), the Limiting Condition for Operation for Technical Specification 3.4.9.1, "Reactor Coolant System Pressure/Temperature Limits," was not met when operators did not adequately document hourly, compliance with Surveillance Requirement 4.4.9.1 during system heatup.

On May 31, 2000, this condition was discovered by a utility employee performing a quality assessment.

The condition was caused by an inadequate procedure. The procedure was revised February 19, 1999 to allow an alternate means of satisfying the surveillance requirement, but the revision did not adequately stipulate that a licensed operator document hourly that temperatures and pressures were within limits. The surveillance requirement was not met due to inadequate documentation, not an actual violation of temperature/pressure limits. The condition is assumed to have occurred on subsequent heatups and cooldowns on both units.

The procedures will be revised to ensure adequate documentation of compliance with the surveillance requirement during reactor coolant system heatup and cooldown.

FACILITY NAME (1)			DO	CKETI	NUMBI	ER (2)	,		LER NUMBER (6)									PAGE (3)		
									YEAR		SEQUE	NTIAL I	NUMBER			SION IBER				
Diablo Canyon Unit 1	0	5	0	0	0	2	7	5	2000	-	0	0	6	2	0	0	2	OF	5	

TEXT

## Plant Conditions

Units 1 and 2 were in Mode 3 (Hot Standby) at 0 percent power during heatup/cooldown.

## II. Description of Problem

## A. Background

Technical Specification (TS) 3.4.9.1, Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) "Reactor Coolant System Pressure/Temperature Limits," require heatup and cooldown rates to a maximum of 100 degrees F in any 1-hour period.

TS 4.4.9.1, Surveillance Requirements "Reactor Coolant System Pressure/Temperature Limits," states that "The Reactor Coolant System temperature and pressure shall be determined to be within the limits at least once per hour during system heatup ..."

Operating Procedure (OP) L-1, "Plant Heatup from Cold Shutdown to Hot Standby," implements TS 4.4.9,1 Surveillance Requirements during heatup.

OP L-5, "Plant Cooldown from Minimum Load to Cold Shutdown," implements TS 4.4.9.1 Surveillance Requirements during cooldown.

Safety parameter display system (SPDS) provides operators with a graphical display of reactor coolant system (RCS) temperature and pressure as well as the TS limits.

Plant process computer (PPC) [ID] provides an independent calculation of parameters including RCS pressure and temperature in a tabular format.

# B. Event Description

On May 25, 2000, plant operators were performing OP L-1 on Unit 1 in preparation for entering Mode 1. Based on discussions with operations personnel, the operators were continuously monitoring SPDS to verify that RCS [AB] pressure and temperature [IM] were within the limits specified in TS 3.4.9.1. However, the operators did not document their review of the data hourly, as required by TS 4.4.9.1. The operators gathered PPC

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)								LER NUMBER (6)									PAGE (3)		
									YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER					REVISION NUMBER					
Diablo Canyon Unit 1	0	5	0	0	0	2	7	5	2000	9-2	0	0	6	1	0	0	3	OF	5	

TEXT

printouts of the pressure and temperature data two to three times per shift.

Throughout heatup, the RCS pressure and temperature values met the TS limits.

Similar events with lack of hourly documentation of RCS temperature and pressure during heatups and cooldowns occurred on Unit 1 on March 12, 1999 and May 17, 2000; and on Unit 2 on September 26, 1999 and October 25, 1999.

 Inoperable Structures, Components, or Systems that Contributed to the Event

None.

D. Other Systems or Secondary Functions Affected

None.

E. Method of Discovery

A former senior reactor operator (SRO) working in the quality organization performed an assessment of operations unit startup activities.

F. Operator Actions

None.

G. Safety System Responses

None.

# III. Cause of the Problem

A. Immediate Cause

The immediate cause of this event was determined to be an inadequate procedure. Although OP L-1 provided for the documenting of RCS parameters in accordance with the TS surveillance requirements, the

FACILITY NAME (1)			DO	CKETI	NUMBE	ER (2)				LE	RNUME	BER (6)		V			PAGE (3	)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									YEAR	SEQUE	NTIAL	NUMBER		REVI				
Diablo Canyon Unit 1	0	5	0	0	0	2	7	5	2000	0	0	6	7	0	0	4	OF	5

TEXT

documentation was done automatically using the PPC, and the procedure did not require periodic review of this data by the operator.

#### B. Root Cause

The root cause of this event was determined to be a human error on the part of the procedure sponsor who revised OP L-1 on February 19, 1999. The procedure was revised to incorporate an enhancement to make use of computerized logging of RCS temperature, pressure, and heatup rate. While understanding that the purpose of the logging was to meet the requirements of the surveillance, the sponsor overlooked the fact that manual logging of the data was credited as a review of that data, whereas automatic data collection requires review before the intent of the surveillance requirement can be met.

## C. Contributory Cause

None.

# IV. Analysis of the Event

Since the TS pressure and temperature limits were continuously monitored and subsequently verified to have been met by review of the PPC data, there were no actual safety consequences involved in these events.

Thus, the event is not considered risk significant and it did not adversely affect the health and safety of the public.

Also, the condition is not considered a Safety System Functional Failure.

The condition was evaluated using the NRC's Significance Determination Process in accordance with NRC Inspection Manual Chapter 0609, and was screened out as green.

## V. Corrective Actions

### A. Immediate Corrective Actions

None.

FACILITY NAME (1)			DO	CKET	NUMBI	ER (2)			LER NUMBER (6)									PAGE (3)		
7.5									YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER					REVISION NUMBER					
Diablo Canyon Unit 1	0	5	0	0	0	2	7	5	2000	-	0	0	6	-	0	0	5	OF	5	

TEXT

B. Corrective Actions to Prevent Recurrence

OP L-1 and OP L-5 will be revised to ensure adequate documentation of compliance with the surveillance requirement RCS heatup and cooldown.

## VI. Additional Information

A. Failed Components

None.

B. Previous Similar Events

None.

# COMMITMENT TRACKING MEMO (Remove prior to NRC submittal)

Document: PG&E Letter DCL-00-097

Subject: Technical Specification 3.4.9.1 Not Met due to an Inadequate Procedure

File Location:S:/RS/RA/GRP\_WORK/LER/2000/dcl00097.doc

Commitment #1. OP L-1 and OP L-5 will be revised to ensure adequate documentation of compliance with the surveillance requirement during Reactor Coolant System heatup and cooldown.

Clarification: None.

Tracking Document:	AR or NCR A0509112	AE or ACT
Assigned To:	P. Snavely	ORGANIZATION CODE pgof
Commitment Type:	FIRM OR TARGET FIRM	DUE DATE: 7/14/00
Outage Commitment?	YES OR NO No	IF YES, WHICH? (E.G., 2R9, 1R10, ETC.)
PCD Commitment?	YES OR NO No	IF YES, LIST THE IMPLEMENTING DOCUMENTS (IF KNOWN)
Duplicate of New NCR Commitment in PCD?	YES OR NO No	IF YES, LIST PCD NUMBER (e.g., T35905, etc.)
Old PCD Commitment being changed?	YES OR NO No	IF YES, LIST PCD NUMBER, AND     CLARIFY TO CLERICAL HOW COMMITMENT TO BE REVISED