### Math Stats Proofs

Boyd Kane

Last updated: June 27, 2021

### Contents

0.1	About	this document	1
	0.1.1	Probability of Eventual Extinction of a Branching Pro-	
		cess	1
	0.1.2	Expectation and Variance of a Branching Process	3
	0.1.3	MGFs for First Passage and First Return	3
	0.1.4	MGFs for a Branching Process	3
	0.1.5	Chapman-Kolmogorov Equations	4
	0.1.6	Probability of one Exponential RV being less than an-	
		other	5

#### 0.1 About this document

This document does *not* contain all the proofs required for STA3041F, as we have not been given a list of proofs to learn. When I have found a proof used in a past paper, I've listed the past paper in the margins with the year, and a code like T2 for Test 2 or E for Exam.

Example note

# 0.1.1 Probability of Eventual Extinction of a Branching Process

There's two parts to this,

- 1. The part that G(s) s = 0 is the probability of eventual extinction.
- 2. The part that the probability of eventual extinction is the smallest positive root of s.

#### **Proof of** G(s) - s = 0

Note that this isn't exactly how it's laid out in the notes. I've tried to simplify the terminology a bit.

$$\eta = \mathbb{P} \left[ \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} Z_m = 0 \right] \\
= \lim_{m \to \infty} \mathbb{P} \left[ Z_m = 0 \right] \\
= \lim_{m \to \infty} \mathbb{G}_{Z_m}(0) \\
= \lim_{m \to \infty} \mathbb{G}_X(\mathbb{G}_{Z_{m-1}}(0)) \\
= \lim_{m \to \infty} \mathbb{G}_X(\mathbb{P} \left[ Z_{m-1} = 0 \right]) \\
= \mathbb{G}_X \left( \lim_{m \to \infty} \mathbb{P} \left[ Z_{m-1} = 0 \right] \right) \\
= \mathbb{G}_X \left( \mathbb{P} \left[ \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} Z_m = 0 \right] \right) \\
\eta = \mathbb{G}_X(\eta)$$

### Proof that the probability of eventual extinction is the smallest positive root

Given that the probability of eventual extinction  $\eta$  is a root of  $\mathbb{G}_X(s) - s = 0$ , we now prove that  $\eta$  is the smallest non-negative root, note that:

- We define w as a solution to  $\mathbb{G}_X(w) = w$
- The process, by definition starts out with positive population:  $Z_0 > 0 \implies \mathbb{P}[Z_0 = 0] = 0$
- $\eta_m = \mathbb{G}_X(\eta_{m-1}) = \mathbb{G}_X(\mathbb{G}_X(\eta_{m-2})) = \dots$
- The generating function has infinite positive terms, so is non-decreasing:  $\mathbb{G}_X(s) \leq \mathbb{G}_X(t) \implies s \leq t$

So, to actually do the proof:

$$\eta_0 = \mathbb{P}\left[Z_0 = 0\right] = 0 \le w 
\eta_0 \le w 
\mathbb{G}(\eta_0) \le \mathbb{G}(w) = w \text{ (by definition of } w) 
\eta_1 \le w 
\mathbb{G}(\eta_1) \le \mathbb{G}(w) 
\eta_2 \le w 
\mathbb{G}(\eta_2) \le \mathbb{G}(w) 
\eta_3 \le w 
\vdots 
So  $\eta_n \le w \text{ for all } n 
\eta = \lim_{m \to \infty} \eta_m \le w$$$

So  $\eta$  will always be smaller than any other possible root w to the equation  $\mathbb{G}(s)=s$ 

## 0.1.2 Expectation and Variance of a Branching Process

Work in progress

### 0.1.3 MGFs for First Passage and First Return

Work in progress

### 0.1.4 MGFs for a Branching Process

Work in progress

#### 0.1.5 Chapman-Kolmogorov Equations

2019 T1

Given that a chain has the Markov property, the equations are, for all  $0 \le v \le t$ :

$$p_{ij}(s,t) := \mathbb{P}[X_{s+t} = j | X_s = i]$$
  
=  $\sum_{k \in \mathcal{U}} p_{ik}(s,v) \cdot p_{kj}(s+v,t-v)$ 

The proof is due to the law of total probability and the Markov property. It might help to first recall that:

$$\mathbb{P}\left[A|B\right] = \frac{\mathbb{P}\left[A \cap B\right]}{\mathbb{P}\left[B\right]}$$

$$\iff$$

$$\mathbb{P}\left[A \cap B\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[A|B\right] \cdot \mathbb{P}\left[B\right]$$

So the proof is:

$$p_{ij}(s,t) = \mathbb{P}\left[X_{s+t} = j | X_s = i\right]$$

$$= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{U}} \mathbb{P}\left[X_{s+t} = j, X_{s+v} = k | X_s = i\right]$$

$$= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{U}} \mathbb{P}\left[X_{s+v} = k | X_s = i\right] \cdot \mathbb{P}\left[X_{s+t} = j | X_{s+v} = k, X_s = i\right]$$

$$= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{U}} \mathbb{P}\left[X_{s+v} = k | X_s = i\right] \cdot \mathbb{P}\left[X_{s+t} = j | X_{s+v} = k\right]$$

$$= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{U}} p_{ik}(s, v) \cdot p_{kj}(s + v, t - v)$$

# 0.1.6 Probability of one Exponential RV being less than another

2019 T1

Where  $X_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} Exp(\lambda_i)$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ :

$$\mathbb{P}[X_1 < X_2] = \int_0^\infty \int_0^{x_2} (\lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_1 x_1}) \cdot (\lambda_2 e^{-\lambda_2 x_2}) dx_1 dx_2 
= \int_0^\infty \int_0^{x_2} \lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_1 x_1} dx_1 \lambda_2 e^{-\lambda_2 x_2} dx_2 
= \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-\lambda_1 x_2}) \cdot (\lambda_2 e^{-\lambda_2 x_2}) dx_2 
= 1 - \lambda_2 \int_0^\infty e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} dx_2 
= \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}$$