

Sub class of cyclic codes, frequently used.

Recall about finite fields theory

Lemma

In any finite field \mathbb{F}_q $\exists \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q \mid \forall \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\} \quad \beta = \alpha^i \quad i \in \mathbb{N}$
 α is called primitive element

Note that taking higher powers of α makes you go back to the same elements

$$\alpha^{q-1} = \alpha^0 = 1, \quad \alpha^q = \alpha^1 \text{ etc.}$$

this implies that for every non zero element α^k we have $(\alpha^k)^{q-1} = (\alpha^{q-1})^k = 1^k = 1$

hence, over \mathbb{F}_q , the poly $x^{q-1} - 1$ can be easily factorised

$$x^{q-1} - 1 = \prod_{i=0}^{q-2} (x - \alpha^i)$$

A Reed-Solomon code is a cyclic code of length $q-1$ over the field \mathbb{F}_q with a generator polynomial

$$g(x) = \prod_{j=0}^{zt-1} (x - \underbrace{\alpha^j}_{\text{primitive element of } \mathbb{F}_q})$$

theorem the parameters of a Reed-Solomon code are $[q-1, q-zt-1, zt+1]$

proof: the first parameter is by definition

the second one is $q-1-zt$ because $\deg(g(x)) = zt$

we have to prove that all code words have $w > zt$

suppose $e(x) = \sum_{i=1}^v e_i x^i$ is a poly. of non zero weight $v < zt+1$, this can never be a code word

because otherwise $e(x) = g(x)m(x)$ and $e(\alpha^j) = g(\alpha^j)m(\alpha^j) = 0$ for $j \leq zt-1$

so we would have equations

$$e_{i_1}(\alpha^0)^i + \dots + e_{i_v}(\alpha^0)^{iv} = 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$e_{i_1}(\alpha^{v-1})^i + \dots + e_{i_v}(\alpha^{v-1})^{iv} = 0$$

these can be solved uniquely as long as the following det $\neq 0$

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} (\alpha^0)^{i_1} & \dots & (\alpha^0)^{i_v} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ (\alpha^{v-1})^{i_1} & \dots & (\alpha^{v-1})^{i_v} \end{pmatrix} \xleftarrow{\text{Vandermonde det. formula}} = \prod_{k < \lambda} (\alpha^{ik} - \alpha^{i_k})$$

Because $\alpha^{ik} \neq \alpha^{i_k}$ whenever $i_k \neq i$ and both exponents are smaller than $q-1$ the det is not zero and the only possible solution is 0.

So a poly with $w < zt+1$ can never be a code word.

there is however a codeword with $w = zt+1$: $g(x) = g_0 + g_1 x + \dots + g_{zt} x^{zt}$

Theorem An $[n, k, d]$ Reed-Solomon code is maximal distance separable, i.e. it has the greatest possible minimal distance of all possible codes with the same n and k

proof: for a general linear $[n, k]$ -code $d \leq n-k+1$

Since the parity check matrix has $n-k$ rows it has rank at most $n-k$.

So there's a linear combination of $n-k+1$ columns of H that gives us 0.

This linear combination can be written as $Hx^t = 0$ for a certain vector x with weight $n-k+1$.

This implies that x is a code word and hence $d \leq n-k+1$.

For a RS-code $K=q-2t$ and $d=2t+e=(q-1)-(q-2t-e)+1=n-k+1$ so it has the largest minimal distance possible

This does not imply these are the best codes that exist.

there are better combinations of n and K that don't give RS-codes

One of the disadvantages of RS-codes is that the size of the alphabet limits the size of the code

examples

1) $q=5$ $t=1$ a possible choice for α is z , the powers of z mod 5 are $1=z^0, 2=z^1, 4=z^2, 3=z^3$ (then they repeat)
this means $g(x)=(x-1)(x-z)=x^2+2x+2$ and $RS(5, 1)$ is a linear $[5, 2, 3]$ code over \mathbb{F}_5

2) $q=7$ $t=2$ possible choice $\alpha=3$

$$1=3^0, 3=3^1, 2=3^2, 6=3^3, 4=3^4, 5=3^5$$

$g(x)=(x-1)(x-3)(x-2)(x-6)$ $RS(7, 2)$ is a linear $[6, 2, 5]$ code over \mathbb{F}_7

Syndromes for RS codes

the gen. pol. has been chosen so that it has zeroes $\alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{2t-1}$, a primitive element of F_q . This implies that if $w(x)$ is the received pols. coming from a message $m(x)$ and an err. pols. $e(x)$ we get:

$$w(x^i) = m(x^i)g(x^i) + e(x^i) = e(x^i), \quad i=0, 1, \dots, 2t-1$$

for $j=0, 1, \dots, 2t-1$ we define the j -th syndrome for the received pols. $r(x)$ as:

$$S_j = r(\alpha^j) = \sum_{k=1}^n e_k(\alpha^j)^k$$

suppose that a total of v errors occurred, located at positions i_1, \dots, i_v , vst

$$e(x) = e_{i_1}x^{i_1} + \dots + e_{i_v}x^{i_v}$$

then the powers of x define the error locations and the coefficients their magnitude. we can now write

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 &= e_{i_1}(\alpha^{i_1})^0 + e_{i_2}(\alpha^{i_2})^0 + \dots + e_{i_v}(\alpha^{i_v})^0 \\ &\vdots \\ S_{2t-1} &= e_{i_1}(\alpha^{i_1})^{2t-1} + e_{i_2}(\alpha^{i_2})^{2t-1} + \dots + e_{i_v}(\alpha^{i_v})^{2t-1} \end{aligned}$$

we need to solve for a^{i_k} and e_{i_k}

Once they have been found, we can take logarithms (base α) in F_q :

$$\log_\alpha X = i \iff \alpha^i = X \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_{q-1}$$

to find the error location numbers i_k , and correct the symbols at these position subtracting the magnitudes

the following is the same as BCH codes

example single error correction

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if a single err. occurred, } S_0 &= y_0 \\ S_1 &= y_1 x_1 \end{aligned}$$

hence $x_1 = S_1/S_0$ and $y_1 = S_0$ if $S_1/S_0 = \alpha^i$ the error is at location i and its value is S_0 .

Finding errors and roots

- Syndrome polynomial $\rightarrow S(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2t-1} S_k x^k$
- error location polynomial $\rightarrow \Lambda(x) = \prod_{k=1}^V (1 - X_k x)$
- error evaluator polynomial $\rightarrow \underline{s}_2(x) = \sum_{k=1}^V y_k \prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq k}}^{2t} (1 - X_l x)$

where the $S_i, X_i = \alpha^{i_2}, Y_i = e_{i_2}$ are defined as the previous section

To avoid confusion we can use
 $X_i = \alpha^{i_2}$ and $Y_i = e_{i_2}$
 $S_1 = y_1 x_1 + y_2 x_2 + \dots + y_V x_V$
 $S_2 = y_1 x_1^2 + \dots + y_V x_V^{2t}$

Note that by definition the roots of the error-locator pols. are directly related to the error location variables
 $\Lambda(x) = 0 \iff X = \alpha^{i_2}$ for some error location i_2

The polynomial itself however is unknown, and must be determined (hopefully efficiently) for the syndrome polynomial.

After this we can calculate the roots of $\Lambda(x)$ to find the error locations.

Finding root of poly. is hard (in IR no general sol. deg. ≥ 5) but finite fields have the advantage that we can find the roots by exhaustive search (brute force) over $\{0, 1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{q-2}\}$.

This search is called Chien search

After we have found the roots of $\Lambda(x)$ we can determine the sizes of the errors using the error evaluator polynomial.

We will first recall formal derivations if \mathbb{F}_q

$$\text{for a poly. } a(x) = \sum_{i=0}^s a_i x^i \rightarrow a'(x) = \sum_{i=1}^s i a_i x^{i-1}$$

we take i modulo the characteristics of \mathbb{F}_q

This derivatives hold the same properties as the normal ones.

we can now calculate that

$$\Lambda'(x) = \sum_{k} -\alpha^{ik} \prod_{l \neq k} (1 - \alpha^{il} x)$$

so by the definition of $\underline{s}_2(x)$

$$\Lambda'(\alpha^{-ik}) = -\alpha^{ik} \prod_{l \neq k} (1 - \alpha^{il} \alpha^{-ik}) = \frac{-\alpha^{ik} \underline{s}_2(\alpha^{-ik})}{e_{ik}}$$

this gives the expression for the error magnitudes

$$e_{ik} = \frac{-\alpha^{ik} \underline{s}_2(\alpha^{-ik})}{\Lambda'(\alpha^{-ik})}$$

Problem Given the syndrome polynomial $S(x)$ determine the error locator polynomial $\Lambda(x)$ and the error evaluator polynomial $\Omega(x)$



Berlekamp's algorithm

consider the ring $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/(x^{2t})$. The polynomial $1-\alpha^i x$ is not divisible by x and hence invertible. Its inverse is computed by dropping off the Taylor expansion at $x^{2t} \equiv 0$

$$\frac{1}{1-\alpha^i x} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{2t-1} (\alpha^i x)^k \pmod{x^{2t}}$$

using this identity we get

$$\begin{aligned} S(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{2t-1} S_k x^k = \sum_{k=0}^{2t-1} \sum_{i=1}^v e_{ik} (\alpha^{ik} x)^k = \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^v e_{ik} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2t-1} (\alpha^{ik} x)^k \right) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^v \frac{e_{ik}}{1-\alpha^{ik} x} \pmod{x^{2t}} \end{aligned}$$

we can relate $\Lambda(x), S(x)$ and $\Omega(x)$ in the following way

$$\Omega(x) = \Lambda(x) \sum_{k=1}^v \frac{e_{ik}}{1-\alpha^{ik} x} \equiv \Lambda(x) S(x) \pmod{x^{2t}}$$

to find $\Omega(x)$ and $\Lambda(x)$ out of $S(x)$ we will use the algorithm of Euclid.

Suppose that $a(x), b(x)$ polynomials of \mathbb{F}_q then the algorithm of Euclid supplies us with series $r_i(x), s_i(x)$ and $t_i(x)$ $i \in \{1, \dots, k+1\}$ such that:

$$s_i(x)a(x) + t_i(x)b(x) = r_i(x) \text{ and } \deg t_i(x) + \deg r_{i-1}(x) = \deg a(x)$$

with $r_0(x) = a(x)$, $r_k(x) = \gcd(a(x), b(x))$ and $r_{k+1}(x) = 0$

theorem Suppose $t(x)$ and $r(x)$ nonzero polynomials over \mathbb{F}_q satisfying the following conditions:

- 1) $\gcd(t(x), r(x)) = 1$
- 2) $\deg t(x) + \deg r(x) < \deg a(x)$
- 3) $t(x)b(x) \equiv r(x) \pmod{a(x)}$

Then there exist an index $h \in \mathbb{N}$ and a constant $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that

$$t(x) = ct_h(x) \text{ and } r(x) = cr_h(x)$$

from Euclid's algorithm

proof: observe that $\deg r_i(x)$ strictly decreases when i increases.

by condition 2 we have that $\deg r(x) < \deg a(x)$ hence there exist an index h so that

$$\deg r_h(x) \leq \deg r(x) \leq \deg r_{h-1}(x)$$

from condition 3 we have that there is an $s(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ such that

$$\textcircled{1} \quad s(x)a(x) + t(x)b(x) = r(x)$$

while from Euclid's algorithm we have that

$$\textcircled{2} \quad s_h(x)a(x) + t_h(x)b(x) = r_h(x)$$

Multiplying $\textcircled{1}$ by t_h and $\textcircled{2}$ by $t(x)$ and subtracting the results we obtain

$$\textcircled{3} \quad (t(x)s_h(x) - t_h(x)s(x))a(x) = t(x)r_h(x) - t_h(x)r(x)$$

now we use the definition of h to get a bound on the degrees, by condition 2 we have that

$$\deg t(x)r_h(x) = \deg t(x) + \deg r_h(x) \leq \deg t(x) + \deg r(x) < \deg a(x)$$

and bcz of Euclid's algorithm:

$$\deg t_h(x)r(x) = \deg t_h(x) + \deg r(x) < \deg t_h(x) + \deg r_{h-1}(x) = \deg a(x)$$

so this has odegree $< a(x)$ and this one $\geq a(x)$ so they must be both zero and

$$\textcircled{4} \quad t(x)r_h(x) = t_h(x)r(x)$$

Because $\deg t_h(x) + \deg r_{h-1}(x) = \deg a(x)$, $t_h \neq 0$ and by condition 1 and equation $\textcircled{4}$ $r(x) | r_h(x)$ but has same or higher degree so it is a scalar multiple of $r_h(x)$. Dividing the previous equation by $r_h(x)$ we see that $t(x)$ is also a scalar multiple of $t_h(x)$

Using this theorem we can find $\Lambda(x)$ and $\Omega(x)$ because they satisfy the necessary condition if we identify

$$a(x) := x^{2t}, \quad b(x) := S(x), \quad t(x) := \Lambda(x), \quad r(x) = \Omega(x)$$

The error locator poly. has no zeroes in common with the error locator poly. $\Lambda(x)$ bcz:

$$\Omega(\alpha^{-ik}) = e_{ik} \prod_{j \neq k} (1 - \alpha^{i(j-k)}) \neq 0$$

so $\text{gcd}(\Lambda(x), \Omega(x)) = 1$. The degree of $\Lambda(x)$ is equal to the number of errors v & t, the degree of $\Omega(x)$ is smaller as it is the sum of polynomials of degree $v-1$ and

$$\deg \Lambda(x) + \deg \Omega(x) \leq v+v-1 \leq 2t-1 < \deg x^{2t}$$

The constant c must be chosen such that $c t_{ih}(0) = \Lambda(0) = 1$, we claim h is the unique index such that $\deg r_h < t \leq \deg r_{h-1}$

Indeed smaller values of i would result in a poly. $\Omega(x) = c r_h(x)$ whose $\deg > t-1$. On the other hand we have $\forall i > h \quad \deg t_i \geq \deg t_{ih} = \deg a - \deg r_{h-1}$.

So then $\Lambda(x)$ will have a degree larger than t .

Knowing all this we can create the algorithm

Berlekamp-Masssey-Fornes algorithm

- 1) Compute syndrome poly. $S(x)$ out of received word $w(x)$
- 2) Use Euclid's algorithm for x^{2t} and $S(x)$ to find an index h such that $\deg r_h < t \leq \deg r_{h-1}$
- 3) Define $\Lambda(x) = t_h(x)/t_h(0)$ and $\Omega(x) = r_h(x)/t_h(0)$.

find the i_k such that α^{-ik} is a root of $\Lambda(x)$

There have to be $\deg \Lambda(x)$ distinct roots otherwise too many errors have occurred and you must ask for retransmission.

$$4) \text{ Define } e_{ik} = \frac{-\alpha^{ik} \cdot \Omega(\alpha^{-ik})}{\Lambda'(\alpha^{-ik})}$$

the corrected polynomial is $w(x) - \sum_k e_{ik} x^{ik}$

example: let's look at a R-S code over $\mathbb{F}_8 = \{0, 1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^6\}$, where α is a zero of the minimum polynomial $\pi(x) = x^3 + x + 1$. We work modulo 2 (so $+=-$).

For clarity this is the conversion table for additive and multiplicative notation

0	1	α	α^2	α^3	α^4	α^5	α^6
0	1	α	α^2	$\alpha + 1$	$\alpha^2 + \alpha$	$\alpha^2 + \alpha + 1$	$\alpha^2 + 1$

met $\alpha^7 = 1$.

We will create a code that corrects up to $t=2$ errors

The generator polynomial then must be of degree $zt=4$

$$g(x) = (x+1)(x+\alpha)(x+\alpha^2)(x+\alpha^3) = (x^2 + \alpha^3 x + \alpha)(x^2 + \alpha^5 x + \alpha^5) = \\ = x^4 + (\alpha^3 + \alpha^5)x^3 + (\alpha + \alpha^5 + \alpha^8)x^2 + (\alpha^6 + \alpha^8)x + \alpha^6 = x^4 + \alpha^2 x^3 + \alpha^5 x^2 + \alpha^5 x + \alpha^6$$

The codewords have length 7, the gen. poly. degree 4, so the dimension is 3

Then we have a $[7, 3, 5]$ code. This has $8^3 = 512$ codewords.

Any poly $a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0$ of degree-2 ($a_i \in F_8$) generates a codeword (or better a code poly) after multiplication with the generator polynomial.

Now suppose we receive the word $[\alpha, \alpha^6, \alpha^2, \alpha^4, \alpha^2, \alpha^6, \alpha]$, which corresponds to the poly:

$$r(x) = \alpha x^6 + \alpha^6 x^5 + \alpha^2 x^4 + \alpha^4 x^3 + \alpha^2 x^2 + \alpha^6 x + \alpha$$

Then we can calculate the $z=4$ syndromes and determine the syndrome polynomial

$$\bullet \quad S_0 = r(1) = \alpha + \alpha^6 + \alpha^2 + \alpha^4 + \alpha^2 + \alpha^6 + \alpha = \alpha^4.$$

$$\bullet \quad S_1 = r(\alpha) = \alpha^7 + \alpha^{11} + \alpha^6 + \alpha^7 + \alpha^4 + \alpha^7 + \alpha = \alpha^2 + \alpha = \alpha^4.$$

- $S_2 = r(\alpha^2) = \alpha^{13} + \alpha^{16} + \alpha^{10} + \alpha^{10} + \alpha^6 + \alpha^8 + \alpha = \alpha^2.$

$$\bullet \quad S_3 = r(\alpha^3) = \alpha^{19} + \alpha^{21} + \alpha^{14} + \alpha^{13} + \alpha^8 + \alpha^8 + \alpha = \alpha^2 + \alpha = \alpha^4.$$

Syndrome polynomial : $S(x) = \alpha^4 x^3 + \alpha^2 x^2 + \alpha^4$

	$s_i(X)$	$t_i(X)$	$r_i(X)$
Rij ₁	1	0	X^4
Rij ₂	0	1	$\alpha^4 X^3 + \alpha^2 X^2 + \alpha^4 X + \alpha^4 = S(X)$
Rij ₃ = Rij ₁ - $(\alpha^3 X + \alpha)$ Rij ₂	1	$\alpha^3 X + \alpha$	$\alpha X^2 + \alpha^4 X + \alpha^5$
Rij ₄ = Rij ₂ - $(\alpha^3 X + \alpha^5)$ Rij ₃	$s_h(X)$	$\underbrace{\alpha^6 X^2 + \alpha^2 X + \alpha^2}_{t_h(X)}$	$\underbrace{\alpha^6}_{r_h(X)}$

in this case $r_n(x)$ is the first poly in the right column with degree ≤ 2
 the intermediate operations were $R_{1,2}$

$$\begin{array}{cccc|c} X^4 & +\alpha^5 X^3 & +X^2 & +X & \alpha^4 X^3 + \alpha^2 X^2 + \alpha^4 X + \alpha^4 \\ \hline X^4 & +\alpha^5 X^3 & +X^2 & +X & \alpha^3 X + \alpha \\ & +\alpha^5 X^3 & +X^2 & +X & \\ & +\alpha^5 X^3 & +\alpha^2 X^2 & +\alpha^5 X & \alpha^5 \\ \hline & \alpha^2 X^2 & +\alpha^4 X & +\alpha^5 & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} \alpha^4 X^3 \\ - \alpha^4 X^3 \\ \hline +\alpha^2 X^2 \\ +X^2 \\ \hline \alpha^6 X^2 \\ \alpha^6 X^2 \\ \hline +\alpha^4 X \\ +\alpha X \\ \hline +\alpha^4 \\ +\alpha^4 \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \alpha X^2 + \alpha^4 X + \alpha^5 \\ \underline{\alpha^3 X + \alpha^5} \end{array}$$

$$t_{i+1} = t_{i-1} - q_i t_i$$

$$1 - \cancel{(\alpha^3 X + \alpha^5)} \underline{(\alpha^3 X + \alpha)} = 1 - \alpha^6 X^2 + (\alpha + \alpha^4)X + \alpha$$

$$= \alpha^6 X^2 + \alpha^2 X + \alpha^2 = t_b(X)$$

we can now determine $\Lambda(x)$ and $\Lambda'(x)$

$$\cdot \Lambda(x) = \frac{t_h(x)}{t_h(0)} = \frac{\alpha^6 x^2 + \alpha^2 x + \alpha^2}{\alpha^2} = \alpha^4 x^2 + x + 1$$

we find the zeroes with brute force

$$\Lambda(1) = \alpha^4$$

$$\Lambda(\alpha) = \alpha^4$$

$$\Lambda(\alpha^2) = \alpha^5$$

$$\Lambda(\alpha^3) = 1$$

$$\Lambda(\alpha^4) = 0$$

$$\Lambda(\alpha^5) = \alpha^5$$

$$\Lambda(\alpha^6) = 0$$

$$\alpha^4 = \alpha^{-3} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha^5 = \alpha^{-1}$$

the location is given by the negative ones, so $i=1, i=3$

$$\cdot \Lambda'(x) = \frac{r_h(x)}{t_h(0)} = \frac{\alpha^6}{\alpha^2} = \alpha^4 \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda'(x) = 2\alpha^4 x + 1 = 1$$

we then get the magnitude of the error via $e_i = \frac{-\alpha^i \cdot r_h(\alpha^{-i})}{\Lambda'(\alpha^{-i})}$

$$e_1 = \frac{\alpha \Omega(\alpha^6)}{1} = \alpha^5$$

$$e_3 = \frac{\alpha^3 \Omega(\alpha^4)}{1} = \alpha^7 = 1$$

we can now reconstruct the sent polynomial

$$\begin{array}{c} \alpha x^6 + \alpha^6 x^5 + \alpha^2 x^4 + \alpha^4 x^3 + \alpha^2 x^2 + \alpha^6 x + \alpha \\ + x^3 \qquad \qquad \qquad + \alpha^5 x \\ \hline \alpha x^6 + \alpha^6 x^5 + \alpha^2 x^4 + \alpha^5 x^3 + \alpha^2 x^2 + \alpha x + \alpha \end{array}$$

we can then the original message by dividing by the generator polynomial if we get a remainder 0 we made a mistake

$$\begin{array}{r} \alpha X^6 + \alpha^6 X^5 + \alpha^2 X^4 + \alpha^5 X^3 + \alpha^2 X^2 + \alpha X + \alpha \\ \alpha X^6 + \alpha^3 X^5 + \alpha^6 X^4 + \alpha^6 X^3 + X^2 \\ \hline \alpha^4 X^5 + X^4 + \alpha X^3 + \alpha^6 X^2 + \alpha X + \alpha \\ \alpha^4 X^5 + \alpha^6 X^4 + \alpha^2 X^3 + \alpha^2 X^2 + \alpha^3 X \\ \hline \alpha^2 X^4 + \alpha^4 X^3 + X^2 + X + \alpha \\ \alpha^2 X^4 + \alpha^4 X^3 + X^2 + X + \alpha \\ \hline 0 \end{array}$$

matches with sent message $[\alpha^2, \alpha^4, \alpha]$