Experiment 3: Main Analyses

Bethany Gardner

2022-07-07

Contents

Setup	1
Data Summary	2
Model 1: With Other Responses	3
Odds Ratios: Intercept	4
Odds Ratios: Last vs First+Full	5
Odds Ratios: Last Only	5
Odds Ratios: First and Full Only	6
Model 2: Without Other Responses	8
Odds Ratios: Intercept	9
Odds Ratios: Last vs First+Full	9
Odds Ratios: Last Only	10
Odds Ratios: First and Full Only	11

Setup

Variable names:

- Experiment: exp3
- Type
 - d = data
 - m = model
 - est = log odds estimate from model
 - OR = odds ratio converted from est
- Analysis
 - count =sums of response types
 - all = including other responses

- noOther = excluding *other* responses
- Subset

\$ Item

\$ He

\$ She

\$ Other

##

##

- FF = First and Full Name conditions only
- Last = Last Name condition only

: int

: int

Load data and select columns used in model. See data/exp3 data about.txt for more details.

\$ GenderRating: num 5.22 1.24 5.86 3.75 6.78 4.34 2.41 6.24 2.61 6.82 ...

: int 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 ...

0 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 ...

1001000100...

: Factor w/ 63 levels "Ashley Cook",..: 6 9 13 43 47 52 62 2 16 20 ...

Center gender rating for names: Original scale from 1 to 7, with 1 as most masculine and 7 as most feminine. Mean-centered with higher still as more feminine.

Set contrasts for name conditions, now weighted to account for uneven sample sizes. This uses Scott Fraundorf's function for weighted contrasts. (The psycholing package version doesn't support doing 2v1 comparisons, only 1v1.) Condition1 is Last vs First+Full. Condition2 is First vs Full.

```
source("centerfactor.R")
contrasts(exp3_d$Condition) <- centerfactor(
  exp3_d$Condition, c("last","first"))
contrasts(exp3_d$Condition)</pre>
```

```
## [,1] [,2]
## first 0.4009434 -0.48113208
## full 0.4009434 0.51886792
## last -0.5990566 0.01886792
```

Data Summary

Responses by condition.

Condition	Не	Other	She	She_HeOther	She_He
first	992	902	941	0.497	0.949
full	899	752	848	0.514	0.943
last	1378	1113	1079	0.433	0.783

Model 1: With *Other* Responses

Min

1Q Median

-3.0250 -0.4836 -0.1394 0.5355

Effects of Condition (first name, last name, full name) and Gender Rating on the likelihood of a *she* response, as opposed to a *he* or *other* response. Participant and Item are included as random intercepts, with items defined as the unique first, last and first + last name combinations. Because the condition manipulations were fully between-subject and between-item, fitting a random slope model was not possible.

Because Experiment 3 always introduces the character with a full name, then manipulates the name form in the subsequent 3 references, the main analysis is one model, as opposed to the 2 for Experiment 1.

Condition is the contrast between last and first+full. Condition is the contrast between first and full.

```
exp3_m_all <- glmer(</pre>
  She ~ Condition * GenderRatingCentered +
    (1|Participant) + (1|Item),
  data=exp3_d, family=binomial)
summary(exp3_m_all)
## Generalized linear mixed model fit by maximum likelihood (Laplace
##
     Approximation) [glmerMod]
##
    Family: binomial (logit)
## Formula: She ~ Condition * GenderRatingCentered + (1 | Participant) +
##
       (1 | Item)
##
      Data: exp3_d
##
##
        AIC
                       logLik deviance df.resid
     7825.8
              7882.5 -3904.9
                                 7809.8
##
                                            8896
##
## Scaled residuals:
```

Max

9.7282

```
##
## Random effects:
                           Variance Std.Dev.
  Groups
               Name
## Participant (Intercept) 0.7931
                                     0.8905
                (Intercept) 0.4209
                                     0.6488
## Number of obs: 8904, groups: Participant, 1272; Item, 63
## Fixed effects:
##
                                   Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept)
                                              0.10101 -15.090
                                                                 <2e-16 ***
                                  -1.52419
## Condition1
                                   0.15325
                                              0.09155
                                                         1.674
                                                                 0.0941 .
## Condition2
                                   0.09120
                                              0.11596
                                                         0.786
                                                                 0.4316
## GenderRatingCentered
                                   1.14844
                                              0.06039 19.017
                                                                 <2e-16 ***
## Condition1:GenderRatingCentered 0.10499
                                                         2.153
                                              0.04875
                                                                 0.0313 *
## Condition2:GenderRatingCentered -0.05627
                                              0.06294 -0.894
                                                                 0.3713
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
               (Intr) Cndtn1 Cndtn2 GndrRC C1:GRC
##
## Condition1
               0.000
## Condition2 -0.015 0.023
## GndrRtngCnt -0.287 -0.004 0.016
## Cndtn1:GnRC -0.009 -0.495 0.000 0.025
## Cndtn2:GnRC 0.016 0.000 -0.488 -0.023 0.009
```

- Fewer she responses overall
- Last Name vs First+Full Names condition effect only trending
- More she responses as first names become more feminine
- Larger effect of first name gender in First+Full Name conditions than in Last Name conditions, which makes sense because there are 4 repetitions of the gendered first name, as opposed to only 1.

Odds Ratios: Intercept

```
exp3_est_all_intercept <- exp3_m_all %>%
  tidy() %>%
  filter(term=="(Intercept)") %>%
  select(estimate) %>% as.numeric()

exp(exp3_est_all_intercept)

## [1] 0.2177981

## [1] 4.591408
```

```
#Save this for the table comparing all 4 experiments
exp3_OR_all_I <- exp(-exp3_est_all_intercept) %>% round(2)
```

0.22x less likely to use she overall. Easier to interpret: 4.59x more likely to use he and other overall, p<.001

Odds Ratios: Last vs First+Full

```
exp3_est_all_LFF <- exp3_m_all %>%
  tidy() %>%
  filter(term=="Condition1") %>%
  select(estimate) %>% as.numeric()
exp(exp3_est_all_LFF)
```

[1] 1.165616

```
#Save this for the table comparing all 4 experiments
exp3_OR_all_LFF <- exp(exp3_est_all_LFF) %>% round(2)
```

1.17x more likely to use she than he and other in First + Full compared to Last, 0.09

Odds Ratios: Last Only

Dummy code with Last Name as 0, so that intercept is the Last Name condition only.

```
exp3_d %<>% mutate(Condition_Last=case_when(
   Condition=="first" ~ 1,
   Condition=="full" ~ 1,
   Condition=="last" ~ 0))
exp3_d$Condition_Last %<>% as.factor()
```

```
exp3_m_all_L <- glmer(
   She ~ Condition_Last + (1|Participant) + (1|Item),
   data=exp3_d, family=binomial)
summary(exp3_m_all_L)</pre>
```

```
## Generalized linear mixed model fit by maximum likelihood (Laplace
     Approximation) [glmerMod]
## Family: binomial (logit)
## Formula: She ~ Condition_Last + (1 | Participant) + (1 | Item)
##
     Data: exp3_d
##
##
       AIC
                BIC
                      logLik deviance df.resid
##
    7962.3
             7990.7 -3977.1
                               7954.3
                                           8900
##
## Scaled residuals:
      Min
                1Q Median
                                3Q
##
## -2.9131 -0.4946 -0.1440 0.5311 8.8113
##
```

```
## Random effects:
                           Variance Std.Dev.
## Groups
               Name
## Participant (Intercept) 0.7738
                                     0.8796
               (Intercept) 5.3393
                                     2.3107
## Number of obs: 8904, groups: Participant, 1272; Item, 63
##
## Fixed effects:
##
                   Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept)
                  -1.74419
                              0.30132 -5.788 7.1e-09 ***
## Condition_Last1 0.24968
                              0.07806 3.198 0.00138 **
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##
               (Intr)
## Condtn_Lst1 -0.160
exp3_est_all_L <- exp3_m_all_L %>%
  tidy() %>%
  filter(term=="(Intercept)") %>%
  select(estimate) %>% as.numeric()
exp(exp3_est_all_L)
## [1] 0.1747868
exp(-exp3_est_all_L)
## [1] 5.721256
#Save this for the table comparing all 4 experiments
exp3_OR_all_L <- exp(-exp3_est_all_L) %>%
 round(2)
```

0.18x times less likely to use *she* than *he* and *other* in the Last Name condition -> 5.72x more likely to use *he* and *other* in the Last Name condition, p<.001

Odds Ratios: First and Full Only

Dummy code with First and Full Name as 0, so the intercept is the combination of those two.

```
exp3_d %<>% mutate(Condition_FF=case_when(
    Condition=="first" ~ 0,
    Condition=="full" ~ 0,
    Condition=="last" ~ 1))
exp3_d$Condition_FF %<>% as.factor()

exp3_m_all_FF <- glmer(
    She ~ Condition_FF + (1|Participant) + (1|Item),
    data=exp3_d, family=binomial)
summary(exp3_m_all_FF)</pre>
```

```
## Generalized linear mixed model fit by maximum likelihood (Laplace
##
     Approximation) [glmerMod]
   Family: binomial (logit)
## Formula: She ~ Condition_FF + (1 | Participant) + (1 | Item)
     Data: exp3_d
##
##
##
        AIC
                 BIC
                      logLik deviance df.resid
     7962.3
              7990.7 -3977.1
##
                                7954.3
                                           8900
##
## Scaled residuals:
      Min
               1Q Median
                                3Q
                                       Max
  -2.9131 -0.4946 -0.1440 0.5311 8.8113
##
##
## Random effects:
  Groups
                            Variance Std.Dev.
   Participant (Intercept) 0.7738
                                     0.8796
                (Intercept) 5.3393
                                     2.3107
## Number of obs: 8904, groups: Participant, 1272; Item, 63
##
## Fixed effects:
##
                 Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
                -1.49451
                             0.29912 -4.996 5.84e-07 ***
## (Intercept)
## Condition_FF1 -0.24967
                             0.07807 -3.198 0.00138 **
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
               (Intr)
## Conditn_FF1 -0.100
exp3_est_all_FF <- exp3_m_all_FF %>%
 tidy() %>%
  filter(term=="(Intercept)") %>%
  select(estimate) %>% as.numeric()
exp(exp3_est_all_FF)
## [1] 0.2243583
exp(-exp3_est_all_FF)
## [1] 4.457156
#Save this for the table comparing all 4 experiments
exp3_OR_all_FF <- exp(-exp3_est_all_FF) %>%
round(2)
```

0.22x times less likely to use *she* than *he* and *other* in the First and Full Name conditions ->4.46x more likely to use *he* and *other* in the First and Full Name conditions, p<.001

Model 2: Without *Other* Responses

The sentence completion prompt for Experiment 3 is more open-ended than in Experiment 1. So, we get a much higher proportion of *other* responses (31% vs 7%), which I didn't anticipate.

```
sum(exp3_d$0ther)

## [1] 2767

sum(exp3_d$0ther)/length(exp3_d$0ther)

## [1] 0.3107592

exp3_d_no0ther <- exp3_d %>% filter(0ther==0)
```

So, rerun the main model predicting the likelihood of she responses vs he responses, with other responses excluded.

```
exp3_m_no0ther <- glmer(
   She ~ Condition * GenderRatingCentered +
      (1|Participant) + (1|Item),
   data=exp3_d_no0ther, family=binomial)
summary(exp3_m_no0ther)</pre>
```

```
## Generalized linear mixed model fit by maximum likelihood (Laplace
##
     Approximation) [glmerMod]
   Family: binomial (logit)
## Formula: She ~ Condition * GenderRatingCentered + (1 | Participant) +
       (1 | Item)
##
##
     Data: exp3_d_noOther
##
##
        ATC
                BIC
                       logLik deviance df.resid
##
     4209.0
             4262.8 -2096.5
                               4193.0
##
## Scaled residuals:
                               3Q
##
      Min
               1Q Median
  -9.0292 -0.3424 -0.0521 0.2952 12.5649
##
##
## Random effects:
##
  Groups
               Name
                            Variance Std.Dev.
   Participant (Intercept) 0.5394
                                     0.7345
                (Intercept) 0.6807
                                     0.8251
## Number of obs: 6137, groups: Participant, 1223; Item, 63
##
## Fixed effects:
                                  Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
                                              0.12377 -3.423 0.000619 ***
## (Intercept)
                                   -0.42366
## Condition1
                                   0.25702
                                              0.09784
                                                         2.627 0.008616 **
## Condition2
                                  -0.01455
                                              0.12816 -0.114 0.909584
## GenderRatingCentered
                                   1.67709
                                              0.08371 20.034 < 2e-16 ***
## Condition1:GenderRatingCentered 0.41953
                                              0.07691 5.455 4.9e-08 ***
```

These results are more similar to what we predicted from the previous experiments:

- Fewer she responses overall
- Fewer *she* responses in the Last Name condition as compared to the First + Full Name conditions (although we wouldn't predict as large as a difference as in Exp1, because here there is one instance of the first name in the Last Name condition)
- More she responses as first names become more feminine
- Larger effect of first name gender in First+Full Name conditions than in Last Name conditions (which makes sense because there are 4repetitions of the gendered first name, as opposed to only 1.)

But, to keep the analyses consistent between experiments and avoid post-hoc decision weirdness, both versions are reported.

Odds Ratios: Intercept

```
exp3_est_noOther_intercept <- exp3_m_noOther %>%
    tidy() %>%
    filter(term=="(Intercept)") %>%
    select(estimate) %>% as.numeric()

exp(exp3_est_noOther_intercept)

## [1] 0.6546471

exp(-exp3_est_noOther_intercept)

## [1] 1.527541

#Save this for the table comparing all 4 experiments
exp3_OR_noOther_I <- exp(-exp3_est_noOther_intercept) %>%
    round(2)
```

Odds Ratios: Last vs First+Full

p < .001

0.65x less likely to use she than he overall. Easier to interpret: 1.53x more likely to use he than she overall,

```
exp3_est_noOther_LFF <- exp3_m_noOther %>%
  tidy() %>%
  filter(term=="Condition1") %>%
  select(estimate) %>% as.numeric()
exp(exp3_est_noOther_LFF)

## [1] 1.293077

#Save this for the table comparing all 4 experiments
exp3_OR_noOther_LFF <- exp(-exp3_est_noOther_LFF) %>%
  round(2)
```

1.29x more likely to use she than he in First+Full than in Last -> 1.29x more likely to use he than she in Last than in First+Full, p<.001

Odds Ratios: Last Only

##

Fixed effects:

Dummy code with Last Name as 0, so that intercept is the Last Name condition only.

```
exp3_d_noOther %<>% mutate(Condition_Last=case_when(
 Condition=="first" ~ 1,
  Condition=="full" ~ 1,
  Condition=="last" ~ 0))
exp3_d_noOther$Condition_Last %<>% as.factor()
exp3 m noOther L <- glmer(
 She ~ Condition_Last + (1|Participant) + (1|Item),
  data=exp3_d_noOther, family=binomial)
summary(exp3_m_no0ther_L)
## Generalized linear mixed model fit by maximum likelihood (Laplace
     Approximation) [glmerMod]
## Family: binomial (logit)
## Formula: She ~ Condition_Last + (1 | Participant) + (1 | Item)
      Data: exp3_d_noOther
##
                 BIC logLik deviance df.resid
##
        AIC
              4410.4 -2187.8
##
     4383.5
                                4375.5
                                           6133
##
## Scaled residuals:
##
                1Q Median
      Min
                                3Q
## -7.4256 -0.3377 -0.0653 0.2875 10.2132
## Random effects:
## Groups
               Name
                            Variance Std.Dev.
## Participant (Intercept) 0.4906 0.7004
```

Item (Intercept) 10.1950 3.1930 ## Number of obs: 6137, groups: Participant, 1223; Item, 63

```
##
                   Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
                  -0.67727
                               0.41228 - 1.643
## (Intercept)
## Condition Last1 0.37418
                               0.09174
                                       4.079 4.53e-05 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##
               (Intr)
## Condtn_Lst1 -0.135
exp3_est_noOther_L <- exp3_m_noOther_L %>%
  tidy() %>%
  filter(term=="(Intercept)") %>%
  select(estimate) %>% as.numeric()
exp(exp3_est_noOther_L)
## [1] 0.5080018
exp(-exp3_est_noOther_L)
## [1] 1.968497
#Save this for the table comparing all 4 experiments
exp3_OR_noOther_L <- exp(-exp3_est_noOther_L) %>%
 round(2)
```

0.51x times less likely to use *she* than *he* in the Last Name condition -> 1.97x more likely to use *he* than *she* in the Last Name condition, p=.10

Odds Ratios: First and Full Only

Dummy code with First and Full Name as 0, so the intercept is the combination of those two.

```
exp3 m noOther FF <- glmer(
 She ~ Condition_FF + (1|Participant) + (1|Item),
  data=exp3_d_noOther, family=binomial)
summary(exp3_m_noOther_FF)
## Generalized linear mixed model fit by maximum likelihood (Laplace
##
     Approximation) [glmerMod]
##
  Family: binomial (logit)
## Formula: She ~ Condition_FF + (1 | Participant) + (1 | Item)
##
     Data: exp3_d_noOther
##
##
        AIC
                 BIC
                      logLik deviance df.resid
              4410.4 -2187.8
##
     4383.5
                                4375.5
                                           6133
##
## Scaled residuals:
##
      Min
              1Q Median
                                3Q
                                       Max
```

```
## -7.4256 -0.3377 -0.0653 0.2875 10.2132
##
## Random effects:
                           Variance Std.Dev.
  Groups
               Name
## Participant (Intercept) 0.4906 0.7004
               (Intercept) 10.1949 3.1929
## Item
## Number of obs: 6137, groups: Participant, 1223; Item, 63
##
## Fixed effects:
##
                 Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept)
                -0.30308
                            0.41003 -0.739
                            0.09174 -4.079 4.53e-05 ***
## Condition_FF1 -0.37418
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##
               (Intr)
## Conditn_FF1 -0.088
exp3_est_noOther_FF <- exp3_m_noOther_FF %>%
 tidy() %>%
 filter(term=="(Intercept)") %>%
  select(estimate) %>% as.numeric()
exp(exp3_est_no0ther_FF)
## [1] 0.7385373
exp(-exp3_est_noOther_FF)
## [1] 1.354028
#Save this for the table comparing all 4 experiments
exp3_OR_noOther_FF <- exp(-exp3_est_noOther_FF) %>%
 round(2)
```

0.74x times less likely to use *she* than *he* and *other* in the First and Full Name conditions -> 1.35x more likely to use *he* and *other* in the First and Full Name conditions, p=.46