

# Hermite Metric and Chern Class

David Gu

Computer Science Department  
Stony Brook University

*gu@cs.stonybrook.edu*

September 17, 2023

# Hermite Metric, Connection and Curvature

# Hermite Inner Product

## Definition (Hermite Inner Product)

Suppose  $V$  is a complex linear space, the map  $\langle, \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- ①  $\langle \lambda v_1 + \mu v_2, w \rangle = \lambda \langle v_1, w \rangle + \mu \langle v_2, w \rangle,$
- ②  $\overline{\langle v, w \rangle} = \langle w, v \rangle,$
- ③  $\langle v, v \rangle \geq 0$ , equality holds if and only if  $v = 0$ ,

then is called a Hermite inner product on  $V$ .

A Hermite inner product induces another Hermite inner product on the dual space  $V^*$ .

# Hermite Metric

## Definition (Hermite Metric)

Suppose  $M$  is a Riemann surface,  $T_h M$  is the tangent bundle. If on each holomorphic tangent space  $T_{hp} M$ , a Hermite inner product is assigned  $h_p = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$ , and for any two smooth sections  $X_1, X_2$ , the function on  $M$   $h(X_1, X_2)$ ,  $p \mapsto \langle X_1(p), X_2(p) \rangle_p$  is a smooth function, then  $h$  is called a Hermit metric on  $M$  (or  $T_h M$ ).

Suppose  $h$  is a Hermite metric,  $U_\alpha$  is any local coordinates  $z_\alpha = x_\alpha + \sqrt{-1}y_\alpha$ ,  $h_\alpha = \langle \partial_{z_\alpha}, \partial_{z_\alpha} \rangle$ , then  $h_\alpha$  is a smooth function,

$$h = h_\alpha dz_\alpha \otimes d\bar{z}_\alpha$$

Two vector fields  $X = a_\alpha \partial_{z_\alpha}$ ,  $Y = b_\alpha \partial_{z_\alpha}$ , then

$$h(X, Y) = a_\alpha h_\alpha \bar{b}_\alpha.$$

# Local Representation of Hermite Metric

Suppose  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} h_\beta &= h(\partial_{z_\beta}, \partial_{z_\beta}) = h\left(\frac{\partial z_\beta}{\partial z_\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_\alpha}, \frac{\partial z_\beta}{\partial z_\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_\alpha}\right) \\ &= \left|\frac{\partial z_\beta}{\partial z_\alpha}\right|^2 h(\partial_{z_\alpha}, \partial_{z_\alpha}) = \left|\frac{\partial z_\beta}{\partial z_\alpha}\right|^2 h_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

Inversely, if there is a family of positive smooth functions  $\{h_\alpha\}$  satisfying the above equation, then it defines a Hermite metric on  $M$ . We call  $\{h_\alpha\}$  as the local representation of the Hermite metric.

# Volumetric Form

Given an Hermite metric with local representation  $\{h_\alpha\}$ , then the  $(1,1)$  form

$$\Omega = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} h_\alpha dz_\alpha \wedge d\bar{z}_\alpha = h_\alpha dx_\alpha \wedge dy_\alpha$$

is called the volume (area) form of the metric  $h$  on  $M$ .

The total area of the Riemann surface is given by

$$\int_M \Omega$$

# Curvature Form

Given an Hermite metric with local representation  $\{h_\alpha\}$ , then the  $(1,1)$  form  $\Theta_\alpha = \bar{\partial}\partial \log h_\alpha$  is called the curvature form of the metric  $h$  on  $M$ . If  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_\beta &= \bar{\partial}\partial \log h_\beta = \bar{\partial}\partial \log \left| \frac{\partial z_\beta}{\partial z_\alpha} \right|^2 h_\alpha \\ &= \bar{\partial}\partial \log h_\alpha + \bar{\partial}\partial \log \frac{\partial z_\beta}{\partial z_\alpha} + \bar{\partial}\partial \log \overline{\frac{\partial z_\beta}{\partial z_\alpha}} \\ &= \bar{\partial}\partial \log h_\alpha = \Theta_\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

So the curvature  $(1,1)$  form is globally defined. It can be represented as

$$\Theta = \frac{K}{\sqrt{-1}} \Omega$$

where  $K$  is called the Gaussian curvature of the metric  $h$  on  $M$ .

# Gaussian Curvature

The Gaussian curvature has local representation

$$K = -\frac{2}{h_\alpha} \frac{\partial^2 \log h_\alpha}{\partial z_\alpha \partial \bar{z}_\alpha}$$

## Theorem (Gauss-Bonnet)

*Suppose  $M$  is a compact Riemann surface with an Hermite metric  $h$ , then the total Gaussian curvature is*

$$\int_M K \Omega = 2\pi \chi(M),$$

*where  $\chi(M)$  is the Euler characteristic number of  $M$ .*



# Hermite Metric for a Line Bundle

## Definition (Bundle Hermite Metric)

Suppose  $L$  is a holomorphic line bundle over a Riemann surface  $M$ . For each fiber  $L_p$ , an Hermite inner product is assigned  $g_p = \langle, \rangle_p$ , and for any smooth sections  $s_1, s_2$ , the function on  $M$ ,  $g(p) = \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle_p$  is smooth, then  $g$  is called an Hermite metric of the bundle  $L$ .

# Hermite Metric for a Line Bundle

Suppose  $L$  on  $U_\alpha$  has local trivialization  $\psi_\alpha$ , then on  $U_\alpha$  there is a local holomorphic section  $s_\alpha$ , non-zero everywhere,  $s_\alpha(x) = \psi_\alpha^{-1}(x, 1)$ ,  $x \in U_\alpha$ . Denote  $g_\alpha = g(s_\alpha, s_\alpha)$ , then  $g_\alpha$  is a positive smooth function on  $U_\alpha$ . When  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$ ,  $s_\alpha = f_{\beta\alpha}s_\beta$ , where  $f_{\beta\alpha}$  is the transition function of  $L$ , then

$$g_\alpha = g(s_\alpha, s_\alpha) = g(f_{\beta\alpha}s_\beta, f_{\beta\alpha}s_\beta) = |f_{\beta\alpha}|^2 g_\beta,$$

Inversely, if  $\{g_\beta\}$  satisfies the condition, then it gives a Hermite metric on  $L$ .  $\{g_\beta\}$  is called the local representation of the Hermite metric  $g$ .

# Connection Form

Suppose  $g$  is an Hermite metric on the holomorphic line bundle  $L$  with local representation  $\{g_\alpha\}$ . In the local trivialization neighborhood  $U_\alpha$  define a  $(1,0)$  form

$$\theta_\alpha := \partial \log g_\alpha,$$

On  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_\alpha &= \partial \log f_{\beta\alpha} + \partial \log \bar{f}_{\beta\alpha} + \partial \log g_\beta \\ &= f_{\beta\alpha}^{-1} \partial f_{\beta\alpha} + 0 + \theta_\beta\end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{\theta_\alpha = f_{\beta\alpha}^{-1} \partial f_{\beta\alpha} + \theta_\beta,}$$

Hence  $\theta_\alpha$  is not globally defined.

## Definition (Connection)

Given a smooth section  $s$  of  $L$ , on a local trivialization neighborhood  $U_\alpha$ ,  $s = f_\alpha s_\alpha$ , where  $f_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a local smooth function. Define

$$Ds = (df_\alpha + f_\alpha \theta_\alpha) s_\alpha,$$

$D$  is called the connection of  $L$ .

# Connection Form

On  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ ,

$$s = f_\alpha s_\alpha = f_\beta s_\beta \implies f_\alpha \cdot f_{\beta\alpha} = f_\beta,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} df_\beta &= (\partial + \bar{\partial})(f_{\beta\alpha} f_\alpha) \\ &= \partial f_{\beta\alpha} f_\alpha + f_{\beta\alpha} \partial f_\alpha + \bar{\partial} f_{\beta\alpha} f_\alpha + f_{\beta\alpha} \bar{\partial} f_\alpha \\ &= \partial f_{\beta\alpha} f_\alpha + f_{\beta\alpha} \partial f_\alpha + 0 + f_{\beta\alpha} \bar{\partial} f_\alpha \\ &= \partial f_{\beta\alpha} f_\alpha + f_{\beta\alpha} \partial f_\alpha + f_{\beta\alpha} \bar{\partial} f_\alpha \\ &= \partial f_{\beta\alpha} f_\alpha + f_{\beta\alpha} (\partial f_\alpha + \bar{\partial} f_\alpha) \\ &= \partial f_{\beta\alpha} f_\alpha + f_{\beta\alpha} df_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(df_\alpha + f_\alpha \theta_\alpha) s_\alpha &= (df_\alpha + f_\alpha \theta_\alpha) f_{\beta\alpha} s_\beta \\&= (f_{\beta\alpha} df_\alpha + f_{\beta\alpha} f_\alpha \theta_\alpha) s_\beta \\&= (f_{\beta\alpha} df_\alpha + f_{\beta\alpha} f_\alpha (f_{\beta\alpha}^{-1} \partial f_{\beta\alpha} + \theta_\beta)) s_\beta \\&= (f_{\beta\alpha} df_\alpha + f_\alpha \partial f_{\beta\alpha} + f_{\beta\alpha} f_\alpha \theta_\beta) s_\beta \\&= ((f_{\beta\alpha} df_\alpha + f_\alpha \partial f_{\beta\alpha}) + f_{\beta\alpha} f_\alpha \theta_\beta) s_\beta \\&= (df_\beta + f_\beta \theta_\beta) s_\beta = Ds\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $Ds$  is globally defined.

The connection  $Ds$  has the following properties:

- ①  $D(s_1 + s_2) = Ds_1 + Ds_2, \forall s_1, s_2 \in A^0(L)$
- ②  $D(fs) = dfs + fDs, \forall f \in A^0(M), s \in A^0(L)$

A linear operator satisfies the first and the second conditions is called a connection of  $L$ .

The connection  $Ds$  compatible with the Hermite metric has the following properties:

①  $d\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle = \langle Ds_1, s_2 \rangle + \langle s_1, Ds_2 \rangle$

$$d\langle s_\alpha, s_\alpha \rangle = dg_\alpha = \theta_\alpha g_\alpha + \bar{\theta}_\alpha g_\alpha = \langle Ds_\alpha, s_\alpha \rangle + \langle s_\alpha, Ds_\alpha \rangle$$

② if  $s$  is a (local) global holomorphic section of  $L$ , then  $Ds \in A^{1,0}(L)$

$$Ds = (df_\alpha + f_\alpha \cdot \theta_\alpha)s_\alpha = (\partial f_\alpha + f_\alpha \theta_\alpha)s_\alpha$$

hence

$$Ds_\alpha = D(1 \cdot s_\alpha) = \theta_\alpha s_\alpha$$



We generalize the connection operator to any  $L$ -valued differential form. Let  $\omega$  is a  $L$ -valued  $p$ -form, with local representation  $\omega_\alpha \otimes s_\alpha$  (or  $\omega s_\alpha$ ) where  $\omega_\alpha$  is a local  $p$ -form on  $M$ . Let

$$D\omega := (d\omega_\alpha + (-1)^p \omega_\alpha \wedge \theta_\alpha) s_\alpha,$$

this defines an operator  $D : A^p(L) \rightarrow A^{p+1}(L)$  with properties

- ①  $D(\omega_1 + \omega_2) = D\omega_1 + D\omega_2$
- ②  $D(f\omega) = df \wedge \omega + fD\omega, \forall f \in A^0(M)$

# Curvature Form

Suppose  $\{\theta_\alpha\}$  is the connection form, then

$$\Theta_\alpha = d\theta_\alpha = (\partial + \bar{\partial})\partial \log g_\alpha = \bar{\partial}\partial \log g_\alpha = \bar{\partial}\theta_\alpha$$

On  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_\alpha &= d\theta_\alpha = \bar{\partial}\theta_\alpha \\ &= \bar{\partial}(f_{\beta\alpha}^{-1}\partial f_{\beta\alpha} + \theta_\beta) \\ &= \bar{\partial}(f_{\beta\alpha}^{-1}\partial f_{\beta\alpha}) + \bar{\partial}\theta_\beta \\ &= (\bar{\partial}f_{\beta\alpha}^{-1})\partial f_{\beta\alpha} + f_{\beta\alpha}^{-1}(\bar{\partial}\partial f_{\beta\alpha}) + \bar{\partial}\theta_\beta \\ &= \bar{\partial}\theta_\beta = \Theta_\beta,\end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that  $\bar{\partial}f_{\beta\alpha}^{-1} = 0$ , and  $\Delta f_{\beta\alpha} = 0$ .

So the curvature form  $\Theta = \Theta_\alpha = \Theta_\beta$  is globally defined  $(1, 1)$  form.

# Chern Class of the Line Bundle

## Definition (The first Chern class)

The  $(1, 1)$ -form  $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\Theta$  is a cohomology class in the de Rham cohomology  $H_{dR}^2(M, \mathbb{C})$ , this class is independent of the choice of the Hermitian metric  $g$ , and called the first Chern class of the holomorphic line bundle  $L$ , denoted as  $c_1(L)$ .

# Chern Class of the Line Bundle

## Proof.

Assume there is another Hermite metric  $g'$  on  $L$ ,  $g$  and  $g'$  have local representations  $\{g_\alpha\}$  and  $\{g'_\alpha\}$ , satisfying

$$g_\alpha = |f_{\beta\alpha}|^2 g_\beta, \quad g'_\alpha = |f_{\beta\alpha}|^2 g'_\beta.$$

Then  $f = g'_\alpha / g_\alpha$  is a global smooth positive real function defined on  $M$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta' - \Theta &= \bar{\partial}\partial \log g'_\alpha - \bar{\partial}\partial \log g_\alpha \\ &= \bar{\partial}\partial \log(g'_\alpha / g_\alpha) \\ &= \bar{\partial}\partial \log f = d\partial \log f \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\Theta'$  is cohomological to  $\Theta$ . □

# Gauss-Bonnet Theorem

## Lemma

*The Chern class  $c_1 : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow H_{dR}^2(M, \mathbb{C})$  is a homomorphism.*

## Proof.

Suppose  $L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{L}$  with the same local trivialization  $\{U_\alpha\}$ . Assume  $\{g_\alpha\}$  and  $\{h_\alpha\}$  are Hermite metrics on  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  respectively. Then  $\{g_\alpha h_\alpha\}$  and  $\{g_\alpha/h_\alpha\}$  are the Hermite metrics of  $L_1 + L_2$  and  $L_1 - L_2$  respectively. The curvature form of  $L_1 + L_2$  is

$$\bar{\partial}\partial \log(g_\alpha h_\alpha) = \bar{\partial}\partial \log g_\alpha + \bar{\partial}\partial \log h_\alpha = \Theta_1 + \Theta_2,$$

where  $\Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_2$  are the curvature forms of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  respectively. Similarly,  $\Theta_1 - \Theta_2$  is the curvature form of  $L_1 - L_2$ . □

## Lemma (Divisor of Holomorphic Line Bundle)

*If  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ , then there is a meromorphic section  $s \in \mathfrak{M}(\lambda(D))$  such that  $(s) = D$ . Inversely, if  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $s \in \mathfrak{M}(L)$ , then  $L = \lambda((s))$ .*

# Gauss-Bonnet Theorem

## Lemma (Curvature Form)

Suppose  $L$  is a holomorphic line bundle,  $\{g_\alpha\}$  is a Hermite metric with curvature form  $\Theta$ .  $s$  is a holomorphic section nowhere zero on  $U$ , with local representation  $s_\alpha$  on  $U_\alpha \cap U$ . The norm of  $s$  is given by  $|s|^2 = s_\alpha \bar{s}_\alpha g_\alpha$ , then

$$\Theta = \bar{\partial} \partial \log |s|^2.$$

## Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial} \partial \log |s|^2 &= \bar{\partial} \partial (\log g_\alpha + \log s_\alpha + \log \bar{s}_\alpha) \\ &= \bar{\partial} \partial \log g_\alpha + \bar{\partial} \partial \log s_\alpha + \bar{\partial} \partial \log \bar{s}_\alpha \\ &= \bar{\partial} \partial \log g_\alpha + 0 + 0 \\ &= \Theta. \end{aligned}$$



## Theorem (Gauss-Bonnet)

*Suppose  $D$  is a divisor on a compact Riemann surface  $M$ ,  $g$  is an Hermite metric on the holomorphic line bundle  $\lambda(D)$ , then*

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \int_M \Theta = \deg(D) = \chi(L) - \frac{1}{2}\chi(M),$$

*where  $\chi(L)$  is the Euler-characteristic number of the bundle  $L$ ,  $\chi(M)$  is the Euler number of the surface  $M$ .*



# Gauss-Bonnet Theorem

## Proof.

Suppose  $L = \lambda(D)$ ,  $D = \sum_i n_i p_i$ ,  $\lambda : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  is a homomorphism, hence

$$\lambda(D) = \lambda\left(\sum_i n_i p_i\right) = \sum_i n_i \lambda(p_i).$$

$c_1 : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow H_{dR}^2(M, \mathbb{C})$  is also homomorphism,

$$c_1(\lambda(D)) = \sum_i n_i c_1(\lambda(p_i)).$$

Therefore

$$\int_M c_1(\lambda(D)) = \sum_i n_i \int_M c_1(\lambda(p_i)).$$

It is sufficient to prove

$$\int_M c_1(\lambda(p)) = 1.$$

# Gauss-Bonnet Theorem

## Proof.

By lemma of divisor of holomorphic line bundle, there is a meromorphic section  $s$  of  $\lambda(p)$ ,  $(s) = p$ , so  $s$  is a holomorphic section,  $s \in \Gamma_h(\lambda(p))$ ,  $s$  has a simple zero at  $p$ , and

$$s(q) \neq 0, \quad \forall q \neq p. \quad (1)$$

Suppose  $p \in U_\alpha$ ,  $s_\alpha = ze_\alpha$ , where  $e_\alpha$  is a holomorphic section nowhere zero on  $U_\alpha$ .  $z$  is a holomorphic function on  $U_\alpha$  with a simple zero  $p$ ,  $z(p) = 0$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume  $z$  is a coordinate function on  $U_\alpha$ . Let

$$B(\delta) = \{x \in U_\alpha \mid |z(x)| < \delta\},$$

By Eqn. (1),  $s$  is non-zero on  $U_\alpha - B(\delta)$ , □

# Gauss-Bonnet Theorem

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M c_1(\lambda(p)) &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \int_M \Theta = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{M-B(\delta)} \Theta \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{M-B(\delta)} \bar{\partial} \partial \log |s|^2 \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{M-B(\delta)} (\partial + \bar{\partial}) \partial \log |s|^2 \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{M-B(\delta)} d \partial \log |s|^2 \\ &= -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial B(\delta)} \partial \log |s|^2\end{aligned}$$



# Gauss-Bonnet Theorem

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M c_1(\lambda(p)) &= -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial B(\delta)} \partial \log |s|^2 \\ &= -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial B(\delta)} \partial \log z + \partial \log \bar{z} + \partial \log |e_\alpha|^2\end{aligned}$$

$\partial \log \bar{z} = 0$ .  $\log |e_\alpha|^2$  is a  $C^\infty$  function, hence

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial B(\delta)} \partial \log |e_\alpha|^2 = 0.$$



# Gauss-Bonnet Theorem

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M c_1(\lambda(p)) &= -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial B(\delta)} \partial \log z \\ &= -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial B(\delta)} \frac{dz}{z} \\ &= -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} (2\pi \sqrt{-1}) \\ &= 1.\end{aligned}$$



## Characteristic Class

- $\lambda(D_1)$  and  $\lambda(D_2)$  are differential isomorphic, iff they have the same Chern class,  $\deg(D_1) = \deg(D_2)$ ;
- $\lambda(D_1)$  and  $\lambda(D_2)$  are holomorphic isomorphic, iff  $D_1 - D_2 = (f)$  for a meromorphic function  $f \in \mathfrak{M}(M)$ .

This explains the difference between cross fieldes and quad-meshes on a surface. There is a cross field on a torus with two singularities with indices  $+1$  and  $-1$  respectively; there is no quad-mesh on a torus with two singularities with valence 3 and 5 respectively.