Yet Another Programming Language

Vertalerbouw

N. ten Veen s1223631 Leijdsweg 15 Wybren Kortstra s1209531 Leijdsweg 15

July 8, 2014

Contents

1	Intr	roduction	3					
2	Short Description							
3	Problems and Solutions							
	3.1	ANTRL4 and $LL(1)$	5					
	3.2	Optional return statement for compound expression	5					
4	Syntax							
	4.1	Example program	6					
	4.2	Terminal symbols	6					
	4.3	Nonterminal symbols	6					
	4.4	Production Rules	8					
5	Contextual constraints							
	5.1	Statement	9					
	5.2	Declaration	9					
	5.3	Expression	9					
6	Semantics 1							
	6.1	Statement	11					
	6.2	Declaration	11					
	6.3	Expression	11					
7	Translation rules 13							
	7.1	Program	13					
	7.2	Statement	14					
	7.3	Declaration	14					
	7.4	Expression	15					
8	Code description 19							
	8.1	Tool	19					
	8.2	Visitors	19					
	8.3	Typing	20					
	8.4	Error Reporting	20					
	8.5	Other classes	20					
	8.6	AST node data	21					

9	Testing	22
10	Conclusion	23
\mathbf{A}	ANTLR4 grammar	24
В	ANTLR3 grammar	25

Introduction

The goal of this project is to create a compiler for our own programming language. The compiler is a multipass compiler: The Abstract Syntax Tree(AST) is traversed multiple times to compile a program.

Yet Another Programming Language, later on referred to as YAPL, is created with the purpose to gain a better understanding of translators and programming languages.

In this report we will explain in detail how YAPL is built and works. The compiler uses multiple passes to create the machine code. The input program first goes through a lexer that converts the character stream of the program to a token stream. This token stream is then given to a parser that converts the token stream to an AST. This AST is then visited by a context checker to verify if the contextual constraints of the language are met. Finally the AST is visited by a code generator that generates machine code by traversing the AST. The lexer and parser are generated by ANTLR4. The code generator generates JVM assembly that is then assembled to JVM bytecode.

Finally, there are some tests. The tests use all the functionality of YAPL and tests correct and incorrect programs. For the correct programs the tests verify if these programs are correctly compiled and compile to correct and efficient byte code. The incorrect programs verify that the compiler reports human readable errors.

Short Description

YAPL is a simple imperative programming language. The language is quite practical for smaller programs, but has also something to offer for the more skilled programmer.

YAPL is the abbreviation for Yet Another Programming Language. The syntax of YAPL is based on Scala and Java, there are also other programming language that have constructions like YAPL, but these constructions are either in Scala or Java. YAPL has the following programming constructions.

The language grammar is defined in an ANTLR4 grammar. The language is LL(1). For extra proof that the language is LL(1), an ANTLR3 specification of the language is included with the LL(1) limit in Appendix B.

- declaration: Variables and constants can be declared
 - constants: defined with a default value that does not change
 - variables: declaration of variable name with its type
- assignment: an expression which assigns a value to a variable and returns the result.
- compound expressions: expressions that open a local scope in which multiple declarations and expressions can be defined. A compound expression returns the last expression in the compound block.
- conditional expression: based on a condition, either a true expression or false expression is evaluated.
- while expression: as long as a predicate is true, an expression is evaluated.
- binary expressions: expressions that operate a binary function on two expressions.
- i/o functions: functions to read and write to standard in and out.

Problems and Solutions

During the development of this language we ran into some problems. This problems were not always trivial and we will describe here the problem and the solution. Hopefully this can help you to understand certain decisions and help you when you develop your own language or extend our language.

3.1 ANTRL4 and LL(1)

In ANTLR3 it was very simple to check if your language was ll(1), in the options you set k to 1. If the language would need to have a look-ahead of more then 1 ANTLR3 would give an error. ANTLR4 however does not have this option anymore.

A solution could be to check if the function adaptivePredict exists in the java source. Another option is to copy paste the ANTLR4 grammar into ANTLR3 and set the option k=1. The ANTLR4 grammar is almost equal to ANTLR3 except for some minor things like the options and trimming the whitespace. For this reason we included the ANTLR3 specification of our language. It can be found in Appendix B.

3.2 Optional return statement for compound expression

The while statement is of type void. Because usually the body of a while expression contains a compound expression, we have chosen to force this type of expression for the body of a while statement. This gives the added benefit that the while statement does not need a closing token to keep it LL(1) since the curly braces of a compound expression already captures this. Because the last expression of a compound expression should be a expression, this could give some problems with certain statements unable to be executed. Therefore we chose to lift this limitation and instead have a return type of Void for a compound expression if the last statement is not an expression.

Syntax

4.1 Example program

A YAPL program could look something like this.

```
var i: int;
const c = 4;
i = 7;
print(if i=c then c else i);
```

This is a trivial program and does nothing interesting.

4.2 Terminal symbols

The terminal symbols of YAPL include:

4.3 Nonterminal symbols

The nonterminal symbols of YAPL include: $\,$

yapl (start symbol) statement

declaration

 ${\it expression} \qquad {\it or Expr} \qquad {\it and Expr}$

 ${\it compare Expr} \qquad {\it plus Minus Expr} \quad {\it mult Div Mod Expr} \quad {\it primary Expr}$

opCompare opMultDivMod opPlusMinus

exprBlock typeDenoter

operand id letter digit

4.4 Production Rules

yapl	::=	statement*	(1.1)
statement	::=	(declaration expression);	(1.2)
declaration	::=	<pre>var id : typeDenoter const id = expression</pre>	(1.3a) (1.3b)
expression	::=	orExpr $(= expression)$?	(1.4)
orExpr	::=	and Expr (and Expr)*	(1.5)
${\rm andExpr}$::=	compare Expr $(\&\&\ {\rm compareExpr})^*$	(1.6)
opCompare	::=	> >= < <= == ! =	(1.7)
${\bf compare Expr}$::=	$plusMinusExpr\ (opCompare\ plusMinusExpr)*$	(1.8)
opPlusMinus	::=	+ -	(1.9)
plusMinusExpr	::=	multDivModExpr (opPlusMinus multDivModExpr)*	(1.9)
${\it opMultDivMod}$::=	* / %	(1.10)
${\bf mult} {\bf Div} {\bf Mod} {\bf Expr}$::=	$primaryExpr\ (opMultDivMod\ primaryExpr)*$	(1.11)
primaryExpr	::=	(+ - !)? operand	(1.12)
operand	::=	$id ((expression (, expression)^*)?))?$	(1.13a)
		number	(1.13b)
		(letter digit)*	(1.13c)
		(expression)	(1.13d)
		true	(1.13e)
		false	(1.13f)
		${\it if}$ expression ${\it then}$ expression (${\it else}$ expression)? ${\it end}$	(1.13g)
		exprBlock	(1.13h)
		while expression exprBlock	(1.13i)
exprBlock	::=	{ statement* (return expression;)? }	(1.13j)
${\it type Denoter}$::=	id	(1.14)
id	::=	letter (letter digit)*	(1.15)
letter	::= 	$egin{aligned} [m{a} - m{z}] \ [m{A} - m{Z}] \end{aligned}$	(1.16a) (1.16b)
digit	::=	[0-9]	(1.17)

Contextual constraints

5.1 Statement

• When closing a scope the context checker checks if every declared variable is used in the scope. If a variable is unused the checker will give a warning.

5.2 Declaration

- In var I: T, I cannot be declared twice on the same scope level.
- In const I = E, I cannot be declared twice on the same scope level.
- Before a variable can be used it must first be declared.

5.3 Expression

- In OE (= E)?, if = exists then expression E must be of the same type as the expression OE and OE must be an identifier.
- In if E then EI (else EE)?, E must be of type Boolean. If there is an else clause EI and EE must be of the same type.
- In while E EB, E must be of type Boolean.
- In E_1 (0 E_2)*, if O is of type + |-|/|*|% then E1 and E2 must be of type Int.
- In OP O, O must be of type Boolean if OP is !. If OP is $+ \mid$ then O must be of type Int.
- In E_1 0 E_2 , if O is of type $\xi \mid \xi = | \xi | = | !=$ then E_1 and E_2 must both be of the same type.
- ullet In E_1 AND E_2 and E_1 OR E_2 E_1 and E_2 must both be of type Boolean.

• In $F(E_1, E_2, E_N)$, if F must be read or write. The number of arguments of both these functions must be 1 or more. The type of the arguments may not be Void or Error.

Semantics

6.1 Statement

- A declaration statement D is elaborated.
- A expression statement E is evaluated and the result is discarded.

6.2 Declaration

- A declaration I: T is elaborated by binding a new variable I to type T. The initial value of I is the zero value of type T.
- A declaration I = E is elaborated by binding expression E to I. If the expression is known at compile time, an occurrence of I will be substituted with the result of E. If the result of E is not known at compile time, E is evaluated and bound to I.

6.3 Expression

- A number literal N yields the integer value of N.
- A character literal C yields the character value of C.
- A boolean literal B yields the boolean value of B
- A parentheses expression (E) evaluates E.
- A conditional if B then E_1 else E_2 is evaluated by evaluating B. If this expression yields true, then E_1 is evaluated. If B yields false, E_2 is evaluated. If the types of E_1 and E_2 do not match, the evaluated expression is discarded.
- A conditional if B then E_1 is evaluated by evaluating B. If this expression yields true, then E_1 is evaluated and the result is discarded.

- ullet an expression block SL E is evaluated by executing all statements SL and if E is given, E is evaluated.
- a while expression while B do EB is evaluated by evaluating B. if this yields true, EB is evaluated. Whenever EB yields a result, this result is discarded. This is repeated until B yields false.
- An assignment I = E is evaluated by evaluating E and binding it to E. The result of the assignment is E.
- \bullet A unary expression 0~E is evaluated by evaluating E and applying O(E).
- A binary expression E_1 0 E_2 is evaluated by applying $O(E_1, E_2)$
- A variable expression V yields the value identified by V.

Translation rules

7.1 Program

```
run[S*] = \\ class\_header \\ for each S_i in S: \\ execute S_i \\ class\_footer
```

A program is a sequence of statements. First, a header is emitted that is required by the class format, then the statements are executed and finally a class footer is emitted.

```
class_header [name] =
   .class public [name]
   .super java/lang/Object

.method public static main([Ljava/lang/String;)V
   .limit stack 512
   .limit locals 512

class_footer[] =
   return
   .end method
   readFunctions[]
```

The code function read_functions is rather verbose. The read functions are generated by writing these code functions in Java and compiling them to JVM bytecode. this file is then disassembled and the assembly instruction are included as the code function readFunctions. The following Java functions were written for the read functions:

```
private static int readInt(){
          try{
                return Integer.parseInt(readLine());
}
```

```
} catch(NumberFormatException e){
              return 0;
       }
}
private static String readLine(){
       BufferedReader reader = new BufferedReader(new
           InputStreamReader(System.in));
              return reader.readLine();
       } catch(IOException e){
              return "";
}
private static char readChar(){
       String s = readLine();
       return s.length() > 0 ? s.charAt(0) : '\0';
private static boolean readBoolean(){
       String s = readLine().toLowerCase();
       return s.equals("true");
}
```

7.2 Statement

```
execute[D] = elaborate D
```

A declaration statement simply elaborates the declaration.

```
\begin{array}{ll} execute \, [E] &= \\ evaluate \, \, E \\ pop & \quad \textbf{if} \  \, type \, (E) \  \, not \  \, Void \end{array}
```

An expression statement first evaluates the expression. When the type of the expression is not Void, the result is popped off the stack prevent unused values from staying on the stack.

7.3 Declaration

A variable declaration initializes the variable with the zero value of that type. The variable offset is determined in the context checking phase.

```
elaborate [ {f const} I = E] = {f if} E cannot be computed at compile time evaluate E istore i where i = variable offset of I
```

A constant declaration only generates code when a constant cannot be computed at compile time. Whenever such a constant is declared, the constant expression is evaluated and assigned to variable I at the given offset.

7.4 Expression

An integer constant pushes its integer value on the stack

```
\begin{array}{lll} evaluate \, [C] \\ & ldc \, c \end{array} \qquad \qquad where \, \, c \, = \, integer \, \, code \, \, of \, \, C \\ \end{array}
```

A Character constant pushes the charcode of the Character on the stack

A Boolean constant pushes a 1 on the stack when it is true and a 0 when it is false

```
evaluate [(E)] = evaluate E
```

A parentheses expression simply evaluates the expression within parentheses.

```
evaluate [ if B then E_1 else E_2 ] \\ iconst_1 \\ evaluate B \\ if_icmpne \\ evaluate E_1 \\ goto h \\ g: \\ evaluate E_2 \\ h:
```

The conditional is compared to 1. When it is not equal, it jumps to the false expression. The other case evaluates the true expression and jumps over the false expression

The conditional is compared to 1. When it is not equal, it jumps over the true expression. Whenever the type of the expression is not void, the value needs to be popped off the stack since it is not used anymore.

```
 \begin{array}{lll} \text{evaluate} \left[ \mathbf{S} * \ \mathbf{E} \right] &= \\ \text{for each} \ S_i \ \text{in} \ \mathbf{S} \colon \\ \text{execute} \ S_i \\ \text{evaluate} \ \mathbf{E} & \ \textbf{if} \ \mathbf{E} \ \text{is not null} \end{array}
```

An expression block executes all statements and finally the return expression, if it is given.

```
\begin{array}{l} evaluate \left[ \ I = E \right] = \\ evaluate \ E \\ dup \\ istore \ i \end{array} \qquad \text{where} \ i = variable \ offset \ of \ I \end{array}
```

An assignment evaluates the expression, then duplicates it to allow it to store it to I and return it as a result

```
evaluate[-E] =
  evaluate E
  ineg
```

The unary negate operator first evaluates E, then negates it

```
evaluate [!E] =
  evaluate E
  iconst_1
  ixor
```

The unary not operator xors the expression with 1 to invert it.

```
evaluate [E_1 	ext{ O } E_2) =
evaluate E_1
evaluate E_2
call O
```

All binary expressions except && and || are evaluated by first evaluating the left and right expressions and then call the specific operator function

```
egin{array}{lll} {
m evaluate} & E_1 & \&\& E_2 \ {
m evaluate} & E_1 \ {
m iconst\_1} & {
m if\_icmpeq} & {
m g} \ {
m iconst\_0} & {
m goto} & {
m i} \ {
m g:} & {
m evaluate} & E_2 \ {
m iconst\_1} & {
m if\_icmpeq} & {
m h} \ {
m iconst\_0} & {
m goto} & {
m i} \ {
m h:} & {
m iconst\_1} \ {
m i:} \ {
m iconst\_1} \ {
m i:} & {
m iconst\_1} \ {
m i:} \ {
m iconst\_1} \ {
m i:} \ {
m iconst\_1} \ {
m i:} \ {
m iconst\_2} \ {
m icons\_2} \ {
m icons2} \ {
m icons2} \ {
m icon
```

The and operator is a short circuiting and operator, that is whenever the first expression evaluates to false, the second expression is not evaluated at all. Whenever the first expression matches false it immediately jumps over the second expression. If the first expression is true, then the second expression is also evaluated. Whenever both are true, a 1 is placed on the stack. Whenever at least one expression is false, a 0 is placed on the stack.

```
\begin{array}{lll} \operatorname{evaluate} \left[E_1 \mid \mid E_2\right] = \\ & \operatorname{evaluate} \ E_1 \\ & \operatorname{iconst}\_1 \\ & \operatorname{if\_icmpne} \ g \\ & \operatorname{iconst}\_1 \\ & \operatorname{goto} \ i \\ g \colon \\ & \operatorname{evaluate} \ E_2 \\ & \operatorname{iconst}\_1 \\ & \operatorname{if\_icmpne} \ h \\ & \operatorname{iconst}\_1 \\ & \operatorname{goto} \ i \\ h \colon \\ & \operatorname{iconst}\_0 \\ & i \colon \end{array}
```

The or operator is also short circuiting. Whenever the first expression evaluates to true, the second expression is not evaluated at all. If the first expression evaluates to true it immediately jumps over the second expression. If the first expression is false then it jumps to the second expression and that one is also evaluated. When at least one expression evaluates to true, a 1 is placed on the stack. When neither expressions are true, a 0 is placed on the stack.

A variable expression or constant that is unknown at compile time loads the current value of the variable with the offset of I.

Whenever the value of a variable is already known at compile time, the value is loaded on the stack directly.

```
\begin{array}{lll} \operatorname{evaluate} \left[ \begin{array}{lll} \operatorname{while} & E_1 & \operatorname{do} & E_2 \end{array} \right] &= \\ & \operatorname{goto} & \operatorname{h} \\ & \operatorname{g:} \\ & \operatorname{evaluate} & E_2 \\ & \operatorname{pop} & & \operatorname{if} & \operatorname{type} \left( E_2 \right) & \operatorname{not} & \operatorname{Void} \\ & \operatorname{h:} & & \\ & \operatorname{iconst} \_1 \\ & \operatorname{evaluate} & E_1 \\ & \operatorname{if} \_\operatorname{icmpeq} & \operatorname{g} \end{array}
```

A while expression first jumps over the body expression. The conditional is evaluated and whenever it is true, it jumps back to the body expression.

Code description

8.1 Tool

The Tool class is the main class that is used by the compiler. The main function takes input arguments. This is the usage of the tool:

```
[optionals] input_file
```

where [optionals] is any or multiple of the following:

-o outfile \rightarrow output file to write the jvm by tecode to -d dotfile \rightarrow generate dotfile (not implemented yet)

 $-a \rightarrow assmeble the program$

 \rightarrow prints a textual representation of the AST

8.2 Visitors

Because ANTLR4 is used, visitors can be used to traverse the AST. This visitor pattern can be used for more than only context checking and code generation. In our implementation we defined some more visitors that each implement their own functionality. This is a great way to achieve seperation of concerns. The following visitors are implemented and are used during the context checking phase:

- YAPLTypeVisitor visits an expression and retrieves the Type of the expression that is being visited. The return type is yapl.typing.Type.
- IsIdentifierVisitor visits an expression and checks if the visited expression is an identifier expression. This visitor is used to guarantee that the left-hand side of an assignment is an identifier. The return type is Boolean

 $^{^{1}(}http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Separation_of_concerns)$

• ConstantExpressionVisitor visits an expression and tries to reduce the expression to a constant expression. At compile time, an expression can either be fully known or needs runtime information to be fully known. This visitor returns a yapl.context.ConstantExpression that defines the constant expression type.

8.3 Typing

YAPL uses yapl.typing.Type to define a type for an expression. A type has a kind and a spelling. The typing is applied during the contextual analysis and this typing information is used by the code generator to generate appropriate code for the different types.

8.4 Error Reporting

YAPL uses the ErrorReporter class to keep track of all the errors and warnings that are generated during compilation. ErrorReporter can be decorated with several Consumers. A consumer is simply a function that is executed if an error is reporter to the ErrorReporter. By default, Tool has an ErrorReporter with a Consumer that prints the error to standard error.

ErrorReporter has delegate classes that give an easier overview of all possible errors that can be thrown. whenever a context error should be called, a call to reporter.context() retrieves a ErrorReporterContextTypeDelegate that contains methods for more specialized errors that can only be thrown during the context phase. This method localizes all different error types to a single delegate class instead of scattering different error messages around in several visitor classes.

8.5 Other classes

SymbolTable

SymbolTable is used by the context checker to check the declarations and variable expressions. It supports multiple levels of scoping and variable names can be reused whenever a new scope level is opened.

LabelGenerator

LabelGenerator is used by the code generator to generate unique labels that are used by different goto and jump operations

JasminHelper

This class is used to enable the Jasmin assembler to assemble the files to a different path. The standard Jasmin tool does not allow this.

MainRunner

Mainrunner allows generated classes to be executed within the compiler. This class is more of a convenience class to make debugging easier and integrate all steps of compilation, including actually running the file. This class does make use of reflection, so it is not suitable to use in a production environment.

8.6 AST node data

Several AST nodes store extra information during different compilation steps to allow compilation to run more efficient. The following AST nodes contain extra information:

- expression contains the Type of the expression. The type is determined during the contextual analysis phase and is used in the code generator to generate code depending on the underlying type of the expression.
- declaration contains a List of IdEntry. This list stores all the links of declarations to the IdEntries that where created while building up the SymbolTable in the contextual analysis phase. IdEntry contains the information needed to generate code for that declaration.
- id contains the IdEntry that was created while the SymbolTable was built during the contextual analysis phase. This information is used during codegeneration to determine what type of variable is and where to load the value from.

Testing

Conclusion

Appendix A

ANTLR4 grammar

Appendix B

ANTLR3 grammar