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Comment on “Article Title”

First A. Author, Second B. Author Jr., and Third C. Author, *Member, IEEE*

**Abstract**—In no more than 50 words, state the major contributions of the paper.

1. **INTRODUCTION**

——————————  ——————————

Present a concise description of the article and your glob- al evaluation of the work presented.

1. **EVALUATION**

Explain in detail how the article does or does not provide sufficient background information and literature review regarding its topic. Include in your assessment thoughts and recommendations as to how the author(s) could augment this area of the manuscript.

List and describe in detail any topic(s) or information related to the discussion in the article which appears to be missing. Please provide suggestions as to w hat topic(s) or information the author(s) could add to ensure that the scope of the article’s contents is complete.

Present a discussion as to whether or not the inform a- tion in this article clearly illustrates the issues, problems, and trends related to the general chosen theme as well as to the particular title assigned. Include your constructive and analytical assessment and list suggestions for im- provement and/ or enhancement, identifying any serious over-emphasis or under-emphasis of issues or problems.

List the main weaknesses and strengths of the article with suggestions on how can the overall quality of the article be improved.

Provide your opinion on the organization of the article and the appropriateness of references used, particularly where quotes are used .

1. **COMPLIANCE WITH AUTHOR GUIDELINES**

Comment on the manuscript’s format, particularly con- cerning size, text size and line spacing, equations, units [[1],](#_bookmark0) figu res and tables, captions and references.

1. **GRADING**

Considering the evaluation presented earlier, rate from 1 (poor) to 10 (excellent) the following aspects:
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* *T.C. Author is with the Electrical Engineering Department, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309. On leave from the National Research Insti- tute for Metals, Tsukuba, Japan E-mail:* [*author@nrim.go.jp.*](mailto:author@nrim.go.jp)
  1. Theorectical or practical significance.
  2. Organization of article.
  3. Clarity of presentation.
  4. Adequacy of background .
  5. Adequacy of literature review.
  6. Appropriate approach.
  7. Adequacy of analysis of issues.

1. **HELPFUL HINTS**

Optionally, identify which aspects should be further ex- plored in a future presentation and what questions were left unanswered in the manuscript.

1. **CONCLUSION**

# Conclude your review by grading the article presented

- from 1 (poor) to 10 (excellent) - and justifying that grade.
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