

Exploring mathematics behind the Cody Dock bridge

Extended essay

Research Question: How can catenary curves be used in construction of non-circular, “rolling” bridges?

Word count: 3568

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Defining “smooth” rolling	4
3	Finding the road for a rolling square	8
4	Rounding the square	14
5	Finding the road for a rounded square	17
6	Finding roads for different polygonal wheels	23
7	Possible further research	28
8	Conclusion	29
	References	32
	Appendix	33
A	Euler’s approximation Python code	33

1 Introduction

Bridges are the “structures that span horizontally between supports, whose function is to carry vertical loads” (Billington et al., 2024). They allow us people to travel more easily and by this, they connect us. They have already existed for thousands of years, yet there is still so little of real innovation in their design.

That is what the Cody Dock bridge is for me: a change. For once, the simplicity of a drawbridge was overruled by (quite literally) a simple revolution. The artists and architects who designed it wanted for it to be different from the thousands or even millions of other bridges. To achieve this, they used really interesting mathematics, which I will be investigating in this essay. Those innovators created one of the most unique drawbridges (if it even can be called a “draw”bridge) in the world.



Figure 1: Bridge photo¹

However, the sheer innovation of this “structure” was not the only reason I decided to look more into it and broaden my mathematical knowledge by investigating it. I am also very interested in urban planning and architecture, which can be combined in this work with my passion for Mathematics.

I also believe, that this bridge is a sort of mathematical phenomena existing in the real

¹Photo source: <https://newatlas.com/architecture/cody-dock-rolling-bridge/>

world. It is using the generally forgotten field of catenary curves to create a functional art piece, perhaps to inspire others to explore the mathematics behind it.

The Cody Dock bridge was constructed in 2023 in East London, replacing a much older and simpler drawbridge. It was designed by architects Thomas Randall-Page and Tim Lucas. The “revolution” in this bridge is how it rotates (or “rolls”) to let boats pass under it. The whole bridge is like a wheel rolling smoothly on a perfectly built for it road. What is also interesting, that because of how little work is required to move the structure (and how rarely it needs to be turned), it is operated by a hand crank, requiring approximately 20 minutes of continuous cranking to completely roll over. (Williams, 2023; Parker, 2023) On Figure 2 below, a man in the back can be seen operating the hand crank.



Figure 2: Rolling the bridge using the hand crank²

2 Defining “smooth” rolling

In order to even start the discussion, “smooth rolling” has to be defined. If we e.g. take a circle shape and roll it on a straight surface, then it will roll “smoothly” - its centre of mass will move only horizontally and not vertically. However, when a square is rolled on

²Photo source: <https://newatlas.com/architecture/cody-dock-rolling-bridge/>

the same surface, its centre of mass will move vertically as well and hence its movement will not be “smooth”. (Morphocular, 2022; Hall and Wagon, 1992)

Even so, why is it important to roll “smoothly”? The answer is connected to physics, more specifically to the work needed to be done to roll the shape. If the figure is rolled “smoothly”, then there is no need to do work in moving the centre of mass up and down. Therefore, in the ideal world, the shape will roll without any work needed to be done (in reality, there is always some friction, so some work will always be needed to be done, but it will be very small - that is why the Cody Dock bridge can be controlled by a hand crank).

Another important property for “rolling” is that when any point of the shape is in contact with the road surface, its velocity relative to it has to be zero. Otherwise, if the point was moving while touching the road, it would be sliding and not rolling (Morphocular, 2022).

However, there must exist a surface (other than straight road) on which a square can roll “smoothly”. It will be described parametrically as follows:

$$\begin{cases} x = x(t) \\ y = y(t) \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Similarly, the square shape will also be parametrized. However, it will be parametrized in polar coordinates, as this representation will be easier to work with. The polar coordinate system is an alternative coordinate system in which 2 coordinates r and θ are used instead of x and y . r is called the radial distance and is the distance of the point from the pole point (which is a point chosen as the origin of the system). The angle θ is the angle between the polar axis (chosen arbitrarily when describing the system, usually parallel to the x-axis) and the line joining the pole and the point described calculated in a direction that is chosen when describing the system (usually counter-clockwise) (Sundstrom and Schlicker, 2021). This can be seen illustrated in Figure 3 below:




Figure 3: Polar coordinates (Sundstrom and Schlicker, 2021)

Thus, the parametrization is as follows:

$$\begin{cases} r = r(t) \\ \theta = \theta(t) \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

To simplify the calculations, the line of movement of the centre of mass of the square will be chosen to be the x-axis. Hence, the road will have to be under it, so $y(t) < 0$. Likewise, the centre of mass of the square point (axle) will be chosen to be at the origin of its local coordinate system. The parameter t can be thought of as the time, at which there is a point on the square and on the road, which are touching. Therefore, the point (x, y) on the road will be the contact point on the road curve and similarly the point (r, θ) will be the contact point on the square curve.

Now, the relations between those two curves need to be found. If it is assumed that the square is on the road, then it must be touching it at some point. Moreover, the point of contact is not a random point on the curve, but the one directly beneath the centre mass point (so the origin point). Therefore, it has to be the $r(t)$ point on the square curve. Hence, the distance from axle to the road must be equal to the road's depth ($-y(t)$):

$$r(t) = -y(t) \quad (3)$$

Another relation can be found by looking at the contact point's velocity. It was earlier defined, that during contact, the velocity of a point relative to the road has to be zero. However, when it is looked at from the perspective of the axle point, all points on the

square are constantly moving (while rolling). Therefore, if the point is stationary relative to the ground, but moving relative to the centre point, then those speeds have to be equal to each other. The speed relative to road is simply $|\frac{dx}{dt}|$, while the speed relative to the axle can be calculated from its angular speed:

$$\begin{cases} \omega = \frac{d\theta}{dt} \\ v = r \cdot \omega \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

$$v_{\text{axle}} = r \frac{d\theta}{dt} \quad (5)$$

Thus (the speeds, not the velocities are equal, hence the absolute value):

$$|\frac{dx}{dt}| = |r \frac{d\theta}{dt}| \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \pm r \frac{d\theta}{dt} \quad (7)$$

However, it can be assumed that the square will roll to the right (in the positive x direction), so the contact point will have to rotate counter-clockwise. Therefore, both $\frac{dx}{dt}$ and $\frac{d\theta}{dt}$ have to be positive. Hence:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = r \frac{d\theta}{dt} \quad (8)$$

Therefore, there are two relations between the road and square curve:

$$\begin{cases} r = -y \\ \frac{dx}{dt} = r \frac{d\theta}{dt} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

The y part of the road curve can easily be found, hence:

$$\begin{cases} y = -r \\ \frac{dx}{dt} = r \frac{d\theta}{dt} \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

3 Finding the road for a rolling square

Now, the parametric functions for a square need to be found in order to find the road curve. For the simplicity of this calculation let the side length of the square be 2. To find the equations it is easiest to start with just a single side of the square (starting at $(1, -1)$ and ending at $(1, 1)$). Therefore, x stays constant and y varies from -1 to 1. The parametric equations are as follows:

$$x(t) = 1 \quad (11)$$

$$y(t) = t \quad (12)$$

Those formulas can be converted to polar form using the following equations (Dawkins, 2023):

$$\begin{cases} r &= \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \\ \theta &= \arctan \frac{y}{x} \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Therefore:

$$r(t) = \sqrt{1^2 + t^2} = \sqrt{1 + t^2} \quad (14)$$

$$\theta(t) = \arctan \frac{t}{1} = \arctan(t) \quad (15)$$

These equations may be used to substitute into Equation 10, which is very easy for the y part:

$$y = -r = -\sqrt{1 + t^2} \quad (16)$$

For the x part, the derivative of θ in respect to t has to be found:

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \arctan(t) \quad (17)$$

$$= \frac{1}{1+t^2} \quad (18)$$

Then it can be substituted into the equation:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = r \frac{d\theta}{dt} \quad (19)$$

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \sqrt{1+t^2} \frac{1}{1+t^2} \quad (20)$$

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t^2}} \quad (21)$$

To obtain $x(t)$, the integral of $\frac{dx}{dt}$ has to be found:

$$\int \frac{dx}{dt} dt = \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t^2}} dt \quad (22)$$

$$x(t) = \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t^2}} dt \quad (23)$$

Which is an integral of the derivative of inverse hyperbolic sine function arsinh (Earl and Nicholson, 2021), therefore:

$$x(t) = \text{arsinh}(t) + c \quad (24)$$

Hence, the road functions become (c can be set to 0, as it is just a horizontal shift):

$$\begin{cases} x(t) = \text{arsinh}(t) \\ y(t) = -\sqrt{1+t^2} \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

They can be then turned back into cartesian form, as $\sinh(\text{arsinh}(t)) = t$:

$$t = \sinh(x) \quad (26)$$

$$y = -\sqrt{1 + \sinh^2(x)} \quad (27)$$

Using $\cosh^2(x) - \sinh^2(x) = 1$ (Earl and Nicholson, 2021), the equation can be simplified:

$$y = -\sqrt{\cosh^2(x)} \quad (28)$$

$$y(x) = -\cosh(x) \quad (29)$$

The obtained road function is actually an inverted catenary curve ($\cosh(x)$ is the catenary curve function). What is interesting is that the catenary is a curve formed by a hanging chain supported at its ends (even its name “catenary” is derived from latin word for “chain”). (Weisstein, 2024a) The curve is also a very common shape in architecture. It can be seen graphed below along with some square sides:




Figure 4: Catenary curve with 3 square sides “rolling” on top of it³

³All plots were created using the *Matplotlib* (Hunter, 2007) Python package, unless stated otherwise

It can be observed, that this shape is not the whole road, but only its fragment. It is due to the previous approximation of only one side. However, the road is periodical and needs to repeat every time the magnitude of the slope its tangent line is equal to 1 (Hall and Wagon, 1992).

This is caused by the fact that when two consecutive catenaries intersect each other, we want the angle between their two tangent lines at the intersection to be equal to the angle of the square corner - 90° , so that the corner of the square can “fit”.

The angle θ between two lines of slopes m_1 and m_2 can be given by the following equation (derived from $m = \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x} = \tan \alpha$):

$$\tan \theta = \frac{m_1 - m_2}{1 + m_1 m_2} \quad (30)$$

Given that the angle we are looking for is $90^\circ = \frac{\pi}{2}$, $\tan \theta$ should be undefined, so:

$$1 + m_1 m_2 = 0 \quad (31)$$

$$m_1 m_2 = -1 \quad (32)$$

The intersection can be seen below in Figure 5 (the lighter lines are the tangents at the point of intersection of the catenaries):




Figure 5: Intersection of two consecutive catenaries⁴

Given that the second tangent line is of the next catenary, its slope will be of the same

⁴The graph was created using the *GeoGebra* software

magnitude, but opposite sign (as seen on the graph above). Thus:

$$m_2 = -m_1 \quad (33)$$

$$m_1(-m_1) = -1 \quad (34)$$

$$-m_1^2 = -1 \quad (35)$$

$$m_1^2 = 1 \quad (36)$$

$$m_1 = \pm 1, \quad m_2 = \mp 1 \quad (37)$$

Therefore, the catenary has to be truncated when:

$$\left| \frac{dy}{dx} \right| = 1 \quad (38)$$

The derivative of $\cosh x$ is $\sinh x$, therefore:

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = -\sinh x \quad (39)$$

$$\left| -\sinh x \right| = 1 \quad (40)$$

$$\sinh x = 1 \quad \vee \quad \sinh x = -1 \quad (41)$$

Thus, the points at which to truncate are:

$$x = \text{arsinh}(1) \quad \vee \quad x = \text{arsinh}(-1) \quad (42)$$

The inverse hyperbolic sine is an odd function, which can be proven:

$$\text{LHS} = -\text{arsinh}(x) \quad \text{RHS} = \text{arsinh}(-x) \quad (43)$$

Using the definition of arsinh (Earl and Nicholson, 2021):

$$\text{LHS} = -\ln(x + \sqrt{1 + x^2}) \quad (44)$$

$$= \ln\left(\frac{1}{x + \sqrt{1 + x^2}}\right) \quad (45)$$

$$= \ln\left(\frac{x - \sqrt{1 + x^2}}{x^2 - (\sqrt{1 + x^2})^2}\right) \quad (46)$$

$$= \ln\left(\frac{x - \sqrt{1 + x^2}}{x^2 - 1 - x^2}\right) \quad (47)$$

$$= \ln\left(\frac{x - \sqrt{1 + x^2}}{-1}\right) \quad (48)$$

$$= \ln(-x + \sqrt{1 + x^2}) \quad (49)$$

$$\text{RHS} = \ln(-x + \sqrt{1 + (-x)^2}) \quad (50)$$

$$= \ln(-x + \sqrt{1 + x^2}) \quad (51)$$

$$\text{LHS} = \text{RHS} \quad \square \quad (52)$$

Hence:

$$x = \pm \text{arsinh}(1) \quad (53)$$

It has been found that for any polygon of n -sides, if the road is truncated at $x = \pm \text{arsinh}(\tan \frac{\pi}{n})$, then the amount of rotation to get the polygon in the cusp is $\frac{2\pi}{n}$ (Hall and Wagon, 1992). This statement holds true for a square $n = 4$, as $\tan(\frac{\pi}{4}) = 1$. Therefore, the cusp angle is $\frac{\pi}{2}$ rad, which makes sense given that a square's vertex needs to fit in between them. Thus, next road fragments should have the equation (Hall and Wagon, 1992):

$$y = -\cosh(x + 2k \text{arsinh}(1)) \quad \text{where } k \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (54)$$

A 3-fragment road can be seen below:




Figure 6: Road for a rolling square consisting of 3 fragments

4 Rounding the square

However, the bridge cannot be a polygon with sharp corners, as standing on just one point (vertex of the square) would be too unstable for such a big structure, as it weights 13 tons (Williams, 2023). Therefore, the corners of the square have to be rounded. This can be done by adding a circle of radius b to each corner of the square. (In the actual bridge the radius is equal to 0.25 when the side length of the square is set to 2). The new shape can be seen on Figure 7 below.




Figure 7: Rounded square (Wagon and Slavík, 2023)

The corners have the polar form (Wagon and Slavík, 2023):

$$r = (1 - b)\sqrt{2} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \theta\right) + \sqrt{b^2 - 2(1 - b)^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \theta\right)} \quad (55)$$

$$-\operatorname{arccot}(1 - b) \leq \theta \leq \operatorname{arccot}(1 - b) - \frac{\pi}{2}$$

This equation can be derived using some trigonometry.




Figure 8: Corner of the square with triangle OBA⁵

Let O be the origin point $(0, 0)$, $A(1 - b, b - 1)$ be the centre of the circle and B be an arbitrary point on the rounded corner. Then, the r we are looking for is the length of $|OB|$. The situation can be seen in Figure 8.

Now, using the property of a square diagonal $|OA| = \sqrt{2}(1 - b)$. For clarity, let $\bar{b} = (1 - b)$. Then, $|OA| = \sqrt{2} \bar{b}$. Let $\alpha = \angle BOA$, then by cosine rule:

$$b^2 = (\sqrt{2} \bar{b})^2 + r^2 - 2r\sqrt{2} \bar{b} \cos(\alpha) \quad (56)$$

$$b^2 = 2\bar{b}^2 + r^2 - 2\sqrt{2}r\bar{b} \cos(\alpha) \quad (57)$$

⁵This diagram was created using the *GeoGebra* software

Thus, we obtain a quadratic equation with r :

$$r^2 - 2\sqrt{2} \bar{b} \cos(\alpha)r + 2\bar{b}^2 - b^2 = 0 \quad (58)$$

$$\Delta = (-2\sqrt{2} \bar{b} \cos(\alpha))^2 - 4(2\bar{b}^2 - b^2) \quad (59)$$

$$\Delta = 8\bar{b}^2 \cos^2(\alpha) - 8\bar{b}^2 + 4b^2 \quad (60)$$

$$\Delta = 8\bar{b}^2(1 - \sin^2(\alpha)) - 8\bar{b}^2 + 4b^2 \quad (61)$$

$$\Delta = 4b^2 - 8\bar{b}^2 \sin^2(\alpha) \quad (62)$$

$$\sqrt{\Delta} = 2\sqrt{b^2 - 2\bar{b}^2 \sin^2(\alpha)} \quad (63)$$

Hence:

$$r = \frac{2\sqrt{2} \bar{b} \cos(\alpha) \pm 2\sqrt{b^2 - 2\bar{b}^2 \sin^2(\alpha)}}{2} \quad (64)$$

$$r = \sqrt{2} \bar{b} \cos(\alpha) \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 2\bar{b}^2 \sin^2(\alpha)} \quad (65)$$

The radial distance r of the polar form has to be positive, so:

$$r = \sqrt{2} \bar{b} \cos(\alpha) + \sqrt{b^2 - 2\bar{b}^2 \sin^2(\alpha)} \quad (66)$$

By making the base polar axis to be at angle $\frac{\pi}{4}$ clockwise to the x-axis, the angle $\alpha = \theta + \frac{\pi}{4}$. Additionally, by translating θ by multiples of $\frac{\pi}{2}$, other corners can be found. (Wagon and Slavík, 2023)

Substituting $b = 0.25$, which is the real value used for the Cody Dock bridge (Wagon and Slavík, 2023), the polar form of the rounded square is obtained:

$$r(\theta) = \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \theta\right) + \sqrt{\frac{1}{16} - \frac{9}{8} \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \theta\right)} \quad (67)$$

$$-\operatorname{arccot}\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \leq \theta \leq \operatorname{arccot}\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) - \frac{\pi}{2} \approx -0.927 \leq \theta \leq -0.644$$

5 Finding the road for a rounded square

The rounded square is very similar to the normal one, apart from its corners. Hence, the road for those corners has to be found, as the one for the sides will be the same. From Equation 10 it is easy to find y :

$$y(\theta) = -\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \theta\right) - \sqrt{\frac{1}{16} - \frac{9}{8} \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \theta\right)} \quad (68)$$

This way, we find y in terms of the angle θ . This parametrization will be easier for this part of the road. Hence, x should also be found in terms of θ .

From Equation 10 it is known that:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = r(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{dt} \quad (69)$$

Thus:

$$\int \frac{dx}{dt} dt = \int r(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{dt} dt \quad (70)$$

$$\int dx = \int r(\theta) d\theta \quad (71)$$

$$x(\theta) = \int r(\theta) d\theta \quad (72)$$

Substituting $r(\theta)$:

$$x(\theta) = \int \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \theta\right) + \sqrt{\frac{1}{16} - \frac{9}{8} \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \theta\right)} d\theta \quad (73)$$

$$= \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4} \int \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \theta\right) d\theta + \int \sqrt{\frac{1}{16} - \frac{9}{8} \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \theta\right)} d\theta \quad (74)$$

Let:

$$I_1 = \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4} \int \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \theta\right) d\theta \quad , \quad I_2 = \int \sqrt{\frac{1}{16} - \frac{9}{8} \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \theta\right)} d\theta \quad (75)$$

Using compound angle identity:

$$I_1 = \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4} \int \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \cos(\theta) - \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \sin(\theta) d\theta \quad (76)$$

$$= \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4} \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cos(\theta) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin(\theta) d\theta \quad (77)$$

$$= \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int \cos(\theta) - \sin(\theta) d\theta \quad (78)$$

$$I_1 = \frac{3}{4}(\sin(\theta) + \cos(\theta)) + c \quad (79)$$

However, the I_2 integral is way trickier than the first one and cannot be solved using simple methods learned during the IB Mathematics course, as it is an elliptic integral of the second kind (Weisstein, 2024b). Therefore, it will be instead solved numerically using the Euler's method. For clarity of notation, let $v = I_2$.

Euler's method states that for a very small h :

$$v_{n+1} = v_n + h \cdot v'(\theta_n) \quad , \quad \theta_{n+1} = \theta_n + h \quad (80)$$

However, this expression will be difficult to use together with $I_1(\theta)$, so we will have to also express v in terms of θ instead of some arbitrary n . The derivative of v can be found really easily:

$$v'(\theta) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{16} - \frac{9}{8} \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \theta\right)} \quad (81)$$

Using the definition of derivative:

$$v'(\theta) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{v(\theta) - v(\theta - h)}{h} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{16} - \frac{9}{8} \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \theta\right)} \quad (82)$$

Approximating h in a similar manner to the Euler's method (h is a very small number):

$$\frac{v(\theta) - v(\theta - h)}{h} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{16} - \frac{9}{8} \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \theta\right)} \quad (83)$$

$$v(\theta) - v(\theta - h) = h \sqrt{\frac{1}{16} - \frac{9}{8} \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \theta\right)} \quad (84)$$

$$v(\theta) = v(\theta - h) + h \sqrt{\frac{1}{16} - \frac{9}{8} \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \theta\right)} \quad (85)$$

Given that:

$$x(\theta) = \frac{3}{4}(\sin \theta + \cos \theta) + \int \sqrt{\frac{1}{16} - \frac{9}{8} \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \theta\right)} d\theta = I_1(\theta) + v(\theta) \quad (86)$$

The road equations for the rounded corner can be written as:

$$\begin{cases} x(\theta) = \frac{3}{4}(\sin \theta + \cos \theta) + v(\theta) \\ v(\theta) = v(\theta - h) + h \sqrt{\frac{1}{16} - \frac{9}{8} \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \theta\right)} \\ y(\theta) = -\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \theta\right) - \sqrt{\frac{1}{16} - \frac{9}{8} \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \theta\right)} \end{cases} \quad (87)$$

$$\theta \in [-\text{arccot}(\frac{3}{4}), \text{arccot}(\frac{3}{4}) - \frac{\pi}{2}]$$

If we let $v(-\text{arccot}(\frac{3}{4})) = 0$ and $h = 0.001$, the x and y values can be calculated using the Python code seen in Appendix A. By plotting those points, the road can be seen in Figure 9 below:




Figure 9: Road for the rounded corner

It can be seen that the road is not centred - its lowest point is not at $x = 0$. Thanks to the programmatic approach, the minimum and maximum x value can be found easily:

$$x_{\min} = -0.106 \quad , \quad x_{\max} = 0.172$$

Thus, the “centre” of this road piece (which is also its lowest point) has x value:

$$x_{\text{centre}} = \frac{x_{\min} + x_{\max}}{2} = 0.0661 \quad (88)$$

Hence, to have the centre of the piece at $x = 0$, the road has to be shifted left by 0.0661 and thus it can be seen on Figure 10 below:




Figure 10: Centred rounded corner road

To insert the new road piece into the whole road, its centre has to be where the catenary curves intersect. The first such point is at $x = \text{arsinh}(1)$ (from Equation 42). Therefore, the corner road has to be shifted horizontally by additional $\text{arsinh}(1) \approx 0.881$ to the

right. Combining this with the 0.0661 shift to the left, we get in total a shift to the right by approximately 0.815. Hence, a fragment of the final road can be seen on Figure 11 below:




Figure 11: Road for the square with rounded corners

For the bridge to make a 180° rotation, the square has to “tumble over” two times. Therefore, the catenaries and the “corner parts” have to repeat three and two times respectively. Given that from the centre of the catenary to the intersection is the distance $\text{arsinh}(1)$, the second corner part will be at $x = 3\text{arsinh}(1)$. Hence, the full road with the rounded square can be seen on the Figure 12:




Figure 12: Full road plotted along with the bridge

Additionally, since the bridge should stand still on the beginning and end of the road, the catenary curves can be restricted to $x \in [0, 4\text{arsinh}(1)]$ and a $y = -1$ line can be thus

added for $x \in [-1, 0] \cup [4\text{arsinh}(1), 4\text{arsinh}(1) + 1]$. The centre of the corner road piece is at $x = \text{arsinh}(1)$ and the width of this piece is around 0.3, so the first catenary curve intersects the corner road at $x \approx \text{arsinh}(1) - 0.15$. Hence, the catenaries were restricted to $y \in [-\cosh(\text{arsinh}(1) - 0.15), -1] \approx y \in [-1.28, -1]$. Hence, the final road can be seen in Figure 13 below:




Figure 13: Final road for the rounded square

Thus, by finding the polar form of the rounded corner and then approximating its road fragment, a full road (which should have the same shape as the one currently in London) was calculated. The road can be visually evaluated in the Figure 14 below, by comparing it to the original sketches of the Cody Dock bridge (e.g. the bridge obtained in the calculations is not as high as the one in the masterplan, as its thickness was omitted):




Figure 14: Obtained road overlayed on top of the official masterplan⁶

6 Finding roads for different polygonal wheels

It may also be interesting to find the roads for polygons other than a simple square. The most intriguing of such seems to be the 3-sided one, as a normal (not-rounded) triangle cannot physically have a “perfect road”. The road is so steep, that the triangle crashes into it during its roll. This situation can be seen in Figure 15 below:




Figure 15: Triangle crashing into its own road (Hall and Wagon, 1992)

This problem can be fixed by rounding the corners of the triangle (Hall and Wagon, 1992), but it may also prove interesting to find the road for the not-rounded triangle.

Similarly as with the square, we start with one side and get the $y = -\cosh x$ road, but

⁶Masterplan photo source: <https://codydock.org.uk/cody-dock-masterplan/>

this time the angle of intersection of the catenaries should be $60^\circ = \frac{\pi}{3}$ rad (the internal angle of an equilateral triangle).

Using Equation 30:

$$\tan \frac{\pi}{3} = \frac{m_1 - m_2}{1 + m_1 m_2} \quad (89)$$

By Equation 33:

$$\tan \frac{\pi}{3} = \frac{2m_1}{1 - m_1^2} \quad (90)$$

$$\sqrt{3} = \frac{2m_1}{1 - m_1^2} \quad (91)$$

$$\sqrt{3}m_1^2 + 2m_1 - \sqrt{3} = 0 \quad (92)$$

$$m_1 = \frac{-2 \pm 4}{2\sqrt{3}} \quad (93)$$

$$m_1 = -\sqrt{3} \quad \vee \quad m_1 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \quad (94)$$

However, the magnitude of the slope has to be bigger than 1, as with smaller slopes, the angle “on top of the road” is greater. (The other value gives an angle equal to 120° instead of 60°) Thus, the correct result is:

$$|m| = \sqrt{3}$$

Using Equation 42, the catenary will have to end at:

$$x = \pm \operatorname{arsinh} \sqrt{3} \approx \pm 1.317 \quad (95)$$

Hence, for the first catenary:

$$y = -\cosh(x) \quad x \in [-\operatorname{arsinh} \sqrt{3}, \operatorname{arsinh} \sqrt{3}] \quad (96)$$

Thus, the following road was obtained:




Figure 16: Road for an equilateral triangle

The “radius” of the triangle (distance from its centre to one of the vertices) can be calculated by:

$$r = | - \cosh(\operatorname{arsinh}(\sqrt{3}))| \quad (97)$$

$$r = | - 2| = 2 \quad (98)$$

Then from some geometry and the cosine rule, the side of triangle a can be found:

$$a^2 = 2r^2(1 - \cos(\frac{2}{3}\pi)) \quad (99)$$

$$a^2 = 2r^2(1 + \frac{1}{2}) = 3r^2 \quad (100)$$

$$a = \sqrt{3} \cdot r = 2\sqrt{3} \quad (101)$$

Hence, the triangle can be added on top of the road:




Figure 17: Triangle bridge on top of its road

It can immediately be seen that the side of the triangle is much larger than the width of one catenary ($2\sqrt{3} > 2\text{arsinh}\sqrt{3}$), which visually shows why the triangle crashes into its own road and is thus unable to rotate about it.

Moreover, a triangular shape is not a good pick for a bridge, as when it would be rotated by half a revolution, so that ships could swim beneath it, it would stand on its own vertex. Such solution would be very dangerous in real life due to its instability (also due to the steep road, big friction force would be needed to prevent slipping of the bridge during rolling). The standing-on-vertex problem is actually the case for all odd-sided polygons, so it is perhaps more interesting to look at an even-sided polygon - more precisely a regular hexagon.

Once again, we start with only one side rolling, so the road is $y = -\cosh(x)$. However, in a regular hexagon, all interior angles are $120^\circ = \frac{2\pi}{3}$ rad, which should be the angle of intersection of two consecutive catenaries.

Thus, at the point of intersection (using Equation 30, 33):

$$\tan \frac{2\pi}{3} = \frac{2m_1}{1 - m_1^2} \quad (102)$$

$$-\sqrt{3} = \frac{2m_1}{1 - m_1^2} \quad (103)$$

$$-\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{3}m_1^2 = 2m_1 \quad (104)$$

$$\sqrt{3}m_1^2 - 2m_1 - \sqrt{3} = 0 \quad (105)$$

$$m_1 = \sqrt{3} \quad \vee \quad m_1 = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \quad (106)$$

However, now we want $|m| < 1$, as the angle “on top of the catenary” should be obtuse.

Thus:

$$|m| = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \quad (107)$$

Hence (by Equation 42), the catenary should be truncated at:

$$x = \pm \text{arsinh} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \approx \pm 0.549 \quad (108)$$

At the beginning, the distance from the centre of the hexagon to the road had to be equal to 1, so its side length $a = \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3}$. Thus, the road, along with the hexagon can be seen graphed below in Figure 18:





Figure 18: Hexagon with its own road

It is interesting to note, that in this case there have to be 4 catenaries in order for the polygon to rotate by 180° . The square needed only 3 curves, while the triangle only 2 (or actually 2.5 - to keep it balanced on the vertex when the bridge is open). However, the more of the catenaries there are, the shorter they are, so the road becomes actually shorter horizontally. As the number of sides will increase and the shape will approach a circle, the length of the road to rotate by half a revolution will approach π .

Another observation that can be made is that the more sides the polygon has, the sooner the catenary is truncated and the less steep it becomes. This is quite intuitive, because as the shape becomes more circular, the road is more and more like the one ideal for a circle - a straight line.

7 Possible further research

Another very interesting step during the design of the Cody Dock bridge was finding the precise location and angle of cuts in the bridge, so it can then perfectly fit the “teeth” on the road. This process can be seen in Figures 19a and 19b below:



(a) Rotating road's "teeth" around the bridge (b) Making cuts in the bridge
Figure 19: Finding location and angle of cuts in the bridge frame (Parker, 2023)

By "rolling" the track instead of bridge, the resultant intersection of the track path and bridge frame create ideal points at ideal angles to make the cut-outs, so that the bridge can miss the pins in the road entirely while rolling (Parker, 2023).

This concept is very fascinating and useful when dealing with rolling structure in real world, but the Mathematics behind it are unfortunately very much out of scope of this essay. However, expanding on this topic might have been intriguing.

8 Conclusion

Catenary curves are usually thought of as curves that can only describe behaviours of chains pulled down by gravity. That is actually exactly what they do, but there are still applications for them outside of this very specific area.

When designing perfect roads for non-circular rolling shapes, the catenaries become very useful, irrespective of the polygon that the "wheel" is. However, when we try to apply those concepts of rolling polygons in the real world, like the architects of Cody Dock bridge did, some adjustments have to be made.

Ironically, to make the non-circular rolling bridges a reality, circles have to be added to them. Thanks to the added rounded corners, the pressure at the vertices can be decreased which improves the structural integrity of the bridge. However, it is all at the cost of catenaries. The roads required for circles, which have their mass centres outside of

themselves, are way more complicated than simple catenaries. Hence, in the real world, where physics come into play, the catenaries seem to be not enough to construct such innovative bridges. More sophisticated integration methods (or simply approximations) are also required to find the not-easy road fragments.

Even though the innovations that the catenaries bring into the world of non-circular wheels are not very practical, they show that Mathematics as an area of knowledge is very interconnected and that those connections appear even where we least expect them. Moreover, using those interconnections in such unusual ways can be great for artists or engineers (as in the Cody Dock case) trying to convey some message and inspire others to dive into Mathematics. The message seems to come across, as people are analysing the Cody Dock bridge and its functioning. This is exemplified by the fact that the bridge won the *2023 Bridges Design Award* (Bridges Awards, 2023). Overall, the use of catenary curves is necessary when constructing non-circular, “rolling” bridges and perhaps also in the future when constructing many more seemingly non-practical structures.

References

- Billington, D. P., Billington, P. N., and Shirley-Smith, H. (2024). Encyclopedia Britannica - “bridge”. <https://britannica.com/technology/bridge-engineering>. [Online; Accessed 5th February 2024].
- Bridges Awards (2023). Winners 2023. <https://www.bridgesawards.co.uk/winners/winners-2023>. [Online; Accessed 5th February 2024].
- Dawkins, P. (2023). Pauls Online Notes - Polar Coordinates. <https://tutorial.math.lamar.edu/Classes/CalcII/PolarCoordinates.aspx>. [Online; Accessed 18th December 2023].
- Earl, R. and Nicholson, J. (2021). *The concise oxford dictionary of mathematics*. Oxford University Press, 6th edition.
- Hall, L. and Wagon, S. (1992). Roads and wheels. *Mathematics Magazine*, 65(5):283–301.
- Hunter, J. D. (2007). Matplotlib: A 2d graphics environment. *Computing in Science & Engineering*, 9(3):90–95.
- Morphocular (2022). The Perfect Road for a Square Wheel and How to Design It. <https://youtu.be/xGxSTzaID3k>. [Video; Accessed 18th December 2023].
- Parker, M. (2023). A needlessly complicated but awesome bridge. <https://youtu.be/SsGEcLwjgEg>. [Video; Accessed 18th December 2023].
- Sundstrom, T. and Schlicker, S. (2021). *Trigonometry - The Polar Coordinate System*. Grand Valley State University. [Online; Accessed 5th February 2024].
- Wagon, S. and Slavík, A. (2023). A rolling square bridge: Reimagining the wheel. <https://community.wolfram.com/groups/-/m/t/2917199>. [Online; Accessed 27th December 2023].
- Weisstein, E. (2024a). ”Catenary.” From MathWorld - A Wolfram Web Resource. <https://mathworld.wolfram.com/Catenary.html>. [Online; Accessed 5th February 2024].

- Weisstein, E. (2024b). "Elliptic Integral of the Second Kind" From MathWorld - A Wolfram Web Resource. <https://mathworld.wolfram.com/EllipticIntegraloftheSecondKind.html>. [Online; Accessed 3rd February 2024].
- Williams, A. (2023). One-of-a-kind footbridge tumbles over to let boats pass. <https://newatlas.com/architecture/cody-dock-rolling-bridge/>. [Online; Accessed 2nd February 2024].

Appendix

A Euler's approximation Python code

```
1 from numpy import arctan, sqrt, sin, cos, pi
2
3 def x_2_prim(theta): # derivative of 2nd part of x function (v')
4     return sqrt( 1/16 - 9/8 * (sin(pi/4 + theta))**2 )
5
6 def x_1(theta): # 1st part of x function (I_1)
7     return 3/4 * (sin(theta) + cos(theta))
8
9 def y(theta): # y function
10    return -3*sqrt(2)/4 * cos(theta + pi/4) - sqrt( 1/16 - 9/8 * (sin(
11        pi/4 + theta))**2 )
12
13 theta_start = -arctan(4/3)
14 theta_stop = arctan(4/3) - pi/2
15
16 h = 0.001
17 v_0 = 0 # starting value of v, v(-arctan(4/3))
18
19 cur_theta = theta_start
20 cur_v = v_0
21
22 x_list = []
23 y_list = []
24
25 while cur_theta < theta_stop:
26     cur_v += h * x_2_prim(cur_theta)
27
28     cur_x = x_1(cur_theta) + cur_v
29
30     x_list.append(cur_x)
31     y_list.append(y(cur_theta))
32
33     cur_theta += h
34
35 print("min x", min(x_list))
36 print("max x", max(x_list))
```