News REAL ESTATE

July 2012

We turn expertise into results.



Understanding Changes to Bonus Depreciation and Section 179 for the Real Estate Industry

ver the past ten years, depreciation laws have changed on a near-annual basis. Failure to apply these changes correctly may have a significant impact on an organization's taxable income. Bonus depreciation was reinstated, retroactive to January 1, 2010, by the Job Creation Act of 2010 and the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization and Job Creation Act of 2010.

As of this writing, the rules for Bonus Depreciation and Section 179 expensing have changed for 2012, as compared to 2011. While Congress has suggested that some of the previous laws may be reinstated (and possibly retroactively to the beginning of 2011), there are no assurances that this will happen.

As such, following is a summary of the significant changes to bonus depreciation and Section 179 expensing rules for 2012. Due to these changes, companies may benefit from completing new projects by the end of this year or conducting cost segregation studies.

Bonus Depreciation (additional first-year depreciation deduction)

For 2012, bonus depreciation has been reduced to 50 percent of qualifying assets acquired and placed in service in 2012 (as compared to 100 percent in 2011). Bonus depreciation has been eliminated for assets placed in service after 2012, except for certain property with long production periods.



Generally speaking, bonus depreciation applies to new property with a recovery period (tax life) of 20 years or less and Qualified Leasehold Improvement Property ("QLIP"). QLIP refers to improvements to the interior of nonresidential real property if the following exists: 1) pursuant to a lease by the lessee, any sublessee, or the lessor; 2) between unrelated parties; 3) occupied exclusively by the lessee or sublessee; 4) improvement is Section 1250 property (generally building components); and 5) improvements placed in service more than three years after the date that the building was first placed in service. Non-qualifying improvements include 1) enlargement of the building; 2) elevators and escalators; 3) structural components that benefit a common area; and 4) internal structural framework.

Qualified Restaurant Property ("QRP") and Qualified Retail Improvement Property ("QRIP") were not subject to bonus depreciation in 2011 and are not in 2012, unless they also meet the definition for QLIP.

QRP, starting in 2009, is defined as a building and improvements to a building if more than 50 percent of the building's square footage is devoted to the preparation of, and seating for, on-premises consumption of prepared meals.

QRIP includes improvements to an interior portion of a nonresidential building if that portion of the building is open to the general public and is used in the retail trade or business of selling tangible personal property to the



general public, and the improvement is placed in service more than three years after the date the building was first placed in service. Improvements made by an owner qualify as QRIP so long as such improvements are held by such owner. A lease is not required. Non-qualifying improvements are the same as for QLIP.

For 2012, all leasehold improvement property is depreciated over 39 years, versus 15 years, which was the case in 2011.

Section 179 (expensing qualifying property additions)

For 2012, the maximum deduction under Section 179 is \$139,000 (as compared to \$500,000 in 2011) with the phase-out of the deduction starting at \$560,000 (as compared to \$2,000,000 in 2011). Section 179 is fully phased out when qualifying additions reach \$699,000 in 2012 (as compared to \$2,500,000 in 2011). Section 179 generally applies to both new and used property.

For 2013, the maximum deduction under Section 179 reverts to \$25,000, with the phase-out of the deduction starting at \$200,000. Section 179 is fully phased out when qualifying additions reach \$250,000 in 2013.

After 2011, there is no provision to include any qualifying leasehold improvements under Section 179 (as compared to up to \$250,000, of the \$500,000 maximum, in 2011).

To further illustrate the impact of the changes in bonus depreciation and Section 179, following are three examples:

■ Example One: In 2011, a company leased space in a building and spent \$1,000,000 for leasehold improvements ("LHI") and \$500,000 for furniture, fixtures, and equipment ("FF&E"). The LHI meet the QLIP definition noted above.

If the LHI and FF&E are placed in service by December 31, 2011, both the LHI and the FF&E would be subject to 100 percent bonus depreciation.

If the LHI and FF&E are placed in service after December 31, 2011, for example January 15, 2012, both the LHI and the FF&E would be subject to only 50 percent bonus depreciation bonus depreciation. The difference in first-year depreciation is about \$450,000.

If the property is completed in 2013, the FF&E and the land improvements would not be subject to bonus depreciation. The difference in first-year depreciation is about \$835,000.

For 2012, the maximum deduction under Section 179 is \$139,000 (as compared to \$500,000 in 2011) with the phase-out of the deduction starting at \$560,000 (as compared to \$2,000,000 in 2011).

with the remaining 50 percent depreciated over 39 years (LHI) and five years (FF&E), respectively. The difference in first-year depreciation is over \$725,000.

If the LHI and FF&E are placed in service after December 31, 2012, neither the LHI nor FF&E are subject to bonus depreciation. The LHI would be depreciable over 39 years. The FF&E would be depreciable over five years. The difference in first-year depreciation is over \$1,462,000.

■ Example Two: In 2011, a company completed the construction of a \$5,000,000 commercial property (office, warehouse, shopping center, etc.). A cost segregation study allocates \$750,000 to FF&E and \$250,000 to land improvements (paving, curbing, etc.). For 2011, if the construction commenced after September 8, 2010, the FF&E and the land improvements will qualify for 100 percent bonus depreciation. The remaining \$4,000,000 attributable to the building will be depreciated over 39 years. First year depreciation would be about \$1,050,000.

If the property is completed in 2012, the FF&E and the land improvements would be subject to only 50 percent ■ Example Three: In 2011, a company leased space in a building in New York City and spent \$400,000 for LHI and \$200,000 for FF&E. The LHI meet the QLIP definition noted above. The company has sufficient taxable income to take a Section 179 deduction.

Since New York State and New York City accept the Federal Section 179 deduction, there is a distinct advantage to using Section 179 over bonus depreciation (as most states do not accept bonus depreciation). If the LHI and FF&E are placed in service by December 31, 2011, the company would first take a Section 179 deduction of \$200,000 for FF&E, plus a Section 179 deduction of \$250,000 for LHI (the maximum allowed in 2011). The remaining \$150,000 of LHI would be deducted as 100 percent bonus depreciation. The result is the same for Federal tax purposes (a \$600,000 deduction using both Section 179 and bonus depreciation), but by using Section 179, there would be a New York Section 179 tax deduction of \$450,000, with the remaining \$150,000 of LHI depreciated over 15 years.

(Each state has different rules and limitations for Section 179

and those rules and limitations can impact the decision to use Section 179.)

If the LHI and FF&E are placed in service after December 31, 2011, for example January 15, 2012, the Section 179 deduction would be limited to \$139,000. The balance of the FF&E (\$61,000) would be subject to 50 percent bonus depreciation with the remaining 50 percent depreciated over five years. The LHI would be subject to 50 percent bonus depreciation (if it meets the QLIP definition) with the remaining 50 percent depreciated over 39 years. If the LHI and FF&E are placed in service **after** December 31, 2012, the Section 179 deduction would be limited to \$25,000. The balance of the FF&E (\$175,000) would be depreciated over five years. The LHI would be depreciable over 39 years.

Panus Danraciation (Nov. Property)	2011	2012	_2013_
Bonus Depreciation (New Property)	4000/		00/
Personal Property (i.e. furniture and equipment) IRC §1245	100%	50%	0%
Qualified Leasehold Improvement Property as defined in IRC §168(k)(3) (QLIP)	100%	50%	0%
Qualified Restaurant Property IRC §168(e)(7) (QRP)	0%	0%	0%
Qualified Retail Improvement Property IRC §168(e)(8) (QRIP)	0%	0%	0%
Building and improvements IRC §1250	0%	0%	0%
	2011	2012	2013
Tax Lives/Depreciation Method			
Personal Property (i.e. furniture and equipment) IRC §1245	5 or 7 years DDB	5 or 7 years DDB	5 or 7 years DDB
Qualified Leasehold Improvement Property as defined in IRC §168(k)(3) (QLIP)	15 Years S/L	39 Years S/L	39 Years S/L
Qualified Restaurant Property IRC §168(e)(7) (QRP)	15 Years S/L	39 Years S/L	39 Years S/L
Qualified Retail Improvement Property IRC §168(e)(8) (QRIP)	15 Years S/L	39 Years S/L	39 Years S/L
Building and improvements IRC §1250	39 Years S/L	39 Years S/L	39 Years S/L
	2011	2012	2013
Section 179 (New or Used Property)	Max Amount/Phase Out Commences		
Personal Property (i.e. furniture and equipment) IRC §1245	\$500,000/\$2,000,000	\$139,000/\$560,000	\$25,000/\$200,000
Qualified Leasehold Improvement Property as defined in IRC §168(k)(3) (QLIP)	\$250,000/\$2,000,000 (*)	\$0	\$0
Qualified Restaurant Property IRC §168(e)(7) (QRP)	\$250,000/\$2,000,000 (*)	\$0	\$0
Qualified Retail Improvement Property IRC §168(e)(8) (QRIP)	\$250,000/\$2,000,000 (*)	\$0	\$0
Building and improvements IRC §1250	\$0	\$0	\$0

^{* \$250,000} amount included as part of \$500,000 annual maximum

As a result of these changes, real estate companies should try to complete new projects by December 31, 2012, and consider a cost segregation study to help maximize depreciation deductions.

David Grant, CPA, is a partner at J.H. Cohn. He can be reached at dgrant@jhcohn.com or 973-403-6905.

Ronald Kaplan, CPA, is a partner at J.H. Cohn and director of the Firm's Real Estate Industry Practice. He can be reached at rkaplan@jhcohn.com or 646-254-4179.

For more information on J.H. Cohn's Real Estate Industry Practice go to http://www.jhcohn.com/industry

CALIFORNIA

Los Angeles

11755 Wilshire Boulevard 17th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025 310-477-3722

San Diego

9255 Towne Centre Drive Suite 250 San Diego, CA 92121 858-535-2000

Warner Center

21700 Oxnard Street 7th Floor Woodland Hills, CA 91367 818-205-2600

CAYMAN ISLANDS

P.O. Box 1748 GT 27 Hospital Road George Town, Grand Cayman 877-704-3500 x7839

CONNECTICUT

Glastonbury

180 Glastonbury Blvd. Glastonbury, CT 06033 860-633-3000

Farmington

76 Batterson Park Road Farmington, CT 06032 860-678-6000

New London

125 Eugene O'Neill Drive Suite 120 New London, CT 06320 860-442-4373

Stamford

1177 Summer Street Stamford, CT 06905 203-399-1900

MASSACHUSETTS

Springfield

One Monarch Place Suite 2020 Springfield, MA 01144 413-233-2300

NEW JERSEY

Roseland

4 Becker Farm Road Roseland, NJ 07068 973-228-3500

Eatontown

27 Christopher Way Eatontown, NJ 07724 732-578-0700

Metro Park

333 Thornall Street Edison, NJ 08837 732-549-0700

Princeton

103 Carnegie Center Suite 311 Princeton, NJ 08540 609-896-1221

NEW YORK

Manhattan

1212 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 212-297-0400

Long Island

100 Jericho Quadrangle Suite 223 Jericho, NY 11753 516-482-4200

White Plains

1311 Mamaroneck Avenue White Plains, NY 10605 914-684-2700



877-704-3500 www.jhcohn.com

This has been prepared for information purposes and general guidance only and does not constitute professional advice. You should not act upon the information contained in this publication without obtaining specific professional advice. No representation or warranty (express or implied) is made as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in this publication, and J.H. Cohn LLP, its members, employees and agents accept no liability, and disclaim all responsibility, for the consequences of you or anyone else acting, or refraining to act, in reliance on the information contained in this publication or for any decision based on it. Copyright © 2012 J.H. Cohn LLP All Rights Reserved