### C0MP4418

Assignment 1

Name: Ilia Chibaev

z3218424

## Question 1:

- i)  $p \wedge (q \vee r)[|=/|-](p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$ 
  - a) |= (truth table)

	1	2	3	4	5
p q r	q v r	p	р л г   	р л 1	1 v 2
TTT	T	T	T	T	T
TTF	T	T	F	T	T
TFF	F	F	F	F	i F i
TFT	T	F	T	T	i T i
FTT	T	F	F	F	į F į
FTF	T	F	F	F	į F į
FFF	F	F	F	F	į F į
FFT	T	F	F	F	F

all 4  $\mid$ = 5, :. Inference holds.

$$\neg(p \land (q \lor r))$$
 | distribute

### Resolution:

1. p 
$$\Lambda$$
 (q  $V$  r) | hypothesis  
2.  $\neg$ (p  $\Lambda$  (q  $V$  r)) | Negation of conclusions  
3. [] | 1,2 resolution

:. Inference holds.

ii) 
$$[|=/|-]$$
 p  $\rightarrow$  (q  $\rightarrow$  p)

:. tautology: Inference holds.

```
b) I-
        Negated CNF of conclusion:
        \neg(p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p))
        \neg(\neg p \lor (\neg q \lor p))
                             I Remove implications
                             | DeMorgans and double negation
         p ∧ ¬(¬q ∨ p)
                             | DeMorgans and double negation
         p \wedge q \wedge \neg p
        Resolution:
         1. p
                              | Negation of conclusion
                              | Negation of conclusions
         2. ¬p
         3. []
                              1 1.2 resolution
         :. Inference holds.
iii) \exists x. \forall y. Likes(x,y) [|=/|-] \forall x. \exists y. Likes(x,y)
    a) KB |=
                   CNF(∃x.∀v.Likes(x,v))
                   ∀y.Likes(a,y)
                                            I Skolomise
                   Likes(a,y)
                                            | Drop universal quantifier
         alpha \mid = CNF(\forall x.\exists y.Likes(x,y))
                   Likes(x,f(x))
                                           | Skolomise
        Let I be an interpretation such that: I |= KB:
         I \mid = \forall y. \neg Likes(b, y)
        CNF: ¬Likes(b,y)
                                            | Drop universal quantifier
         I \mid = \neg Likes(b,y)
               ¬Likes(b,f(b))
                                           | \{y, f(b)\} |
         alpha \mid= Likes(b, f(b)) \mid \{x, b\}
        QED: I does not entail alpha. Inference does not hold.
    b) |-
         CNF Hypthesis:
         \exists x. \forall y. Likes(x,y)
                                | Skolomise
         ∀y.Likes(a,y)
        Likes(a,y)
                                | Drop universal quantifier
        Negated CNF of conclusion:
         \neg(\forall x.\exists y.Likes(x,y))
         ∃x.∀y.¬Likes(x,y)
                                □ Drive ¬ inwards
        ∀y.¬Likes(a,y)
                                | Skolomise
        ¬Likes(a,y)
                                | Drop universal quantifier
        Resolution:

    Likes(a,y)

                                | Hypothesis
        2. ¬Likes(a,y)
                                | Negation of conclusions
         3. Likes(a,b)
                                | [1. {y,b}]
         4. \negLikes(a,b) | [2. {y,b}]
```

```
5. []
```

| 3,4 resolution

:. Inference holds.

iv) 
$$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$$
,  $p \rightarrow q [|=/|-] p \leftrightarrow q$ 

a) |= (truth table)

		1		2		3		4	
p q		¬p →	¬q	p →	q	p ↔	q	1 Λ	2
	 I	т		 T		т			
T T		т Т			¦	_		T	 
FΤ		F	-	, T	¦	_		, F	-
F F	•	T	i	Ť	i	_	i	T	i

:. all 4 |= 3: Inference holds.

b) |-

CNF Hyptheses:

1. 
$$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$$

$$p \ V \ \neg q$$

| Remove implication and double negation

2. 
$$p \rightarrow q$$
 $\neg p \lor q$ 

| Remove implication

Negated CNF of conclusion:

### Resolution:

1. p v ¬q	Hypothesis
2. ¬p v q	Hypothesis
3. ¬p v ¬q	Negation of conclusions
4. p v q	Negation of conclusions
5. ¬q	1,3 resolution
6. ¬p	2,3 resolution
7. p	4,5 resolution
8. []	7,8 resolution

:. Inference holds.

v) 
$$\forall x.P(x) \rightarrow Q(x), \ \forall x.Q(x) \rightarrow R(x), \ \neg R(a) \ [\mid =/\mid - ] \ \neg P(a)$$

a) KB 
$$\mid = \neg P(a) \lor Q(y), \neg Q(a) \lor R(y), \neg R(a)$$

```
alpha I = \neg P(a)
         Let I be an interpretation such that: I = KB:
         I \mid = \neg Q(y), \neg P(a) \lor Q(y)
         I = \neg P(a)
         I \mid = alpha
         OED. Inference holds.
    b) |-
         CNF Hyptheses:
              \forall x.P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)
                                       | Remove implication
              \neg \forall x.P(x) \ v \ Q(x)
              \exists x. \neg P(x) \ V \ Q(x)
                                       | Drive negation inwards
                                       | Standardise variables
              \exists x. \neg P(x) \ v \ Q(y)
              \neg P(a) \ v \ Q(y)
                                       | Skolomise
         2. \forall x.Q(x) \rightarrow R(x)
             \neg 0(a) \vee R(v)
                                       | same steps as 1.
         3. \neg R(a)
         Negated CNF of conclusion:
         4. \neg(\neg P(a))
              P(a)
                                       | Double negation
         Resolution:
         5. Q(y)
                                        1,4 resolution
         6. Q(a)
                                       | [5. {y,a}]
         7. R(y)
                                       | 2,6 resolution
         8. R(a)
                                       | [7. {y,a}]
         5. []
                                        3,8 resolution
         :. Inference holds.
Question 2:
i) KB |=
// From sentences
    ∃x.Photographer(x)
2.
    \exists x. Photographer(x) \rightarrow SameFloor(Ivor, x)
    ∃x.MedicalStudent(x)
3.
    \exists x. MedicalStudent(x) \rightarrow LivesImmediatelyAbove(Edwina, x)
LivesImmediatelyAbove(Patrick, Ivor)
LawStudent(Patrick)
7.
    ∃x.AirHostess(x)
    \exists x.AirHostess(x) \rightarrow LivesOpposite(Patrick, x)
8.
9.
    ∃x.StoreDetective(x)
10. ∃x.StoreDetective(x) → HomeOf(Flat4, x)
11. HomeOf(Flat2, Doris)
12. \exists x.Clerk(x)
13. ∃x.HomeOf(x, Rodney)
```

1.

4.

```
14. ∃x.HomeOf(x, Rosemary)
15. ∃x.HomeOf(x, Ivor)
16. \exists x. HomeOf(x, Edwina)
17. \exists x. HomeOf(x, Ivor)
18. \exists x. HomeOf(x, Doris)
// From the picture of the building
19. \exists x.\exists y((HomeOf(Flat1, x) \land HomeOf(Flat2, y)) \rightarrow SameFloor(x, y))
20. \exists x.\exists y((HomeOf(Flat3, x) \land HomeOf(Flat4, y)) \rightarrow SameFloor(x, y))
21. \exists x.\exists y((HomeOf(Flat5, x) \land HomeOf(Flat6, y)) \rightarrow SameFloor(x, y))
22. ∃x.∃y((HomeOf(Flat3, x) ∧ HomeOf(Flat1, y)) →
     LivesImmediatelyAbove(x, y))
23. \exists x.\exists y((HomeOf(Flat4, x) \land HomeOf(Flat2, y)) \rightarrow
     LivesImmediatelyAbove(x, y))
24. ∃x.∃y((HomeOf(Flat5, x) ∧ HomeOf(Flat3, y)) →
     LivesImmediatelyAbove(x, y))
25. \exists x.\exists y((HomeOf(Flat6, x) \land HomeOf(Flat4, y)) \rightarrow
     LivesImmediatelyAbove(x, v))
ii) Let I be an interpretation such that: I |= KB
    Case 1: I |= HomeOf(Flat4, Ivor)
iii) No, need to make a couple of assumptions:
    19. AirHostess(x) \rightarrow Female(x)
    20. Female(Rosemary)
    21. ¬Female(Rodney)
Flat 5: Rosemary, Air Hostess,
Flat 6: Patrick, Law Student,
Flat 3: Edwina, Photographer,
Flat 4: Ivor, Store Detective,
Flat 1: Rodney, Medical Student,
Flat 2: Doris, Clerk
```

# Question 3 report:

The solution is implemented in C++17. To build the executable, run make. To execute, type ./assnq1 'your guery'

The solution contains 2 classes, and 2 namespaces (for static functions) in separate files (each with .cpp and .h).

The Sequent class is used to parse, store, and represent (print) a sequenet in the form eg. '[x, y] seq [y, x] neg [y, x].

The SearchTree files contain a struct for a Node, with a possible pair of sequent values (to represent backward split into 2 sequents), a list of pointers to children nodes, and a pointer to it's parent node. The SearchTree class initialises from and stores

a root node, and contains functions to build the search tree from an input sequent.

The Rule namespace contains functions to check whether a formula can be tranformed according to a rule, and to perform this transformation.

The Utils namespaces contains functions for string processing using regex functions. Some examples are finding the outermost rule keyword (outside all brackets), stripping brackets, and splitting a string into a list.

### Question 4:

#### **Frames**

(i) how the method represents knowledge;
This method represent knowledge in an object oriented style. A
frame represents an object, with named attributes and which has
relations with other frames. The frame class follows a
heirarchical taxonomy, an example of which could be:
Mammal({attributes}) -> Primate({attributes}) -> Man({attributes})
-> Steve({attributes}). Frame attributes (called slots) can also
be references to other frames.

There are individual frames - which represent instances of objects, and generic frames - which represent categories or classes of objects. Individual frames have a field indicating their class which refer to a generic frame. Frames have inheritance of attributes from their parent classes, where the values of these attributes are not defined on instantiation.

Generic frames can also have attributes which are filled with attached procedures. Any constraints on attribute values are expressed through these stored procedures. Procedures are expressed as attribute values under tags: IF-ADDED and IF-NEEDED, which represent whether the attribute is being newly created, or is being queried.

(ii) describes how inference works for reasoning with that knowledge representation.

Inference works by asking by querying the values of attributes in a frame, and this query cascading through the knowledge-base until a value is reached and returned. Since frame attributes can contain stored procedures, this cascade can alter then knowledge base, create new frames, and trigger other procedure calls.

If the query does not produce a result then the value of the slot is considered unknown.