

Math Stats Proofs

Boyd Kane

Last updated: June 27, 2021

Contents

0.1	About this document	1
0.1.1	PGF of a Branching Process	1
0.1.2	Expectation of a Branching Process	1
0.1.3	Variance of a Branching Process	2
0.1.4	Probability of Eventual Extinction of a Branching Process	2
0.1.5	MGFs for First Passage and First Return	4
0.1.6	Chapman-Kolmogorov Equations	4
0.1.7	Probability of one Exponential RV being less than another	5

0.1 About this document

This document does *not* contain all the proofs required for STA3041F, as we have not been given a list of proofs to learn. When I have found a proof used in a past paper, I've listed the past paper in the margins with the year, and a code like T2 for Test 2 or E for Exam.

Example
note

0.1.1 PGF of a Branching Process

Work in progress

0.1.2 Expectation of a Branching Process

Note that:

- $\mathbb{G}_X(1) = 1$ is true for any random variable X .
- $\mathbb{G}'_X(1) = \mathbb{E}[X]$ is true for any random variable X .

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[Z_m] &= \left. \frac{d}{ds} \mathbb{G}_{Z_m}(s) \right|_{s=1} \\
&= \left. \frac{d}{ds} \mathbb{G}_X(\mathbb{G}_{Z_{m-1}}(s)) \right|_{s=1} \\
&= \mathbb{G}'_X(\mathbb{G}_{Z_{m-1}}(1)) \cdot \mathbb{G}_{Z_{m-1}}'(1) \quad (\text{Chain Rule}) \\
&= \mathbb{G}'_X(1) \mathbb{G}_{Z_{m-1}}'(1) \\
&= \mathbb{E}[X] \mathbb{E}[Z_{m-1}] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[X] \mathbb{E}[X] \mathbb{E}[Z_{m-2}] \\
&\vdots \\
\mathbb{E}[Z_m] &= \mathbb{E}[X]^m \\
&= \mu^m
\end{aligned}$$

0.1.3 Variance of a Branching Process

Work in progress

0.1.4 Probability of Eventual Extinction of a Branching Process

There's two parts to this,

1. The part that $G(s) - s = 0$ is the probability of eventual extinction.
2. The part that the probability of eventual extinction is the smallest positive root of s .

Proof of $G(s) - s = 0$

Note that this isn't exactly how it's laid out in the notes. I've tried to simplify the terminology a bit.

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta &= \mathbb{P} \left[\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} Z_m = 0 \right] \\
&= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} [Z_m = 0] \\
&= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{G}_{Z_m}(0) \\
&= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{G}_X(\mathbb{G}_{Z_{m-1}}(0)) \\
&= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{G}_X(\mathbb{P} [Z_{m-1} = 0]) \\
&= \mathbb{G}_X \left(\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} [Z_{m-1} = 0] \right) \\
&= \mathbb{G}_X \left(\mathbb{P} \left[\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} Z_m = 0 \right] \right) \\
\eta &= \mathbb{G}_X(\eta)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof that the probability of eventual extinction is the smallest positive root

Given that the probability of eventual extinction η is a root of $\mathbb{G}_X(s) - s = 0$, we now prove that η is the smallest non-negative root, note that:

- We define w as a solution to $\mathbb{G}_X(w) = w$
- The process, by definition starts out with positive population: $Z_0 > 0 \implies \mathbb{P} [Z_0 = 0] = 0$
- $\eta_m = \mathbb{G}_X(\eta_{m-1}) = \mathbb{G}_X(\mathbb{G}_X(\eta_{m-2})) = \dots$
- The generating function has infinite positive terms, so is non-decreasing:
 $\mathbb{G}_X(s) \leq \mathbb{G}_X(t) \implies s \leq t$

So, to actually do the proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_0 &= \mathbb{P}[Z_0 = 0] = 0 \leq w \\
\eta_0 &\leq w \\
\mathbb{G}(\eta_0) &\leq \mathbb{G}(w) = w \quad (\text{by definition of } w) \\
\eta_1 &\leq w \\
\mathbb{G}(\eta_1) &\leq \mathbb{G}(w) \\
\eta_2 &\leq w \\
\mathbb{G}(\eta_2) &\leq \mathbb{G}(w) \\
\eta_3 &\leq w \\
&\vdots \\
\text{So } \eta_n &\leq w \quad \text{for all } n \\
\eta &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \eta_m \leq w
\end{aligned}$$

So η will always be smaller than any other possible root w to the equation $\mathbb{G}(s) = s$

0.1.5 MGFs for First Passage and First Return

Work in progress

0.1.6 Chapman-Kolmogorov Equations

2019 T1

Given that a chain has the Markov property, the equations are, for all $0 \leq v \leq t$:

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{ij}(s, t) &:= \mathbb{P}[X_{s+t} = j | X_s = i] \\
&= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{U}} p_{ik}(s, v) \cdot p_{kj}(s + v, t - v)
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is due to the law of total probability and the Markov property. It might help to first recall that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}[A|B] &= \frac{\mathbb{P}[A \cap B]}{\mathbb{P}[B]} \\
&\iff \\
\mathbb{P}[A \cap B] &= \mathbb{P}[A|B] \cdot \mathbb{P}[B]
\end{aligned}$$

So the proof is:

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{ij}(s, t) &= \mathbb{P}[X_{s+t} = j | X_s = i] \\
&= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{U}} \mathbb{P}[X_{s+t} = j, X_{s+v} = k | X_s = i] \\
&= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{U}} \mathbb{P}[X_{s+v} = k | X_s = i] \cdot \mathbb{P}[X_{s+t} = j | X_{s+v} = k, X_s = i] \\
&= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{U}} \mathbb{P}[X_{s+v} = k | X_s = i] \cdot \mathbb{P}[X_{s+t} = j | X_{s+v} = k] \\
&= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{U}} p_{ik}(s, v) \cdot p_{kj}(s + v, t - v)
\end{aligned}$$

0.1.7 Probability of one Exponential RV being less than another

2019 T1

Where $X_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Exp}(\lambda_i)$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}[X_1 < X_2] &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^{x_2} (\lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_1 x_1}) \cdot (\lambda_2 e^{-\lambda_2 x_2}) dx_1 dx_2 \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_0^{x_2} \lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_1 x_1} dx_1 \lambda_2 e^{-\lambda_2 x_2} dx_2 \\
&= \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-\lambda_1 x_2}) \cdot (\lambda_2 e^{-\lambda_2 x_2}) dx_2 \\
&= 1 - \lambda_2 \int_0^\infty e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) x_2} dx_2 \\
&= \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}
\end{aligned}$$